

## **NOTE**

**Only the cover page of this document is being provided/posted on the EPA Region 1's Merrimack Station NPDES Draft Permit Web page.**

**For further viewing, the full document is available at EPA Region 1.**

**The full document may contain a Table of Contents, Executive Summary, List of Figures, List of Tables, etc.**

\*3



***CLIENT DRAFT***

**MERRIMACK STATION  
FISHERIES SURVEY RESULTS OF 2004 AND 2005  
AND HISTORICAL TRENDS ANALYSIS  
OF 1967 TO 2005 SURVEYS**

*Privileged and Confidential  
Attorney Work Product  
Prepared at the Direction of Counsel  
Prepared in Anticipation of Litigation*

**MAY 2006**

**NORMANDEAU ASSOCIATES**  
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANTS



Public Service  
of New Hampshire

PSNH Energy Park  
780 North Commercial Street, Manchester, NH 03101

Public Service Company of New Hampshire  
P.O. Box 330  
Manchester, NH 03105-0330  
(603) 669-4000  
[www.psnh.com](http://www.psnh.com)

January 24, 2007

The Northeast Utilities System

D25615

Mr. John P. King  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 1: New England  
Office of Ecosystem Protection (OEP)  
NPDES Industrial Permits Branch (CPE)  
1 Congress Street, Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

RE: Release of Confidential Business Information

Dear Mr. King:

In response to your concerns and in the spirit of cooperation, Public Service Company of New Hampshire ("PSNH") has determined that the "Confidential Business Information" label may be removed from the following reports submitted to the EPA in order to facilitate review and to move the process along.

1. Merrimack Station, Proposal for Information Collection, April 2005
2. Merrimack Station, Proposal for Information Collection Revisions, October 2006
3. Newington Station, Proposal for Information Collection, September 2006
4. Schiller Station, Proposal for Information Collection, October 2006
5. Merrimack Station, Draft Report, Thermal Discharge Effects on Downstream Salmon Smolt Migration, May 2006
6. Merrimack Station, Draft Report, An Examination of Fish Catch between Trap Nets with 0.75-In and 2.00-In Mesh Sizes Deployed in Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River (Bow, NH) During 2004 and 2005, June 2006
7. Merrimack Station, Draft Report, Fisheries Survey Results of 2004 and 2005 and Historic Trends Analysis of 1967 to 2005 Surveys, May 2006

AR-3


PSNH plans to submit a final version of the Salmon Smolt Migration Study later this month, along with the Hydrothermal Modeling Report that was discussed during our meeting this past October. We also expect to submit a final version of the Historic Trends Analysis and a 316(a) Summary Report by March 15, 2007.

Mr. John P. King  
D25615/Page 2  
January 23, 2007

However, I would like to emphasize that by releasing the documents specifically listed herein, PSNH is not waiving its right to assert a business confidentiality claim, as appropriate, on other 316 documents and reports.

We hope this action by PSNH demonstrates our desire to work with EPA to resolve all 316 issues at our three power stations. Please contact Allan Palmer at (603) 634-2439 if you have any other concerns.

Very truly yours,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William H. Smagula, P.E.  
Director – Generation

cc: David Webster, USEPA  
Harry Stewart, NHDES  
Linda Landis, PSNH

***CLIENT DRAFT***

**MERRIMACK STATION  
FISHERIES SURVEY RESULTS OF 2004 AND 2005  
AND HISTORICAL TRENDS ANALYSIS  
OF 1967 TO 2005 SURVEYS**

*Privileged and Confidential  
Attorney Work Product  
Prepared at the Direction of Counsel  
Prepared in Anticipation of Litigation*

**Prepared for  
PUBLIC SERVICE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
780 North Commercial Street  
Manchester, New Hampshire**

**Prepared by  
NORMANDEAU ASSOCIATES, INC.  
25 Nashua Road  
Bedford, NH 03110**

**R-20410.003**

**May 2006**

## Table of Contents

	Page
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.0 METHODS.....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 TRAPNET SAMPLING .....	2
2.2 ELECTROFISHING SAMPLING .....	3
2.3 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.....	3
<b>3.0 2004 FIELD SEASON RESULTS.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4.0 2005 FIELD SEASON RESULTS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5.0 INTERANNUAL ABUNDANCE TRENDS FROM THE 1967-2005 SAMPLING PROGRAM.....</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1 DATA SELECTION.....	9
5.2 DATA ANALYSIS .....	11
<b>6.0 RESULTS OF ELECTROFISHING TRENDS ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1 GENERAL CATCH CHARACTERISTICS .....	13
6.2 CATCH PER UNIT EFFORT (CPUE).....	13
6.3 COMMUNITY INDICES .....	15
6.4 LENGTH-WEIGHT RELATIONSHIPS .....	17
6.5 SPECIES GUILD BIOMASS .....	17
<b>7.0 RESULTS OF TRAPNETTING TRENDS ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>18</b>
7.1 GENERAL CATCH CHARACTERISTICS .....	18
7.2 CATCH PER UNIT EFFORT (CPUE).....	18
7.3 COMMUNITY INDICIES .....	18
<b>8.0 SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>9.0 LITERATURE CITED .....</b>	<b>21</b>

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

	<b>Page</b>
Figure 2-1. Location of trapnet and electrofish sampling stations, Merrimack River Monitoring Program, Hooksett Pond, New Hampshire 2004 to 2005.....	23
Figure 6-1. Electrofish CPUE for all fishes during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	24
Figure 6-2. Electrofish CPUE for bluegill during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	24
Figure 6-3. Electrofish CPUE for smallmouth bass during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	25
Figure 6-4. Electrofish CPUE for largemouth bass during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	25
Figure 6-5. Electrofish CPUE for pumpkinseed during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	26
Figure 6-6. Electrofish CPUE for yellow perch during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	26
Figure 6-7. Electrofish CPUE for white sucker during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	27
Figure 6-8. Electrofish CPUE for redbreast sunfish during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	27
Figure 6-9. Electrofish CPUE for American eel during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	28
Figure 6-10. Electrofish CPUE for rock bass during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	28

## ***Merrimack Station Historical Trends Analysis***

---

Figure 6-11. Electrofish CPUE for black crappie during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort in the Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	29
Figure 6-12. Length-weight relationship for yellow perch captured by electrofishing during May through September.....	30
Figure 6-13. Length-weight relationship for largemouth bass captured by electrofishing during May through September of selected years .....	31
Figure 6-14. Length-weight relationship for smallmouth bass captured by electrofishing during May through September.....	32
Figure 6-15. Length-weight relationship for bluegill captured by electrofishing during May through September. ....	33

## **LIST OF TABLES**

	<b>Page</b>
Table 3-1. Common and scientific names of fish species collected in the 2004 and 2005 Merrimack Station Fisheries Studies.....	35
Table 3-2. Total catch (N) and relative abundance (%) of fishes caught by trapnet in the ambient, mixing, and canal zones of Hooksett pool during 2004. ....	36
Table 3-3. Mean CPUE (fish per 48 h) and 95% confidence limits of fishes caught by trapnet in the ambient, mixing, and canal zones of Hooksett pool during 2004. ....	37
Table 3-4. Total catch (N) and relative abundance (%) of fishes caught by electrofishing in the ambient, mixing, thermally-influenced and canal zones of Hooksett pool during 2004. ....	38
Table 3-5. Mean CPUE (fish per 1,000 ft transect) and 95% confidence limits of fishes caught by electrofishing in the ambient, mixing, thermally-influenced and canal zones of Hooksett pool during 2004.....	39
Table 3-6. Total catch (N) and relative abundance (%) of fishes caught by trapnet in the ambient, mixing, and canal zones of Hooksett pool during 2005. ....	40
Table 3-7. Mean CPUE (fish per 48 h) and 95% confidence limits of fishes caught by trapnet in the ambient, mixing, and canal zones of Hooksett pool during 2005. ....	41
Table 3-8. Total catch (N) and relative abundance (%) of fishes caught by electrofishing in the ambient, mixing, thermally-influenced, and canal zones of Hooksett pool during 2005. ....	42
Table 3-9. Mean CPUE (fish per 1,000 ft transect) and 95% confidence limits of fishes caught by electrofishing in the ambient, mixing, thermally-influenced, and canal zones of Hooksett pool during 2005.....	43
Table 5-1. Sampling design comparison of the Merrimack Station electrofishing surveys conducted in Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH during 1967 through 2005. Shading denotes data selected for analysis.....	44
Table 5-2. Sampling design comparison of the Merrimack Station trapnet surveys conducted in Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH during 1967 through 2005. Shading denotes data selected for analysis.....	45
Table 5-3. Differences between previously reported values and those from the field data sheets, sorted by species, station and month. ....	46
Table 6-1. Species captured by electrofishing during August and September of select years in Hooksett Pool.....	47

## ***Merrimack Station Historical Trends Analysis***

---

Table 6-2.	Total catch (N) and relative abundance (%) of fishes caught by electrofishing in Hooksett pool, Merrimack River (ambient, mixing, and thermal zones combined) during August and September. ....	48
Table 6-3.	Mean CPUE (fish per 1,000 ft transect) of selected species caught by electrofishing in Hooksett Pool (all zones combined) during August and September. ....	49
Table 6-4.	Taxa richness (number) of fishes captured by electrofishing during August and September of all years in the three zones of Hooksett pool, Merrimack River near Bow, NH. ....	50
Table 6-5.	Abundance ranking based on mean CPUE (fish per 1,000 ft transect) of fish species caught by electrofishing during August and September of all years in Hooksett pool. ....	51
Table 6-6.	Abundance ranking based on mean CPUE (fish per 1,000 ft transect) of fish species caught by electrofishing during August and September of all years in the ambient zone of Hooksett pool, Merrimack River. ....	52
Table 6-7.	Abundance ranking based on mean CPUE (fish per 1,000 ft transect) of fish species caught by electrofishing during August and September of all years in the mixing zone of Hooksett pool, Merrimack River. ....	53
Table 6-8.	Abundance ranking based on mean CPUE (fish per 1,000 ft transect) of fish species caught by electrofishing during August and September of all years in the thermally-influenced zone of Hooksett pool, Merrimack River. ....	54
Table 6-9.	Decadal (1970s, 1995, and 2000s) comparison of the Bray-Curtis Percent Similarity Index for the fish communities sampled by electrofishing during August and September of all years with consistent sampling effort within the three zones in Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH. ....	55
Table 6-10.	Regression statistics for total weight (g) vs. length (mm tl) of selected species from the Hooksett Pool of Merrimack River during 1995, 2004, and 2005. Shading denotes data selected for analysis. ....	56
Table 6-11.	Habitat, trophic guilds, and tolerance classifications <sup>1,2,3</sup> for Merrimack River fish species present in the August/September electrofishing samples from Hooksett Pool during selected years. ....	57
Table 7-1.	Total catch (N) and relative abundance (%) of fishes caught by trapnet in Hooksett pool, Merrimack River (ambient and mixing zones combined) between 1970s and 2000s. ....	58
Table 7-2.	The mean and 95% confidence limits of CPUE for fishes caught by trapnet in Hooksett pool, Merrimack River (ambient and mixing zones, and zones combined) between 1970s and 2000s. ....	59
Table 7-3.	Taxa richness (number) of fish species of fishes caught by trapnet in Hooksett pool, Merrimack River, during the 1970s and 2000s. ....	60

## ***Merrimack Station Historical Trends Analysis***

---

Table 7-4.	Abundance ranking based on mean CPUE (fish per 48 h) of fishes caught by trapnet during May through September of 1970s (1974 to 1976) and 2000s (2004 and 2005) in Hooksett pool, Merrimack River. ....	61
Table 7-5.	Decadal (1970s and 2000s) comparison of the Bray-Curtis Percent Similarity Index for the fish communities sampled by trapnets during May through September of all years with consistent sampling effort within the three zones in Hooksett Pool of the Merrimack River near Bow, NH.....	62