DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION Interim Final 2/5/99 RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:	Caterpillar, Inc.
Facility Address:	600 Memory Lane, York, Pennsylvania 17402
Facility EPA ID #:	PAD 005569538

1. Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?

X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

If no – re-evaluate existing data, or

If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility [i.e., site-wide]).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or **ar media** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**¹ above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale/Key Contaminants
Groundwater		X		Releases were addressed and remediated.
Air (indoors) ²		X		No record of contamination.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		No record of contamination.
Surface Water	•	<u> </u>		No record of contamination.
Sediment		<u>X</u>		No record of contamination.
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X	•	No record of contamination.
Air (outdoors)				No record of contamination.

- X If no (for all media) skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.
- If yes (for any media) continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.
 - If unknown (for any media)- skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Air (indoor and outdoor), Surface Soil, Subsurface Soil, Surface Water, and Sediment:

There are no records of suspected releases that are above protective risk-based "levels" by the facility. (EI Inspection Report, July 2007)

Groundwater:

According to information obtained during the file review, Caterpillar implemented a voluntary groundwater

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants(in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) doesnot present unacceptable risks.

management program for the site in 1987. Historically, Caterpillar has experienced documented and undocumented releases of machining oil, lubricating oil, kerosene, and VOCs into the groundwater as a result of various manufacturing processes. Quarterly groundwater monitoring was documented from 1993 to 1996 in the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) files. This groundwater monitoring program indicated that approximately nine of fifteen wells in the monitoring well network at the facility contained detectable levels of the following VOCs: 1,1,1-TCA, 1,1-DCA, TCE, 1,1-DCE, cis/trans 1,2-DCE, and chlorobenzene. One monitoring well, S4, installed in the vicinity of the Solar Turbine Cogeneration facility (and in the general area of abandoned oil sludge lagoons that reportedly had existed in the mid- to late- 1960's) contained free product, and approximately 2,500gallons of free product was recovered from this well during remedial efforts in 1998 and 1999. According to the file review, free product recovery efforts ceased in August 1999, and free product has been detected in this well since then.

PADEP Act 2 Statewide Health Standards for VOCs, PAHs, PCBs and metals in groundwater have been attained at the site compliance point, the downgradient property line. Maintenance of these standards will be accomplished using engineering controls as set forth in the Post Remediation Care Plan contained within Section 9 of the *Attainment of Statewide Health Standards Final Report, Caterpillar Area C-Site-wide Groundwater*. The report also indicates that although specific areas of residual contamination do exist within the site boundary, they will not migrate to the site compliance point at concentrations exceeding PADEP Act 2 Statewide Health Standards. (EI Inspection Report, July 2007)

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

				Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)				
Contaminated Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³	
Groundwater Air (indoors) Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft. Surface Water Sediment Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft. Air (outdoors)					•			

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.

2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media-- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("____"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated mediareceptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways).

If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media- Human Receptor combination)continue after providing supporting explanation.

If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media- Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter — "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

3 Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.

Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "**significant**"⁴ (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhap even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?

If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway)- skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway)- continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

If unknown (for any complete pathway)- skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

4 If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptabe") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

4.

Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?

If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limit) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).

If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.

If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure)- continue and enter "IN" status code '

Rationale and Reference(s):

5.

- Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
 - X YE Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the Information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the <u>Caterpillar Construction Equipment Manufacturing, Inc.</u> facility, EPA ID # <u>PAD 005569538</u>, located at <u>600 Memory Lane, York, PA 17402</u> under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."

IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed b	y (signature)	thinlà Maty bula	Date	09/29/2014
	(print)	Linda A Matyskiela	-	
	(title)	Project Manager		
Supervisor	(signature)	Jan Aptitud	Date	9-29-14
	(print)	Paul Gotthold, Assoc. Director	-	
	(title)	Office of PA Remediation	-	
	(EPA Region or	State) EPA Region III	<u>.</u>	
Locations wh	here References n	nay be found:		
USEPA Region III PADEP			1	
Land and Ch 1650 Arch S	treet	909 Elmerton Avenue		
Philadelphia,	, PA 19103	Harrisburg, PA 17110		
Contact telep	bhone and e-mail	numbers		

(contact)Linda Matyskiela(phone)215-814-3420(email)Matyskiela.Linda@epa.gov

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

6.