Derivation and application of taxon-specific criteria: Additional resolution in WQC recommendations

Tom Augspurger, USFWS, Raleigh, NC Chris Mebane, USGS, Boise, ID Ning Wang, John Besser, Chris Ingersoll, USGS, Columbia, MO Sandy Raimondo, USEPA, Gulf Breeze, FL

> Invited expert meeting on revising USEPA's guidelines for deriving aquatic life criteria, September 14-16, 2015, Arlington, VA



Re-visit the Taxon-Specific Water Quality Criteria concept

Go through a worked example

Integration with Water Quality Criteria problem formulation

### Taxon-Specific WQC concept



#### **Proposed Revisions to EPA's Aquatic Life Criteria Guidelines:** Taxon-specific Criteria

Presentation to the Science Advisory Board September 21, 2005

Presented by Brian Thompson, Region 5 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Tom Augspurger, Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Department of the Interior

On behalf of the The Taxon-specific Criteria Subcommittee

1

#### Taxon-Specific WQC concept

National general aquatic life use criteria derived to be generally protective of a large number of taxa; not meant to protect all species all of the time

 National taxon-specific criteria - derived to protect a species, genus, or family that is not adequately protected by a national general aquatic life use criterion  Taxon-Specific WQC concept
 In addition to national general criteria, natural resource and risk managers may want to ensure protection of "special status" taxa:

- species or genera known to be sensitive to a pollutant (potentially under-protected by national general aquatic life criteria for that pollutant)
- taxa that a risk evaluation indicates may be sensitive and which have a designated special status:
  - commercial, recreational, cultural, or ecological importance to a Tribe, State or Territory
  - Federally-listed threatened and endangered species

Tools for Taxon-Specific Criteria (not the focus today)

Recalculate with target species data
Interspecies correlation estimates
Species sensitivity distributions
Apply empirical uncertainty factors based on variability within a target taxonomic level

#### Purpose of Taxon-Specific Criteria

- Companion national recommendations to provide for the protection of special status taxa as designated by the ESA, State, Territory, or Tribe
  - For use by natural resource and risk managers depending on the level of protection they seek to implement
  - Facilitate State standards development
  - Facilitate Endangered Species Act consultation

#### Hypothetical example - ammonia



Figure 3 from USEPA 2013. Aquatic life ambient water quality criteria for ammonia -Freshwater

### Same data, legend exaggerated

**Summary of Ranked Ammonia GMAVs** 



#### Ammonia and mussels

**Summary of Ranked Ammonia GMAVs** 



# Mussels - Where do they occur?

#### Every region

Southeast particularly speciose



Freshwater mussel diversity and distribution

Cummings and Graf. 2015. Class Bivalvia. In Thorp and Covich's Freshwater Invertebrates. Thorp JH, Rogers DC (eds). Elsevier, New York, NY.



### Taxon-Specific WQC concept – Applied to Ammonia

A common pollutant

- Mussels (mollusks) known to be sensitive to this pollutant
- Mussels of conservation concern due to declining biodiversity
- Mussel recovery efforts in progress
- Many species listed as t/e

So taxon-specific criteria useful

Taxon (mussel)-specific criteria derivation considerations (acute)

 Use data only for mussels in deriving limits to protect mussels

Collapse data by species, rather than genus, to preserve resolution of interspecies variation

Verify assumptions for estimating safe concentrations (e.g., SMAV/2 was acceptable for ammonia and mussels)

#### Ammonia and mussels



#### Ammonia and mussels



#### Hypothetical example - chronic

Δ

Δ

Δ

100 GMCV (mg TAN/L) at pH 7 (and 20°C invertebrates) 10 0 0 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3



Summary of Ranked Ammonia GMCVs Freshwater

Figure 4 from **USEPA 2013.** Aquatic life ambient water quality criteria for ammonia -**Freshwater** 

### Hypothetical example - chronic



Figure 4 from USEPA 2013. Aquatic life ambient water quality criteria for ammonia -Freshwater

## From Table F.1 in 2013 Ammonia WQC document:

From Table F.1 in 2013 Ammonia WQC document:

#### Species ACR

28
0
)
0

### From Table F.1 in 2013 Ammonia WQC document:

From Table F.1 in 2013 Ammonia WQC document:		Calculate ACRs by taxon of interest			
		ACRs			
Species	ACR	Mussel	Bivalve	Mollusk	
Wavy-rayed lampmus	sel 49.45				
Fatmucket	9.028				
Rainbow mussel	11.40	17.2			
Fingernail clam	42.50		21.6		
Pebblesnail	7.940			17.7	

## Hypothetical example - chronic

From three SMCVs for mussels, a musselspecific ACR of 17.2 applied to the FAV yields a mussel-specific instream chronic concentration of 1.3 mg TAN/L at pH 7 and 20°C

From four SMCVs for bivalves, a bivalvespecific ACR of 21.6 yields a bivalvespecific instream chronic concentration of 1.0 mg TAN/L at pH 7 and 20°C

#### Hypothetical example - summary

- At the example pH 7 and 20°C, the bivalve-specific acute concentration is 1.5fold lower than the 2013 WQC CMC and the bivalve-specific chronic concentration is 1.9-fold lower than the CCC
- Taxon-specific criteria could also tailor duration and frequency recommendations to the taxon of interest

#### Example conclusions

- Mussels sensitive to ammonia and reasonable to expect some untested mussels will be more sensitive
- Data exist to craft science-based water quality recommendations for mussels (or bivalves, or mollusks)

 Mussels of conservation concern – endangered species consultations and recovery

#### Example conclusions

Number of freshwater mussel species historically known to occur within each state and the percentage classified as imperiled

Williams and Neves. 1995. Freshwater mussels: a neglected and declining aquatic resource. pp. 19-21, in E.T. LaRoe et al. (eds.). Our living resources: A report to the nation on the abundance, and health of U.S. plants, animals, and ecosystems. USDOI, NBS, Washington DC.



### Southeastern biodiversity

Freshwater aquatic animal taxa	Number of species known for each taxon at various geographic divisions (various sources - draft)					
	North America	Southeast US	Alabama	North Carolina		
Fishes	950	490	312	261		
Reptiles and		>150	139	98		
Amphibians						
Mussels	297	269	182	50		
Clams	35	20		15		
Snails	703	313	202	66		
Insects	~ 6,500	>4,000		>2,340		
Crayfish	353	330	88	46		
Other crustaceans				30		
Annelids				115		
<b>Other invertebrates</b>						

### Problem formulation - Ammonia

- A common pollutant
- Mussels (mollusks) known to be sensitive to this pollutant
- Mussels of conservation concern due to declining biodiversity
- Mussel recovery efforts in progress
- Many species listed as threatened / endangered

### Problem formulation - Ammonia



Modified from Figure 2 in USEPA 2013. Aquatic life ambient water quality criteria for ammonia -Freshwater

### Strategic Aspects of Taxon-Specific Criteria

- When problem formulation in deriving national WQC indicates certain taxa may be sensitive to that chemical, of special biodiversity or management significance, consider a taxon-specific criteria
  - species or genera known to be sensitive to a pollutant
  - taxa that a risk evaluation indicates may be sensitive and which have a special status

### Strategic Aspects of Taxon-Specific Criteria

- Science-based national recommendations, developed at the same time as general aquatic life criteria
  - Alternative values for applicable waters
  - Derived at national level, so included in all peer review and stakeholder feedback
  - Facilitate Endangered Species Act consultation

### Conclusions

- Sensitive or special status taxa can be incorporated into problem formulation
- Taxon-specific criteria can provide risk managers with science-based options
- Inclusion of taxon-specific criteria within WQC recommendations may facilitate ESA consultations by providing probabilistic estimates of hazard based on the most relevant data



U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

#### **Conserving The Nature of America**