

EPA 747-R-97-006
June, 1998

**RISK ANALYSIS TO SUPPORT STANDARDS
FOR LEAD IN PAINT, DUST, AND SOIL**

VOLUME I

CHAPTERS 1 TO 7

APPENDIX A

Prepared

by

**Battelle
505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201**

for

**National Program Chemicals Division
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C. 20460**

EPA 747-R-97-006
June, 1998

**RISK ANALYSIS TO SUPPORT STANDARDS
FOR LEAD IN PAINT, DUST, AND SOIL**

VOLUME II

APPENDICES B THROUGH G

Prepared

by

**Battelle
505 King Avenue
Columbus, Ohio 43201**

for

**National Program Chemicals Division
Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C. 20460**

DISCLAIMER

Mention of trade names, products, or services does not convey, and should not be interpreted as conveying, official EPA approval, endorsement, or recommendation.

This report is copied on recycled paper.

CONTRIBUTING ORGANIZATIONS

This study was funded and managed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. The risk analysis was conducted by Battelle Memorial Institute under contract to the Environmental Protection Agency. Each organization's responsibilities are listed below.

Battelle Memorial Institute (Battelle)

Battelle was responsible for identifying and incorporating the results of relevant studies, obtaining and managing relevant datasets, developing methodologies for risk assessment and risk management, carrying out the risk analysis, and preparing the report. The Battelle Task Manager was Ronald Menton. Robert Lordo, Nancy McMillan, and Nancy Niemuth were key contributors to preparing the report. Brandon Wood was responsible for the statistical programming.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

The Environmental Protection Agency was responsible for providing objectives of the risk analysis, reviewing the developed methodology, contributing to the development of conclusions, reviewing draft versions of the report, and managing the peer review and publication of the report. The EPA Work Assignment Manager was Todd Holderman. The Deputy Work Assignment Managers were Brad Schultz and Karen Lannon. The EPA Project Officer was Sineta Wooten. Other EPA contributors include Barbara Leczynski, Janet Remmers, John Schwemberger, Dave Topping, and the EPA/OPPT Risk Assessment Workgroup (chaired by Lois Dicker).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES.1 BACKGROUND	ES-1
ES.2 OBJECTIVES	ES-1
ES.3 KEY ELEMENTS OF RISK ANALYSIS	ES-2
ES.4 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	ES-10
ES.4.1 RISK CHARACTERIZATION	ES-10
ES.4.2 ANALYSIS OF EXAMPLE OPTIONS FOR RISK MANAGEMENT	ES-12
ES.5 CONCLUSIONS	ES-12
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Background	1-3
1.2 Statutory/Policy Constraints	1-4
1.3 Objectives	1-6
1.4 Overview of Report	1-8
1.4.1 Organization of Report	1-8
1.4.2 General Approach	1-10
1.4.2.1 Approach for Risk Assessment	1-10
1.4.2.2 Approach for Risk Management	1-16
1.5 Peer Review	1-17

PART I – RISK ASSESSMENT

2.0 HAZARD IDENTIFICATION	2-1
2.1 Measures of Body-Lead Burden	2-3
2.2 Mechanisms of Lead Toxicity	2-5
2.2.1 Physiological Mechanisms	2-6
2.2.2 Neurotoxic Effects of Lead	2-6
2.2.3 Hematologic Effects of Lead	2-9
2.3 Health Effects of Lead Exposure	2-10
2.3.1 Neurological Effects of Lead	2-10
2.3.2 Other Effects of Lead	2-13
2.4 Representative Population	2-16
2.5 Selected Health Endpoints	2-18
2.5.1 Elevated Blood-Lead Concentration	2-18
2.5.2 IQ Point Deficits	2-19
2.6 Hazard Characterization	2-20
3.0 EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT	3-1
3.1 Sources and Pathways of Lead	3-4
3.2 Supporting Evidence in Lead Exposure Studies	3-9
3.2.1 Weight of Evidence on the Relationship Between Environmental-Lead Exposures and Increased Blood-Lead Concentrations	3-9
3.2.2 More Detailed Description of the Most Useful Studies for this Risk Assessment	3-13
3.2.2.1 Baltimore Repair and Maintenance (R&M) Study	3-24
3.2.2.2 Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study	3-26

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

	<u>Page</u>	
3.2.2.3	Evaluation of the HUD Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control Grant Program ("HUD Grantees")	3-28
3.2.2.4	Urban Soil Lead Abatement Demonstration Project (USLADP)	3-31
3.2.2.5	Birmingham Urban Lead Uptake Study	3-33
3.2.2.6	Cincinnati Longitudinal Study	3-33
3.2.2.7	Brigham and Women's Hospital Longitudinal Study	3-34
3.3	Lead in Dust, Soil, and Paint in the Nation's Housing	3-35
3.3.1	The Distribution of Lead Levels in Household Dust, Soil, and Paint	3-35
3.3.1.1	HUD National Survey	3-36
3.3.1.2	The Baltimore Repair and Maintenance (R&M) Study	3-46
3.3.1.3	The Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study	3-49
3.3.1.4	Evaluation of the HUD Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control Grant Program ("HUD Grantees")	3-54
3.3.1.5	Evaluating the Approach to Representing the Post- 1979 Housing Stock	3-61
3.3.2	Characterizing the Population of Children in the Nation's Housing Stock	3-62
3.4	Distribution of Childhood Blood-Lead	3-62
3.4.1	Distribution of Blood-lead Concentration, as Measured by NHANES III	3-63
3.4.2	Baltimore Repair and Maintenance (R&M) Study	3-69
3.4.3	Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study	3-70
3.4.4	Evaluation of the HUD Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control Grant Program ("HUD Grantees")	3-71
3.5	Exposure Assessment Characterization	3-75
4.0	DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENT	4-1
4.1	IEUBK Model	4-3
4.1.1	Description of the IEUBK Model	4-4
4.1.2	Inputs to the IEUBK Model	4-4
4.1.3	Estimating the Effect of Pica for Paint on Childhood Blood-Lead Levels	4-9
4.1.4	Estimating the National Distribution of Blood-Lead Using the IEUBK Model	4-10
4.2	Empirical Model	4-11
4.2.1	Form of the Model	4-11
4.2.2	Variable Selection	4-12
4.2.3	Rochester Multimedia Model	4-14
4.2.4	Measurement Error Adjustment	4-15
4.2.5	Specification of the Empirical Model	4-16
4.2.6	Estimating the National Distribution of Blood-Lead Using the Empirical Model	4-18
4.3	Utilizing Dust Lead Loadings	4-18
4.3.1	Wipe Versus Blue Nozzle (BN) Vacuum Conversions	4-19
4.3.2	Wipe Versus Baltimore Repair and Maintenance (BRM) Vacuum Conversions	4-20
4.4	Health Outcomes	4-21
4.4.1	Decrements in IQ Scores	4-22
4.4.2	Increased Incidence of IQ Scores Less Than 70	4-25
4.5	Dose Response Characterization	4-29

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

	<u>Page</u>
5.0 RISK CHARACTERIZATION	5-1
5.1 Integrated Risk Analysis	5-4
5.1.1 Baseline Risk Characterization	5-7
5.1.2 Alternative Risk Characterization	5-11
5.2 Estimation of Risks Due to Background Exposure	5-14
5.3 Individual Risks	5-18
5.4 Risk Characterization Sensitivity and Uncertainty Analysis	5-23
5.4.1 Alternative Age Range of Children	5-25
5.4.2 Alternative Assumptions on Average IQ Score Decline Per Unit Increase in Blood-Lead Concentration	5-28
5.4.3 Considering Potential Declines in Blood-Lead Concentration from NHANES III Phase 2 Measures	5-29
5.4.4 Alternative Approach to Characterizing a Baseline Blood-Lead Distribution from NHANES III Data	5-30
5.4.5 Uncertainty in Adjusting Dust-Lead Concentrations to Reflect the Sample's Total Weight	5-31
5.4.6 Alternative Estimates for the Geometric Standard Deviation of Blood-Lead Concentrations	5-34
5.4.7 Alternative Estimates for Daily Dietary Lead Intake Assumed in Fitting the IEUBK Model	5-38
5.4.8 Alternative Assumptions on Paint Pica Tendencies in Children and the Effect of Paint Pica on Blood-Lead Concentration	5-40
5.4.8.1 Empirical Model	5-41
5.4.8.2 IEUBK Model	5-42
5.4.9 Conclusions from Sensitivity Analysis	5-43
5.5 Risk Characterization Conclusions	5-44

PART II – RISK MANAGEMENT

6.0 ANALYSIS OF EXAMPLE OPTIONS FOR THE §403 STANDARDS	6-1
6.1 Intervention Activities	6-3
6.1.1 Interventions	6-5
6.1.2 Reductions in Environmental Lead Levels Following Interventions	6-7
6.1.3 Intervention Triggers	6-10
6.1.4 Reductions in Blood-Lead Levels Following Interventions	6-11
6.2 Methodology for Evaluating Risk Management Options	6-12
6.3 Results of the Evaluation of Example Risk Management Options	6-17
6.3.1 Evaluation of Example Dust Standards	6-19
6.3.2 Evaluation of Example Options for the Soil Standard	6-24
6.3.3 Evaluation of Example Options for the Trigger Levels of Paint Intervention	6-29
6.3.4 Evaluation of the Effects of Varying Example Standard Options for All Media	6-35
6.3.5 Risk Reduction Details for an Illustrative Set of Standards	6-40
6.4 Sensitivity and Uncertainty Analyses for Risk Management Analyses	6-43
6.4.1 Uncertainty in Converting Dust-Lead Loadings for Comparison to Standards	6-43

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

	<u>Page</u>
6.4.2	Uncertainty in Converting Wipe Dust-Lead Loadings to Blue Nozzle Dust-Lead Loadings for Determining Post-Intervention Blood-Lead Distributions Using the Empirical Model 6-47
6.4.3	Alternative Assumptions on Post-Intervention Dust-Lead Loadings 6-48
6.4.4	Alternative Approach to Determining a Post-Intervention Blood- Lead Concentration Distribution Using Directly-Measured Blood- Lead Concentration Changes 6-50
6.4.5	Uncertainty in Assumptions Made in Determining Post-Intervention Dust-Lead Concentrations 6-53
6.4.6	Alternative Estimates for the Geometric Standard Deviation of Blood-Lead Concentrations 6-57
6.4.7	Alternative Estimates for Daily Dietary Lead Intake Assumed in Fitting the IEUBK Model 6-59
6.4.8	Alternative Assumptions on Paint Pica Tendencies in Children and the Effect of Paint Pica on Blood-Lead Concentration 6-60
6.4.8.1	Empirical Model 6-61
6.4.8.2	IEUBK Model 6-62
6.4.9	Standard Errors for Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints Due to Sampling Variability 6-64
6.5	Conclusion 6-67
7.0	REFERENCES 7-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDICES A THROUGH G

Appendix A	Glossary A-1
Appendix B	Health Effects Associated with Exposure to Lead and Internal Lead Doses in Humans B-1
Appendix C1	Characterizing Baseline Environmental-Lead Levels in the Nation’s Housing Stock C1-1
Appendix C2	Method for Computing Confidence Intervals Associated with Estimates in the Exposure Assessment and Risk Characterization C2-1
Appendix D1	Assumptions and Scientific Evidence to Account for the Effect of Pica for Paint D1-1
Appendix D2	Results of Three Published Meta-Analyses on the Relationship Between IQ Point Loss and Childhood Blood-Lead Levels D2-1
Appendix E1	Methodology for Estimating Health Effects From Blood-Lead Distribution E1-1
Appendix E2	Generating Distribution of Blood-Lead Concentrations Based on Model-Predicted Geometric Mean and Geometric Standard Deviation E2-1
Appendix F1	Methodology for Estimating Post-Intervention Distribution of Children’s Blood-Lead Concentrations Resulting from Proposed §403 Rules F1-1
Appendix F2	Estimation of Primary Prevention Efficacy Using Model of Bone-Lead Mobilization F2-1

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

		<u>Page</u>
Appendix G	Health Effects Associated with Exposure to Lead and Internal Lead Doses in Humans	G-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table ES-1.	Interventions Defined for the §403 Risk Analysis, and the Assumed Duration of Time During Which Lead Levels Are Reduced in the Medium Targeted by the Intervention	ES-6
Table ES-2.	Information on the Two Statistical Models Used to Predict the Distribution of Blood-Lead Concentration for Children Exposed to Specified Environmental-Lead Levels	ES-8
Table ES-3.	Assumed Post-Intervention Lead Levels Affected By a Particular Intervention	ES-9
Table ES-4.	Estimated Baseline Number and Percentage of U.S. Children Aged 1-2 Years Having Specific Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints	ES-11
Table ES-5.	Examples of Environmental-Lead Levels Associated with a 5% Likelihood that a Child Exposed to Such Levels Would Have a Blood- Lead Concentration at or Above 10 µg/dL	ES-11
Table ES-6.	Estimated Percentages of Occupied Housing Units in the 1997 U.S. Housing Stock in Which Certain Interventions Are Triggered, for Three Example Sets of Options for Dust and Soil Standards and Paint Triggers	ES-13
Table ES-7a.	Estimates of Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1 to 2 Years Under the IEUBK Model and Percent Declines in These Estimates from Baseline, for Three Example Sets of Options for Dust and Soil Standards and Paint Triggers	ES-14
Table ES-7b.	Estimates of Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1 to 2 Years Under the Empirical Model and Percent Decline in These Estimates from Baseline, for Three Example Sets of Options for Dust and Soil Standards and Paint Triggers	ES-15
Table 2-1.	Interpretation of Blood-Lead Concentration Categories and Follow-Up Actions Recommended by CDC	2-19
Table 3-1.	Childhood Lead Exposure Studies Conducted in Urban Communities That Present Evidence of the Relationship Between Environmental-Lead Levels and Blood-Lead Concentrations	3-11
Table 3-2.	Childhood Lead Exposure Studies Conducted in Ore-Processing Communities That Present Evidence of the Relationship Between Environmental-Lead Levels and Blood-Lead Concentrations	3-12
Table 3-3a.	Summary Information on Housing Surveyed in the Baltimore R&M Study (pre-intervention phase), Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study, HUD Grantees Program, and the HUD National Survey	3-15
Table 3-3b.	Summary Information on Children Surveyed in the Baltimore R&M Study (pre-intervention phase), Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study, HUD Grantees Program, and the HUD National Survey	3-16
Table 3-3c.	Information on Blood Sampling and Analysis in the Baltimore R&M Study (pre-intervention phase), Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study, and the HUD Grantees Program	3-18
Table 3-3d.	Summary of Approaches for Soil Sampling and Analysis in the Baltimore R&M Study (pre-intervention phase), Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study, HUD Grantees Program, and the HUD National Survey	3-19

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Table 3-3e.	Summary of Approaches for Dust Sampling and Analysis in the Baltimore R&M Study (pre-intervention phase), Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study, HUD Grantees Program, and the HUD National Survey	3-20
Table 3-3f.	Summary of Approaches for Paint Sampling and Analysis in the Baltimore R&M Study (pre-intervention phase), Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study, HUD Grantees Program, and the HUD National Survey	3-22
Table 3-4.	Location of Grantees Participating in HUD Grantee Program Evaluation, and Grantees' Criteria for Enrollment/Recruitment of Housing Units	3-30
Table 3-5.	Estimated Total Number of Occupied Housing Units in the National Housing Stock in 1997 According to Year-Built Category	3-37
Table 3-6.	Summary of the Distribution of Lead Loadings in Floor-Dust Samples Within Housing Units in the HUD National Survey, Weighted to Reflect the Predicted 1997 Housing Stock	3-39
Table 3-7.	Summary of the Distribution of Lead Concentrations in Floor-Dust Samples Within Housing Units in the HUD National Survey, Weighted to Reflect the Predicted 1997 Housing Stock	3-40
Table 3-8.	Summary of the Distribution of Lead Loadings in Window Sill-Dust Samples Within Housing Units in the HUD National Survey, Weighted to Reflect the Predicted 1997 Housing Stock	3-40
Table 3-9.	Summary of the Distribution of Lead Concentrations in Window Sill-Dust Samples Within Housing Units in the HUD National Survey, Weighted to Reflect the Predicted 1997 Housing Stock	3-41
Table 3-10.	Summary of the Distribution of Soil-Lead Concentrations for Housing Units in the HUD National Survey, Weighted to Reflect the Predicted 1997 Housing Stock	3-41
Table 3-11.	Summary of XRF Paint Measurements Taken in the HUD National Survey, Including the Percentage of Housing Units with Lead-Based Paint (LBP) and Deteriorated LBP, by Component Category	3-42
Table 3-12.	Summary of the Distribution of Observed Maximum XRF Lead Levels in Paint for Housing Units in the HUD National Survey, Weighted to Reflect the Predicted 1997 Housing Stock	3-43
Table 3-13.	Predicted Numbers and Percentages of Units Having Lead-Based Paint in the 1997 Occupied Housing Stock, Based on Information from the HUD National Survey	3-43
Table 3-14.	Imputed Environmental-Lead Measurements, by Age Category and Presence of Lead-Based Paint, and Numbers of Units in the HUD National Survey to Which Imputed Measurements Were Assigned in the Risk Analyses	3-45
Table 3-15.	Summary of Average Pre-Intervention Floor Dust-Lead Loading for Occupied Housing Units in the Baltimore R&M Study	3-47
Table 3-16.	Summary of Average Pre-Intervention Floor Dust-Lead Concentrations for Occupied Housing Units in the Baltimore R&M Study	3-47
Table 3-17.	Summary of Average Pre-Intervention Window Sill Dust-Lead Loading for Occupied Housing Units in the Baltimore R&M Study	3-47
Table 3-18.	Summary of Average Pre-Intervention Window Sill Dust-Lead Concentrations for Occupied Housing Units in the Baltimore R&M Study	3-48
Table 3-19.	Summary of Average Pre-Intervention Dripline Soil-Lead Concentrations for Occupied Housing Units in the Baltimore R&M Study	3-48
Table 3-20.	Summary of Observed Maximum XRF Paint-Lead Measurement at Pre-Intervention for Occupied Housing Units Slated for R&M Intervention in the Baltimore R&M Study	3-48

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Table 3-21.	Summary of Average Floor Dust-Lead Loading for Housing Units in the Rochester Study	3-49
Table 3-22.	Summary of Average Floor Dust-Lead Concentrations for Housing Units in the Rochester Study	3-50
Table 3-23.	Summary of Average Window Sill Dust-Lead Loading for Housing Units in the Rochester Study	3-50
Table 3-24.	Summary of Average Window Sill Dust-Lead Concentrations for Housing Units in the Rochester Study	3-50
Table 3-25.	Summary of Average Dripline Soil-Lead Concentrations for Housing Units in the Rochester Study	3-51
Table 3-26.	Summary of Average Soil-Lead Concentrations from Play Areas for Housing Units in the Rochester Study	3-51
Table 3-27.	Summary of XRF Paint Measurements Taken in the Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study, Including the Percentage of Housing Units with Lead-Based Paint (LBP) and Deteriorated LBP, by Component Category	3-52
Table 3-28.	Summary of Observed Maximum XRF Paint-Lead Concentration for Housing Units in the Rochester Study	3-53
Table 3-29.	Summary of Area-Weighted Average Floor Dust-Lead Loadings (Pre-Intervention, Using Wipe Collection Techniques) for Housing Units In the HUD Grantee Program, According to Age of Unit and Grantee	3-56
Table 3-30.	Summary of Area-Weighted Average Window Sill Dust-Lead Loadings (Pre-Intervention, Using Wipe Collection Techniques) for Housing Units In the HUD Grantee Program, According to Age of Unit and Grantee	3-57
Table 3-31.	Summary of Play Area Soil-Lead Concentrations (Pre-Intervention) for Housing Units In the HUD Grantee Program, According to Age of Unit and Grantee	3-58
Table 3-32.	Summary of Dripline Soil-Lead Concentrations (Pre-Intervention) for Housing Units In the HUD Grantee Program, According to Age of Unit and Grantee	3-59
Table 3-33.	Summary of XRF Paint Measurements Taken in the HUD Grantee Program, Including the Percentage of Housing Units with Lead-Based Paint (LBP) and Deteriorated LBP, by Component Category	3-60
Table 3-34.	Estimates of Geometric Mean Environmental-Lead Levels for HUD National Survey Units Representing Post-1979 Housing in this Risk Assessment and for Modern Urban Units in the Baltimore R&M Study	3-61
Table 3-35.	Estimated Number of Children in the 1997 National Housing Stock, by Age of Child and Year-Built Category	3-62
Table 3-36.	Summary of Blood-Lead Concentration Data for Children Aged 1-2 Years, 3-5 Years, and 1-5 Years, Based on NHANES III (Phase 2) Data	3-64
Table 3-37.	Estimated Probabilities of Elevated Blood-Lead Concentrations in Children Aged 1-2 Years, 3-5 Years, and 1-5 Years, Based on NHANES III (Phase 2) Data	3-65
Table 3-38.	Estimated Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years (Within Selected Subgroups) With Blood-Lead Concentrations At or Above 10 µg/dL, and the Geometric Mean and Geometric Standard Deviation of Blood-Lead Concentration, Based on NHANES III (Phase 2) Data	3-66
Table 3-39.	Estimated Geometric Mean Blood-Lead Concentration and Probabilities of Elevated Blood-Lead Concentration in Children Aged 1-2 Years, 3-5 Years, and 1-5 Years, by Age of Housing Unit, Based on NHANES III (Phase 2) Data	3-67

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Table 3-40.	Estimated Percentage of Children With Blood-Lead Concentrations Exceeding 10 µg/dL, and the Geometric Mean and Geometric Standard Deviation of Blood-Lead Concentration, for Children Aged 1-2 Years According to Age of Child's Residence and Either Family Income Level or Urban Status	3-68
Table 3-41.	Summary Statistics on Blood-Lead Concentration Measured in the Initial Round of Sampling in the Baltimore Repair and Maintenance Study	3-70
Table 3-42.	Summary Statistics on Blood-Lead Concentration Measured in the Rochester Lead-in-Dust Study	3-71
Table 3-43.	Summary of Children's Blood-Lead Concentration in the HUD Grantees Program, According to Blood Collection Method, Age of Child, and Grantee	3-72
Table 3-44.	Percentage of Children with Elevated Blood-Lead Concentration in the HUD Grantees Program, According to Blood Collection Method, Age of Child, and Grantee	3-73
Table 4-1.	Summary of Default Parameter Values Used in the IEUBK Model (Version 0.99D).	4-6
Table 4-2.	Parameter Estimates and Associated Standard Errors for the Rochester Multimedia Model	4-15
Table 4-3.	Parameter Estimates and Associated Standard Errors for the Empirical Model Used to Predict the National Distribution of Children's Blood-Lead Concentration Based on Data from the HUD National Survey	4-17
Table 4-4.	Summary Information for Studies Included in the Schwartz (1994) Meta-Analysis.	4-23
Table 4-5.	Experts Who Participated in the Assessment of the Relationship Between IQ Scores and Blood-Lead Levels by Wallsten and Whitfield.	4-26
Table 4-6.	Piecewise Linear Function for Estimating the Judged Increased Percentage of Children Having IQ Scores Less Than 70 Due to Lead Exposure.	4-26
Table 5-1.	Estimated Baseline Number and Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having Specific Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints.	5-9
Table 5-2.	IEUBK and Empirical Model Predicted Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years.	5-13
Table 5-3.	IEUBK Model-Predicted Blood-Lead Concentrations for Children Aged 1-2 Years Under Different Soil-Lead Concentrations and at Dust-Lead Concentrations Equal to Either 0 µg/g or IEUBK Multiple Source Analysis Default Values.	5-17
Table 5-4.	Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having Specific Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints, Based on IEUBK-Predicted Blood-Lead Concentrations Under Background Soil- and Dust-Lead Concentrations, Compared with Estimates from the Baseline Risk Characterization.	5-18
Table 5-5.	Soil-Lead Concentrations at Which the Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having a Blood-Lead Concentration Above or Equal to 10 µg/dL is Estimated by the IEUBK Model at 1, 5, or 10%, Under Three Assumed Dust-Lead Concentrations.	5-20
Table 5-6.	Floor Dust-Lead Loadings at Which the Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having a Blood-Lead Concentration Above or Equal to 10 µg/dL is Estimated by the Rochester Multimedia Model at 1, 5, or 10% Under Two Assumed Soil-Lead Concentrations and Two Assumed Window Sill Dust-Lead Loadings.	5-21

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Table 5-7.	Window Sill Dust-Lead Loadings at Which the Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having a Blood-Lead Concentration Above or Equal to 10 µg/dL is Estimated by the Rochester Multimedia Model at 1, 5, or 10% Under Two Assumed Soil-Lead Concentrations and Two Assumed Floor Dust-Lead Loadings.	5-23
Table 5-8.	Components of the Risk Characterization Addressed By the Sensitivity Analysis.	5-24
Table 5-9a.	Sensitivity Analysis for Estimated Baseline Number and Percentage of Children Having Specific Health Effects and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Two Age Groups of Children and Under Three Assumptions on Average Decline in IQ Score per Unit Increase in Blood-Lead Concentration.	5-26
Table 5-9b.	Sensitivity Analysis for Estimated Baseline Average IQ Decrement for Two Age Groups of Children and Under Three Assumptions on Average Decline in IQ Score per Unit Increase in Blood-Lead Concentration.	5-26
Table 5-10.	Soil-Lead Concentration at Which the Percentage of Children Having a Blood-Lead Concentration Above or Equal to 10 µg/dL is Estimated by the IEUBK Model at 1, 5, or 10% Under Three Assumed Dust-Lead Concentrations and Two Age Groups of Children.	5-28
Table 5-11.	Sensitivity Analysis for the Estimated Baseline Number and Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having Specific Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints, Assuming Various Percentage Declines in Blood- Lead Concentration Since NHANES III Phase 2.	5-30
Table 5-12.	Sensitivity Analysis for Estimated Baseline Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints, for Children Aged 1-2 Years, as Calculated Under Two Approaches to Calculating the Baseline Distribution of Blood-Lead Concentration Using NHANES III Data.	5-32
Table 5-13.	Sensitivity Analysis for Estimated Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, as Calculated Using the IEUBK Model and Under Four Approaches to Adjusting Dust-Lead Concentrations for Low Tap Weight.	5-34
Table 5-14.	Sensitivity Analysis on the Model-Predicted, Pre-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Three Alternative Values (1.4, 1.9, 2.1) for the Geometric Standard Deviation (GSD) of the Blood-Lead Concentration Distribution and Under the Value Used in the Risk Analysis (1.6).	5-36
Table 5-15.	Sensitivity Analysis on IEUBK Model-Predicted Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Three Alternative Values (1.4, 1.9, 2.1) for the Geometric Standard Deviation (GSD), Assuming a Background Soil-Lead Concentration of 20 µg/g and One of Two Estimates of Background Dust-Lead Concentration.	5-36
Table 5-16.	Sensitivity Analysis on the Soil-Lead Concentrations at Which the Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having Blood-Lead Concentration at Least 10 µg/dL is Estimated by the IEUBK Model at 1, 5, or 10%, Under Three Assumed Dust-Lead Concentrations and for Alternative Assumptions on the Geometric Standard Deviation (GSD) for the Blood-Lead Distribution.	5-37
Table 5-17.	Sensitivity Analysis on the Floor Dust-Lead Loadings at Which the Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having Blood-Lead Concentration at Least 10 µg/dL is Estimated by the Rochester Multimedia Model at 1, 5,	

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

Page

	or 10%, for Two Assumed Soil-Lead Concentrations and Two assumed Window Sill Dust-Lead Loadings, and for Alternative Assumptions on the Geometric Standard Deviation (GSD) for the Blood-Lead Distribution.	5-37
Table 5-18.	Sensitivity Analysis on the Window Sill Dust-Lead Loadings at Which the Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having Blood-Lead Concentration at Least 10 µg/dL is Estimated by the Rochester Multimedia Model at 1, 5, or 10%, for Two Assumed Soil-Lead Concentrations and Two Assumed Floor Dust-Lead Loadings, and for Alternative Assumptions on the Geometric Standard Deviation (GSD) for the Blood-Lead Distribution.	5-38
Table 5-19.	Sensitivity Analysis on the IEUBK Model-Predicted, Pre-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Two Alternative Values (1.29 µg, 3.53 µg) for the Daily Lead Dietary Intake Parameter and Under the Value Used in the Risk Analysis (5.78 µg).	5-39

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
	Table 5-20. Sensitivity Analysis on the Soil-Lead Concentrations at Which the Percentage of Children Aged 1-2 Years Having Blood-Lead Concentration at Least 10 µg/dL is Estimated by the IEUBK Model at 1, 5, or 10%, for Three Assumed Dust-Lead Concentrations and for Alternative Assumptions on Daily Dietary Lead Intake.	5-40
Table 5-21.	Sensitivity Analysis on the Empirical Model-Predicted, Pre-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Three Alternative Values (0%, 6%, 14%) for the Percentage of Children with Paint Pica Tendencies, and Under the Value Used in the Risk Analysis (9%).	5-41
Table 5-22.	Sensitivity Analysis on the IEUBK Model-Predicted, Pre-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Three Alternative Sets of Assumptions on Paint Pica Effects, and Under the Set of Assumptions Used in the Risk Analysis.	5-43
Table 6-1.	Interventions Defined for the §403 Risk Analysis Effort.	6-6
Table 6-2.	Expected Post-Intervention Lead Levels Associated With Performing §403 Interventions.	6-8
Table 6-3.	Intervention Triggers Defined for the §403 Risk Management Analyses.	6-11
Table 6-4.	Characterization of Impact of Various Example Options for Dust Standards: Soil and Paint Standards Fixed (3,000 µg/g for Soil Removal, 5 ft ² Damaged LBP for Paint Maintenance, 20 ft ² Damaged LBP for Paint Abatement).	6-18
Table 6-	Characterization of Impact of Various Example Options for the Soil Standard: Dust and Paint Standards fixed (100 µg/ft ² for Floor Dust-Lead Loading, 500 µg/ft ² for Window Sill Dust-Lead Loading, 5 ft ² Damaged LBP for Paint Maintenance, 20 ft ² Damaged LBP for Paint Abatement).	6-25
Table 6-6.	Characterization of Impact of Various Options for Paint Intervention Triggers: Example Dust and Soil Standards Fixed (100 µg/ft ² for Dust-Lead Loading, 500 µg/ft ² for Window Sill Dust-Lead Loading, 3,000 µg/g for Soil Removal).	6-30
Table 6-7.	Characterization of Impact of Various Sets of Candidate Example Dust and Soil, and Paint Intervention Triggers.	6-36
Table 6-8.	Estimated Distribution of Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints Prior to and After the Proposed §403 Rule for an Illustrative Set of Standards.	6-42
Table 6-9.	Procedures for Which Alternative Assumptions Were Considered in the Sensitivity Analysis Addressing Risk Management.	6-44
Table 6-10.	Number (and Percentage) of Units in the 1997 National Housing Stock Projected to Exceed Various Combinations of Example Standards, As Determined from Three Different Sets of Converted Dust-Lead Loadings.	6-46
Table 6-11.	Empirical Model-Predicted Post-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children 1-2 Years of Age, As Calculated Under Three Assumptions on Post-Intervention Blue Nozzle Vacuum Dust-Lead Loading	6-48
Table 6-12.	Empirical Model-Predicted Post-§403 Percentages of Children Aged 1-2 Years Experiencing Specific Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints, Under Various Assumptions on Post-Intervention Dust-Lead Loading.	6-50

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

		<u>Page</u>
Table 6-13.	Estimated Post-§403 Health and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints Based on the Risk Assessment Approach and the Adjusted Blood-Lead Effects Approach.	6-54
Table 6-14.	Geometric Mean Post-Intervention Floor Dust-Lead Concentration (µg/g), and Percent Difference from Pre-Intervention Levels, for the Baltimore R&M Study.	6-56
Table 6-15.	Geometric Mean Post-Intervention Floor Dust-Lead Concentration (µg/g), and Percent Difference from Pre-Intervention Levels, for the Boston USLADP.	6-56
Table 6-16.	Sensitivity Analysis on the Estimated Post-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Three Alternative Values (1.4, 1.9, 2.1) for the Geometric Standard Deviation (GSD) of the Blood-Lead Concentration Distribution and Under the Value Used in the Risk Analysis (1.6)	6-59
Table 6-17.	Sensitivity Analysis on the IEUBK Model-Predicted Post-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Two Alternative Values (1.29 µg, 3.53 µg) for the Daily Lead Dietary Intake Parameter and Under the Value Used in the Risk Analysis (5.78 µg).	6-60
Table 6-18.	Sensitivity Analysis on the Empirical Model-Predicted Post-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Three Alternative Values (0%, 6%, 14%) for the Percentage of Children with Paint Pica Tendencies, and Under the Value Used in the Risk Analysis (9%).	6-62
Table 6-19.	Sensitivity Analysis on the IEUBK Model-Predicted Post-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints for Children Aged 1-2 Years, Under Three Alternative Sets of Assumptions on Paint Pica Effects, and Under the Set of Assumptions Used in the Risk Analysis	6-65
Table 6-20.	Estimates of Standard Errors Associated with Estimated Post-§403 Health Effect and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints and with Number of Homes Exceeding Standards, for Three Sets of Example Options for the §403 Standards.	6-66

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ES-1.	Overview of the Risk Analysis Approach	ES-3
Figure 1-1.	Overview of the Risk Assessment and Risk Management Approach.	1-11
Figure 2-1.	Detailed Flowchart of the Approach to Hazard Identification	2-2
Figure 3-1.	Detailed Flowchart of the Approach to Exposure Assessment	3-2
Figure 3-2.	Pathways of Lead from the Environment to Humans, Main Organs of Absorption and Retention, and Main Routes of Excretion	3-5
Figure 3-3.	Set of Environmental Pathways Reported by Bornschein et al., 1986, Upon Analysis of Data from the Cincinnati Longitudinal Study (Children Aged 18 Months)	3-6
Figure 3-4.	Blood-Lead Concentration Versus Area-Weighted Arithmetic Average Floor Dust-Lead Loading (Wipe Collection Method), for HUD Grantee and Rochester Lead-in Dust Study Data	3-74
Figure 3-5.	Blood-Lead Concentration Versus Area-Weighted Arithmetic Average Window Sill Dust-Lead Loading, for the HUD Grantee, Rochester Lead-in-Dust, and Baltimore R&M Studies	3-75

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Figure 4-1.	Detailed Flowchart of the Approach to Dose-Response Assessment.	4-2
Figure 4-2.	IEUBK Model Predicted Geometric Mean Blood-Lead Concentration for Children Aged 24 Months Plotted Separately Against Soil-Lead Concentration and Dust-Lead Concentration for Fixed Default Values of the Remaining Model Parameters	4-8
Figure 4-3.	Estimated IQ Point Loss Due to Lead Exposure Plotted Against Concentration of Lead in Soil and Dust, Utilizing IEUBK Model Predictions to Relate Environmental Lead to Blood Lead	4-24
Figure 4-4.	Judged Increase in Percentage of Children with IQ Below 70 Due to Lead Exposure, Plotted Against Blood-Lead Concentration	4-27
Figure 4-5.	Increase in Percentage of Children with IQ Below 70 Due to Lead Exposure Plotted Against Concentration of Lead in Soil and Dust, Utilizing IEUBK Model Predictions to Relate Environmental Lead to Blood Lead.	4-28
Figure 4-6.	Percentage of Children with Blood-Lead Concentration ≥ 10 and $20 \mu\text{g/dL}$ Due to Lead Exposure Plotted Against Geometric Mean Blood-Lead Concentration, Assuming a GSD of 1.6.	4-28
Figure 4-7.	Percentage of Children with Blood-Lead Concentration ≥ 10 and $20 \mu\text{g/dL}$ Due to Lead Exposure Plotted Against Concentration of Lead in Soil and Dust Utilizing IEUBK Model Predictions to Relate Environmental Lead to Blood Lead.	4-29
Figure 5-1.	Risk Characterization Overview.	5-2
Figure 5-2.	Summary of Risk Characterization Process.	5-6
Figure 5-3.	Baseline Distribution of Blood-Lead Concentrations Based on NHANES III, Phase 2 (0.07 Percent of Children Had Blood-Lead Concentration Greater than $32 \mu\text{g/dL}$).	5-8
Figure 5-4.	Baseline Distribution of IQ Decrements Due to Elevated Blood-Lead Concentration Based on NHANES III, Phase 2 (0.07 Percent of Children Had in Excess of 8 IQ Points Lost).	5-10
Figure 5-5.	Distribution of Blood-Lead Concentrations ($\mu\text{g/dL}$) for Children Aged 1-2 Years Based on NHANES III, and IEUBK and Empirical Model Predictions.	5-12
Figure 5-6.	Overview of Process for Estimating Risks Due to Background Lead Exposure.	5-16
Figure 5-7.	Percentage of Children's Blood-Lead Concentrations, as Predicted by the IEUBK Model, That Will Exceed or Equal $10 \mu\text{g/dL}$ as a Function of Soil-Lead Concentration for Three Dust-Lead Concentrations.	5-20
Figure 5-8.	Percentage of Children's Blood-Lead Concentrations, As Predicted By the Rochester Multimedia Model, That Will Exceed or Equal $10 \mu\text{g/dL}$ as a Function of Floor Dust-Lead Loading for Two Soil-Lead Concentrations and Two Window Sill Dust-Lead Loadings.	5-22
Figure 6-1.	Detailed Flowchart of the Approach to Risk Management.	6-2
Figure 6-2.	Calculation of Post-Intervention Floor Dust-Lead Concentration.	6-10
Figure 6-3.	Post-§403 Risk Management Process.	6-13
Figure 6-4.	Percentage of Homes Exceeding Example Candidate Dust Standards: Soil Standard and Paint Intervention Trigger Held Fixed at Levels Given in Table 6-4.	6-21

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 6-5a. Projected Health Endpoints Based on Various Example Options for Dust Standards, Part 1; Soil Removal 3,000 µg/g, Paint Maintenance 5 ft ² , Paint Abatement 20 ft ² . (Dashed reference line represents baseline risk.)	6-22
Figure 6-5b. Projected Health Endpoints Based on Various Example Options for Dust Standards, Part 2; Soil Removal 3,000 µg/g, Paint Maintenance 5 ft ² , Paint Abatement 20 ft ² . (Dashed reference line represents baseline risk.)	6-23
Figure 6-6. Percentage of the Nation's Homes Expected to Exceed Various Example Candidate Soil Standards: Dust Standard and Paint Intervention Triggers Held Fixed at Levels Given in Table 6-5.	6-26
Figure 6-7a. Projected Health Endpoints Based on Various Example Options for the Soil Standard, Part 1; Floor Dust 100 µg/ft ² , Window Sill Dust 500 µg/ft ² , Paint Maintenance 5 ft ² , Paint Abatement 20 ft ² . (Dashed reference line represents baseline risk.)	6-27
Figure 6-7b. Projected Health Endpoints Based on Various Options for the Soil Standard, Part 2; Floor Dust 100 µg/ft ² , Window Sill Dust 500 µg/ft ² , Paint Maintenance 5 ft ² , Paint Abatement 20 ft ² . (Dashed reference line represents baseline risk.)	6-28
Figure 6-8. Percentage of Homes Exceeding Candidate Interior Paint Intervention Triggers: Dust and Soil Example Standards Held Fixed at Levels Given in Table 6-6.	6-31
Figure 6-9. Percentage of Homes Exceeding Candidate Exterior Paint Intervention Triggers: Dust and Soil Example Standards Held Fixed at Levels Given in Table 6-6.	6-31
Figure 6-10a. Projected Health Endpoints Based on Various Options for Paint Intervention Triggers Part 1; Floor Dust 100 µg/ft ² , Window Sill Dust 500 µg/ft ² , Soil Removal 3,000 µg/g. (Dashed reference line represents baseline risk.)	6-33
Figure 6-10b. Projected Health Endpoints Based on Various Options for Paint Intervention Triggers, Part 2; Floor Dust 100 µg/ft ² , Window Sill Dust 500 µg/ft ² , Soil Removal 3,000 µg/g. (Dashed reference line represents baseline risk.)	6-34
Figure 6-11a. Projected Health and Blood-Lead Concentration Endpoints Based on Various Example Sets of Options for Dust and Soil Standards, and Paint Intervention Triggers, Part 1. (Dashed reference line represents baseline risk.)	6-38
Figure 6-11b. Projected Health Endpoints Based on Various Example Sets of Options for Dust and Soil Standards, and Paint Intervention Triggers, Part 2. (Dashed reference line represents baseline risk.)	6-39
Figure 6-12. Projected Post-Intervention Blood-Lead Concentration Distributions Based on Empirical and IEUBK Models at Standards of Floor Dust-Lead – 100 µg/ft ² ; Window Sill Dust-Lead – 500 µg/ft ² ; Soil-Lead Concentration – 2,000 µg/g; Paint Maintenance – 5 ft ² Damaged LBP; and Paint Abatement – 20 ft ² Damaged LBP.	6-41
Figure 6-13. Predicted Versus Observed Average Post-Intervention Floor Dust-Lead Concentration (µg/g) (Boston USLADP Study Group Homes).	6-55
Figure 6-14. Predicted Versus Observed Average Post-Intervention Floor Dust-Lead Concentration (µg/g) (Baltimore R&M Level III Homes).	6-55
Figure 6-15. Average Floor Dust-Lead Concentration Versus Average Fine Soil-Lead Concentration (Baltimore USLADP Homes).	6-58
Figure 6-16. Predicted Versus Observed Average Post-Intervention Floor Dust-Lead Concentration (µg/g) (Baltimore USLADP Treatment Group Homes).	6-58