

1. Water Quality Criteria, 1972: A Report of the Committee on Water Quality, National Research Council, US Committee on Water Quality Criteria, United States National Technical Advisory Committee on Water Quality Criteria.

The screenshot shows a Google Books interface. The search bar contains 'fish eggs and larvae thermal shock'. The results show 'Result 1 of 1 in this book for fish eggs and larvae thermal shock'. The page number is 170. The text on the page discusses thermal shock to fish eggs and larvae, mentioning a canal flowing at 3 ft/sec and the effects of temperature changes on the plume.

Result 1 of 1 in this book for fish eggs and larvae thermal shock

This would be about 1,325 feet of canal flowing at 3 ft/sec.

It is apparent that a long discharge canal, a nonrecirculating cooling pond, a very long offshore pipe, or delayed dilution in a mixing zone (such as the one promoting surface cooling) could prolong the duration of exposure of pumped organisms and thereby increase the likelihood of damage to them. Precise information on the travel times of the cooling water in the discharge system is needed to conduct this analysis.

The calculations have ignored changing temperatures in the thermal plume, because the canal alone was lethal, and cooling in the plume with rapid dilution was so rapid that the additional exposure was only for 10 seconds (assumed to be at the discharge temperature the whole time). There may be other circumstances under which the effect of decreasing exposure temperature in the plume may be of interest.

temperature. The number of organisms affected to some degree may be significantly greater than the numbers actually pumped through the plant. The route of maximum thermal exposure for each plume is indicated in Figure III-7 by a dashed line. This route should be analyzed to determine the maximum reproducible effect.

Detrimental effects of these exposures can also be judged by using the criterion for short-term exposures to extreme temperatures. The analytical steps were outlined above for estimating the effects on organisms that pass through the thermal plume portions of the entrainment thermal pattern. There would have been no mortalities of the largemouth bass from entrainment in the plume with rapid dilution, due to the short duration of exposure (about 10 seconds). Any bass that were entrained in the near-shore portions of the larger plume, and remained in it, would have died in less than 15 minutes.

The screenshot shows a Google Books interface. The search bar contains 'fish eggs and larvae thermal shock'. The results show 'Result 1 of 1 in this book for fish eggs and larvae thermal shock'. The page number is 169. The text on the page discusses thermal shock to fish eggs and larvae, including a calculation for the thermal plume and a conclusion about the survival of juvenile bass.

Result 1 of 1 in this book for fish eggs and larvae thermal shock

Canal

Criterion applied to entrainment to end of discharge canal (discharge temperature is 70 F plus the 20 degree rise in the condensers or 90 F (32.22 C). The thermal plume would provide additional exposure above the lethal threshold, minus 2 C (29.5 C or 85.1 F) of more than four hours.

$$1 \geq \frac{60}{10^{[24.3649 - 0.9743(\log_e 20 + 1)]}}$$
$$1 \geq 8.15$$

Conclusion:

Juvenile bass would not survive to the end of the discharge canal.

Dilution

Travel time in piping to discharge is assumed to be 1 min., and temperature drop to below the lethal threshold minus 2 C (29.5 C or 85.1 F) is about 10 sec. (Pitchard, 1971).¹⁰

Conclusion

Juvenile bass would survive this thermal exposure:

$$1 \geq 0.1630$$

By using the equation in the following form,

$$\log(\text{time}) = a + b(\text{temp.} + 2)$$

the length of time that bass could barely survive the expected temperature rise could be calculated, thus allowing selection of an appropriate discharge system. For example:

$$\log(\text{time}) = 34.3649 - 0.9743(34.22)$$

https://books.google.com/books?id=D8oyUk-mDC&pg=PA168&pg=PA168&dq=fish Water quality criteria, 1972... x

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

One EPA Workplace Microsoft Windows Update OneDrive

Google fish eggs and larvae thermal shock Bagel

Books

Result 1 of 1 in this book for fish eggs and larvae thermal shock

proceeds as follows:

$$I \geq \frac{15}{10(14.5643 - 0.5746(10.56 + 2))} + \frac{15}{10(14.5643 - 0.5746(10.56 + 2))} + \dots$$

In this case, the bass would not survive through the first 15-minute period. In other such calculations, several steps would have to be summed before unity was reached (if not reached, the plume would not be detrimental).

Entrainment in the Plume

Organisms mixed with the thermal plume during dilution will also receive thermal shocks, although the maximum temperatures will generally be less than the discharge

than the immediate exposure time. Repeated sublethal exposures may also be detrimental, although the process is more complex than straight-forward summation. Analysis of single exposures proceeds exactly as described for plume entrainment.

The criterion for prolonged exposures is more generally applicable. The maximum tolerable weekly average temperature may be determined by the organisms present and the phase of their life cycle. In May, for example, the maximum heat tolerance temperature for the community may be determined by incubating fish eggs or fish fry on the bottom. In July it may be determined by the important resident invertebrate species. A well-designed thermal discharge should not require an extensive mixing zone where these criteria are exempted. Special criteria for reproductive processes may have to be applied, although thermal dis-

1:32 AM 7/30/2015

https://books.google.com/books?id=D8oyUk-mDC&pg=PA168&pg=PA168&dq=fish Water quality criteria, 1972... x

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

One EPA Workplace Microsoft Windows Update OneDrive

Google fish eggs and larvae thermal shock Bagel

Books

Result 1 of 1 in this book for fish eggs and larvae thermal shock

This would be about 1,325 feet of canal flowing at 3 ft/sec.

It is apparent that a long discharge canal, a nonrecirculating cooling pond, a very long offshore pipe, or delayed dilution in a mixing zone (such as the one promoting surface cooling) could prolong the duration of exposure of pumped organisms and thereby increase the likelihood of damage to them. Precise information on the travel times of the cooling water in the discharge system is needed to conduct this analysis.

The calculations have ignored changing temperatures in the thermal plume, because the canal alone was lethal, and cooling in the plume with rapid dilution was so rapid that the additional exposure was only for 10 seconds (assumed to be at the discharge temperature the whole time). There may be other circumstances under which the effect of decreasing exposure temperature in the plume may be

temperature. The number of organisms affected to some degree may be significantly greater than the numbers actually pumped through the plant. The route of maximum thermal exposure for each plume is indicated in Figure III-7 by a dashed line. This route should be analyzed to determine the maximum reproducible effect.

Detrimental effects of these exposures can also be judged by using the criterion for short-term exposures to extreme temperatures. The analytical steps were outlined above for estimating the effects on organisms that pass through the thermal plume portions of the entrainment thermal pattern. There would have been no mortalities of the largemouth bass from entrainment in the plume with rapid dilution, due to the short duration of exposure (about 10 seconds). Any bass that were entrained in the near-shore portions of the larger plume, and remained in it, would have died in less than 15 minutes.

1:33 AM 7/30/2015