#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

#### **RCRA Corrective Action**

## Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility	Address:	West Chester, Pennsylvania
Facility	EPA ID #:	PAD 04 225 9374
	groundwater, su	e relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, rface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste hits (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been <b>considered</b> in this 1?
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

#### BACKGROUND

**Facility Name:** 

#### **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Sartomer Company, Inc.

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### **Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

### **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

Groundwater	Yes X	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants SITE CONTAMINANTS ARE BENZENE, TOLUENE AND HEPTANE FOR ALL MEDIA.
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		X		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)	X			
Surface Water		X		
Sediment		X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	X			
Air (outdoors)		X		

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

### **Rationale and Reference(s):**

All information in this EI. document came from either the April 22,1997 report by ERM titled "Baseline Soil and Groundwater Sampling Report" or from the Environmental Indicator Inspection Report (March 26, 1999). The report was prepared by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and based on the September 15, 1998 site visit

<b>Contaminant</b>	Highest Value (12/96)	EPA Screening	PA Act 2 Screening
Benzene in GW	160,000 ppb	5ppb (MCL)	5ppb (Statewide)
Toluene in GW	85,000 ppb	1000 ppb (MCL)	1000 ppb (Statewide)

Page 3

Heptane in GW	130ppb	N/A	N/A
		Res EPA industrial	Statewide Health Standard Soil to GW
Benzene in soil	1,218,000	22,000ppb-200,000	ррь 500 ррь
Toluene in soil	676,000	1,600,000 р	орь-41,000,000ррь 100,000 ррь
Heptane in soil	38,000	N/A	N/A

#### Footnotes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 4

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

#### **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**

		Potential 1	Human Rec	<u>eptors</u> (Under 0	Current Condit	tions)	
"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	$Food^3$
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2	ft)						
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
- 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

<u>X</u>	If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The facility is paved and groundwater is not used by the facility or by the surrounding community.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 5

4.	"significant" (i greater in magni acceptable "leve (perhaps even th	es from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be .e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) tude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the ls" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude ough low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the ls") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code
	Rationale and Re	ference(s):

<sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 6

	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

Page 7

(and attach appr	opriate supp	orting documentation as well as a map of	f the facility):
<u>X</u>	review of the are expect of the expect of the expected of the	"Current Human Exposures Under Conhe information contained in this EI Determent to be "Under Control" at the <b>Sartome 74</b> , located at <b>West Chester, Pennsylva</b> onditions. This determination will be reware of significant changes at the facility	rmination, "Current Human Exper Company, Inc. facility, EPA Inia under current and reasonable-evaluated when the Agency/S
	NO - "Cu	rrent Human Exposures" are NOT "Und	er Control."
	IN - Mor	e information is needed to make a deter	mination.
Completed by	(signatur	e)	Date 05-20-99
	(print)	Renee Gelblat	
	(title)	Remedial Project Manager	
Supervisor	(signatur	e)	Date <u>05-20-99</u>
	(print)	Paul Gotthold	
	(title)	PA Operations Branch Chief	
	(EPA Reg	gion or State) EPA, Region 3	
Locations when	e Reference	s may be found:	
Locations when		•	
	FILE ROOM	1.	
EPA REGION 3	FILE ROOM	1.	

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

gelblat.renee@epa.gov

(e-mail)