# SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Partnership for Electric Power Systems

**2005 Annual Report** 

August 2006, Revised



# **Preface** — The SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction **Partnership for Electric Power Systems**

Several chemical compounds found in the atmosphere act as greenhouse gases. While many occur in nature, such as carbon dioxide, methane, and nitrous oxide, others are produced through industrial processes. Although carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) is the most abundant greenhouse gas, it is not the most potent (on a per unit weight basis). Sulfur hexafluoride ( $SF_6$ ) has been identified by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)<sup>1</sup> as the most potent non- $CO_2$  greenhouse gas, with an ability to trap heat in the atmosphere 23,900 times more

effectively than CO<sub>2</sub>. Approximately 80 percent of  $SF_6$  gas produced is used by the electric utility industry in high voltage electrical equipment as an insulator or arc quenching medium.<sup>2</sup> Currently, more than 80 environmental leaders in the U.S. electric utility industry are recognized by EPA under the SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Partnership for Electric Power Systems for actively targeting SF<sub>6</sub> emissions. Partners are proactively helping to prevent global climate change and in doing so, are experiencing operational and cost efficiencies through improvements to equipment reliability and reduced SF<sub>6</sub> gas purchases and maintenance expenditures. Each year, SF<sub>6</sub> Partners have collectively prevented large quantities of SF<sub>6</sub> gas from escaping into the atmosphere; the 2005 reporting year marks another year of outstanding achievement for the program.

### Common Greenhouse Gases and Their Global Warming Potentials (GWPs) (100-Year Time Horizon)

Gas	GWD
Gus	GWP
CO <sub>2</sub>	1
CH <sub>4</sub>	21
HFC-152a	140
N <sub>2</sub> O	310
HFC-134a	1,300
HFC-4310mee	1,300
HFC-227ea	2,900
HFC-236fa	6,300
CF <sub>4</sub>	6,500
C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>14</sub>	7,400
C <sub>2</sub> F <sub>6</sub>	9,200
HFC-23	11,700
SF <sub>6</sub>	23,900
Source: IPCC (1996) Climate Change 1995 Change. Intergovernmental Panel on Clima L.G. Meira Filho, B.A. Callander, N. Harns, K. Maskell, eds.; Cambridge University Pres	: The Science of Climate te Change; J.T. Houghton A. Kattenberg, and s. Cambridge, U.K.

This year's annual report presents the cumulative successes of Partners in reducing emissions of SF<sub>6</sub> gas from electric utility operations from 1999 through 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The IPCC is an international scientific body organized by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) in 1988.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Smythe, K. "Trends in SF<sub>6</sub> Sales and End-Use Applications: 1961-2003," Conference on SF<sub>6</sub> and the Environment. Scottsdale, Arizona, December 1-3, 2004.



Below is a summary of the accomplishments made by EPA's  $SF_6$  Partners. The results of the 2005 reporting year as well as cumulative achievements since the inception of the Partnership in 1999 are presented.

## **Partner-Reported Emissions**

The SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Partnership has historically tracked a declining trend in the annual average SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate (the ratio of SF<sub>6</sub> emissions relative to total nameplate capacity reported by Partners). The 2005 reporting year furthers this trend with another decrease in the overall Partnership's average emission rate. The 2005 SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate is 8.3 percent, down from 9.4 percent in 2004. Overall, between 1999 and 2004, the Partnership's SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate has dramatically decreased by more than half (Figure 1).



Figure 2 displays a distribution of emission rates reported by Partners for 2005.



Table 1 summarizes the aggregated program statistics for each year since 1999. Because of inconsistency in data reporting, the SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate should be used (rather than SF<sub>6</sub> emissions) for annual comparative purposes. Results for the 2005 reporting year include total reported SF<sub>6</sub> emissions of 414,830 pounds and a nameplate capacity close to 5 million pounds.

	<u> </u>						
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Reporting Partners <sup>a</sup>	81%	79%	80%	<b>69</b> %	84%	86%	<b>87</b> %
Total SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions (lbs.)	605,474	583,524	584,297	501,993	460,771	452,246	414,830
Total Name-Plate Capacity (lbs.)	3,484,335	3,858,884	4,073,501	4,589,151	4,633,776	4,801,652	4,976,591
SF <sub>6</sub> Emission Rate <sup>b</sup>	17.4%	15.1%	14.3%	10.9%	<b>9.9</b> %	9.4%	8.3%

### **Table 1: Aggregated Statistics for all Reporting Partners**

<sup>a</sup> Reports received/ reports expected.

 $^{b}$  SF<sub>6</sub> emission rate is calculated by dividing total emissions by total name-plate capacity (i.e., the total quantity of SF<sub>6</sub> contained in electrical equipment).

Table 2 presents a summary of total annual  $SF_6$  emission reductions achieved by all reporting Partners through 2005. The information presented is derived by evaluating emissions data provided by reporting Partners for each year (see Table 1), and is not adjusted to account for Partners who have not provided data consecutively. Emissions reductions are also presented in terms of million metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e) with 1999 as the baseline year (the start of EPA's SF<sub>6</sub> Partnership).

For 2005,  $SF_6$  Partners have collectively achieved a 32 percent decrease in emissions from the 1999 baseline year. Approximately 190,644 pounds of  $SF_6$ , or the equivalent of 2.07 MMTCO<sub>2</sub> emissions have been avoided. Cumulatively (1999-2005), emissions avoided total 635,182 pounds or 6.88 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e (i.e., the sum of reductions from baseline as provided in Table 2 in MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e).

	<b>1999</b> <sup>a</sup>	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Total Partner- Reported SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions (lbs)	605,474	583,524	584,297	501,993	460,771	452,246	414,830
Total Partner- Reported SF <sub>6</sub> Emissions (MMTCO <sub>2</sub> e)	6.56	6.32	6.33	5.44	4.99	4.90	4.50
Reduction from Baseline (MMTCO2e)		0.24	0.23	1.12	1.57	1.66	2.07
Percent Reduction from Baseline	-	3.6%	3.5%	17.1%	23.9%	25.3%	31.5%

### Table 2: Summary of Partnership SF<sub>6</sub> Emissions and Reductions

<sup>a</sup> Baseline year.

## **Partner Spotlights**

 $SF_6$  Partners represent a wide range of electric utilities in the United States. Through the accomplishments of three Partners, this section highlights opportunities available to other utilities to realize and maintain noteworthy emission reductions of  $SF_6$  gas.

### **Northeast Utilities**

(Connecticut Light & Power, Public Service of New Hampshire & Western Massachusetts Electric Company)

For larger utilities with significant  $SF_6$ nameplate capacity to manage, great strides are being made in reducing emissions. As a relatively large Partner, Northeast Utilities has a nameplate capacity of nearly 100,000 pounds. Since 1999, Northeast Utilities has reduced its  $SF_6$  emission rate by over 90 percent, a great achievement given that the utility has experienced significant transmission system growth during this period. These emissions reductions were achieved in part through a dedicated equipment replacement program.

### **PUD No. 1 of Douglas County**

Smaller utilities, such as PUD No. 1 of Douglas County, have found that partnering with EPA enables them to be recognized for reducing and maintaining low emissions of  $SF_6$  from their operations. Douglas PUD, with a nameplate capacity of less than 10,000 lbs, has been a Partner since 1999. Douglas PUD has reduced emissions through proper handling techniques, identification and elimination of leaks, and the replacement of equipment that do not meet specific leak rate thresholds. Douglas PUD successfully reduced their emission rate to zero in 2004 and continues to maintain zero emissions as of 2005.

### Duquesne Light SF<sub>6</sub> Project Profile

Duquesne Light Company undertook a project to carefully decommission one of their substations in an environmentally responsible manner. Duquesne Light's Carson Substation was originally built to provide power primarily to two arc furnaces operated by a local steel production facility. After the steel company ceased operation, Duquesne Light decided to install a new, more applicable transformer. To decommission the old substation, Duquesne Light worked with a vendor to recover the  $SF_6$  gas and reclaim it to ASTM standards. The project resulted in the removal of approximately 7,300 lbs of  $SF_6$  that would otherwise have been lost to the atmosphere. These emission reductions are equivalent to the  $CO_2$  emission reductions from not driving 17,000 passenger cars for one year.

For the complete Duquesne Light Project Profile, please visit EPA's  $SF_6$  Partnership website at <u>www.epa.gov/electricpower-sf6</u>. Utilities interested in sharing their experiences in mitigating  $SF_6$  emissions should contact Jerome Blackman, EPA Program Manager.

# Partnership Update

## **New Partners**

In late 2005 and through July 2006, EPA welcomed the following new Partners into the  $SF_6$  Emission Reduction Partnership for Electric Power Systems:

- Seattle City Light Seattle, WA
- Montana-Dakota Utilities Bismark, ND
- NSTAR Electric and Gas<sup>a</sup> Westwood, MA
  - Boston Edison Company Boston, MA
  - Cambridge Electric Light Company – Boston, MA
  - Commonwealth Electric Company – Boston, MA
- Pacificorp<sup>a</sup> Portland, OR
  - Pacific Power Portland, OR
  - Rocky Mountain Power – Salt Lake City, UT

<sup>a</sup> Parent Company.

EPA continues to conduct outreach to the electric utility industry; the  $SF_6$  Emission Reduction Partnership in 2006 now totals 77 companies. Appendix A contains a list of participating utilities as of August 2006.

## **Equipment Field Study**

EPA recently conducted a study on  $SF_6$  leak rates from approximately 2,300 high voltage circuit breakers manufactured between 1998 and 2002. This study was undertaken to investigate and increase industry knowledge concerning  $SF_6$  leak rates from newly manufactured circuit breakers.

Based on the study findings, the leakage rates of these circuit breakers range from 0.2 to 2.5 percent of nameplate capacity per year. A recently published paper is available for download from the Partnership's web site <u>www.epa.gov/electricpower-sf6</u> in the "Research & Studies" section under "Documents, Tools & Resources." Additionally, a complete summary of the report will be presented at the upcoming  $SF_6$ Conference in November 2006.

## The 2006 International Conference on SF<sub>6</sub> and the Environment

The 4th International Conference on  $SF_6$  and the Environment will be held from November 28-30, 2006 in San Antonio, TX. This biennial conference brings together representatives from the electric power industry, the scientific community, and governments to share their knowledge and experience of  $SF_6$ management and reduction strategies, costs and benefits of reductions, alternatives research, and partner achievements.

For more information, please visit EPA's Electric Power Systems Partnership website at <u>www.epa.gov</u> <u>/electricpower-sf6</u>. Partners interested in speaking or presenting a paper at the conference should contact Jerome Blackman, EPA Program Manager.

# **Building on Success**

In 2005,  $SF_6$  Partners achieved considerable reductions in  $SF_6$  emissions. Partners collectively reduced the average  $SF_6$  emission rate to 8.3 percent compared to 9.4 percent in 2004 and 17 percent in 1999.  $SF_6$ emissions in 2005 are 32 percent lower than in 1999 baseline. Cumulatively,  $SF_6$  Partners have prevented the escape of 635,182 pounds of  $SF_6$  or 6.88 MMTCO<sub>2</sub>e. In terms of dollars saved from avoided purchases to replace gas losses to the atmosphere, this quantity translates into approximately \$3.8 to \$5.7 million dollars. These savings are shown in Figure 3.



The potential threat from  $SF_6$  to our climate is great since one pound of  $SF_6$  released

is roughly equivalent to thermal warming from 11 tons of  $CO_2$ . As of 2005,  $SF_6$  emission reductions are the equivalent to  $CO_2$  emissions from 16 million barrels of oil NOT consumed, or  $CO_2$  emissions from 1.8 million households reducing electricity use by 50 percent for one year, or  $CO_2$  emissions from 1.5 million passenger cars NOT driven for one year.

The SF<sub>6</sub> Emission Reduction Partnership has established a framework to help electric utilities manage SF<sub>6</sub> gas, successfully reduce emissions of this potent greenhouse gas, and maintain these reductions. A significant amount of SF<sub>6</sub> is still emitted each year; yet the future holds promise.

In order to build upon the successes achieved over the past six years, EPA asks all  $SF_6$  Partners to update and/ or extend their  $SF_6$  emission reduction goals through December 2012. This effort will help to provide uniform structure to and a consistent time-frame for measuring the collective accomplishments of  $SF_6$  Partners over time.  $SF_6$  Partners should notify EPA's Program Manager of their updated goals.

## For additional information please contact:

Jerome Blackman Program Manager U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Climate Change Division Washington, DC 20460 Tel: (202) 343-9630 Email: Blackman.Jerome@epamail.epa.gov

# Appendix: List of Partners (as of August 2006)

Allegheny Power Greensburg, PA

American Electric Power (AEP) Columbus, OH

Arizona Public Service Company (APS) Phoenix, AZ

Athens Electric Department Athens, AL

Austin, TX

Bangor Hydro-Electric Company Bangor, ME

**Big Rivers Electric Corporation** Henderson, KY

**Bonneville Power Administration** Portland, OR

CenterPoint Energy Houston, TX

Central Maine Power Company Augusta, ME

Central Vermont Public Service Corporation Rutland, VT

**Cinergy Corporation** Cincinnati, OH

City of Monroe Monroe, NC

**Columbia River People's Utility District** St. Helens, OR

Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. New York, NY Crisp County Power Commission

Cordele, GA

**Duquesne Light Company** Pittsburg, PA

**E.ON U.S. LCC** Louisville, KY

**Edison International** Rosemead, CA **El Paso Electric Company** El Paso, TX

**Eugene Water and Electric Board** Eugene, OR

**Exelon Energy Delivery (EED)**<sup>a</sup>

**ComEd Energy Delivery** Chicago, IL

**PECO Energy Delivery** Philadelphia, PA

**FirstEnergy Corporation** Akron, OH

Florida Power and Light Company (FPL) Juno Beach, FL

FPL Energy New England Division Seabrook, NH

Fort Pierce Utilities Authority Fort Pierce, FL

Grand Island Utilities Department Grand Island, NE

Great River Energy Elk River, MN

Hastings Utilities Hastings, NE

Kings River Conservation District Fresno, CA

Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA) Austin, TX

Maine Public Service Company Presque Isle, ME

Manitowoc, Public Utilities Manitowoc, WI

Memphis Light, Gas & Water Division Memphis, TN

Menasha Utilities Menasha, WI

MidAmerican Energy Des Moines, IA

Montana-Dakota Utilities Bismarck, ND

Muscatine Power & Water Muscatine, IA

<sup>a</sup> Parent Company.

# Appendix: List of Partners (continued)

#### **NSTAR Electric and Gas**<sup>a</sup>

**Boston Edison Company** Boston, MA;

Cambridge Electric Light Company Boston, MA

**Commonwealth Electric Company** Boston, MA

Nashville Electric Service (NES) Nashville, TN

#### **National Grid**<sup>a</sup>

Granite State Electric Northborough, MA

Massachusetts Electric Northborough, MA

Nantucket Electric Nantucket, MA

Narragansett Electric Providence, RI

New England Power Company Westborough, MA

New England Electric Transmission Corporation Westborough, MA

**New England Hydro-Transmissions Company Inc.** Westborough, MA

Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Syracuse, NY

Nebraska Public Power District Doniphan, NE

New York, NY

#### **Northeast Utilities Services Company**

**Connecticut Light and Power Company** Berlin, CT

Public Service Company of New Hampshire Manchester, CT

Western Massachusetts Electric Company West Springfield, MA

Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) Merriville, IN

<sup>a</sup> Parent Company.

**Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (OG&E)** Oklahoma City, OK

**Otter Tail Power Company** Fergus Falls, MN

#### **Pacificorp**<sup>a</sup>

Pacific Power Portland, OR

Rocky Mountain Power Salt Lake City, UT

Pacific Gas and Electric Corporation (PG&E) San Francisco, CA

Public Utility District No. 1 of Douglas County East Wenatchee, WA

Public Utility District No. 1 of Pend Oreille County Newport, WA

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation Rochester, NY

Salt River Project (SRP) Phoenix, AZ

San Antonio City Public Service Board San Antonio, IX

Seattle City Light Seattle, WA

Silicon Valley Power Santa Clara, CA

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company Columbia, SC

Southern Company Atlanta, GA

**TXU** Dallas, TX

Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) Knoxville, TN

**Texas Municipal Power Agency** Bryan, TX

Wallingford Electric Division Wallingford, CT

We Energies Milwaukee, WI

Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation & Drainage District Wellton, AZ



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Climate Change Division Washington, DC 20460