DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS Code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility 1	Name:	Osram Sylvania Products, Inc.
Facility .	Address:	835 Washington Road, St. Mary's, PA 15857
Facility	EPA ID #:	PAD 002 124 386
g N	roundwater, su	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, rface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste its (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		If no – re-evaluate existing data, or
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code
BACKGE	ROUND	

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Controls" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate riskbased levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program, the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2.	Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as					
		idelines, gui			om releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action	
		Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>?</u>	Rationale/Key Contaminants	
	Groundwater		X			
	Air (indoors) ²		X			
	Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X			
	Surface Water		X			
	Sediment		X			
	Subsurface Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X			
	Air (outdoors)		X			
X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				le after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and that these "levels" are not exceeded.	
		vide an exp	lanation for	the deter	aminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing rmination that the medium could pose an on.	
	If unknown (for any media)) – skip to #6	6 and enter	"IN" stat	us code.	
Ration	nale and Reference(s):					
-						

Osram Sylvania Products, Inc. has no reported releases, spills or other events that would suggest that groundwater, air, soil sediments, etc. are contaminated. Some initial soil and groundwater sampling did occur as part of the closure of Tank #4, 10,000-gallon diesel UST in 1991, but based on sample results the visibly stained soil was removed and the area was backfilled. It does not appear that further monitoring or remediation has taken place.

P/Projects/EI-CME/0365 FINAL Forms - E053

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Page 3

3. Are there complete pathways between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated Media" Residents Workers Day-Care Construction Trespassers Recreation Food³

Groundwater Air (indoors) Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft) Surface Water Sediment Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft) Air (outdoors)

Instructions for **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors -- spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
- 2. Enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media -receptor

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations, some potential "Contaminated" Media – Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("_____"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations, they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

	combination) – skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet) to analyze major pathways.
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media – Human Receptor combination) – continue after providing supporting explanation.
	If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media – Human Receptor combination) – skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
Rationale and Reference(s):	

_

 $^{^3}$ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

(1 i	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significa (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" levels) because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (use identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater acceptable risks)?			
	If no (exposures (can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) – skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."			
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) – continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."			
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) – skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.			
Rationale	and Reference(s):			

P/Projects/EI-CME/0365 FINAL Forms - E053

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant' (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a Human Health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

5.	Can the "significant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) – continue and enter a "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a sitespecific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable") – continue and enter a "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) – continue and enter "IN" status code.
Rationa	ale and Reference(s):

Page 6

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725).
	and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach
	appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):

YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the

X NO – "Curi	rent Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Conti	ol."	
IN - Mor	e information is needed to make a determination	on.	
Completed by:	(signature) /s/	Date	9/3/08
	(print) Griff Miller	_	
	(title) Remedial Project Manager	_	
Supervisor:	(signature) /s/	Date	9/3/08
	(print) Paul Gotthold	_	
	(title) Associate Director, OPR	_	
	(EPA Region or State)	_	
References	eferences may be found: have been appended to the Environmental Indi ADEP's Meadville office and USEPA's Region		and can also be
Contact telephone a	and e-mail numbers:		
(name)	Griff Miller		
(phone #)	215-814-3407		
(e-mail)	miller.griff@epa.gov		

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.