## Documentation of Environmental Indicator Determination RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control March 2, 2004

# Facility Name:Cabot Performance MatarialsFacility Address:377 Beaver Run Road, Revere, PA 18953-0239Facility EPA ID #:PAD 014 512 388

- 1. Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?
  - \_X\_ If yes check here and continue with #2 below.
  - \_\_\_\_ If no re-evaluate existing data, or
  - \_\_\_\_\_ if data are not available, skip to #8 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

# BACKGROUND

# Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

# Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to stabilizing the further spread of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

# Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

- 2. Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated"<sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?
  - \_\_\_\_\_ If yes continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
  - \_X\_ If no skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
    - If unknown skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

#### Rationale and Reference(s):

In February 2002, all plant activities were sealed all equipment was removed from the site. All existing buildings are in the process of being demolished.

The Cabot Performance Metals site (formerly Penn Rare Metals) is located approximately 0.75 miles southeast of the unincorporated village of Revere at 377 Beaver Run Road in Nockamixon Township, Bucks County, Pennsylvania. The facility property includes seven acres of land on the east side of Beaver Run Road and another 95 acres on the west side of the road where the plant buildings are still located. The site was originated in 1959 with the relocation of Penn Rare Metals from Horsham, Pennsylvania. The latest activities at the plant included metal refinery operations resulting in production of cesium, rubidium, and germanium salts, as well as germanium oxides, germanium tetrachloride, tellurium metals, and niobium alloys. The products were also included ferro-columbium and nickel-columbium; these alloying materials are used in producing alloy steels of various grades suitable for use in jet engines.

All wastes at the facility were residual wastes. To protect human health and the environment the site is being cleaned under the PADEP, Act 2 "Land Recycling Program."

A risk assessment for the Cabot, Revere site for the current site conditions was conducted. A site model for current exposures for human health revealed several Constituents of Potential Concerns (COPCs) in surface water, surface soils and sediment. A model was developed in accordance with the US EPA Region III Risk - Based Concentrations for industrial soils and tap water. The COPCs were used to develop a quantitative risk assessment for current human health exposures. According to assessment a current human health risks and hazards are well within acceptable US EPA Region III risk assessment guidelines. A total cancer risk of 3x10-7 was also estimated. Thus, the Cabot Performance Metals Revere site currently pose no unacceptable human health risks.

In January, 2004 the groundwater was monitored and collected from two property wells. Shallow groundwater level is 70-75 feet below the ground surface. The groundwater discharges to Rapp Creek, which discharges into Tinicum Creek approximately two miles south of the site. Rapp Creek and Tinicum Creek are protected under Pennsylvania Chapter 93 Water Quality Standards for the maintenance and/or propagation of fish species. The groundwater at the Cabot site is not an environmental media of concern.

1"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

- 3. Is the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater"<sup>2</sup> as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?
  - \_X\_ If yes continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination"2).
  - If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination"<sup>2</sup>) skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ If unknown skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): see page 2

2 "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

- 4. Does "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?
  - \_\_X\_ If yes continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
  - If no skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ If unknown skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): see page 2

- 5. Is the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" (i.e., the maximum concentration3 of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?
  - \_\_X\_ If yes skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration3 of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not suspected to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
  - If no (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration<sup>3</sup> of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations<sup>3</sup> greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.

If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s): see page 2

3 As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

- 6. Can the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "currently acceptable" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented<sup>4</sup>)?
  - If yes continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these Х conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment with documentation demonstrating that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.
  - If no (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
  - If unknown skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): see page 2

4 Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

- 7. Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"
  - If yes continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."
  - \_X\_\_ If no enter "NO" status code in #8.
  - If unknown enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s): see page 2

- 8. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility). Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
  - CA750 Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" at the Cabot Performance Materials, located 377 Beaver Run Road, Revere, PA, EPA ID # PAD 014 512 388, is "Under Control."
  - NO Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.
  - IN More information is needed to make a determination

Locations where References may be found:

1650 Arch Street, 3WC22 EPA files.

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)	Ioff, Victoria	
(phone #)	215-814-3415	
(e-mail)	ioff.vickie@epa.gov	

Completed by	(signature) /s/	Date 3-2-04
	(print) Ioff, Victoria	
	(title) Remedial Project Manager	
Supervisor	(signature) /s/	Date 3-3-04
	(print) Gotthold, Paul	
	(title) Branch Chief	
	(EPA Region or State) EPA, Region III, PA Operations Branch	