

## PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT DRIVING BUILDING PERFORMANCE AND ECONOMIC VITALITY

PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT IAQ PILOT OVERVIEW FOR THE FEDERAL INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON INDOOR AIR QUALITY

JUNE 8, 2016







## THANK YOU.







ANNA J. SIEFKEN, LEED AP BD+C **VP OF STRATEGIC ENGAGEMENT**& **PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT DIRECTOR, GREEN BUILDING ALLIANCE (GBA) ANNAS@GBAPGH.ORG** 412.773.6013





## **MELISSA BILEC, PHD, LEED AP ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING DEPUTY DIRECTOR, MASCARO CENTER** FOR SUSTAINABLE INNOVATION, **UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH**

MBILEC@PITT.EDU, 412.648.8075



Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation



# Vision: The places where we live, work, learn, and play will be healthy and high performing.

lascaro Center

Sustainable Innovation



## **GREEN BUILDING ALLIANCE**

## **INSPIRE**

PROVE

## EQUIP

PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT DOWNTOWN - OAKLAND



Policy & Advocacy **Programs** Learning vents, tours, networking, community,

& resources

Technical Assistance



0 00





Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation



Net Operating Income & Regional Economic Competitiveness

Energy Consumption & Operating Expenses



Copyright © 2015, Green Building Alliance





# PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT DOWNTOWN - OAKLAND





ascaro Cente

A COLLABORATIVE, NATIONALLY-RECOGNIZED, LOCALLY-DRIVEN VOLUNTARY COMMUNITY OF BUILDINGS IN DOWNTOWN PITTSBURGH AND OAKLAND THAT ARE WORKING TOWARDS HIGH PERFORMANCE.

HIGH PERFORMANCE BUILDINGS HAVE PROVEN TRACK RECORDS:

- BUSINESS AND PROPERTY PROFITABILITY
- ASSET VALUE INCREASES
- ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENTS
- OCCUPANT HEALTH

THE PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT GOALS ARE INSPIRED BY THOSE SET NATIONALLY BY ARCHITECTURE 2030 AND ITS 2030 CHALLENGE.





lascaro Cente

Sustainable Innovat

- ENERGY USE: A MINIMUM 10% REDUCTION BELOW THE NATIONAL AVERAGE BY 2015, WITH INCREMENTAL TARGETS REACHING A 50% REDUCTION BY 2030.
- WATER USE: A MINIMUM 10% REDUCTION BELOW THE DISTRICT AVERAGE BY 2015, WITH INCREMENTAL TARGETS REACHING A 50% REDUCTION BY 2030.
- TRANSPORTATION CO<sup>2</sup> EMISSIONS: A MINIMUM 10% REDUCTION BELOW THE DISTRICT AVERAGE BY 2015, WITH INCREMENTAL TARGETS REACHING A 50% REDUCTION BY 2030.
- **INDOOR AIR QUALITY:** TO BE DETERMINED LOCALLY.





arc

## EXISTING BI III DING TARGETS



#### 2000 Chanenge for Flamming. Existing Dunu

Source: @ 2011 2030, Inc. / Architecture 2030. All Rights Reserved.



Copyright @ 2015, Green Building Alliance



#### University of Pittsburgh PITISBURGH 2030 DISTRICT COMMITMENTIS



Mascaro Center



# 438 properties

# 68,210,816 ft<sup>2</sup>

# 69% of the



Copyright © 2016, Green

Duilding Allians

#### University of Pittsburgh 12 DISTRICTS INTERNATIONALLY

2030 R 0 SAN FRANCISCO ALBUQUERQUE **Established Districts** 203203

203 DISTRICT

GRAND RAPIDS 2030 DISTRICT<sup>®</sup>

CLEVELAND 2030 DISTRICT

DENVER 203 DISTRICT

DALLAS 2030 DISTRICT SEATTLE 2030

Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation

SAN ANTONIO 2030 DISTRICT

PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT<sup>®</sup> DOWNTOWN - OAKLAND

203 DISTRICT

TORONTO 2030 DISTRICT

I 087 Buildings

• 311 Property Partners

Copyright © 2016, Green

DISTRICT

DISTRICT"





Established 2030 District O Emerging 2030 District





Aascaro Center or Sustainable Innovatio

## Energy = **12.5%**

## Water = **10.3%**

## Transportation Emissions = **124.2%**

Indoor Air Quality



# WHAT IS HAPPENING WITH INDOOR AIR QUALITY?

#### Melissa Bilec, PhD, LEED AP

Associate Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Deputy Director, Mascaro Center for sustainable Innovation University of Pittsburgh

### Bill Collinge, PhD

Post-Doctoral Associate, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

#### Harold Rickenbacker

Graduate Student, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

#### Vaclav Hasik

Graduate Student, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering



## **Motivation**

#### **2030** District goals:

- Energy use 50% reduction
- Water use 50% reduction
- Transportation 50% reduction in CO2 • emissions
- Indoor air quality To be determined

#### **Our research goals:**

- Establish baseline IAQ in 2030 District buildings
- Evaluate direct & indirect impacts of energy reductions on IAO
  - Direct = changes to building characteristics (e.g. ventilation, materials) ٠
  - Indirect = life-cycle energy impacts on regional outdoor air quality
- Develop goals and strategies for improvements in IAQ metrics
- Utilize long-term LCA models to link energy, water, and traffic reductions to ambient air quality predictions and environmental impacts







PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT: OAKLANI

#### Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation

## Problem

- Allegheny county residents are at twice the cancer risk, as those living in surrounding counties (PRETA, 2013)
- Pittsburgh is ranked: 8<sup>th</sup> for annual particle pollution out of 171 metropolitan areas (American Lung Association, 2015)
- 13 to 14 percent of Pittsburghers suffer from asthma, compared with 8 to 10 percent of people nationwide. (Sostek, 2014)
- As many as half of all Pittsburgh students have asthma, far exceeding Pennsylvania's 11.3 percent child asthma rate. (Smeltz, 2014)







## **INDOOR AIR QUALITY & 2030 DISTRICTS**

The vision of this work is centered on answering the question: How can the 2030 district impact indoor

air quality?





## **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

- How does energy conservation impact indoor and ambient air quality?
- 2. What structural, non-structural, and mechanical systems in the built environment significantly influence pollutant concentrations?
- Is there strong temporal variability in indoor air quality parameter across seasons?
- 4. Will reductions in energy use, water consumption, and carbon emissions on a community scale have longitudinal impacts on climate change?
- 5. What impact does IEQ have on perceived worker productivity?





## WHY?



www.worldwatch-europe.or

## We spend 90% of our time inside buildings.





## Approach

- Building Assessment Survey and Evaluation (BASE)
- 100 randomly selected public and commercial office buildings
- Collected using a standardized protocol
- Provides normative IAQ data and symptom incidence in typical office buildings (EPA 2006c)
- Steps:
  - 1. Visit the building and site
  - 2. Select study areas and monitoring locations
  - 3. Building characterization and monitoring
  - 4. Data management and analysis
  - 5. Administer occupancy survey



Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation



Building Assessment Survey and Evaluation Study

http://www.epa.gov/iaq/base/



## **APPROACH**

IAQ Variables	Potential sources	Effects/Importance
Particulate Matter (PM)	Diesel engines, dust, soot, smoke, fireplaces, wood stoves, unpaved roads, agricultural practices	Aggravate asthma, allergies, coughing, shortness of breath, chronic bronchitis, decreased lung function
Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	Carbon combustion, biological respiration, overcrowding coupled with poor ventilation	Headache, hypercapnia, unconsciousness, asphyxiate, loss of mental acuity
Temperature (T)	HVAC (Climate control), Outdoor	occupant comfort, decreased productivity
Relative Humidity (RH)	plumbing, roof/window leaks, flooding, condensation, pipe sweating, drain pans, poorly-vented kitchenettes	Mold and fungi growth, occupant comfort
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	Leaking vented combustion appliances, car exhaust, parking garages, furnaces	Shortness of breath, mild nausea, mild headaches, reduce oxygen delivery to organs and tissue, death
Ozone (O <sub>3</sub> )	Smog, VOCs + NOx, cars burning gasoline, petroleum refinery, industrial facilities, chemical manufacturing	Chest pain, coughing, throat irritation, and congestion, worsened bronchitis, emphysema, and asthma, reduce lung function, scar lung tissue.
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC)	Paints, cleaning supplies, pesticides, building materials and finishes, copiers and printer, glues and adhesives, permanent markers, candles, cologne/perfume	Nose and throat discomfort, headache, allergic skin reaction, fatigue, dizziness, carcinogen, damage to liver and kidney
Formaldehyde	Insulation, Combustion devices, Wood products	Cancer and skin/eye/respiratory irritation









## Equipment



Micro Aethalometer
Black Carbon



#### Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation



- 6 DIVERSE BUILDINGS
  - HVAC vs. Window
     Units/Radiators
     (HEATING MONTHS)
    - Particulate Matter (PM 2.5 & PM 10)
- ~1900 Building
  - Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOC)
  - Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)
  - Carbon Monoxide (CO)
  - Temperature (T)
  - HEATING VS. NON-HEATING MONTHS
    - Particulate Matter (PM 2.5 & PM 10)
  - SPATIAL VARIABILITY ACROSS FLOORS
  - I/O RATIOS



0.325 0.65 1.3 Mile

## **1900s Building**

- Mixed HVAC type
- Interstate highways I-376, I-579, and I-279, surround Downtown, along with Amtrak and dense bus corridors
- Inversion events along the Monongahela and Allegheny River valleys also enable pollution to hover
- Tested during Heating & Non-Heating Months





Seasonally-averaged predicted PM2.5 exposure surface maps for inversion-focused 24-h integrated summer and winter (right) sampling – from Tunno et al. 2015

#### **Sampling Locations**

- 1<sup>st</sup> Floor
  - North wing: lunch room, file storage, small office, clerk area; mostly open and public spaces with hard floors; wall mounted radiators
- **1**<sup>st</sup> Floor Mezzanine
  - North wing: lunch room, file storage, small offices, computer area; mostly open and public spaces with hard floors; window AC units and wall mounted radiators
- 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor
  - North wing: large carpeted office spaces, public file storage area with hard floors; window AC units and wall mounted radiators

## Sampling locations – 1<sup>st</sup> Floor



Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation

## Sampling locations – Mezzanine







## Sampling locations – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor







### PM 2.5 – Continuous readings

- Guidelines:
  - 15 μg/m<sup>3</sup> (ASHRAE 62-2013) annual
  - 35 μg/m<sup>3</sup> (NAAQS/EPA 2012) 24-hr
- Older building, with no forced air supplied to work space
- No way of filtering airborne particles, resulting in constant re-suspension of particles
- Elevated PM 2.5 levels influenced by indoor activities and building envelope
- 1mez-4 has an HVAC system, but filters are past replacement date



#### PM 10 – Continuous readings

• Guidelines:

University of Pittsburgh

- 50 μg/m<sup>3</sup> (ASHRAE 62-2013) annual
- 150 μg/m<sup>3</sup> (NAAQS/EPA 2012) 24-hr
- Elevated indoor levels of PM 10 due to infiltration from outdoors, high activity levels, public access, and/or dust from deteriorating files and in old carpets.
- Complaints from employees related to frequency of cleaning schedule







• Guidelines:

M-1: Computer area

- 35 μg/m<sup>3</sup> (NAAQS/EPA 2012) – 24-hr
- Fuel combustion a dominant source of Particulate Matter

M-2 : Old server room

M-3: Open area





## To Universit Continuous mass readings

- Guidelines:
  - 150 μg/m<sup>3</sup> (NAAQS/EPA 2012) – 24-hr
- Elevated levels during heating season
- Fuel combustion a dominant source of Particulate Matter
- Locates M-2, M-4, 2-1, & 2-2







**Averages Across Seasons** 

#### **BUILDING-SPECIFIC IAQ (PM) RESULTS COMPARING BUILDINGS** THE CHALLENGERS Building 2 **Building3** THE INCUMBENT municipal 2-story nonprofit Living Building government Building 1 ~1930 3-story academic LEED Gold 2009 Building4 Building 5 office tower municipal ~1975 government

~1900















## **FUTURE WORK**

#### • IAQ

- Perform IAQ assessments in 20+ Buildings within the 2030 Districts
- Couple Occupancy Surveys and employee complaints with IAQ findings
- Mechanical System: Traditional HVAC, Window Units + Radiators
- Flooring Type: Carpet vs. Hard Surfaces





#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. ANTIKAINEN R, LAPPALAINEN S, LONNQVIST A, MAKSIMAINEN K, REIJULA K, UUSI-RAUVA E. 2008. EXPLORING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INDOOR AIR AND PRODUCTIVITY. SJWEH SUPPL.; (4):79–82.
- 2. ASHRAE, ANSI/ASHRAE STANDARD 62.1-2010. VENTILATION FOR ACCEPTABLE INDOOR AIR QUALITY. 2010, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF HEATING, REFRIGERATING, AND AIR-CONDITIONING ENGINEERS, INC.: ATLANTA, GA.
- 3. ASHRAE, ANSI/ASHRAE STANDARD 55.1-2010. VENTILATION FOR ACCEPTABLE INDOOR AIR QUALITY. 2010, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF HEATING, REFRIGERATING, AND AIR-CONDITIONING ENGINEERS, INC.: ATLANTA, GA.
- 4. FISK, WILLIAM J.. "ESTIMATES OF POTENTIAL NATIONWIDE PRODUCTIVITY AND HEALTH BENEFITS FROM BETTER INDOOR ENVIRONMENTS: AN UPDATE." IN CHAPTER 4 IN INDOOR AIR QUALITY HANDBOOK, EDITED BY JOHN D. SPENGLER, JONATHAN M. SAMET AND JOHN F. MCCARTHY. NEW YORK: MCGRAW HILL, NEW YORK, 2000.
- 5. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 2015. "INDOOR AIR | COMMUNITIES | NEW ENGLAND | US EPA." <u>http://www.epa.gov/region1/communities/indoorair.html</u>
- 6. Environmental Protection Agency, 2015. "Basic Information on IAQ: Carbon Monoxide (CO)." <u>http://www.epa.gov/ia/co.html</u>.
- 7. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006. "Building Assessment Survey and Evaluation Study." <u>http://www.epa.gov/lag/base/</u>
- 8. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 2015. "GROUND LEVEL OZONE." <u>HTTP://WWW.EPA.GOV/GROUNDLEVELOZONE/</u>.
- 9. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 2015. "HEALTH." <u>HTTP://WWW.EPA.GOV/AIROUALITY/PARTICLEPOLLUTION/HEALTH.HTML</u>
- 10. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, OFFICE OF AIR AND RADIATION, 1991. INDOOR AIR FACTS, NO. 4: SICK BUILDING SYNDROME. WASHINGTON, D.C.
- 11. NUNES F, MENZIES R, TAMBLYN RM, BOEHM E, LETZ R. 1993. THE EFFECT OF VARYING LEVELS OF OUTSIDE AIR SUPPLY ON NEUROBEHAVIORAL PERFORMANCE FUNCTION DURING A STUDY OF SICK BUILDING SYNDROME. PROC. INDOOR AIR '93, 6TH INT. CONF. INDOOR AIR CLIM., HELSINKI. 1:53–58
- 12. PREZIOSI P., S. CZERNIICHOW, P. GEHANNO, AND S. HERCBERG 2004. WORKPLACE AIR-CONDITIONING AND HEALTH SERVICES ATTENDANCE AMONG FRENCH MIDDLEAGED WOMEN: A PROSPECTIVE COHORT STUDY. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EPIDEMIOLOGY, 33(5), pp.1120-1123.
- 13. RAW GJ, ROYS MS, LEAMAN A. 1990. FURTHER FINDING FROM THE OFFICE ENVIRONMENT SURVEY: PRODUCTIVITY. PROCEEDINGS OF THE FIFTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND CLIMATE. 1:231-236, INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON IAQ AND CLIMATE, OTTAWA.
- 14. SEPPA "NEN, O. AND FISK, W.J. 2006. A PRO- CEDURE TO ESTIMATE THE COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF THE INDOOR ENVIRONMENT IMPROVEMENTS IN OFFICE WORK. IN: CLEMENTS-CROOME, D. (ED.) CREATING THE PRODUCTIVE WORKPLACE, 2ND EDN, LONDON, TAYLOR & FRANCIS, 407–433.
- 15. SHENDELL, D., R. PRILL, W. FISK, M. APTE, D. BLAKE, AND D. FAULKNER 2004. ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN CLASSROOM CO2 CONCENTRATIONS AND STUDENT ATTENDANCE IN WASHINGTON AND IDAHO. INDOOR AIR, v. 14, PP. 333-341.
- 16. TRAYNOR GW, TALBOTT JM, MOSES DO. 1993. THE ROLE OF THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY IN INDOOR AIR QUALITY AND BUILDING VENTILATION POLICY DEVELOPMENT. PROC. INDOOR AIR 308' 93, 6TH INT. CONF. INDOOR AIR QUAL. CLIM., HELSINKI. 3:595–600
- 17. VINCENT, D, I ANNESI, B. FESTY, J. LAMBROZO 1997. VENTILATION SYSTEM, INDOOR AIR QUALITY, AND HEALTH OUTCOMES IN PARISIAN MODERN OFFICE WORKERS. ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH, V. 75, PP. 100-112.
- 18. WARGOCKI, PAWEL 1998. HUMAN PERCEPTION, PRODUCTIVITY, AND SYMPTOMS RELATED TO INDOOR AIR QUALITY. DOCTORAL THESIS. CENTER FOR INDOOR ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF DENMARK.
- 19. WOLKOFF, P. AND KJÆRGAARD, S.K. 2007. THE DICHOTOMY OF RELATIVE HUMIDITY ON INDOOR AIR QUALITY, ENVIRON. INT., 33, 850–857.
- 20. WYON, D.P. 2004. THE EFFECTS OF INDOOR AIR QUALITY ON PERFORMANCE AND PRODUCTIVITY, INDOOR AIR, 14, 92-101.
- 21. WYON, D.P. AND WARGOCKI, P. 2006. ROOM TEMPERATURE ON EFFECTS ON OFFICE WORK. IN: CLEMENTS-CROOME, D. (Ed.) CREATING THE PRODUCTIVE WORKPLACE, 2ND EDN, LONDON, TAYLOR & FRANCIS, 181–192.
- 22. TUNNO, B. J., MICHANOWICZ, D. R., SHMOOL, J. L., KINNEE, E., CAMBAL, L., TRIPATHY, S., ... CLOUGHERTY, J. E. (2015). SPATIAL VARIATION IN INVERSION-FOCUSED VS 24-H INTEGRATED SAMPLES OF PM AND BLACK CARBON ACROSS PITTSBURGH, PA. J EXPO SCI ENVIRON EPIDEMIOL. DOI:10.1038/JES.2015.14





## **QUESTIONS?**

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF EFRI-SEED Grant #1038139 "BUILD – Barriers, Understanding, Integration – Life cycle Development" and supplement #1619996 and the Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation.

Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.



## ANNA J. SIEFKEN, LEED AP BD+C

VP OF STRATEGIC ENGAGEMENT& PITTSBURGH 2030 DISTRICT DIRECTOR, GREEN BUILDING ALLIANCE (GBA) <u>ANNAS@GBAPGH.ORG</u> 412.773.6013



#### **MELISSA BILEC, PHD, LEED AP**

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING DEPUTY DIRECTOR, MASCARO CENTER FOR SUSTAINABLE INNOVATION, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH MBILEC@PITT.EDU, 412.648.8075



Mascaro Center for Sustainable Innovation