

C.A.S.H., Community Association of Saratoga Hills - 5221 Edgeware Dr. Calabasas, CA 91301

January 13, 2013

Cindy Lin (WTR-2) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Southern California Field Office 600 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1460 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Dear Ms. Lin:

The Community Association of Saratoga Hills is concerned about the Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) being proposed for the Malibu Creek Watershed. As homeowners who will bear the costs for complying with any new standards, through property taxes and sewer service rates, we raise the following issues:

It is not appropriate to compare Malibu Creek to other fresh water coastal creek systems. Applying freshwater standards to a brackish creek does not make sense. EPA concludes that algae impairs the presence of aquatic insects but fails to recognize that freshwater insects do poorly in non-freshwater streams like Malibu Creek or for a creek that has no water at all over 25% of its length in dry weather periods. EPA should also recognize that the salt impact in the watershed was a key reason why the water district that serves our area was formed in the first place; Malibu Creek is unsuitable as a potable water source, in part because of its salinity. Clearly, salinity has an impact on freshwater insects.

The unnecessary rush toward adopting a TMDL carries great risk. If the proposed TMDL is adopted, reaching the stated water quality objectives can cost hundreds of millions more beyond what has already been invested. But what happens to the rate-paying and taxpaying stakeholders if EPA's new TMDLs prove ineffective? Countless dollars will have been wasted, causing irreparable harm to the owners of homes and businesses in the region. EPA should only proceed with a TMDL when it can guarantee its regulations will produce the desired result. Anything less shows an irresponsible disregard for the ratepayers who will ultimately bear the costs of yet another failed "experiment." This is not hypothetical. As an example, since 1997, for seven months each year, Tapia's treated effluent has been prohibited from Malibu Creek. Yet, that prohibition has not resulted in quantifiable improvements in water quality. However, customers continue to be saddled with the cost for this compliance measure. As a result of these and other regulations, our sever service costs are among the highest in the region.

While the passion of advocacy groups wanting to protect our environment is appreciated, we residents have the ultimate responsibility for funding the compliance measures they promote. We're concerned that EPA places an extraordinary focus on recent data compiled by advocacy groups that support their positions, but EPA ignores data scientifically collected by government agencies over the last four decades. These government entities must follow strict EPA standards for sample collection, laboratory testing and personnel certification; Advocacy groups do not. Once again, ratepayers fund those stringent and scientific government testing programs and we urge EPA to thoroughly consider that information as well, so that the analysis, and any resulting regulations, demonstrates a greater degree of scientific rigor.

For these reasons, the homeowners of the Community Association of Saratoga Hills call upon EPA to conduct a scientifically sound evaluation of the Malibu Creek watershed, with appropriate opportunities given to the homeowners and businesses of the region to examine the data and comment on the findings. EPA should not proceed with adopting new, revised, or additional TMDLs until that evaluation is complete.

Sincerely,

norman & Buckning

Norman L. Buehring President, Community Association of Saratoga Hills