DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:	Capital Parts Washers, Inc.
Facility Address:	570 Industrial Drive, Lewisberry, PA 17339
Facility EPA ID #:	PAD987332343
groundwater, su	e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, rface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste nits (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI X If yes – check here and continue with #2 below. If no – re-evaluate existing data, or If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility [i.e., site-wide]).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes No ?	Rationale/Key Contaminants
Groundwater	X	In 1989, only PCE was detected in groundwater from the facility well (below PADEP non-residential used aquifer MSCs). No formal groundwater investigation at the facility.
Air (indoors) ²	X	CPW facility is closed. Site contaminants do not warrant exposure or release controls.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)	X	Reported release from leaking tanker trailer in 1994. Contaminated soil was excavated and disposed of off-site. Confirmatory samples collected. Soil samples collected at AOCs were absent of VOCs, SVOCs and BN or below PADEP non-residential used aquifer MSCs.
Surface Water	X	No surface water media are relevant on site.
Sediment	X	No sediment media are relevant on site.
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	X	Subsurface soil samples collected at tanker release, AOCs, and UST excavation (during removal) were absent of VOCs, SVOCs and/or BN or below PADEP non-residential used aquifer MSCs.
Air (outdoors)	X	CPW facility is closed. No air emissions sources present at current facility.
"levels," and re not exceeded. If yes (for any citing appro	media) - continue after iden priate "levels" (or provide a	"YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate ting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are tifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, an explanation for the determination that the medium could ecceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

Rationale and Reference(s): In addition to the media-specific information given above, all permitted hazardous waste areas were cleaned and properly closed in 1996 in accordance with the PADEP-approved closure plan. Activities at the facility since closure are retail/commercial in nature and do not handle or generate hazardous wastes.

Reference: Environmental Indicator Inspection Report for Capital Parts Washers, Baker, January 2012.

Page 4

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

				Potential Hu	nan Receptors (Under Current C	Conditions)
Contaminated Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Groundwater Air (indoors) Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft. Surface Water Sediment Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft. Air (outdoors)	ît.						
Instructions for Sur	mmary Exposu	re Pathway E	valuation Tabl	<u>e</u> :			
د	contaminated"	as identified	in #2 above.	nan Receptors' sp			าลท
	Receptor combi			ctoness under ea	en contaminate	a Media Han	ian
Media - Hı	man Receptor ons may not be	combinations	s (Pathways) d	oable combination o not have check they may be possi	spaces ("").	While these	
ent ma	er "YE" status n-made, prever	code, after ex nting a compl	xplaining and/o ete exposure p	aminated media-i or referencing con athway from each nalyze major patl	ndition(s) in-place a contaminated m	e, whether natura	
	yes (pathways a ntinue after pro			minated" Media - on.	Human Receptor	or combination) -	
	unknown (for a N" status code.	ny "Contamir	nated" Media -	Human Receptor	combination) - s	skip to #6 and en	ter
Rationale and Refe	erence(s):						

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.

4.	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " significant " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
Ration:	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits? If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk
	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits? If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment). If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable") - continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable"

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 6

			Under Control" has been verified.		
	rmation contained der Control" at the		nination, "Current Human Exposure	es" are	expected to be facility,
	ID# PAD9873		ocated at 570 Industrial Drive, Le	wisheri	_
unde	er current and reason	onably expected	conditions. This determination will cant changes at the facility.		
8	<i>j</i> , ~				
NO -	- "Current Human	Exposures" are	NOT "Under Control."		
IN -	More information	n is needed to m	ake a determination.		
Completed 1	by (signature)	/Griff E. Mill	er/	Date	9/3/13
	(print)	Griff Miller		_	
	(title)	Remedial Pro	ject Manager	_	
Supervisor	(signature)	/Paul Gotthold/			9/3/13
	(print)	Paul Gotthold			
	(title)	Associate Dir	ector	_	
	(EPA Region or	State) EPA R	egion 3	_	
Locations w	here References n	nay be found:			
	gion III		PADEP		
USEPA Reg	Waste and Chemical Mgmt. Division South Central Region		South Central Regional Office		
Waste and C			1650 Arch Street 909 Elmerton Ave.		
Waste and C 1650 Arch S	Street				
Waste and C 1650 Arch S			Harrisburg, PA 17110		
Waste and C 1650 Arch S Philadelphia	Street a, PA 19103	numbers			
Waste and C 1650 Arch S Philadelphia	Street	numbers			

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.