

RED HILL BULK FUEL STORAGE FACILITY SITE INVESTIGATION & RISK ASSESSMENT

FATE AND TRANSPORT MODELING



Red Hill Storage Tank Facility



Contract No. N67242-02-D-1802

- First a disclaimer
 - This presentation summarizes the modeling done by TEC, Inc in support of an environmental investigation at the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
 - <u>It does not reflect</u> the views and opinions of the Hawaii Department of Health nor has it been vetted by HDOH
 - Partly taken from a presentation prepared for NAVFAC at the end of the project in 2007





- Presentation purpose
 - Brief USN and EPA on 2007 Fate and Transport Model
 - Methods used
 - Overview of results
 - Gaps and uncertainties





- Background
 - 2005 2007 TEC, Inc. performed an environmental site investigation at the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility
 - Installed 3 new wells and a monitoring point (increased network from 2 to 6)
 - Soil vapor monitoring pilot study
 - Eight rounds of groundwater sampling
 - <u>Hydrogeologic study</u>
 - Aquifer response test
 - Groundwater flow model
 - Contaminant fate and transport model









Contaminant Fate and Transport

U.S. Navy Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Hawaii

Fate and Transport Modeling

- Modeling purpose
 - Tier 3 risk assessment
 - $\cdot\,$ Establish site specific risk based limit for selected compounds of concern
 - Difficult to meet MCLs or EALs in the groundwater beneath the tanks
 - EALs for Species Evaluated
 - Benzene 0.005 mg/L
 - Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) EAL 0.100 mg/L
 - Must show compliance at drinking water source
 - Establish SSRBLs to ensure compliance at receptors of concern
- Modeling Question:
 - "How close can an LNAPL plume get to the Red Hill Shaft without exceeding MCL or EAL?"





- What this model **DOESN'T** do:
 - Simulate the LNAPL migration in the vadose zone
 - Simulate the LNAPL migration along the water table
- What the model **DOES** do:
 - Estimate the degradation rate of dissolved contamination
 - Provide the foundation for Site Specific Risk Based Remediation Action Level (SSRBL)





- Select modeling code
- Identify requisite parameters
- Acquire or estimate requisite parameters
- Build conceptual model
- Convert conceputual model to grid
- Run model
- Make need adjustments (calibration, sensitivity)
- Interpret results





Contaminant Fate and Transport

U.S. Navy Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Hawaii

Modeling Approach

- Select modeling code
 - Compatible with MODFLOW
 - MODPATH, MT3D, RT3D
 - MODPATH
 - Particle tracking, good for delineating zones of contribution and estimating groundwater velocity
 - No dispersion
 - MT3D
 - Simultaneously simulate transport of multiple species
 - Include dispersion, sorption, first order decay
 - Some challenges in acquiring needed parameters
 - RT3D
 - Similar to MT3D but can simulate the sequential biodegradation steps
 - Very challenging to get required parameters!



Modeling Approach - Selected modeling codes

- MODPATH
 - $\cdot\,$ Delineating well capture zones
 - Estimating groundwater velocity
 - Estimate hydrocarbon degradation rates
- RT3D
 - Able to simulate the sequential degradation of the selected compounds
 - Focus of this presentation







Modeling Approach

- Model source area as an immobile LNAPL Plume
- Simulate microbial mediated degradation in the dissolved plume
- Estimate distance dissolved plume travels prior to degrading to < MCL or EAL



Contaminant Fate and Transport

U.S. Navy Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Hawaii

Modeling Approach

- RT3D required parameters
 - Dispersivity
 - What is dispersivity?
 - Estimated from rock core logs (50 ft) and USGS reports (250 ft)
 - Geometric mean 112 ft;
 - Estimated Lahaina Tracer Test Value 82 ft (for comparison)
 - Sorption
 - Assumed to be zero
 - Conservative assumption
 - Likely not completely true
 - Natural Attenuation Parameters
 - Concentrations
 - Consumptive rate
 - Reaction rates and coefficients



Contaminant Fate and Transport

U.S. Navy Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Hawaii

Acquire or estimate required parameters

- Select contaminants
 - TPH high measured concentration
 - Benzene mobility and toxicity
- Initial contaminant concentration
- Natural Attenuation Parameters (NAP)
 - Background and initial concentrations
 - Reaction rate coefficients
 - Stoichiometry coefficients
 - Must be modified from BTEX package values
 - Simulating TPH and Benzene so values different







Boundaries

- Water table
- Kalihi Valley
- Marginal dike zone
- Waiawa Valley
- Shoreline
- Midpoint of the freshwater/saltwater transition zone

Model Boundary Conditions

- Specified source area · Immobile LNAPL plume
- Specified contaminant & NAP concentrations
 - At the lateral boundaries
 - In the recharge



Initial Contaminant Concentration

Contaminant concentration = solubility

- $C_e = MF_a * S$
- Where:
 - C_e = effective solubility concentration (mg/L)
 - MF_a = Mole fraction of compound "a" in the fuel (unitless)
 - S = the pure phase solubility of the compound (mg/L)
- JP-5
 - 41 identified compounds (from American Petroleum Institute [API])
 - Only accounted for 41% weight percent of fuel
 - See Appendix A of F&T model report
 - Benzene not listed
 - \cdot Could be as much as 0.02 weight percent



LNAPL Plume dissolution

- $MF_a = WF_a * \rho_{JP-5} / MW_a$
- Where:
 - MF_a = the mole fraction of compound a (unitless);
 - WF_a = the weight fraction of the compound (unitless)
 - Value given in API fuel compositions
 - $\rho_{\rm JP-5}$ = the density of JP-5 (g/L);
 - MW_a the molecular weight of compound a (g/mole).





Results of dissolve phase calculations

Compound	Molecular Weight (g/mole)	Weight Fraction (percent)	Density (Kg/L)	Mole Fraction (unitless)	Pure Phase Solubility (mg/L)	Effective Solubility (mg/L)
Benzene ¹	78.1	0.02	0.880	0.0004	1780	0.75
Ethylbenzene ¹	92.4	0.01	0.870	0.0002	152	0.035
Toluene ¹	106.2	0.05	0.870	0.001	515	0.50
Xylenes	106.2	0.2	0.880	0.003	198	0.59
-						
BTEX Total	NA	0.28		0.0046	NA	1.87
ТРН	NA	100	0.820	1.00	NA	4.5
			ATSD	R* estimated	JP-5 solubility	5.0
1 1 1 . 0		1				

1- weight fraction estimated



*Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry



Natural Attenuation Parameters (NAP) and the Reactions That Occur



FEC inc

NAP Parameters

- Background concentration of each natural attenuation species
- Stoichiometry of natural attenuation reaction
 - Must be modified from BTEX package since simulated Benzene and TPH
 - See Appendix A
- Rate constants for each natural attenuation species
 - O_2 , NO₃, Fe³⁺, SO₄, CO₂
 - Used rates Lu et al, (1999), Hill AFB study





Initial and background NAP Concentrations							
Parameter	RHMW04	RHMW01	RHMW02	RHMW03	RHS	Modeled Conc.	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	
Dissolved	8.0	1.9	1.2	1.8	8.3	8.0	
Oxygen							
Nitrate ¹	0.5	0.0	0.2	1.1	0.6	1.0	
Ferrous Iron ²	0.03	3.1	2.5	0.9	0.1	6	
Sulfate ¹	9.6	0.5	12.5	27.8	NT	25	
Methane ²	0.0	0.08	1.4	0.0	NT	3	

NT - Not taken

2

- RHS Red Hill Shaft
- 1 Regional value
 - Maximum value measured at Hickam POL and RHFSF sites



Calculated Stoichiometry Coefficients for TPH						
NAP Species	Stoichiometry Coefficient	Stoichiometry Coefficient				
	for BTEX	for TPH				
Oxygen	3.14	3.24				
Nitrate	4.9	5.02				
Ferrous Iron	21.8	22.7				
Sulfate	4.7	4.86				
Methane	0.78	0.81				

mg-NAP (used or produced)/mg-TPH consumed





- Model Simulations
 - Base estimate proximity of LNAPL to RHS and still be compliant at the Red Hill Shaft
 - TPH
 - Benzene
 - Plume size
 - Step-wise increase in width and length
 - Infiltration only
 - Simulate the impact on groundwater of recharge moving through contamination in the unsaturated zone
 - Reaction rates







Fate and Transport Model Results

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

- LNAPL footprint red hatched oval
- Results

•

- LNAPL must pass extend to point mid-way between RHMW01 and RHMW05 for an exceedance to occur at the RHS
- TPH Dissolution Rate
 - 2.7 mg/d/ft²
 - Compared favorably with analytical model
 - Wiedeimerer et al (1995)





Fate and Transport Model Results

Benzene

- Results
 - An LNAPL plume that reaches just beyond RHMW01 could cause an exceedance at the RHS
- Concentration must be reduced by a factor of 150
 - TPH, only requires a 45 fold reduction
- But only infrequent, trace Benzene detections
 - Perhaps not a major risk driver









Sensitivity Analysis

• Recharge – with contamination from the unsaturated zone





Figure 4-24. Benzene Contours for the Maximum Leachate Scenario Red Hill Fuel Storage Facility Oahu, Hawaii



NATURAL ATTENUATION

- Is natural attenuation of petroleum hydrocarbons occurring?
- Distribution of selected NAPs
 - Modeled vs.
 - Measured
- Is knowing the NAP reaction rates important?







NAP Distribution

- Diss. Oxy
 - Dissolved oxygen
- · CH4
 - Methane
- Dashed lines
 Modeled conc.
- Solid lines
 - Measured
- Center of plume at RHMW02
- Similar traces
 - Natural attenuation is occurring
 - Rates are kinetic



Sensitivity to Changes in Reaction Rate Coefficients





- Modeling Conclusions
 - Jet fuels solubility is relatively low
 - TPH Solubility of ~5 Parts per Million (mg/L)
 - Benzene Content Low, 0.7 mg/L Maximum
 - May be much less
 - Red Hill dissolved contamination is not extremely mobile
 - Natural attenuation reduces TPH concentrations to < EAL over distances of 1000 – 2000 ft
 - Properly characterizing NAP reaction rates is important if doing RT3D modeling



- Uncertainties
 - Actual solubility of JP-5 & 8
 - $\cdot\,$ One analysis lists JP-8 solubility as 12 mg/L
 - Stoichiometry
 - Bulk rate of NAP utilization
 - Reaction rates and coefficients
 - Data indicates knowing these are important
 - Groundwater flow paths





- Data Gaps (things we can measure)
 - Actual solubility of JP-5 & 8
 - Groundwater velocity
 - Aquifer dispersion characteristics
 - Bulk petroleum degradation rates
 - Which compounds are the primary risk drivers?
 - Benzene may not be a risk driver





- Recommendations
 - Perform fuel solubility tests
 - $\cdot\,$ Basis for SSRBLs
 - Tracer test
 - Groundwater velocity
 - Aquifer dispersion characteristics
 - Use tracer test to estimate contaminant retardation and decay
 - Geochemistry
 - Regional NAP concentrations (best to include all major ions and silica)
 - Selected stable isotopes
 - Sulfur (in sulfate)
 - O&H isotopes
 - Can be used to constrain flow paths
 - Again, these are recommendations from myself
 - <u>Not DOH recommendations</u>



