



Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery
Washington, DC 20460
September 2016

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples
Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Shortcuts to Items in Appendix

- [California Health and Safety Code on E-Reporting](#)
- [Colorado SQG self-certification regulations \(2014\)](#)
- [Connecticut Solid Waste Management Regulations & RCRA Permit Template](#)
- [EPA Final Rule: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities \(2015\)](#)
- [EPA Final Rule for the Modification of the Hazardous Waste Manifest System; Electronic Manifests \(2014\)](#)
- [EPA Proposed Rule: Hazardous Waste Export-Import Revisions \(2015\)](#)
- [EPA Proposed Rule: Hazardous Waste Generator Improvements \(2015\)](#)
- [New Hampshire Hazardous Waste Coordinator certification regulations \(2007\)](#)
- [In the matter of A.T. Still University of Health Sciences, d/b/a A.T. Still University \(2016\)](#)
- [In the matter of Nassau County \(2012\)](#)
- [In the matter of the United States Department of the Navy and Defense Logistics Agency \(Red Hill AOC\) \(2015\)](#)
- [In the matter of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. \(2013\)](#)
- [U.S. and Florida Department of Environmental Protection v. CF. Industries, Inc. \(2010\)](#)

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
<p>California Health and Safety Code</p> <p>return to top</p>	CA	<i>E-reporting</i>	<p>“(4) No later than three years after the statewide information management system is established, each CUPA, PA, and regulated business shall report program data electronically. The secretary shall work with the CUPAs to develop a phased in schedule for the electronic collection and submittal of information to be included in the statewide information management system, giving first priority to information relating to those chemicals determined by the secretary to be of greatest concern. The secretary, in making this determination shall consult with the CUPAs, the California Emergency Management Agency, the State Fire Marshal, and the boards, departments, and offices within the California Environmental Protection Agency.</p> <p>(5) The secretary, in collaboration with the CUPAs, shall provide technical assistance to regulated businesses to comply with the electronic reporting requirements and may expend funds identified in clause (i) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (3) for that purpose.”</p> <p>See Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25404(e).</p>
<p>Colorado SQG self-certification regulations</p> <p>return to top</p>	CO DPHE	<i>Effective Rules (self-certification requirements)</i>	<p>“(b)(1) Any generator of hazardous waste who receives a Self-Certification Checklist from the Department shall complete and return the checklist within the time specified in the instructions provided by the Department.</p> <p>(2) The Department shall provide generators a reasonable amount of time to complete and return a checklist. At a minimum, the generator shall have 14 days from the date of receipt to return the checklist. A checklist is deemed returned on the date it is received by the Department. The Department may provide an extension of time to complete and return a checklist upon request.</p> <p>(3) The self-certification checklist shall contain a certification in substantially the following form, which must be signed by an authorized representative of the generator: “I, the undersigned facility representative, certify that: i. I have personally examined and am familiar with the information contained in this submittal; ii. The information contained in this submittal is to the best of my knowledge, true, accurate, and complete in all respects; and iii. I am fully authorized to make this certification on behalf of this facility. I am aware that there are significant penalties including, but not limited to, possible fines and imprisonment for willfully submitting false, inaccurate, or incomplete information.”</p> <p>6 CCR 1007-3 Part 262.43 (6/30/14), available at https://www.colorado.gov/pacific/cdphe/hazardous-waste-regulations.</p>
<p>Connecticut Solid Waste Management</p>	CT DEEP	<i>Independent Third Party Verification</i>	<p>“The Permittee shall, no later than sixty (60) Days from the issuance date of this Permit perform [insert frequency e.g. quarterly] compliance audits for the life of this Permit.</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
<p>Regulations & RCRA Permit Template</p> <p>return to top</p>			<p>a. The compliance audits required by this condition shall consist of a thorough and complete assessment of the Permittee’s compliance with Sections 22a-209-1 through 22a-209-17 of the RCSA (Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies) and with the terms and conditions of this Permit.</p> <p>b. Compliance Auditor The compliance audits required by this condition shall be performed by an engineer licensed to practice in Connecticut ("P.E.") or consultant. Such P.E. or consultant shall be approved in writing by the Commissioner and will be required to prepare and submit to the Commissioner quarterly compliance audit reports.</p> <p>The Permittee shall, prior to the Commissioner’s approval of the P.E. or consultant: (a) submit for the Commissioner's evaluation a detailed description of the P.E. or consultant's credentials (education; experience; training) which are relevant to the work required under this condition; and (b) certify to the Commissioner that such P.E. or consultant:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Is not a subsidiary of or affiliated corporation to the Permittee or Permitted Facility; ii. Does not own stock in the Permittee or any parent, subsidiary, or affiliated corporation; iii. Has no other direct financial stake in the outcome of the compliance audit(s) outlined in this Permit; iv. Has expertise and competence in environmental auditing and the regulatory programs being addressed through this Permit, including evaluation of compliance with requirements specified in Sections 22a-209-1 through 22a-209-17 of the RCSA and with the terms and conditions of this Permit; and v. Within ten (10) Days after retaining any P.E. or consultant other than the one originally identified pursuant to this condition, notify the Commissioner in writing of the identity of such other P.E. or consultant by submitting the information and documentation specified in this condition. Nothing in this condition shall preclude the Commissioner from finding a previously acceptable P.E. or consultant unacceptable. <p>c. Scope of Compliance Audits Compliance audits shall:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Detail the Permittee’s compliance with the requirements of this Permit and all applicable provisions of Sections 22a-209-1 through 22a-209-17 of the RCSA. ii. [Remove if Facility does not manage MSW]Describe any outreach efforts conducted by the Permittee to initiate pay-as-you-throw ("PAYT") programs also known as unit based pricing or variable-rate pricing and shall include

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples
Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
			<p>names of waste haulers and municipalities that are participating in such programs.</p> <p>iii. Describe the Compliance Auditor’s participation in and the results of compliance audits conducted at the Facility on the loads of solid waste received at the Facility during the compliance audit. The purpose of such inspections is to determine whether such loads are being received that contain greater than ten percent (10%) by volume Designated Recyclable Items; whether loads of source separated Recyclable Items contain greater than two percent (2%) by volume of non-recyclable wastes; and to detect patterns associated with such loads. Unless otherwise approved by the Commissioner, the compliance auditor shall inspect solid wastes unloaded from a minimum of ten (10) trucks received during the day of the compliance audit. The Compliance Auditor shall document the actual number of truck loads inspected and the findings of such inspections.</p> <p>d. Compliance Audit Report The results of each compliance audit shall be summarized in a Compliance Audit report. At a minimum such report shall include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The names of those individuals who conducted the compliance audit; ii. The areas of the Facility inspected; iii. The records reviewed to determine compliance; iv. A detailed description of the Permittee’s compliance with this Permit and applicable regulations; v. The identification of all violations of this Permit and applicable regulations; vi. A description of the actions taken by the Permittee to correct patterns of loads received that exceed the threshold contaminant percentages specified in Condition No. 7.j. for loads that are representative of the waste types authorized for receipt at the Facility; vii. The findings regarding the inspections conducted in accordance with this condition during the day of the compliance audit. viii. A description of the actions taken by the Permittee to correct the violation(s) identified in each compliance audit; and ix. The Permittee’s certification of compliance with the regulations and documentation demonstrating such compliance pursuant to this Permit. In cases where multiple counts of the same violation are discovered, the report shall include a listing of each count. <p>e. Permittee’s Responses to Compliance Audit</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
			<p>The Permittee and P.E. or consultant shall comply with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The inspection frequency shall be [quarterly or after discussion with Supervisor frequency stated in C.17.] for the remaining life of the Permit; ii. All violations shall immediately be brought to the attention of the Permittee by the P.E. or consultant. The P.E. or consultant shall also notify the Department within five (5) Days of the inspection of all violations noted during the inspection; iii. The Permittee shall correct all violations immediately. Should the Permittee be unable to immediately correct the violation, the Permittee within seven (7) Days of the notification date, shall submit for the review and written approval of the Commissioner, a detailed plan to correct all violations noted. Such plan shall also include a schedule for implementation of the corrective actions required or recommended; and iv. Within fifteen (15) Days from the inspection date the P.E. or consultant shall submit, to the Department and the Permittee, the compliance audit report. A copy of the compliance audit report, shall be maintained at the Facility for the life of the Permit or for such other timeframe specified by the Commissioner. <p>The Permittee shall cease accepting solid waste at the Facility in the event that the Permittee fails to submit in a timely manner the plan and schedule required by Condition No.17.e. of this Permit or fails to correct the violations noted by the inspection(s) in accordance with the approved plan and schedule.”</p> <p>Connecticut DEEP template permit language.</p>
		<p><i>Transparency</i></p>	<p>“Access. A sign shall be posted at the entrance to the facility which states, at a minimum, the name of the permittee and hours of use of the facility, the authorized users, and required safety precautions.”</p> <p>Connecticut Solid Waste Management Regulations, § 22a-209-7(d)(3) for solid waste disposal areas; § 22a-209-9(c)(1) for solid waste transfer stations; § 22a-209-10(3) for resource recovery facilities and other volume reduction plants, <i>available at</i> http://www.ct.gov/deep/lib/deep/regulations/22a/22a-209-1through16.pdf.</p> <p>“6. The Permittee shall prominently post and maintain a sign at the Facility entrance pursuant to Section 22a-209-9(c) [for transfer stations] 22a-209-10(3) [for VRPs and RRFs] of the RCSA that includes the Facility’s name and the Department Permit number</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
			<p>(Permit to Construct and Operate No. insert Permit No.) issuance date and expiration date. Such sign shall also include a phone number that provides the general public the ability to register questions or complaints twenty-four (24) hours per day. The Permittee shall maintain a log of all calls received and how such calls were addressed or resolved. [If the Facility is not authorized to receive CEDs, include the following:] The Permittee shall also post a sign in accordance with Section 22a-636 of the CGS.”</p> <p>Connecticut DEEP template permit language.</p>
<p>Final Rule: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities</p> <p>return to top</p>	U.S. EPA	<i>Transparency</i> (compliance information website)	<p>“Publicly accessible Internet site requirements.</p> <p>(a) Each owner or operator of a CCR unit subject to the requirements of this subpart must maintain a publicly accessible Internet site (CCR Web site) containing the information specified in this section. The owner or operator's Web site must be titled ‘CCR Rule Compliance Data and Information.’</p> <p>(b) An owner or operator of more than one CCR unit subject to the provisions of this subpart may comply with the requirements of this section by using the same Internet site for multiple CCR units provided the CCR Web site clearly delineates information by the name or identification number of each unit.</p> <p>(c) Unless otherwise required in this section, the information required to be posted to the CCR Web site must be made available to the public for at least five years following the date on which the information was first posted to the CCR Web site.</p> <p>(d) Unless otherwise required in this section, the information must be posted to the CCR Web site within 30 days of placing the pertinent information required by §257.105 in the operating record.</p> <p>(e) Location restrictions. The owner or operator of a CCR unit subject to this subpart must place each demonstration specified under §257.105(e) on the owner or operator's CCR Web site.</p> <p>.....”</p> <p>40 C.F.R. § 257.107 (2015), available at http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title40/40cfr257_main_02.tpl.</p>
<p>Final Rule for the Modification of the Hazardous Waste Manifest System; Electronic Manifests</p> <p>return to top</p>	U.S. EPA	<i>E-reporting</i>	<p>[The final rule authorizes the use of electronic hazardous waste manifests that will become available when EPA establishes a new electronic hazardous waste manifest system that will become available when EPA establishes a new electronic hazardous waste manifest system. This modification will provide waste handlers with the option to complete, sign, transmit, and store manifest information electronically in the future electronic system.]</p> <p>79 Fed. Reg. 7518 (Feb. 7, 2014), available at https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2014/02/07/2014-01352/hazardous-waste-management-system-modification-of-the-hazardous-waste-manifest-system-electronic.</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
<p>Proposed Rule: Hazardous Waste Export-Import Revisions</p> <p>return to top</p>	<p>U.S. EPA</p>	<p><i>E-reporting</i></p>	<p>“40 CFR 262.83(b) Notifications. (1) General Notifications. At least sixty (60) days before the first shipment of hazardous waste is expected to leave the United States, the exporter must provide notification in English to EPA of the proposed transboundary movement. Notifications must be submitted electronically using EPA’s hazardous waste import/export database. The notification may cover up to one year of shipments of one or more hazardous wastes being sent to the same recovery or disposal facility, and must include all of the following information: . . .”</p> <p>“40 CFR 262.84(b) Notifications. In cases where the competent authority of the country of export does not regulate the waste as hazardous waste and, thus, does not require the foreign exporter to submit to it a notification proposing export and obtain consent from EPA and the competent authorities for the countries of transit, but EPA does regulate the waste as hazardous waste: (1) The importer is required to provide notification in English to EPA of the proposed transboundary movement of hazardous waste at least sixty (60) days before the first shipment is expected to depart the country of export. Notifications submitted on or after [Effective date of final rule] must be submitted electronically using EPA’s hazardous waste import/export database. The notification may cover up to one year of shipments of one or more hazardous wastes being sent from the same foreign exporter, and must include all of the following information: . . .”</p> <p>Docket No. EPA-HQ-RCRA-2015-0147 (Oct. 19, 2015), <i>available at</i> https://www.epa.gov/hwgenerators/proposed-rule-hazardous-waste-export-import-revisions.</p>
<p>Proposed Rule: Hazardous Waste Generator Improvements</p> <p>return to top</p>	<p>U.S. EPA</p>	<p><i>E-reporting</i></p>	<p>“d. Request for comment on the usefulness of a potential electronic RCRA contingency planning application. The Agency requests comment on whether contingency plans should be submitted electronically to emergency responders to enhance their ability to respond safely and effectively to an emergency at an LQG and what EPA’s role should be in electronic submittals. Currently EPA makes numerous electronic databases and tools available for helping first responders with emergency management. These tools include CAMEO (Computer-Aided Management of Emergency Operations), which assists with data management requirements under EPCRA, such as the required annual submittal of an Emergency Hazardous Chemical Inventory Form to the LEPC. EPA is taking comment on whether an additional tool to manage contingency plans under RCRA would be a useful addition to this software suite and whether it would assist LEPCs by integrating the contingency plan with their</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
			<p>existing data on facilities, making the information available to the first responders in the most usable way. Specifically, we request comment on the feasibility and effectiveness of private sector parties or non-profit or governmental entities developing software that LQGs could use to provide important information to emergency responders in responding to an emergency. Building on the concept of a standard list of information to be included in a contingency plan executive summary that was discussed above, private sector or non-profit parties could design electronic software to identify the appropriate information emergency responders quickly need to assess an emergency. In turn, LQGs would then input that information into the application and provide that information to their local LEPC or emergency response organization for use should an emergency arise. The objective would be to allow emergency responders to more quickly and effectively analyze and respond to emergencies rather than having to review a lengthy document.”</p> <p>80 Fed. Reg. 57918, 57961 (Sept. 25, 2105), <i>available at</i> https://www.epa.gov/hwgenerators/proposed-rule-hazardous-waste-generator-improvements.</p>
<p>New Hampshire Hazardous Waste Coordinator certification regulations</p> <p>return to top</p>	<p>NH DES</p>	<p><i>Effective Rules</i> (personnel training requirements)</p>	<p>“III. (a) Each hazardous waste generator that generates more than 220 pounds of hazardous waste per month shall have on staff at the facility where the hazardous waste is generated a hazardous waste coordinator certified by the department. The certified hazardous waste coordinator shall be responsible for ensuring that the generator is aware of and in compliance with applicable requirements relating to hazardous waste management, including but not limited to storage, transportation, and disposal. Certification shall not be transferable. Initial certification shall be valid for one year and may be renewed for subsequent one-year terms. The department may charge a reasonable fee to cover expenses for education and training programs that fulfill the initial certification and continuing education requirements. The commissioner may authorize alternative certified hazardous waste coordinator programs provided the program demonstrates equivalent on-site staffing, training, continuing education, and management organization to meet the responsibilities of this paragraph.</p> <p>(b) Each application for initial or renewal of a hazardous waste coordinators certification shall be accompanied by a non-refundable fee of \$125 per year to cover department expenses for conducting the certification program.”</p> <p>N.H. REV. STAT. ANN. § X:147-A:5 (2007), <i>available at</i> http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rsa/html/X/147-A/147-A-5.htm.</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
		<i>Effective Rules</i> (self-certification requirements)	<p>“IV. (a) Each hazardous waste generator that generates less than 220 pounds (100 kilograms) of hazardous waste per month shall submit to the department, every 3 years, a self-certification declaration stating that the facility is in compliance with the small quantity hazardous waste generator rules. The declaration shall be on a form provided by the department.</p> <p>(b) Each small quantity hazardous waste generator shall pay non-refundable fees at a rate of \$60 per year for the period of January 1, 2004 to June 30, 2007, and at a rate of \$90 per year beginning July 1, 2007, to cover department expenses for conducting the self-certification program and hiring of program staff. Total fees due for each year shall be submitted with the self-certification declaration form required under subparagraph (a).</p> <p>(c) If the self-certification fee is not paid within 60 days of the due date, interest shall be assessed at a rate established by the commissioner, and shall be deposited into the cleanup fund. The commissioner may waive all or any portion of interest for good cause.</p> <p>(d) Political subdivisions of the state shall be exempt from the fee for submitting a self-certification declaration.</p> <p>(e) Small quantity hazardous waste generators that are participants in a department-developed multi-media compliance assurance program referred to as an environmental results program, or ERP, shall be exempt from this paragraph.”</p> <p>N.H. REV. STAT. ANN. § X:147-A:5 (2007), available at http://www.gencourt.state.nh.us/rsa/html/X/147-A/147-A-5.htm.</p>
<p>In the matter of A.T. Still University of Health Sciences, d/b/a A.T. Still University</p> <p>return to top</p>	R7	<i>Transparency</i> (web posting of information)	<p>“58. Respondent agrees to prominently post on its public web site (www.atsu.edu): 1) the Memorandum of Understanding for Hazardous Waste Exposure between A.T. Still University of Health Sciences and Northeast Regional Medical Center, and 2) the Memorandum of Understanding for Hazardous Waste Exposure between A.T. Still University of Health Sciences and the Kirksville Fire Department and Kirksville Police Department. Respondent agrees to post these documents within five (5) days of the Effective Date and may remove them one (1) year after the Effective Date. Any updates to the Memoranda of Understanding during this timeframe must also be updated in the on-line version.” (pg. 9)</p> <p>Docket No. RCRA-07-2016-0024 (May 3, 2016), available at https://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/rhc/epaadmin.nsf/Advanced%20Search/B9C047F1848DEACF85257FA9001BC0D8/\$File/RCRA-07-2016-0024.pdf.</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
		<p><i>Advanced Monitoring</i> (using photos to demonstrate compliance)</p>	<p>“Respondent shall take the following actions within the time periods specified, according to the terms and conditions specified below: a. Prepare a written narrative with supporting photographic documentation showing that Respondent is correctly managing all hazardous waste containers in the Coutts building. The first submission is due within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date of this Consent Agreement and Final Order. The subsequent three (3) submissions shall be provided ninety (90) days after the previous submission.” (pg. 11)</p> <p>Docket No. RCRA-07-2016-0024 (May 3, 2016), available at https://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/rhc/epaadmin.nsf/Advanced%20Search/B9C047F1848DEACF85257FA9001BC0D8/\$File/RCRA-07-2016-0024.pdf.</p>
<p>In the Matter of Nassau County return to top</p>	<p>R2</p>	<p><i>Advanced Monitoring</i> (automated release detection, remote monitoring, overfill alarms, automatic shutoff devices)</p>	<p>“Consistent with the Compliance Plan, the Respondent shall perform the following activities by no later than June 30, 2013 (provided, however, that i) the installation of release detection for USTs that are not regulated by EPA and ii) the work at the Rockville Center Bus Depot Facility and Norman Levy Bus Depot Facility, will be completed by December 31, 2013):</p> <p>a) install a fully automated Veeder-Root TLS-450 release detection system, or equivalent, which consists of a console, tank probes, sump probes, interstitial sensors, and an overfill alarm or switch. Automatic tank gauging will be used for single wall tanks, while interstitial monitoring will be used for double wall tanks. This fully automated Veeder-Root or equivalent release detection system will serve as Nassau County's method of release detection for federally-regulated and non-federally regulated USTs (i.e., USTs that store fuel for use by emergency power generators) at all Respondent owned and/or operated Facilities. In accordance with the Compliance Plan, line tightness testing will be performed on suction piping at least every three years, and every year for pressurized piping. Respondent shall operate the automated release detection system for tanks pursuant to a monitoring contract with Veeder-Root or a similar entity. Respondent's operation of the automated release detection system shall continue for a minimum of three years beginning as early as March 31, 2013 but no later than December 31, 2014.</p> <p>b) install overfill alarms with automatic shutoff devices at the facilities where overfill prevention violations were cited in counts 4, 7, 11, 14, 17, 27, 31, 34, 35, 38, 41, 43, 49, 52, 61, 63, 65, 67, and 70 of the Complaint issued in this case;</p> <p>c) conduct line tightness testing for piping at the facilities where release detection violations were cited in the Complaint issued in this case; and</p> <p>d) upgrade and/or replace an active steel UST (count 46) and /or provide for temporary closure, followed by permanent closure,</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples
Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
			<p>provide secondary containment of the tank for a Hazardous Substance UST (counts 72 and 74) and/or provide for temporary closure, followed by permanent closure, provide secondary containment of the tank for a Hazardous Substance UST (counts 72 and 74) and/or provide for temporary closure, followed by permanent closure, and provide temporary closure followed by permanent closure of an UST (count 73) at the facilities cited in the Complaint.”</p> <p>Docket No. RCRA-02-2011-7506, pp. 8-9 (Sept. 28, 2012), <i>available at</i> https://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/rhc/epaadmin.nsf/Advanced%20Search/F383966E956F9CCF85257A88001B84BB/\$File/Nassau127506.CAF O.pdf.</p>
<p>In the matter of the United States Department of the Navy and Defense Logistics Agency (Red Hill AOC)</p> <p>return to top</p>	<p>R9 and HI DOH</p>	<p><i>Transparency</i> (allowing direct EPA posting)</p>	<p>“1.2 Community Involvement The Parties shall update the public jointly based on public interest and at the request of one of the Parties. Navy and DLA shall submit a synopsis of each final report developed under the AOC, and this SOW, to the Regulatory Agencies who may make that synopsis available to the public. The Regulatory Agencies will make the final deliverables available to the public to the extent such documents are not protected from public disclosure. The Parties shall also host public meetings at least annually to allow for the public to be provided progress updates by the Navy, DLA, and the Regulatory Agencies, and to ask questions about the Red Hill facility.” (pg. 2)</p> <p>“(d) Document Availability: All data, information, and records created or maintained for purposes of implementation of this AOC, and all records relating to Facility operations and maintenance, or to site conditions, shall be made available to the Regulators upon request unless Navy or DLA assert a claim that such documents are legally privileged from disclosure and meets the burden of demonstrating to the Regulatory Agencies that such a privilege exists. Navy and DLA may assert a claim that certain documents or portions of documents are protected from public disclosure under federal or state law (e.g., documents exempt from disclosure under applicable laws such as FOIA, Procurement Integrity Act, Privacy Act, etc.). Navy and DLA shall clearly mark the material in which such a claim is asserted (e.g., documents shall be marked on each page and shall be reasonably segregated) and cite to the legal authority allowing withholding. If no such claim accompanies the information when it is submitted to the Regulatory Agencies, it may be made available to the public by EPA or DOH without further notice to Navy and DLA. Navy and DLA agree not to assert such claims with respect to any data related to Site conditions, including but not limited to, sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic,</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
			<p>scientific, chemical or engineering data or any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Site.” (pg. 17)</p> <p>EPA Docket No. RCRA 7003-R9-2015-01 (Sept. 28, 2015), available at https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-R09-UST-2015-0441-0569.</p>
<p>In the matter of Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.</p> <p>return to top</p>	<p>U.S. EPA</p>	<p><i>Innovative Enforcement</i> (handheld terminal)</p>	<p>“(3) Navy and DLA will, as an interim measure, double the frequency of their tank tightness testing from biennial to annual and continue to continuously monitor the inventory of fuel in the Tanks. Navy and DLA shall conduct the next round of tank tightness testing no later than one year from the effective date of the AOC. As set forth below, Navy and DLA will also conduct a study to evaluate improvements to the tank tightness and release detection technologies deployed at the Facility and, pending the outcome of the study and approval by the Regulatory Agencies, implement improvements.” (SOW attachment, pp. 1-2)</p> <p>EPA Docket No. RCRA 7003-R9-2015-01 (Sept. 28, 2015), available at https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-R09-UST-2015-0441-0569.</p> <p>“92. At each Retail Facility, Respondent shall maintain a current database of all Consumer Products that should be managed as hazardous waste pursuant to this agreement and shall make the information available to any EPA or State official conducting a RCRA compliance inspection at that Retail Facility. This database shall be the same or similar as the handheld terminal-type system described in Paragraph 93(a).</p> <p>93. As of the date of filing of this CAPO, Walmart has already taken steps to improve its environmental compliance program to address the allegations in this CAFO and to ensure compliance with all applicable environmental laws related to Walmart's Reverse Distribution Processes and hazardous waste management. Generally, these steps include an improved hazardous waste management system at Walmart Retail Facilities, development of enhanced hazardous waste training programs for Walmart Retail Facility Associates, and the development of various standardized operating procedures. Specifically, Walmart agrees to the following programs that have been implemented in response to the allegations of the United States:</p>

Appendix to RCRA Compendium of Next Generation Compliance Examples

Excerpted Language from Examples (Sept. 2016 Version)

Example from Compendium	EPA or State Implementer	Next Gen Principle Demonstrated	Relevant Language (with web links, where available)
			<p>a. Implementation of Improved Hazardous Waste Management System at Retail Facilities. Walmart has engaged a third-party consultant to review the Consumer Products to be sold at a Retail Facility and determine if those products, if discarded by Walmart, would be considered hazardous waste pursuant to RCRA ("RCRA Items"). Once the RCRA Items are identified, either through the third-party consultant or by the supplier(s) of the RCRA Item, that information is then loaded into the Retail Facilities' handheld terminal (or equivalent) and the RCRA Items are identified as being potentially flammable, toxic/corrosive, reactive, or listed pursuant to RCRA, in the Retail Facilities' handheld terminal and on the product's shelf label (each category designated by color). To the extent these RCRA Items become a waste (as the result of spills or damage at the Retail Facility), Walmart has implemented a system that conforms with the provisions of RCRA commonly and currently known as the "bucket system." The bucket system provides training and color-coded buckets for the management of items that become hazardous waste at the Retail Facility. At Retail Facilities, Walmart will continue operation of its "RCRA item file" and "bucket system" (or equivalent file or systems) to ensure proper management of RCRA Items once they become Solid Waste during the term of this CAFO, to the extent the law continues to identify these items as subject to the hazardous waste requirements of RCRA." (pp. 22-23)</p> <p>EPA Docket Nos. RCRA-HQ-2013-4001 and FIFRA-HQ-2013-5056 (May 28, 2013), <i>available at</i> https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2013-09/documents/walmart-cafo.pdf.</p>
<p>U.S. and Florida Department of Environmental Protection v. C.F. Industries, Inc.</p> <p>return to top</p>	<p>U.S. EPA and FL DEP</p>	<p><i>Innovative Enforcement</i> (safer technological alternatives)</p>	<p>"The X and Z granulation plants utilize a secondary scrubber within the dryer scrubber and fume scrubber. The X and Z granulation plants also utilize product cooler scrubbers. Each of the previously mentioned scrubbers uses process water as the scrubbing media. The DAP/MAP Scrubber System Modifications Project will install piping, seal tanks, valves, and instrumentation to convert from process water gas scrubbing to acid and fresh water gas scrubbing in X, Y, and Z granulation plants. CF may continue to discharge process water used in the aforementioned scrubbers within X and Z granulation plants to the Phosphogypsum Stack System until the DAP/MAP Scrubber System Modifications Project is completed as set forth in Section 12 herein." (pg. 21)</p> <p>Civil Action No. 8:10-cv-01756-SCB-EAJ (Aug. 6, 2010), <i>available at</i> https://www.epa.gov/enforcement/consent-decree-cf-industries-inc.</p>