# **Technical Workshop on Analytical Chemical Methods February 25, 2013**

US EPA Research Triangle Park Campus "C" Building Auditorium Research Triangle Park, NC

### Workshop Agenda

	worksnop Agenaa
8:00 am	Registration/Check-in
8:30 am	Welcome
8:35 am	Purpose of Workshop and Introductions
	Session 1: Analytical Methods for Chemical Analytes
8:45 am	Panel: ■ EPA Analytes and Current Analytical Methods
	<ul> <li>Analytical Considerations During Natural Gas Fracturing Activities Rock Vitale, Ruth Forman and David Thal Environmental Standards, Inc.</li> </ul>
	Considerations for Determining the Source of Groundwater Contamination Associated with Hydraulic Fracturing Glenn Miller, University of Nevada-Reno, and Ann Maest, Stratus Consulting, Inc.
	■ Indicator Parameters
	Alternative Methods to RSK 175 Using Purge and Trap Concentration and Automated Headspace for the Analysis of Dissolved Gases in Drinking Water
	■ Important Considerations in the Use of Carbon and Hydrogen Stable Isotopes to Determine the Origin of Hydrocarbons in Groundwater – A Case Study from Pre-Shale Gas Tioga County Kinga Revesz
	Questions of Clarification  U.S. Geological Survey
	Break (10 minutes)
	Facilitated discussion among workshop participants focusing on key questions:
	<ul> <li>What other/different/new methods should EPA consider for their analytes, and why (i.e., what limitations do these other methods overcome)?</li> </ul>
	– What other analytes should EPA be testing for, and why? What methods would we use for other analytes?
	<ul> <li>What considerations arise relative to the differences between various matrices (injection fluids, groundwater, surface water, produced and flowback water) and the effects of high TDS, radionuclides, interference?</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>What levels of sensitivity are needed for analytical methods to detect effects, serve as indicators of connection to hydraulic fracturing?</li> </ul>
	Moderator: Jennifer Orme-Zavaleta, US EPA

12:00 pm Lunch and Poster Session

11:45 am

**Summary of Session 1** 

## Session 2: Future Trends in Hydraulic Fracturing Chemical Usage and Implications for Analytical Methods

#### 1:30 pm *Panel:*

- Beneficial Reuse of Produced and Flowback Water ...... David Stewart, Energy Water Solutions, LLC
- Monitoring Subsurface Fluid Flow Using Perfluorocarbon Tracers: Another Tool Potentially
   Available for Subsurface Fluid Flow Assessments .......................... Tommy Phelps, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
- New Isotopic Tracers for Shale Gas and Hydraulic Fracturing Fluids ....... Avner Vengosh, Duke University

#### **Questions of Clarification**

#### Facilitated discussion among workshop participants focusing on key questions:

- What is changing in the chemical makeup of hydraulic fracturing injection fluids, and what are the implications for chemical selection or field sample analysis?
- What has been your experience with artificial tracers for tracking hydraulic fracturing fluids? What analytical methods are suitable?

Moderator: Wilma Subra, Subra Company

4:00 pm Adjourn

#### **Poster Session**

Hydraulic Fracturing Fluid Analysis for Regulatory Parameters – A Progress Report Kesavalu Bagawandoss, Accutest Laboratories, Inc.

Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS) for Rapid Monitoring of Metals in Produced Water and Its Precipitates Helen Boylan, Westminster College

Using Integrated Noble Gas and Hydrocarbon Geochemistry to Constrain the Source of Hydrocarbon Gases in Shallow Aquifers in the Northern Appalachian Basin

Thomas Darrah, Duke University

Potential Use of Passive Sampling for Environmental Monitoring of Petroleum E&P Operations Paul Edmiston, College of Wooster/ABS Materials, Inc.

Hierarchical Analytical Approaches for Unraveling the Composition of Proprietary Mixtures Jennifer Field, Oregon State University

Analysis and Treatment of Waters from Hydraulically Fractured Oil and Gas Wells Lawrence Wackett, University of Minnesota