BERKELEY LAB
LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

Analysis of Feasibility of Extensive Fracture Development and Fault Activation Induced by Hydraulic Fracturing

Technical Workshop Series:
Well Construction/Operation and Subsurface Modeling



George Moridis, Jihoon Kim and Jonny Rutqvist, LBNL
Research Triangle Park • April 17, 2013

Hydraulic Fracturing



Sequential Implicit Algorithm



Flow: finite volume (e.g., TOUGH+RealGasH2O)

Geomechanics: finite element (e.g., ROCMECH)

Coupled flow & geomechanic simulator, shortly T+M.

T+M Code

T+M: TOUGH+RealGasH2O+ROCMECH:
Flow + thermal + geomechanical processes
Rigorously coupled Processes

- Thermo-poro-mechanics (two-way coupling)
- Dynamic multiple continuum approach
- Simultaneous tensile & shear failure
- Leak-off to the reservoir formation from full 3D flow simulation

BERKELEY LAB
LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

Code Verification (T+M)

2D Plane strain geomechanics









Numerical and analytical results are in good agreement.

Failure scenarios (?)



HF extending from shale to shallow aquifer through the overburden



HF extending from shale to shallow aquifer through weak cement (not discussed today)

3D Domain



$P_G = 17.10MPa$

$T = 58.75^oC$

$k_p^0 = 8.76 \times 10^{-7} D$

$E = 6.0GPa$

$\nu = 0.3$

$T_c = 4.0MPa$

Investigate fracture propagation in shale gas reservoirs - **properties of Marcellus shale**

Fracture Propagation (I)



Fractured areas



Fracture aperture

Example of fracture propagation

Larger fracture aperture near the fracture top

Fracture Propagation (II)

Fast pressure diffusion due to high permeability



Saw-tooth (oscillatory) pressure, fracture aperture, displacement

Can be considered as microearthquakes induced by tensile failure

Shear stress study: an example

High shear stress near the fracture tips

Investigating the probability of shear failure



Coexistence of water & gas

$$S_{w,i} = 0.6$$









Simple calculation by only the injection volume might significantly underestimate the fracture volume and propagation.

Complex multiphase flow with gravity segregation within the fracture

Water injection: fundamental issues

$S_{w,i} = 0.9$



Does not approach Sw = 1.0

Water & gas still coexist within the fracture.
Water saturation drops at the time when fracturing occurs.

Higher Injection Rate

$$Q_{inj} = 16 kg/s$$



Higher peak pressure



Higher injection rate = faster fracture propagation

Heterogeneity effects



A strong geological formation with τ_c = 10 MPa

A strong geological formation can block vertical fracture propagation



Conclusions

- Developed a hydraulic fracturing simulator
- Investigated fracture propagation scenarios in Marcellus shales
- Identifying the factors controlling fracture propagation
- Estimation based on the injection volume may significantly underestimate the fracture volume & its propagation

Rigorous modeling of fracture propagation & accurate geophysical monitoring are strongly recommended

Failure scenarios (?)

Fault activation during the hydraulic fracturing process

Code:
TOUGH+
RealGasH2O+
FLAC3d



Constant rate of P-increase







Constant rate of injection



Acknowledgements

- The research described in this presentation has been funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through Interagency Agreement (DW-89-922359-01-0, DW-89-922359-01-C) to the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and by the Research Partnership to Secure Energy for America (RPSEA - Contract No. 08122-45) through the Ultra-Deepwater and Unconventional Natural Gas and Other Petroleum Resources Research and Development Program as authorized by the US Energy Policy Act (EPAct) of 2005. Information presented is part of the EPA's ongoing study (www.epa.gov/hfstudy). EPA intends to use this, combined with other information, to inform its assessment of the potential impacts to drinking water resources from hydraulic fracturing. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Thank you