

April 2014



Emission Reduction Partnership for Electric Power Systems



#### 1999

Inception of the "Partnership" with 49 Charter Partners.

#### 2000

*1st International Conference on* SF<sub>6</sub> *and the Environment held in San Diego, CA.* 

#### 2001-2003

Technical literature developed and made available on program web site including, "Byproducts of SF<sub>6</sub> Use in the Electric Power Industry" and "Catalog of Guidelines and Standards for the Handling and Management of SF<sub>6</sub>."

2nd International Conference on SF<sub>6</sub> and the Environment held in San Diego, CA in 2002.

#### 2004

3rd International Conference on SF<sub>6</sub> and the Environment held in Scottsdale, AZ (substation tour).

Partners start receiving customized benchmark reports on their progress in the program. Service Provider directory made available.

#### 2005

Webcast tutorials on estimating and reporting SF<sub>6</sub> emissions offered. Field study on leak rates from circuit breakers manufactured between January 1998 and December 2002 is completed.

#### 2006

4th International Conference on SF<sub>6</sub> and the Environment held in San Antonio, TX (substation tour). Partnership participation increases to 77 companies representing 42% of U.S. grid.

#### 2007-2009

The SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate continues to drop; by 2007, Partners have reduced SF<sub>6</sub> emissions by more than half of baseline emissions. In 2009, the Partnership celebrates it 10 year anniversary at the 5th Workshop in Phoenix, AZ. Partners convene at a Partner Meeting in Chicago in June 2009, hosted by Partner utility ComEd.

#### 2010

Partner utility Oncor hosts Partner Meeting in May in Dallas, Texas.

#### 2012

The lowest SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate of the program to-date, 2.2% is achieved. Partner utility Southern Company hosts Partner meeting in April in Atlanta, GA

# The SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Partnership for Electric Power Systems

Since 1999, members of the U.S. electric power industry and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have been working together to identify and implement opportunities to reduce  $SF_6$  emissions. The  $SF_6$  Emission Reduction Partnership for the Electric Power Systems (the Partnership) is one of the many voluntary public-private partnerships managed by EPA that aim to reduce or slow the growth of greenhouse gas emissions. As part of the Partnership, Partner utilities voluntarily commit to reduce emissions of sulfur hexafluoride, or  $SF_6$ , a potent and long-lived greenhouse gas with a global warming potential (GWP) 22,800 times<sup>1</sup> that of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). This means that  $SF_6$  is 22,800<sup>1</sup> times more effective at trapping infrared radiation than an equivalent amount of  $CO_2$  over a 100-year period. Greenhouse gases range in their potency, and  $SF_6$  is classified as the highest GWP gas. Although  $SF_6$  is emitted in smaller quantities than many other greenhouse gases, its extremely long atmospheric lifetime of 3,200 years causes it to accumulate in the earth's atmosphere for centuries.

Because of its unique dielectric properties, electric utilities rely heavily on  $SF_6$  in electric power systems for voltage electrical insulation, current interruption, and arc quenching in the transmission and distribution of electricity. While  $SF_6$  should theoretically remain contained within equipment, in reality, the gas is inadvertently emitted into the atmosphere as leaks develop during various stages of the equipment's lifecycle.  $SF_6$  can also be released at the time of equipment manufacture, installation, servicing, or de-commissioning. Because there is no clear alternative to  $SF_6$ , Partners reduce their greenhouse gas emissions through implementing emission reduction strategies such as detecting, repairing, and/or replacing problem equipment, as well as educating gas handlers on proper handling techniques of  $SF_6$  gas during equipment installation, servicing, and disposal. The Partnership fosters information sharing of these better management practices. This report presents the  $SF_6$  emission reduction achievements of the Partnership through 2012.

<sup>1</sup> IPCC Fourth Assessment Report.

Inside the 20	13 SF <sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Partnership Annual Report
	Derte en Assesse lister ante 2012
	Partner Accomplishments 20122
	SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions Rate Trends
	Partner Spotlights
	Partnership Announcements and Updates
	Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases Rule
	Continued Growth and Success
	Updated List of Partners

# **Partner Accomplishments**

## As part of their commitment to the

**Partnership,** each year Partners report their SF<sub>6</sub> emissions and nameplate capacity estimates to EPA. (Note: Under EPA's Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program, Partners with a total nameplate capacity exceeding 17,820 pounds must report emissions and nameplate capacity under subpart DD - Use of Electric Transmission and Distribution Equipment). EPA collects and aggregates Partner information to determine the overall accomplishments of the Partnership. The results of the 2012 reporting year for the Partnership, including the cumulative emissions reduction for the program in comparison to the 1999 baseline year, are presented in the following section. of SF<sub>6</sub> contained in electrical equipment), is a benchmark metric by which achievements of the Partnership are tracked. As illustrated in Figure 1, the annual average SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate of Partners has decreased drastically since 1999. In the past five years, the emission rate has halved, from over 4 percent to just over 2 percent. Overall, the annual average SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate for the Partnership is down approximately 85 percent from the 1999 baseline emission rate of 14.4 percent to 2.2 percent in 2012.

Table 1 summarizes the Partnership's aggregate  $SF_6$  emissions, nameplate capacity, and emission rate for the 1999 to 2012 reporting years.<sup>2</sup> From 2011 to 2012, total  $SF_6$  emissions have decreased to 175,020 pounds, while the Partnership nameplate capacity increased to

# Partner-Reported Emissions Summary

The Partnership's annual average  $SF_6$  emission rate, the ratio of  $SF_6$  emissions relative to total  $SF_6$  nameplate capacity (i.e., the total quantity

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Trends across years should be evaluated using the SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate, rather than SF<sub>6</sub> emissions. The SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate is a valuable assessment of Partnership trends because it allows for a normalized comparison. While Partners vary in total SF<sub>6</sub> nameplate capacity, a larger utility, although using more SF<sub>6</sub>, will not necessarily have a higher emission rate than a smaller utility.





7,790,070 pounds. Both of these changes led to an overall decrease in the annual average Partnership SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate. A summary of the Partnership's SF<sub>6</sub> emissions and reductions are presented in Table 2. The SF<sub>6</sub> emission reductions, presented in terms of pounds of SF<sub>6</sub> and million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e), were calculated using a baseline year of 1999.

To date, Partners have decreased absolute emissions of  $SF_6$  by 75 percent. Annual  $SF_6$ reductions collectively made by Partners from 2011 to 2012 were 28,235 pounds, or the  $CO_2$  equivalent of 0.31 million metric tons (MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e). From 1999 through 2012, Partnership emissions reductions totaled close

# **Estimation Methods** Results in Table 1 are based on Partners in the program in 2012 as the representative population size for estimates for the entire time-series (1999-2012). To estimate emissions and nameplate capacity not reported by Partners; a set of assumptions was developed. For example, if a Partner reported for 2010 and 2012 but not for 2011, 2011 estimates were determined through linear interpolation.

TABLE 1: Summary of Partnership SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions, Nameplate Capacity, and Emission Rate														
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Total Partner- Reported SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions (lbs)	689,829	642,993	622,735	544,587	536,565	497,314	460,664	378,845	311,157	270,734	283,005	257,611	203,345	175,020
Total Nameplate Capacity (lbs)	4,803,982	4,870,199	4,932,295	5,246,167	5,537,370	5,597,696	5,859,631	5,991,759	6,046,284	6,091,273	6,277,773	6,715,608	7,415,256	7,790,070
SF <sub>6</sub> Emission Rate (%) <sup>a</sup>	14.4%	13.2%	12.6%	10.4%	9.7%	8.9%	7.9%	6.3%	5.1%	4.4%	4.5%	3.8%	2.7%	2.2%
Note: Historical estimates have been updated based on the estimation methodology used by EPA and data made available by Partners. <sup>a</sup> Emission rate is defined as total emissions divided by total nameplate capacity (i.e., the total guantity of SF <sub>6</sub> contained in electrical equipment).														

TABLE 2: Summary of Absolute Partnership SF <sub>6</sub> Emission Reductions														
	1999ª	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Total Partner-Reported SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions (lbs)	689,829	642,993	622,735	544,587	536,565	497,314	460,664	378,845	311,157	270,734	283,005	257,611	203,345	175,020
Total Partner-Reported SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions (MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e)	7.48	6.97	6.75	5.90	5.82	5.39	4.99	4.11	3.37	2.93	3.07	2.79	2.20	1.90
Reduction from Baseline (lbs)		46,835	67,094	145,242	153,264	192,515	229,165	310,984	378,672	419,095	406,824	432,218	486,484	514,809
Reduction from Baseline (MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e)		0.51	0.73	1.58	1.66	2.09	2.48	3.37	4.10	4.54	4.41	4.68	5.27	5.58
Percent Reduction from Baseline		6.8%	9.7%	21.1%	22.2%	<b>27.9</b> %	33.2%	45.1%	<b>54.9</b> %	60.8%	<b>59.0</b> %	<b>62.7</b> %	70.5%	74.6%
Note: Historical estimates have been updated based on the estimation methodology used by EPA and data made available by Partners.														

<sup>a</sup> Baseline year.



to a cumulative of 3.8 million pounds of  $SF_6$ or 41 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e (i.e., based on the sum of "Reduction from Baseline" as provided in Row 3, Table 2). If the Partnership's  $SF_6$  emission rate of 14 percent remained unchanged since 1999, then the total amount of emissions emitted to the atmosphere since 1999 would be 6.1 million pounds greater than has actually occurred.

Figure 2 displays the distribution of Partners

according to their emission rate. As illustrated, around 90 percent of Partners are below an emission rate of 5 percent, and around 70 percent of all Partners have achieved an emission rate of 2.5 percent or less. Emission rates of Partners vary due to a number of factors such as total nameplate capacity within their system, transmission miles, age and geographic location of equipment, and the number of years of participation in the Partnership.



Figure 2: SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Rate Trends

# **Partner Spotlights**

## Partners that are involved in the EPA SF<sub>6</sub> Partnership are leaders in their industry in efforts to reduce SF<sub>6</sub> emissions.

Partners are actively seeking opportunities to improve the management and tracking of their cylinder inventories, maximizing recycling, and continually training personnel on responsible handling of SF<sub>6</sub> in the field. Another key action is prioritizing equipment repair and replacing equipment with major leaks as they see the financial benefit in such an investment (i.e., improved system reliability and avoided cost to replace gas lost to the atmosphere), in addition to environmental benefits. Biennial workshops also support technology transfer and information sharing.

## **Southern California Edison**

Southern California Edison (SCE), one of the country's largest utilities, with nameplate capacity over 875,000 pounds, delivers power to more than 14 million people in 50,000 square miles of central, coastal, and southern California.

Since joining the Partnership in 1999 as a charter partner, SCE has reduced its emission rate from 9.7 percent to 1.2 percent in 2012, and has had an emission rate below the partnership average emission rate for the last six years. Since joining the Partnership, SCE's cumulative emission reductions have been equivalent to removing over 780,000 passenger cars from the road for one year.

SCE continues to be an active member of the Partnership. During the 2012 Workshop for SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Strategies, an SCE representative presented on "An Asset Management Approach for EPA/CARB SF<sub>6</sub> Regulations." In 2014, SCE will be hosting a site visit for the 2014 SF<sub>6</sub> Partnership conference to showcase its facilities and discuss the measures it has taken to reduce emissions.

# Douglas County Public Utility District

Douglas County Public Utility District (PUD) is a non-profit power provider located in north-central Washington with total nameplate capacity under 4,000 pounds. Although one of the Partnership's smaller entities, Douglas County PUD has adopted an aggressive  $SF_6$ management program and is consistently a high performing participant. Since joining the Partnership as a charter partner in 1999, they have lowered their emission rate from 22.1 percent to 0.2 percent in 2012. Douglas County PUD's emission rate has remained below one percent for the last nine years, which is one of the lowest Partner emission rates achieved over the last decade. Since joining the Partnership, its cumulative emission reductions have been equivalent to the emissions produced from over 200,000 barrels of oil. Douglas County PUD attributes their emission reduction success to setting annual goals and the adoption of a comprehensive program of improved gas handling techniques, greater emphasis on maintenance, a commitment to recycling, and replacement of equipment with persistent leaks.

# Partnership Announcements and Updates

# This section covers updates on outreach

**events,** the latest developments in the Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program, and new Partners to the program.

# Workshop on SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Strategies, Spring 2014

The SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Partnership for Electric Power Systems will be hosting another two-day Workshop May 6-7 in Long Beach, CA. Although the details on location and an exact date are not confirmed as of the time of this publication, EPA is pleased to announce that Partner utility Southern California Edison has offered to host a site visit in conjunction with the workshop.

The two-day workshop will bring together participants from Partner utilities, service providers, gas producers and distributors, and equipment manufacturers. Sessions will cover a range of issues such as climate change policy update,  $SF_6$  emission reduction strategies, and managing and tracking  $SF_6$  inventories. EPA is welcoming sponsors and will offer room for exhibitors.

Workshop details, including registration and hotel block, can be found at: http://www.epa. gov/electricpower-sf6/workshops/index.html

## 2012 Workshop: Atlanta, GA

On April 17-19, 2012, the Partnership held a workshop on SF<sub>6</sub> emission reduction strategies at Georgia Power Company Headquarters in Atlanta, GA.

This workshop brought together 100 participants from Partner utilities, service providers, gas producers and distributors, and equipment manufacturers. Sessions were held on various topics, including handling and tracking of SF<sub>6</sub> gas, climate change policy, a program introduction to the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program. Workshop participants were also offered a site tour of Southern Company's General Service Headquarters in Forest Park, GA and received a demonstration of various pieces of equipment, including recovery equipment, scales and bottles and weighing and calibration procedures, and a demonstration of camera leak detection.

Awards were given to four Partners for their success in achieving effective strategies for reductions of  $SF_6$  and for sharing information on the environmental and economic benefits. Awards went to Commonwealth Edison Company, Consolidated Edison of New York, ITC Holdings Corp, and MidAmerican Company.

A roundtable discussion was held, allowing an open forum for Partners in attendance to discuss improving  $SF_6$  emission and nameplate capacity estimates, best management practices, and mitigation strategies for  $SF_6$  emission reductions and the future of the  $SF_6$  Partnership.

EPA would like to specially recognize and thank Partner utility, Southern Company. This successful meeting would not have been possible without the hard work and hospitality of the staff.

## Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases Rule

In response to the FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act (H.R. 2764; Public Law 110–161), in 2009, EPA issued the Final Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases Rule. The rule requires reporting of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from large sources and suppliers in the United States, and is intended to collect accurate and timely emissions data to inform future policy decisions.

Reporting requirements for Partners as well as other electric power systems, as set forth under Subpart DD of the regulation rule can be found on the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program website at: http://www.epa.gov/ghgreporting. Emissions from electric power systems are covered by the rule if the total nameplate capacity of SF<sub>6</sub>-containing equipment exceeds 17,820 pounds of SF<sub>6</sub>, which is estimated to be the equivalent to an emissions threshold of 25,000 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>eq per year.

Electric Power Systems subject to this rule must submit mandatory reports covering calendar year 2013 by March 31, 2014. EPA will continue to communicate important announcements to Partners on the Partnership's email distribution list regarding the mandatory reporting of greenhouse gases.

## **New Partner**

In 2013, the Partnership welcomed Entergy Corporation as a new Partner. Entergy delivers electricity to 2.8 million utility customers in Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi and Texas. The Partnership has continued to grow in size, nearly doubling from 49 members to 84 members as of April 2014. Charter members are specially recognized in the complete Partner list, which can be referenced at the end of this report.

# **Continued Growth** and Success

# When EPA and the electric power industry launched the Partnership in

**1999**, the challenge to reduce  $SF_6$  emissions in technically and economically feasible ways was at hand. EPA and Partners met this challenge making significant reductions primarily by identifying and replacing or repairing old, leaking breakers. Over the years, Partners advanced their strategies to reduce SF<sub>6</sub> emissions, examining their system for all possible sources of potential emissions; purchasing new laser leak detection cameras; working with their vendors to receive SF<sub>6</sub> inventory related reports; tightening their gas cylinder inventories; purchasing more recycling carts; introducing software systems to better monitor and manage inventory; and improving on their overall management and training procedures. Voluntary action under the Partnership has yielded impressive results. In this reporting year, SF<sub>6</sub> partners collectively reduced the average SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate to 2.2 percent compared to 2.7 percent in 2011 and 14.4 percent in 1999.  $SF_6$  emissions in the 2012 reporting year are 84 percent lower than in the 1999 baseline year. Cumulatively, over the course of the Partnership, SF<sub>6</sub> Partners have prevented the escape of approximately 3.8 million pounds of SF<sub>6</sub> or 41 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e. Preventing the loss of this much gas into the atmosphere translates into an equivalent of \$30.4 million to \$45.6 million of avoided SF<sub>6</sub> purchases to replace such losses.<sup>3</sup>

EPA applauds all Partners for the program's success and encourages Partners to continue setting and working towards ambitious reduction goals with the program.

# For additional information please contact:

Sally Rand Program Manager U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Climate Change Division (6207J) Washington, DC 20460 Tel: (202) 343-9739 Email: rand.sally@epa.gov

## Partnership webpage: http://www.epa.gov/electricpower-sf6/index.html

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Based on an SF<sub>6</sub> gas cost range of \$8 to \$12 per pound. Estimated cost savings does not consider other potential cost savings that might be realized indirectly, such as savings from reduced labor and maintenance expenditure or potential annual SF<sub>6</sub> cylinder rental fees.

# List of Partners (as of April 2014)

\*Charter Partner Subsidiaries are bulleted under parent companies

American Electric Power (AEP)\* Columbus, OH

Arizona Public Service Company (APS) Phoenix, AZ

Athens Electric Department\* Athens, AL

Austin, TX

Bangor Hydro-Electric Company\* Bangor, ME

Big Rivers Electric Corporation\* Henderson, KY

**Bonneville Power** Administration\* Portland, OR

CenterPoint Energy\* Houston, TX

Central Maine Power Company\* Augusta, ME

Central Vermont Public Service Corporation\* Rutland, VT

City of Palo Alto Palo Alto, CA

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.\* New York, NY CPS Energy (formerly San Antonio City) Public Service Board)\* San Antonio, TX

**Duquesne Light Company**\* Pittsburgh, PA

Edison International Rosemead, CA

El Paso Electric Company\* El Paso, TX

Entergy Corporation New Orleans, LA

Eugene Water and Electric Board\* Eugene, OR

#### **Exelon Energy Delivery (EED)**

 ComEd Energy Delivery\* Chicago, IL

 PECO Energy Delivery Philadelphia, PA

FirstEnergy Corporation\* Akron, OH

 Allegheny Power Greensburg, PA

Fort Pierce Utilities Authority\* Fort Pierce, FL

Grand Island Utilities Department\* Grand Island, NE

Hastings Utilities\* Hastings, NE

ITC Transmission Novi, MI Kings River Conservation District\* Fresno, CA

Louisville Gas and Electric Company (LG&E) and Kentucky Utilities Company (KU) Louisville, KY

Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA) Austin, TX

Maine Public Service Company\* Presque Isle, ME

Manitowoc Public Utilities\* Manitowoc, WI

Memphis Light, Gas & Water Division Memphis, TN

Menasha Utilities\* Menasha, WI

MidAmerican Energy Des Moines, IA

Montana-Dakota Utilities Bismarck, ND

Muscatine Power & Water\* Muscatine, IA

Nashville Electric Service (NES) Nashville, TN

### National Grid

► Granite State Electric Northborough, MA

 Massachusetts Electric Northborough, MA

- ► Nantucket Electric Nantucket, MA
- Narragansett Electric Providence, RI
- New England Electric Transmission Corporation Westborough, MA
- New England Hydro-Transmissions Company Inc. Westborough, MA
- New England Power Company Westborough, MA
- Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Syracuse, NY

Nebraska Public Power District Doniphan, NE

New Hampshire Transmission-Seabrook Station Seabrook, NH

New York Power Authority New York, NY

New York State Electric and Gas Ithaca, NY

Northeast Utilities Services Company\*

 Connecticut Light and Power Company Berlin, CT

 Public Service Company of New Hampshire Manchester, CT

\*\* Salt River Project is a Charter Partner that left the Partnership, but recently rejoined in 2009.

 Western Massachusetts Electric Company West Springfield, MA Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) Merriville, IN

NSTAR Electric and Gas Westwood, MA

**Boston Edison Company** Boston, MA

 Cambridge Electric Light Company Boston, MA

 Commonwealth Electric Company Boston, MA

Oglethorpe Power Tucker, GA

**Oklahoma Gas and Electric Corporation\* (OG&E)** Oklahoma City, OK

Oncor (formerly TXU)\* Dallas, TX

Otter Tail Power Company Fergus Falls, MN

Pacificorp Portland, OR

 Pacific Power Portland, OR

 Rocky Mountain Power Salt Lake City, UT

Pacific Gas and Electric Corporation (PG&E)\* San Francisco, CA

PNM Resources Albuquerque, NM

Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County East Wenatchee, WA Public Utility District No. 1 of Pend Oreille County\* Newport, WA

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation Rochester, NY

Salt River Project\*\* Phoenix, AZ

San Diego Gas & Electric San Diego, CA

Seattle City Light Seattle, WA

Silicon Valley Power\* Santa Clara, CA

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Columbia, SC

Southern Company\* Atlanta, GA

State of California – Department of Water Resources Sacramento, CA

Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) Knoxville, TN

Texas Municipal Power Agency\* Bryan, TX

VT Transco LLC Rutland, VT

Wallingford Electric Division\* Wallingford, CT

We Energies\* Milwaukee, WI

Westar Energy Wichita, KS



