#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

#### **RCRA** Corrective Action

## **Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)** Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility	Name:	Pure Carbon Company (Stackpole)
Facility	Address:	East 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street and Route 6, Coudersport, PA
Facility	EPA ID#:	PAD 00 210 3273
	groundwater n	ble relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the nedia, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units gulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been <b>considered</b> in this EI determination?
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
		_ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
		_ If data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

#### BACKGROUND

#### **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

#### Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

### **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., nonaqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2.	Is <b>groundwater</b> known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective
	"levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines,
	guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?

<u>X</u>	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
	If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The Pure Carbon facility conducted a groundwater investigation that began in 1990. Pure Carbon also clean closed two tanks and three cooling water ponds onsite. Pond #1 did contain sludges contaminated with lead. This sludge was excavated and sent offsite. Ponds #2 and #3 did not contain hazardous constituents above levels of concern. These sludges were excavated and placed in an onsite residual waste landfill under a permit from the PADEP. (See lab results in file and Closure Certification for Pond #1, October 18, 1990, Geraghty and Miller groundwater evaluation and PADEP letters related to groundwater monitoring approvals – September 20, 1991 and May 11, 1995).

Groundwater monitoring for these closure activities was conducted under PADEP approvals. The target constituents were VOCs (notably 1,1,1-TCA, TCE and PCE) and lead. Between 1991 and 1993, Geraghty and Miller were hired to evaluate groundwater quality, and assess potential risks from shallow groundwater containing VOCs and lead. Due to the low levels of VOCs and lead, at non-detect levels, the report concluded that active remediation was not necessary, and a 5-year monitoring program was put into place with PADEP approval. This program was subsequently terminated by PADEP in 1995 given the continued low levels of VOCs and non-detection of any VOCs in the surface water (drainage ditch to Mills Creek). At the time of cessation, only Well #7 (14 ppb) and Well #8 (11 ppb) exhibited VOC levels above MCL for TCE (5 ppb). Three wells further downgradient of #7 and #8 were below the MCL. No other volatile compounds were found above their MCL, although 1,1,1-TCA was detected at 13 ppb (MCL = 200 ppb).

Pure Carbon is continuing quarterly groundwater monitoring at the residual waste landfill. For this unit, VOC levels have historically been at or below the detection limit of 1 ppb. Monitoring continues under post closure.

#### Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup>"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

3.	Has the <b>migration</b> of contaminated groundwater <b>stabilized</b> (such that contaminated groundwater is
	expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring
	locations designated at the time of this determination)?

X	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" <sup>2</sup> ).
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" <sup>2</sup> ) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
	If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

**Rationale and Reference(s):** Groundwater monitoring results from downgradient wells #9, #10 and #11 show levels of VOCs below drinking water MCLs. Since the highest VOC levels are approximately 11 - 14 ppb, this "plume" is clearly under control.

The complete reports are available for review at EPA's Regional Office.

<sup>2</sup> "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4.	Does "contamina	Does "contaminated" groundwater <b>discharge</b> into <b>surface water</b> bodies?		
		If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.		
	X	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing ar explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.		
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.		
	Rationale and R	eference(s): Sampling of runoff ditch to Mills Creek showed non-detect for VOCs.		

Page 5

5.	maximum concentra appropriate ground discharging contam	"contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" (i.e., the ation <sup>3</sup> of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their water "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of aninants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for cets to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?
	n a e p d	f yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the naximum known or reasonably suspected concentration of key contaminants discharged bove their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is vidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have nacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.
	s c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	f no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially ignificant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected oncentration <sup>3</sup> of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations are reater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the urface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.
	I Rationale and Refer	f unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Page 6

6.	acceptable" (i.e., r	of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "currently not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed final remedy decision can be made and implemented <sup>4</sup> )?
		If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, <sup>5</sup> appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
		If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Refe	erence(s):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Page 7

7.	Will groundwater <b>monitoring</b> / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"		
	<ul> <li>If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."</li> <li>If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.</li> <li>If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.</li> </ul>		

Rationale and Reference(s): Groundwater sampling continues for the closed residual waste landfill.

Page 8

8.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
	EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI
	determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

X	YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Pure Carbon Company (Stackpole) facility, EPA ID # PAD 00 210 3273, located at East 2 <sup>nd</sup> Street and Route 6, Coudersport, PA. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.  NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expectation.		ed in this EI determination, nated Groundwater" is pole) facility, EPA ID # e 6, Coudersport, PA. ation of "contaminated" be conducted to confirm isting area of e re-evaluated when the acility. dwater is observed or expected.
Completed by	(signature)		Date <u>04-21-00</u>
	(print)	Paul Gotthold	•
	(title)	PA Operations Branch Chief	
Supervisor	(signature)		Date 04-21-00
	(print)	Maria Parisi Vickers	_
	(title)	Deputy Division Director, Office of	

## Locations where References may be found:

EPA Region III - 11<sup>th</sup> Floor RCRA Fileroom 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

RCRA Programs, WCMD

(EPA Region or State) EPA, Region 3

## **Contact telephone and e-mail numbers:**

(name)	Paul Gotthold
(phone #)	215-814-3410
(e-mail)	gotthold.paul@epa.gov