#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

# RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

#### **Current Human Exposures Under Control**

Facility Name:	Allegheny Ludlum Steel
Facility Address:	Vandergrift, Pennsylvania
Facility EPA ID #:	PAD 00 437 8501

- 1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?
  - **\_\_X\_\_** If yes check here and continue with #2 below.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ If no re-evaluate existing data, or
  - \_\_\_\_\_ if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

### **BACKGROUND**

#### Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

#### **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

#### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated"<sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		_X_		No record of contamination.
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		_X_		No record of contamination.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		_X_		No record of contamination.
Surface Water		_X_		Releases were addressed and remediated.
Sediment		_X_		No record of contamination.
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		_X_		No record of contamination.
Air (outdoors)		_X_		No record of contamination.

- \_\_X\_\_ If no (for all media) skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.
- If yes (for any media) continue after identifying key contaminants in each \_\_\_\_ "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.
- If unknown (for any media) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

#### Rationale and Reference(s):

#### Groundwater, Air (indoors and outdoors), Sediment, Subsurface and Surface Soils:

There are no records of suspected releases that are above protective risk-based "levels" by the facility. (EI Inspection Report, 12/2000)

#### Surface Water:

Over the years there have been minor releases to the Kiskiminetas River through the NPDES Outfalls. The releases were reported to PADEP. Some of the releases included small quantity of oil, sodium hydrosulfide, sewer slurry, dilute sulfuric acid and ethylene glycol into the Kiskiminetas River. The releases were addressed and remediated promptly by using booms and absorbents to contain and recover the constituents. The remnants from the clean-ups were remediated through natural attenuation such as dilution and volatilization. (EI Inspection Report, 12/2000)

#### **Special Note:**

When USX Corporation sold the facility to Allegheny Ludlum, USX retained ownership of the Frozen Hollow Dump Site property. The dump site, which was a natural valley, was active from the middle 1930's to the middle 1970's and collected waste materials from the steel plant. The primary wastes consist of slag and cinder which originated from blast open hearth furnaces. Other wastes included flue dust, used furnace bricks, concrete rubble and waste pickle liquor. The investigation of the dump site was deferred to the Superfund Program. The site was closed out in 1985 and archived with a preliminary assessment.

(EI Inspection Report, 12/2000)

### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>2</sup> Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

#### Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	$Food^3$
Groundwater							
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.

2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

- If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
- \_\_\_\_\_ If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) continue after providing supporting explanation.
- \_\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

#### Rationale and

Reference(s):\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

- 4. Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "**significant**"<sup>4</sup> (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
  - If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
  - If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
  - \_\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any complete pathway) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and

Reference(s):\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

- 5. Can the "significant" **exposures** (identified in #4) be shown to be within **acceptable** limits?
  - If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a sitespecific Human Health Risk Assessment).
  - \_\_\_\_\_ If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) continue and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):\_\_\_\_\_

- 6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
  - \_\_X\_ YE Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Allegheny Ludlum facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 437 8501, located at Vandergrift, Pennsylvania under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
  - \_\_\_\_ NO "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
  - \_\_\_\_ IN More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by	(signature)	Date <u>08-30-01</u>
	(print) Khai M. Dao	
	(title) Remedial Project Manager	
Supervisor	(signature)	Date <u>09-05-01</u>
	(print) Paul Gotthold	
	(title) PA. Operations Branch Chief	
	(EPA Region or State) EPA, Region 3	

Locations where References may be found:

US EPA	PADEP
Region III	Bureau of Waste Management
Waste and Chemcial Mgmt. Divisio	n 400 Water Front Dr.
1650 Arch Street	Pittburgh, PA 15222
Philadelphia, PA 19103	

Contact telephone number and email:

EPA Contact		PADEP Contact
(name) Kh	ai M. Dao	Gerold Tripoli
(phone #) (21:	5) 814-5467	(724) 925-5403
(e-mail) dao	.khai@epa.gov	

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.