

# The Water Connection / UWP June 7, 2016

**Meeting Notes** 

#### Welcome/Introduction

Devon Buckels welcomed the group and started off with introductions around the room

#### Presentation:

Metro Wastewater Reclamation District's Innovative Integrated Plan

- Lisa Hollander, Governmental Affairs Officer, Metro Wastewater Reclamation District
- Jim McQuarrie, Chief Innovation Officer, Metro Wastewater Reclamation District
  - Metro serves over 1.7 million people largest wastewater treatment provider in Colorado
    - Two facilities Robert W. Hite Facility (130 mgd capacity), Northern Treatment Plant (24 mgd capcity when started up later this year)
  - Integrated Plan = Flexibility in meeting regulatory requirements can identify highest priorities and use adaptive management approaches to sequence and optimize investments
  - Metro's Plan: Facility Focused, Watershed Approach
    - Nutrient Focused
    - o Implementing through permit, not because of an ordinance
  - Priority Issues: Phosphorous and Nitrogen
  - Approach and Structure
    - Environmentally Sustainable and Cost Effective
    - Adaptive Management
    - Synthesize the following activities
      - Infrastructure and Watershed Protection
      - Comprehensive Planning
      - Monitoring and Modeling
    - Consistent with EPA Watershed and Integrated Stormwater and Wastewater Planning Frameworks
  - Intention: flexibility of integrated plan will result in innovation
    - Innovation requires: 1) Economics 2) Policy 3) Technology
  - Over past 15 years, wastewater flows have stayed same, despite growing population
    - Positive: Increase in conservation practices
    - Negative: More concentrated flows
  - Nutrient Removal:
    - Current Phosphorous Initiative at the RWHTF Plant includes enhanced biological phosphorous removal, watershed studies, and simultaneous reduction of nitrogen
    - o 73% of Phosphorous in South Platte River is from urban sources
    - Nutrient regulations:
      - Barr Milton TMDL
      - Reg 85 (2017)



- Reg 31 (2025)
- Cost-benefit of phosphorous removal: initially high return on investment but diminishing returns when get to trying to remove final couple 100 lbs
- Phosphorous Removal: 2 Types
  - Chemical: expensive and not sustainable
  - Biological: algae has a lot of potential to be efficient and effective solution; also has potentially useful by product
    - Integrated Plan will provide space for innovative biological removal solutions
- Case for Phosphorous Recovery:
  - Reduce impact on systems
  - Provide economic opportunity
  - More sustainable
- Innovative examples:
  - National Western Center: "recycling plant": Use wastewater heat for heating facility (following Vancouver examples)
  - o Mango Industries in California: turn methane into bioplastics (similar plants in Sweden)

### Partner Updates

**Bill Battaglin** – The Water Quality Assessment Workgroup met to discuss the next group of contaminants to be evaluated through the Water Quality Assessment Tool in Phase 2.

**Stacey Eriksen:** EPA has agreed to provide \$30,000 toward Phase 2 of the Water Quality Assessment Tool.

**Keith Wood:** Keith announced the Natural Capital project which is being led by CSFS and distributed a survey to all meeting participants.

**Dana Coelho** – On behalf of the Advisory Committee and the UWP, Dana thanked Devon for her work over the last 3 years for the UWP. Dana presented Devon with a fabulous framed photo (taken by EPA staff Peter Ismert) of Maroon Bells.

## Conclusion

**Devon Buckels** provided closing remarks and opened the floor for post-meeting discussions.