Watershed Management Optimization Support Tool (WMOST) v. 2 Integrated Water Management for Communities and Planning Authorities Naomi Detenbeck, US EPA Atlantic Ecology Division, Narragansett, RI

Hydrology Time Series Data Available for WMOST Inputs in EPA Region 7

What is WMOST?

 Decision-support tool for <u>integrated</u> watershed and water resources management (stormwater, wastewater, drinking water, land conservation) Evaluates costs/benefits of green infrastructure (GI) solutions

Cost-optimization given user constraints, e.g.,

EPA 20 Watershed Study Additions to WMOST database



Applications of Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model

Example SWAT model applications in Region 7 States. A search of the SWAT online bibliography revealed 77 model applications in Kansas, 62 model applications in Iowa, 27 in Missouri, and 7 in Nebraska.

Reference	Watershed	Calibration	Validation
Arnold et al. 2000	Upper Mississippi River (incl. IA, MO)	1961-1980	1981-1985
Benham et al. 2006	Shoal Creek, MO	1999-2000	2001-2002
Benson et al. 2006	Long Branch, Upper Shoal Creek, Miami Creek, MO		
Benson et al. 2008	Small ag watersheds across Missouri	1997-2007	
Chaplot et al. 2004	Walnut Creek, IA	1991-1998	
Du et al. 2005	Walnut Creek, IA	1992-1995	1996-1999
Green et al. 2006	S Fork of the Iowa River, IA	1995-1998	1999-2004
Jha et al. 2004a	Mazuoketa River, IA	1981-1990	
Jha et al. 2004b	Upper Mississippi River	1989-1997	1980-1988
Jha et al. 2007	Racoon River, IA	1981-1992	1993-2003
Perkins & Sophocleous 1999	Lower Republican River, KS	1977-1994	
Secchi et al. 2007	13 watersheds, IA	varies	
Vache et al. 2002	Buck Creek and Walnut Creek, IA	varies	
Linard et al. 2009	Maple Creek, NE	1996-1997	

Future National Hydrologic and Water Quality System (HAWQS)

- National Watershed and Water Quality Assessment Tool
- SWAT-based
- Databases, interfaces and models to evaluate impacts of management alternatives
- Includes: sediments, pathogens, nutrients, BOD,

 Baseflows (drinking water supply, support fish populations)

• Peak flows – minimize erosion, flooding costs

dissolved oxygen, pesticides

• Supported by EPA Office of Water

Example Applications of WMOST



Case Study 1 (WMOST v1): Danvers/Middleton

- Ipswich River on American Rivers "Most Threatened Rivers" list due to excessive water withdrawals and interbasin transfers
- History:

- Late 1800's, before the first sewers were built in Ipswich River communities, most water withdrawn from the watershed was returned as wastewater to the basin. - 2002: 80% of the total wastewater produced was exported out of the basin. - 50% of native riverine fish species extirpated

- **Objective**: Minimize cost to meet projected human demand and in-stream flow criteria
- **Goal**: Double target instream flow
- Least cost management options
- Demand reduction
- Fix leaks (drinking water, wastewater)
- Increase local wastewater treatment
- Green infrastructure (infiltration basins)
- Aquifer Storage and Recharge
- Water Reuse Facility



• Monponsett Pond: Water Quality, Water Supply and Flooding Issues

• History:

- During historic droughts, state passed legislation allowing interbasin transfers from Monponsett Pond to another basin to serve another community 15 miles away

- Water resource management is causing local flooding, loss of historic beaches, increased retention time in western basin and associated blue-green algal blooms

• **Objective**: Minimize cost to meet projected human demand as well as in-stream flow requirements for anadromous fish and downstream threatened ecosystems

- **Results**: System severely constrained
- Least cost management options selected for sustainable yield and minimized flooding
- Demand reduction, reduction in interbasin transfers
- Fix leaks (drinking water)

- Green infrastructure stormwater BMPs a common least-cost solution in scenarios tested

Optimization Results

Main screen guides the user through the process

Buttons lead to different input tabs





After the optimization step, the user is provided with a summary of optimal management choices and associated costs. Graphs are also provided for baseline validation runs and for comparison of target and model flows.





- Infrastructure losses

- Site-specific building losses

Potential Contributions of WMOST in **Region 7 States**

- Kansas municipal Water Conservation Plans (WCPs)
- Evaluate strategies to maintain Minimum Desirable Streamflows (Kansas)
- Evaluation of aquifer recharge and water reuse options
- Drought planning
- Nebraska Integrated Management Plans for fully appropriated basins

Planned Future Directions

- FY16: Fall/winter: Water quality module, Spring/summer: CSO, Robust Decision Making for Climate Change modules; More hydro time series: Ches Bay, EPA 20 Watersheds Study
- FY17: Enhanced WQ (regional WQ-flow curves) module; GI Co-benefits module; link w nationwide SWAT (HAWQS)

• FY18: Multi-objective optimization; Scaling/linking across basins • FY19: Synthesis of case studies • **FY16-19**: Outreach/training: More case studies (diverse climates), Regional Tools Cafes; Technical support for urban partners (pending funding)

Contacts and Collaborations

- ORD: <u>Detenbeck.Naomi@epa.gov</u>, <u>tenBrink.Marilyn@epa.gov</u>
- EPA Region 1: <u>Garrigan.Trish@epa.gov</u>, <u>LeClair.Jackie@epa.gov</u>, Abele.Ralph@epa.gov
- ORISE: Morrison.Alisa@epa.gov
- Abt Associates: ZoltayViktoria@abtassoc.com

A protocol is provided for users to generate flood-cost

curves for entry into WMOST using FEMA HAZUS software

and publically available data from Flood Insurance studies.

- <u>Current Collaborators</u>: Town of Halifax, MA; Monponsett Pond Watershed Association
- Example Users: MA Sustainable Water Management Initiative Pilot Communities, Univ of CT-Storrs