#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

#### **RCRA** Corrective Action

### Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Vonak USA, Inc. (Van Waters & Rogers, Inc.)

- deliley i delilet		+ opan esti, met (+an ++atels et itogets, met)	
Facility Address:		6000 Casteel Drive, Coraopolis, PA 15108	
Facility	EPA ID#:	PAD 06 177 9815	
1.	groundwater m	le relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the edia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units lated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been <b>considered</b> in this EI determination?	
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.	
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or	
		If data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.	

#### BACKGROUND

**Facility Name:** 

### **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

## **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2.	Is <b>groundwater</b> known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" <sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?			
	X	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.		
		If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."		
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.		
	Rationale and F	Reference(s): For the below table/chart, Water concentrations are expressed as mg/l		

Rationale and Reference(s): For the below table/chart, Water concentrations are expressed as mg/l (ppm):

	<b>Facility</b>	<b>25 PA Code 250</b>	EPA RBCs
<b>Contaminant</b>	<u>Water</u>	<u>Water</u>	<u>Water</u>
Cis 1,2-Dichloroethylene	92	0.07	0.061
1,1,1-TCA	57	0.2	1.3
Ethyl Benzene	15	0.7	1.3
Xylene	49	10.0	0.52
Toluene	24	1.0	0.75

25 PA Code, Chapter 250, Table 1, Used Aquifers, TDS < or = 2500, Non-Residential Use. EPA Region III Risk-Based Concentrations (RBCs)10/4/95, Tap Water.

Reference: Facility Data: 3/8/99 Chapman & Lewis Monitoring Report.

### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup>"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

Has the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is

•	ain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring ated at the time of this determination)?
	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" <sup>2</sup> ).
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" <sup>2</sup> ) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): In addition to the groundwater monitoring system in place, the Facility (with PADEP approval) has been engaged in groundwater recovery, air sparging and soil vapor extraction. The groundwater treatment effectively changed the flow gradient to prevent migration of contaminants offsite. Note that treated-recovered groundwater is discharged to a POTW for further treatment.

Contamination was caused by releases from a former product solvent tank farm. The tanks and several feet of soil have been removed. In 1998, over 470,000 gallons of water were removed. The combined monitoring and recovery/treatment operations controlled the movement of contaminants, while resulting in a consistent and significant reduction in groundwater contaminant levels. Since the source of contamination was removed, there is a finite amount of contamination remaining. Continued operation of the remediation system should result in the Facility achieving at least risk-based reduction in contamination. PADEP will continue to monitor the Facility to ensure that the contaminated plume remains within the current horizontal and vertical confines.

Reference: Chapman & Lewis 3/8/99 Monitoring Report.

3.

<sup>2</sup> "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4.	Does "contamina	ated" groundwater <b>discharge</b> into <b>surface water</b> bodies?
		If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
	X	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): Based on downgradient (property line) well data, groundwater contamination remains on the property (due to the recovery/treatment system operation). The groundwater flow path (without the recovery/treatment system) is towards Montour Run, about 300 feet North of the Facility (Montour Run is a tributary of the Ohio River). Limited sampling and observation of Montour Run has not detected a problem (no organics found or solvent "sheen" detected). Recovered/treated groundwater is discharged to POTW (Moon Township Municipal Authority).

Reference: Chapman & Lewis 3/8/99 Monitoring Report.

Page 5

5.	maximum concentra appropriate ground discharging contam	"contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" (i.e., the ation <sup>3</sup> of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their water "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of ninants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for cts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?
	n a e p d	f yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the naximum known or reasonably suspected concentration <sup>3</sup> of <u>key</u> contaminants discharged bove their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the lischarge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have macceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
	s c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	f no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially ignificant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected oncentration <sup>3</sup> of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations are reater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the urface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.
	I Rationale and Refer	f unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Page 6

6.	acceptable" (i.e., r	of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "currently not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed final remedy decision can be made and implemented <sup>4</sup> )?
		If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, <sup>5</sup> appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
		If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Refe	erence(s):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Page 7

7.	necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"			
	_X_	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."		
		If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.		
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.		

Rationale and Reference(s): The Facility, with PADEP's approval, conducted quarterly groundwater monitoring since 1992 at seven (7) locations over a three (3) acre area. Five (5) locations are considered to be down or side gradient points. The area of concern is < 1.0 acre in size. The Facility proposed semiannual monitoring, based on continued recovery/treatment system operation, which PADEP will allow for 1999, then reassess the situation. PADEP will periodically take groundwater samples to compare with Facility data. If more frequent monitoring is needed, PADEP will require the Facility to do so.

Reference: Chapman & Lewis 3/8/99 Monitoring Report.

8.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
	EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI
	determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

X	verified. B it has been "Under Cor EPA ID # P 15108. Sp "contaminate conducted to the service of	"Migration of Contaminated Groundwater ased on a review of the information contained that the "Migration of Contaminator" at the Vopak USA, Inc. (Van Water PAD 06 177 9815, located at 6000 Casteer ecifically, this determination indicates that the groundwater is under control, and the to confirm that contaminated groundwater area of contaminated groundwater. This development that the Agency becomes aware of significant companies.	ned in this EI determination, ninated Groundwater" is rs & Rogers, Inc.) facility, I Drive, Coraopolis, PA t the migration of at monitoring will be r remains within the termination will be re-icant changes at the facility.
	IN - More	information is needed to make a determin	ation.
Completed by	(signature	)	Date 5/12/99
	(print)	Carl Spadaro	
	(title)	Project Manager, PADEP	_
Supervisor	(signature	,	Date 6/21/99
	(print)	Paul Gotthold	_
	(title)	PA Operations Branch Chief	<u>_</u>
	(EPA Reg	ion or State) EPA, Region 3	

Locations where References may be found:

PADEP Southwest Regional Office Files, Pittsburgh, PA 15222

## Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:

(name)	Carl Spadaro
(phone #)	412-442-4157
(e-mail)	spadaro.carl@dep.state.pa.us