

Method 1007.0: Mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, Survival, Growth, and Fecundity Test; Chronic Toxicity

Excerpt from:

**Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of
Effluents and Receiving Waters to Marine and Estuarine
Organisms**

3rd edition (2002)

EPA-821-R-02-014

SECTION 14 TEST METHOD

MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST METHOD 1007.0

14.1 SCOPE AND APPLICATION

14.1.1 This method, adapted in part from USEPA (1987d), estimates the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to the mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, during a seven-day, static renewal exposure. The effects include the synergistic, antagonistic, and additive effects of all the chemical, physical, and additive components which adversely affect the physiological and biochemical functions of the test organisms.

14.1.2 Daily observations on mortality make it possible to also calculate acute toxicity for desired exposure periods (i.e., 24-h, 48-h, 96-h LC50s).

14.1.3 Detection limits of the toxicity of an effluent or pure substance are organism dependent.

14.1.4 Brief excursions in toxicity may not be detected using 24-h composite samples. Also, because of the long sample collection period involved in composite sampling and because the test chambers are not sealed, highly volatile and highly degradable toxicants present in the source may not be detected in the test.

14.1.5 This test is commonly used in one of two forms: (1) a definitive test, consisting of a minimum of five effluent concentrations and a control, and (2) a receiving water test(s), consisting of one or more receiving water concentrations and a control.

14.2 SUMMARY OF METHOD

14.2.1 *Mysidopsis bahia* 7-day old juveniles are exposed to different concentrations of effluent, or to receiving water in a static system, during the period of egg development. The test endpoints are survival, growth (measured as dry weight), and fecundity (measured as the percentage of females with eggs in the oviduct and/or brood pouch).

14.3 INTERFERENCES

14.3.1 Toxic substances may be introduced by contaminants in dilution water, glassware, sample hardware, and testing equipment (see Section 5, Facilities, Equipment, and Supplies).

14.3.2 Improper effluent sampling and handling may adversely affect test results (see Section 8, Effluent and Receiving Water Sampling, Sample Handling, and Sample Preparation for Toxicity Tests).

14.3.3 The test results can be confounded by (1) the presence of pathogenic and/or predatory organisms in the dilution water, effluent, and receiving water, (2) the condition of the brood stock from which the test animals were taken, (3) the amount and type of natural food in the effluent, receiving water, or dilution water, (4) nutritional value of the brine shrimp, *Artemia nauplii*, fed during the test, and (5) the quantity of brine shrimp, *Artemia nauplii*, or other food added during the test, which may sequester metals and other toxic substances, and lower the DO.

14.3.4 pH drift during the test may contribute to artifactual toxicity when ammonia or other pH-dependent toxicants (such as metals) are present. As pH increases, the toxicity of ammonia also increases (see Subsection 8.8.6), so upward pH drift may increase sample toxicity. For metals, toxicity may increase or decrease with increasing pH. Lead and copper were found to be more acutely toxic at pH 6.5 than at pH 8.0 or 8.5, while nickel and zinc were more toxic at pH 8.5 than at pH 6.5 (USEPA, 1992). In situations where sample toxicity is confirmed

to be artifactual and due to pH drift (as determined by parallel testing as described in Subsection 14.3.4.1), the regulatory authority may allow for control of sample pH during testing using procedures outlined in Subsection 14.3.4.2. It should be noted that artifactual toxicity due to pH drift is not likely to occur unless pH drift is large (more than 1 pH unit) and/or the concentration of some pH-dependent toxicant in the sample is near the threshold for toxicity.

14.3.4.1 To confirm that toxicity is artifactual and due to pH drift, parallel tests must be conducted, one with controlled pH and one with uncontrolled pH. In the uncontrolled-pH treatment, the pH is allowed to drift during the test. In the controlled-pH treatment, the pH is maintained using the procedures described in Subsection 14.3.4.2. The pH to be maintained in the controlled-pH treatment (or target pH) will depend on the objective of the test. If the objective of the WET test is to determine the toxicity of the effluent in the receiving water, the pH should be maintained at the pH of the receiving water (measured at the edge of the regulatory mixing zone). If the objective of the WET test is to determine the absolute toxicity of the effluent, the pH should be maintained at the pH of the sample after adjusting the sample salinity for use in marine testing.

14.3.4.1.1 During parallel testing, the pH must be measured in each treatment at the beginning (i.e., initial pH) and end (i.e., final pH) of each 24-h exposure period. For each treatment, the mean initial pH (e.g., averaging the initial pH measured each day for a given treatment) and the mean final pH (e.g., averaging the final pH measured each day for a given treatment) must be reported. pH measurements taken during the test must confirm that pH was effectively maintained at the target pH in the controlled-pH treatment. For each treatment, the mean initial pH and the mean final pH should be within ± 0.3 pH units of the target pH. Test procedures for conducting toxicity identification evaluations (TIEs) also recommend maintaining pH within ± 0.3 pH units in pH-controlled tests (USEPA, 1996).

14.3.4.1.2 Total ammonia also should be measured in each treatment at the outset of parallel testing. Total ammonia concentrations greater than 5 mg/L in the 100% effluent are an indicator that toxicity observed in the test may be due to ammonia (USEPA, 1992).

14.3.4.1.3 Results from both of the parallel tests (pH-controlled and uncontrolled treatments) must be reported to the regulatory authority. If the uncontrolled test meets test acceptability criteria and shows no toxicity at the permitted instream waste concentration, then the results from this test should be used for determining compliance. If the uncontrolled test shows toxicity at the permitted instream waste concentration, then the results from the pH-controlled test should be used for determining compliance, provided that this test meets test acceptability criteria and pH was properly controlled (see Subsection 14.3.4.1.1).

14.3.4.1.4 To confirm that toxicity observed in the uncontrolled test was artifactual and due to pH drift, the results of the controlled and uncontrolled-pH tests are compared. If toxicity is removed or reduced in the pH-controlled treatment, artifactual toxicity due to pH drift is confirmed for the sample. To demonstrate that a sample result of artifactual toxicity is representative of a given effluent, the regulatory authority may require additional information or additional parallel testing before pH control (as described in Subsection 14.3.4.2) is applied routinely to subsequent testing of the effluent.

14.3.4.2 The pH can be controlled with the addition of acids and bases and/or the use of a CO₂-controlled atmosphere over the test chambers. pH is adjusted with acids and bases by dropwise adding 1N NaOH or 1N HCl (see Subsection 8.8.9). The addition of acids and bases should be minimized to reduce the amount of additional ions (Na or Cl) added to the sample. pH is then controlled using the CO₂-controlled atmosphere technique. This may be accomplished by placing test solutions and test organisms in closed headspace test chambers, and then injecting a predetermined volume of CO₂ into the headspace of each test chamber (USEPA, 1991b; USEPA, 1992); or by placing test chambers in an atmosphere flushed with a predetermined mixture of CO₂ and air (USEPA, 1996). Prior experimentation will be needed to determine the appropriate CO₂/air ratio or the appropriate volume of CO₂ to inject. This volume will depend upon the sample pH, sample volume, container volume, and sample constituents. If more than 5% CO₂ is needed, adjust the solutions with acids (1N HCl) and then flush the headspace with no more than 5% CO₂ (USEPA, 1992). If the objective of the WET test is to determine the toxicity of the effluent in the

receiving water, atmospheric CO₂ in the test chambers is adjusted to maintain the test pH at the pH of the receiving water (measured at the edge of the regulatory mixing zone). If the objective of the WET test is to determine the absolute toxicity of the effluent, atmospheric CO₂ in the test chambers is adjusted to maintain the test pH at the pH of the sample after adjusting the sample salinity for use in marine testing. USEPA (1996) and Mount and Mount (1992) provide techniques and guidance for controlling test pH using a CO₂-controlled atmosphere. In pH-controlled testing, control treatments must be subjected to all manipulations that sample treatments are subjected to. These manipulations must be shown to cause no lethal or sublethal effects on control organisms. In pH-controlled testing, the pH also must be measured in each treatment at the beginning and end of each 24-h exposure period to confirm that pH was effectively controlled at the target pH level.

14.4 SAFETY

14.4.1 See Section 3, Health and Safety.

14.5 APPARATUS AND EQUIPMENT

14.5.1 Facilities for holding and acclimating test organisms.

14.5.2 Brine shrimp, *Artemia*, culture unit -- see Subsection 14.6.12 below and Section 4, Quality Assurance.

14.5.3 Mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, culture unit -- see Subsection 14.13 below. This test requires a minimum of 240 7-day old (juvenile) mysids. It is preferable to obtain the test organisms from an in-house culture unit. If it is not feasible to culture mysids in-house, juveniles can be obtained from other sources, if shipped in well oxygenated saline water in insulated containers.

14.5.4 Samplers -- automatic sampler, preferably with sample cooling capability, that can collect a 24-h composite sample of 5 L.

14.5.5 Environmental chamber or equivalent facility with temperature control ($26 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$).

14.5.6 Water purification system -- Millipore Milli-Q[®], deionized water or equivalent.

14.5.7 Balance -- Analytical, capable of accurately weighing to 0.00001 g.

14.5.8 Reference weights, Class S -- for checking performance of balance. Weights should bracket the expected weights of the weighing pans and weighing pans plus organisms.

14.5.9 Drying oven -- 50-105°C range, for drying organisms.

14.5.10 Desiccator -- for holding dried organisms.

14.5.11 Air pump -- for oil-free air supply.

14.5.12 Air lines, and air stones -- for aerating cultures, brood chambers, and holding tanks, and supplying air to test solutions with low DO.

14.5.13 Meters, pH and DO -- for routine physical and chemical measurements.

14.5.14 Tray -- for test vessels; approximately 90 X 48 cm to hold 56 vessels.

14.5.15 Standard or micro-Winkler apparatus -- for determining DO and checking DO meters.

- 14.5.16 Dissecting microscope (350-400X magnification) -- for examining organisms in the test vessels to determine their sex and to check for the presence of eggs in the oviducts of the females.
- 14.5.17 Light box -- for illuminating organisms during examination.
- 14.5.18 Refractometer or other method -- for determining salinity.
- 14.5.19 Thermometers, glass or electronic, laboratory grade -- for measuring water temperatures.
- 14.5.20 Thermometers, bulb-thermograph or electronic-chart type -- for continuously recording temperature.
- 14.5.21 Thermometer, National Bureau of Standards Certified (see USEPA Method 170.1, USEPA, 1979b) -- to calibrate laboratory thermometers.
- 14.5.22 Test chambers -- 200 mL borosilicate glass beakers or non-toxic 8 oz disposable plastic cups or other similar containers. Forty-eight (48) test vessels are required for each test (eight replicates at each of five effluent concentrations and a control). To avoid potential contamination from the air and excessive evaporation of test solutions during the test, the chambers should be covered with safety glass plates or sheet plastic (6 mm thick).
- 14.5.23 Beakers or flasks -- six, borosilicate glass or non-toxic plasticware, 2000 mL for making test solutions.
- 14.5.24 Wash bottles -- for deionized water, for washing organisms from containers and for rinsing small glassware and instrument electrodes and probes.
- 14.5.25 Volumetric flasks and graduated cylinders -- Class A, borosilicate glass or non-toxic plastic labware, 50-2000 mL for making test solutions.
- 14.5.26 Separatory funnels, 2-L -- Two-four for culturing *Artemia*.
- 14.5.27 Pipets, volumetric -- Class A, 1-100 mL.
- 14.5.28 Pipets, automatic -- adjustable, 1-100 mL.
- 14.5.29 Pipets, serological -- 1-10 mL, graduated.
- 14.5.30 Pipet bulbs and fillers -- PROPIPET[®], or equivalent.
- 14.5.31 Droppers, and glass tubing with fire polished edges, 4 mm ID -- for transferring organisms.
- 14.5.32 Forceps -- for transferring organisms to weighing pans.
- 14.5.33 NITEX[®] or stainless steel mesh sieves ($\leq 150 \mu\text{m}$, 500-1000 μm , 3-5 mm) -- for concentrating organisms.
- 14.5.34 Depression glass slides or depression spot plates -- two, for observing organisms.

14.6 REAGENTS AND CONSUMABLE MATERIALS

- 14.6.1 Sample containers -- for sample shipment and storage (see Section 8, Effluent and Receiving Water Sampling, Sample Handling, and Sample Preparation for Toxicity Tests).
- 14.6.2 Data sheets (one set per test) -- for data recording (Figures 2, 7, and 8).
- 14.6.3 Tape, colored -- for labeling test chambers.

14.6.4 Markers, waterproof -- for marking containers, etc.

14.6.5 Weighing pans, aluminum -- to determine the dry weight of organisms.

14.6.6 Buffers, pH 4, pH 7, and pH 10 (or as per instructions of instrument manufacturer) -- for standards and calibration check (see USEPA Method 150.1, USEPA, 1979b).

14.6.7 Membranes and filling solutions -- for dissolved oxygen probe (see USEPA Method 360.1, USEPA, 1979b), or reagents for modified Winkler analysis.

14.6.8 Laboratory quality assurance samples and standards -- for the above methods.

14.6.9 Reference toxicant solutions -- see Section 4, Quality Assurance.

14.6.10 Reagent water -- defined as distilled or deionized water that does not contain substances which are toxic to the test organisms (see Section 5, Facilities, Equipment, and Supplies).

14.6.11 Effluent, receiving water, and dilution water -- see Section 7, Dilution Water, and Section 8, Effluent and Receiving Water Sampling, Sample Handling, and Sample Preparation for Toxicity Tests. Dilution water containing organisms that might prey upon or otherwise interfere with the test organisms should be filtered through a fine mesh net (with 150 μm or smaller openings).

14.6.11.1 Saline test and dilution water -- The salinity of the test water must be in the range of 20‰ to 30‰. The salinity should vary by no more than $\pm 2\%$ among the chambers on a given day. If effluent and receiving water tests are conducted concurrently, the salinities of these tests should be similar.

14.6.11.2 The overwhelming majority of industrial and sewage treatment effluents entering marine and estuarine systems contain little or no measurable salts. Exposure of mysids to these effluents will require adjustments in the salinity of the test solutions. It is important to maintain a constant salinity across all treatments. In addition, it may be desirable to match the test salinity with that of the receiving water. Two methods are available to adjust salinities -- a hypersaline brine (HSB) derived from natural seawater or artificial sea salts.

14.6.11.3 HSB has several advantages that make it desirable for use in toxicity testing. It can be made from any high quality, filtered seawater by evaporation, and can be added to the effluent or to deionized water to increase the salinity. Brine derived from natural seawater contains the necessary trace metals, biogenic colloids, and some of the microbial components necessary for adequate growth, survival, and/or reproduction of marine and estuarine organisms, and may be stored for prolonged periods without any apparent degradation. However, if 100% HSB is used as a diluent, the maximum concentration of effluent that can be tested is 80% effluent at 30‰ salinity and 70% effluent at 30‰ salinity.

14.6.11.3.1 The ideal container for making brine from natural seawater is one that (1) has a high surface to volume ratio, (2) is made of a non-corrosive material, and (3) is easily cleaned (fiberglass containers are ideal). Special care should be used to prevent any toxic materials from coming in contact with the seawater being used to generate the brine. If a heater is immersed directly into the seawater, ensure that the heater materials do not corrode or leach any substances that would contaminate the brine. One successful method used is a thermostatically controlled heat exchanger made from fiberglass. If aeration is used, only oil-free air compressors should be used to prevent contamination.

14.6.11.3.2 Before adding seawater to the brine generator, thoroughly clean the generator, aeration supply tube, heater, and any other materials that will be in direct contact with the brine. A good quality biodegradable detergent should be used, followed by several (at least three) thorough deionized water rinses.

14.6.11.3.3 High quality (and preferably high salinity) seawater should be filtered to at least 10 µm before placing into the brine generator. Water should be collected on an incoming tide to minimize the possibility of contamination.

14.6.11.3.4 The temperature of the seawater is increased slowly to 40°C. The water should be aerated to prevent temperature stratification and to increase water evaporation. The brine should be checked daily (depending on the volume being generated) to ensure that the salinity does not exceed 100‰ and that the temperature does not exceed 40°C. Additional seawater may be added to the brine to obtain the volume of brine required.

14.6.11.3.5 After the required salinity is attained, the HSB should be filtered a second time through a 1 mm filter and poured directly into portable containers (20-L cubitainers or polycarbonate water cooler jugs are suitable). The containers should be capped and labeled with the date the brine was generated and its salinity. Containers of HSB should be stored in the dark and maintained under room temperature until used.

14.6.11.3.6 If a source of HSB is available, test solutions can be made by following the directions below. Thoroughly mix together the deionized water and HSB before mixing in the effluent.

14.6.11.3.7 Divide the salinity of the HSB by the expected test salinity to determine the proportion of deionized water to brine. For example, if the salinity of the brine is 100‰ and the test is to be conducted at 20‰, $100‰ \div 20‰ = 5.0$. The proportion of brine is 1 part in 5 (one part brine to four parts deionized water). To make 1 L of seawater at 20‰ salinity from a HSB of 100‰, 200 mL of brine and 800 mL of deionized water are required.

14.6.11.3.8 Table 2 illustrates the composition of 1800 mL test solutions at 20‰ if they are made by combining effluent (0‰), deionized water and HSB of 100‰ (only). The volume (mL) of brine required is determined by using the amount calculated above. In this case, 200 mL of brine is required for 1 L; therefore, 360 mL would be required for 1.8 L of solution. The volumes of HSB required are constant. The volumes of deionized water are determined by subtracting the volumes of effluent and brine from the total volume of solution: $1800 \text{ mL} - \text{mL effluent} - \text{mL brine} = \text{mL deionized water}$.

14.6.11.4 Artificial sea salts: FORTY FATHOMS® brand sea salts have been used successfully to culture and perform life cycle tests with mysids (Horne, et al., 1983; ASTM, 1993) (see Section 7, Dilution Water). HW MARINEMIX® sea salts have been used successfully to culture mysids and perform the mysid toxicity test (USEPA Region 6 Houston Laboratory; EMSL-Cincinnati). In addition, a slightly modified version of the GP2 medium (Spotte et al., 1984) has been successfully used to perform the mysid survival, growth, and fecundity test (Table 1).

14.6.11.4.1 Synthetic sea salts are packaged in plastic bags and mixed with deionized water or equivalent. The instructions on the package of sea salts should be followed carefully, and the salts should be mixed in a separate container -- not in the culture tank. The deionized water used in hydration should be in the temperature range of 21-26°C. Seawater made from artificial sea salts is conditioned (Spotte, 1973; Spotte, et al., 1984; Bower, 1983) before it is used for culturing or testing. After adding the water, place an airstone in the container, cover, and aerate the solution mildly for 24 h before use.

14.6.11.4.2 The GP2 reagent grade chemicals (Table 1) should be mixed with deionized (DI) water or its equivalent in a container other than the culture or testing tanks. The deionized water used for hydration should be between 21-26°C. The artificial seawater must be conditioned (aerated) for 24 h before use as the testing medium. If the solution is to be autoclaved, sodium bicarbonate is added after the solution has cooled. A stock solution of sodium bicarbonate is made up by dissolving 33.6 g NaHCO₃ in 500 mL of deionized water. Add 2.5 mL of this stock solution for each liter of the GP2 artificial seawater.

TABLE 1. REAGENT GRADE CHEMICALS USED IN THE PREPARATION OF GP2 ARTIFICIAL SEAWATER FOR THE MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, TOXICITY TEST^{1,2,3}

Compound	Concentration (g/L)	Amount (g) Required for 20L
NaCl	21.03	420.6
Na ₂ SO ₄	3.52	70.4
Kcl	0.61	12.2
KBr	0.088	1.76
Na ₂ B ₄ O ₇ ·10 H ₂ O	0.034	0.68
MgCl ₂ ·6 H ₂ O	9.50	190.0
CaCl ₂ ·2 H ₂ O	1.32	26.4
SrCl ₂ ·6 H ₂ O	0.02	0.400
NaHCO ₃	0.17	3.40

¹ Modified GP2 from Spotte et al. (1984).

² The constituent salts and concentrations were taken from USEPA (1990b). The salinity is 30.89 g/L.

³ GP2 can be diluted with deionized (DI) water to the desired test salinity.

14.6.12 BRINE SHRIMP, *ARTEMIA*, NAUPLII -- for feeding cultures and test organisms.

14.6.12.1 Newly hatched *Artemia* nauplii are used for food for the stock cultures and test organisms. Although there are many commercial sources of brine shrimp cysts, the Brazilian or Colombian strains are preferred because the supplies examined have had low concentrations of chemical residues and produce nauplii of suitably small size.

TABLE 2. QUANTITIES OF EFFLUENT, DEIONIZED WATER, AND HYPERSALINE BRINE (100‰) NEEDED TO PREPARE 1800 ML VOLUMES OF TEST SOLUTION WITH A SALINITY OF 20‰

Effluent Concentration (%)	Volume of Effluent (0‰) (mL)	Volume of Deionized Water (mL)	Volume of Hypersaline Brine (mL)	Total Volume (mL)
80	1440	0	360	1800
40	720	720	360	1800
20	360	1080	360	1800
10	180	1260	360	1800
5	90	1350	360	1800
Control	0	1440	360	1800
Total	2790	5850	2160	10800

14.6.12.2 Each new batch of *Artemia* cysts must be evaluated for size (Vanhaecke and Sorgeloos, 1980, and Vanhaecke et al., 1980) and nutritional suitability (Leger, et al., 1985, Leger, et al., 1986) against known suitable reference cysts by performing a side-by-side larval growth test using the "new" and "reference" cysts. The "reference" cysts used in the suitability test may be a previously tested and acceptable batch of cysts. A sample of newly-hatched *Artemia* nauplii from each new batch of cysts should be chemically analyzed. The *Artemia* cysts should not be used if the concentration of total organic chlorine exceeds 0.15 µg/g wet weight or the total concentration of organochlorine pesticides plus PCBs exceeds 0.30 µg/g wet weight (For analytical methods see USEPA, 1982).

14.6.12.2.1 *Artemia* nauplii are obtained as follows:

1. Add 1 L of seawater, or an aqueous uniodized salt (NaCl) solution prepared with 35 g salt or artificial sea salts to 1 L of deionized water, to a 2-L separatory funnel, or equivalent.
2. Add 10 mL *Artemia* cysts to the separatory funnel and aerate for 24 h at 27°C. Hatching time varies with incubation temperature and the geographic strain of *Artemia* used (see USEPA, 1985a; USEPA, 2002a; ASTM, 1993).
3. After 24 h, cut off the air supply in the separatory funnel. *Artemia* nauplii are phototactic, and will concentrate at the bottom of the funnel if it is covered for 5-10 minutes. To prevent mortality, do not leave the concentrated nauplii at the bottom of the funnel more than 10 min without aeration.
4. Drain the nauplii into a beaker or funnel fitted with a 150 µm NITEX® or stainless steel screen, and rinse with seawater or equivalent before use.

14.6.12.3 Testing *Artemia* nauplii as food for toxicity test organisms.

14.6.12.3.1 The primary criteria for acceptability of each new supply of brine shrimp, cysts is adequate survival, growth, and reproduction of the mysids. The mysids used to evaluate the acceptability of the brine shrimp nauplii must be of the same geographical origin and stage of development (7 days old) as those used routinely in the toxicity tests. Sufficient data to detect differences in survival and growth should be obtained by using eight replicate test chambers, each containing 5 mysids, for each type of food.

14.6.12.3.2 The feeding rate and frequency, test vessels, volume of control water, duration of the test, and age of the *Artemia* nauplii at the start of the test, should be the same as used for the routine toxicity tests.

14.6.12.3.3 Results of the brine shrimp, *Artemia*, nauplii nutrition assay, where there are only two treatments, can be evaluated statistically by use of a t test. The "new" food is acceptable if there are no statistically significant differences in the survival, growth, and reproduction of the mysids fed the two sources of nauplii.

14.6.13 TEST ORGANISMS, *Mysidopsis bahia* (see Rodgers et al., 1986 and USEPA, 2002a for information on mysid ecology). The genus name of this organism was formally changed to *Americamysis* (Price et al., 1994); however, the method manual will continue to refer to *Mysidopsis bahia* to maintain consistency with previous versions of the method.

14.6.13.1 Brood Stock

14.6.13.1.1 To provide an adequate supply of juveniles for a test, mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, cultures should be started at least four weeks before the test animals are needed. At least 200 mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, should be placed in each culture tank to ensure that 1500 to 2000 animals will be available by the time preparations for a test are initiated.

14.6.13.1.2 Mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, may be shipped or otherwise transported in polyethylene bottles or CUBITAINERS®. Place 50 animals in 700 mL of seawater in a 1-L shipping container. To control bacterial growth and prevent DO depletion during shipment, do not add food. Before closing the shipping container, oxygenate the water for 10 min. The mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, will starve if not fed within 36 h, therefore, they should be shipped so that they are not in transit more than 24 h.

14.6.13.1.3 The identification of the *Mysidopsis bahia* stock culture should be verified using the key from Heard (1982), Price (1978), Price, (1982), Stuck et al. (1979a), and Stuck et al. (1979b). Records of the verification should be retained along with a few of the preserved specimens.

14.6.13.1.4 Glass aquaria (120- to 200-L) are recommended for cultures. Other types of culture chambers may also be convenient. Three or more separate cultures should be maintained to protect against loss of the entire culture stock in case of accident, low DO, or high nitrite levels, and to provide sufficient numbers of juvenile mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, for toxicity tests. Fill the aquaria about three-fourths full of seawater. A flow-through system is recommended if sufficient natural seawater is available, but a closed, recirculating or static renewal system may be used if proper water conditioning is provided and care is exercised to keep the pH above 7.8 and nitrite levels below 0.05 mg/L.

14.6.13.1.5 Standard aquarium undergravel filters should be used with either the flow-through or recirculating system to provide aeration and a current conducive to feeding (Gentile et al., 1983). The undergravel filter is covered with a prewashed, coarse (2-5 mm) dolomite substrate, 2.5 cm deep for flow-through cultures or 10 cm deep for recirculating cultures.

14.6.13.1.6 The recirculating culture system is conditioned as follows:

1. After the dolomite has been added, the filter is attached to the air supply and operated for 24 h.
2. Approximately 4 L of seed water obtained from a successfully operating culture is added to the culture chamber.
3. The nitrite level is checked daily with an aquarium test kit or with EPA Method 354.1 (USEPA, 1979b).
4. Add about 30 mL of concentrated *Artemia* nauplii every other day until the nitrite level reaches at least 2.0 mg/L. The nitrite will continue to rise for several days without adding more *Artemia* nauplii and will then slowly decrease to less than 0.05 mg/L.

5. After the nitrite level falls below 0.05 mg/L, add another 30 mL of *Artemia* nauplii concentrate and check the nitrite concentration every day.
6. Continue this cycle until the addition of *Artemia* nauplii does not cause a rise in the nitrite concentration. The culture chamber is then conditioned and is ready to receive mysids.
7. Add only a few (5-20) mysids at first, to determine if conditions are favorable. If these mysids are still doing well after a week, several hundred more can be added.

14.6.13.1.7 It is important to add enough food to keep the adult animals from cannibalizing the young, but not so much that the DO is depleted or that there is a buildup of toxic concentrations of ammonia and nitrite. Just enough newly-hatched *Artemia* nauplii are fed twice a day so that each feeding is consumed before the next feeding.

14.6.13.1.8 Natural seawater is recommended as the culture medium, but HSB may be used to make up the culture water if natural seawater is not available. EMSL-Cincinnati has successfully used FORTY FATHOMS® artificial sea salts for culturing and toxicity tests of mysids, and USEPA, Region 6 has used HW MARINEMIX® artificial sea salts.

14.6.13.1.9 Mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, should be cultured at a temperature of $26 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. No water temperature control equipment is needed if the ambient laboratory temperature remains in the recommended range, and if there are no frequent, rapid, large temperature excursions in the culture room.

14.6.13.1.10 The salinity should be maintained at $30 \pm 2\text{‰}$, or at a lower salinity (but not less than 20‰) if most of the tests will be conducted at a lower salinity.

14.6.13.1.11 Day/night cycles prevailing in most laboratories will provide adequate illumination for normal growth and reproduction. A 16-h/8-h day/night cycle in which the light is gradually increased and decreased to simulate dawn and dusk conditions, is recommended.

14.6.13.1.12 Mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, culture may suffer if DOs fall below 5 mg/L for extended periods. The undergravel filter will usually provide sufficient DO. If the DO drops below 5 mg/L at 25°C and 30‰, additional aeration should be provided. Measure the DO in the cultures daily the first week and then at least weekly thereafter.

14.6.13.1.13 Suspend a clear glass or plastic panel over the cultures, or use some other means of excluding dust and dirt, but leave enough space between the covers and culture tanks to allow circulation of air over the cultures.

14.6.13.1.14 If hydroids or worms appear in the cultures, remove the mysids and clean the chambers thoroughly, using soap and hot water. Rinse once with acid (10% HCl) and three times with distilled or deionized water. Mysids with attached hydroids should be discarded. Those without hydroids should be transferred by hand pipetting into three changes of clean seawater before returning them to the cleaned culture chamber. To guard against predators, natural seawater should be filtered through a net with 30 μm mesh openings before entering the culture vessels.

14.6.13.1.15 Mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, are very sensitive to low pH and sudden changes in temperature. Care should be taken to maintain the pH at 8.0 ± 0.3 , and to limit rapid changes in water temperature to less than 3°C .

14.6.13.1.16 Mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, should be handled carefully and as little as possible so that they are not unnecessarily stressed or injured. They should be transferred between culture chambers with long handled cups with netted bottoms. Animals should be transferred to the test vessels with a large bore pipette (4-mm), taking care to release the animals under the surface of the water. Discard any mysids that are injured during handling.

14.6.13.1.17 Culture Maintenance (Also See USEPA, 2002a)

14.6.13.1.17.1 Cultures in closed, recirculating systems are fed twice a day. If no nauplii are present in the culture chamber after four hours, the amount of food should be increased slightly. In flow-through systems, excess food can be a problem by promoting bacterial growth and low dissolved oxygen.

14.6.13.1.17.2 Careful culture maintenance is essential. The organisms should not be allowed to become too crowded. The cultures should be cropped as often as necessary to maintain a density of about 20 mysids per liter. At this density, at least 70% of the females should have eggs in their brood pouch. If they do not, the cultures are probably under stress, and the cause should be found and corrected. If the cause cannot be found, it may be necessary to restart the cultures with a clean culture chamber, a new batch of culture water, and clean gravel.

14.6.13.1.17.3 In closed, recirculating systems, about one third of the culture water should be replaced with newly prepared seawater every week. Before siphoning the old media from the culture, it is recommended that the sides of the vessel be scraped and the gravel carefully turned over to prevent excessive buildup of algal growth. Twice a year the mysids should be removed from the recirculating cultures, the gravel rinsed in clean seawater, the sides of the chamber washed with clean seawater, and the gravel and animals returned to the culture vessel with newly conditioned seawater. No detergent should be used, and care should be taken not to rinse all the bacteria from the gravel.

14.6.13.2 Test Organisms

14.6.13.2.1 The test is begun with 7-day-old juveniles. To have the test animals available and acclimated to test conditions at the start of the test, gravid females must be obtained from the stock culture eight days in advance of the test. Whenever possible, brood stock should be obtained from cultures having similar salinity, temperature, light regime, etc., as are to be used in the toxicity test.

14.6.13.2.2 Eight days before the test is to start, sufficient gravid females are placed in brood chambers. Assuming that 240 juveniles are needed for each test, approximately half this number (120) of gravid females should be transferred to brood chambers. The mysids are removed from the culture tank with a net or netted cup and placed in 20-cm diameter finger bowls. The gravid females are transferred from the finger bowls to the brood chambers with a large-bore pipette or, alternatively, are transferred by pouring the contents of the finger bowls into the water in the brood chambers.

14.6.13.2.3 The mysid juveniles may be collected for the toxicity tests by transferring gravid females from the stock cultures to netted (1000 μm) flow-through containers (Figure 1) held within 4-L glass, wide-mouth separatory funnels. Newly released juveniles can pass through the netting, whereas the females are retained. The gravid females are fed newly hatched *Artemia* nauplii, and are held overnight to permit the release of young. The juvenile mysids are collected by opening the stopcock on the funnel and collecting them in another container from which they are transferred to holding tanks using a wide bore (4 mm ID) pipette. The brood chambers usually require aeration to maintain sufficient DO and to keep the food in suspension.




Figure 1. Apparatus (brood chamber) for collection of juvenile mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*. From USEPA (1987d).

14.6.13.2.4 The temperature in the brood chamber should be maintained at the upper acceptable culture limit (26 - 27°C), or 1°C higher than the cultures, to encourage faster brood release. At this temperature, sufficient juveniles should be produced for the test.

14.6.13.2.5 The newly released juveniles (age = 0 days) are transferred to 20-L glass aquaria (holding vessels) which are gently aerated. Smaller holding vessels may be used, but the density of organisms should not exceed 10 mysids per liter. The test animals are held in the holding vessel for six days prior to initiation of the test. The holding medium is renewed every other day.

14.6.13.2.6 During the holding period, the mysids are acclimated to the salinity at which the test will be conducted, unless already at that salinity. The salinity should be changed no more than 2‰ per 24 h to minimize stress on the juveniles.

14.6.13.2.7 The temperature during the holding period is critical to mysid development, and must be maintained at $26 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. If the temperature cannot be maintained in this range, it is advisable to hold the juveniles an additional day before beginning the test.

14.6.13.2.8 During the holding period, just enough newly-hatched *Artemia* nauplii are fed twice a day (a total of at least 150 nauplii per mysid per day) so that some food is constantly present.

14.6.13.2.9 If the test is to be performed in the field, the juvenile mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, should be gently siphoned into 1-L polyethylene wide-mouth jars with screw-cap lids filled two-thirds full with clean seawater from the holding tank. The water in these jars is aerated for 10 min, and the jars are capped and packed in insulated boxes for shipment to the test site. Food should not be added to the jars to prevent the development of excessive bacterial growth and a reduction in DO.

14.6.13.2.10 Upon arrival at the test site (in less than 24 h) the mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, are gently poured from the jars into 20-cm diameter glass culture dishes. The jars are rinsed with salt water to dislodge any mysids that may adhere to the sides. If the water appears milky, siphon off half of it with a netted funnel (to avoid siphoning the mysids) and replace with clean salt water of the same salinity and temperature. If no *Artemia* nauplii are present in the dishes, feed about 150 *Artemia* nauplii per mysid.

14.6.13.2.11 The pre-test holding conditions of test organisms (as well as the test conditions) have been shown to significantly influence the success of achieving the test acceptability criteria for the fecundity endpoint (egg production by 50% or more of control females). Temperature, feeding, and organism density are important factors in the rate of mysid development. Laboratories should optimize these factors (within the limits of the test procedure) during both the pre-test holding period and the testing period to encourage achieving the test acceptability criteria for the fecundity endpoint. If test organisms are purchased, the testing laboratory should also confer with the supplier to ensure that pre-test holding conditions are optimized to successfully achieve the fecundity endpoint. Lussier *et al.* (1999) found that by increasing holding temperature and test temperature from $26^\circ\text{C} \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ to $26^\circ\text{C} - 27^\circ\text{C}$ and maintaining holding densities to ≤ 10 organisms / L, the percentage of tests meeting the test acceptability criteria for fecundity increased from 60% to 97%. While the fecundity endpoint is an optional endpoint, it is often the most sensitive measure of toxicity, and the 7-d mysid test estimates the chronic toxicity of effluents most effectively when all three endpoints (survival, growth, and fecundity) are measured (Lussier *et al.* 1999).

14.7 EFFLUENT AND RECEIVING WATER COLLECTION, PRESERVATION, AND STORAGE

14.7.1 See Section 8, Effluent and Receiving Water Sampling, Sample Handling, and Sample Preparation for Toxicity Tests.

14.8 CALIBRATION AND STANDARDIZATION

14.8.1 See Section 4, Quality Assurance.

14.9 QUALITY CONTROL

14.9.1 See Section 4, Quality Assurance.

14.9.2 The reference toxicant recommended for use with the mysid 7-day test is copper sulfate or sodium dodecyl sulfate.

14.10 TEST PROCEDURES

14.10.1 TEST DESIGN

14.10.1.1 The test consists of at least five effluent concentrations plus a site water control and a reference water treatment (natural seawater or seawater made up from hypersaline brine, or equivalent).

14.10.1.2 Effluent concentrations are expressed as percent effluent.

14.10.1.3 Eight replicate test vessels, each containing 5 to 7 day old animals, are used per effluent concentration and control.

14.10.2 TEST SOLUTIONS

14.10.2.1 Receiving waters

14.10.2.1.1 The sampling point(s) is determined by the objectives of the test. At estuarine and marine sites, samples are usually collected at mid-depth. Receiving water toxicity is determined with samples used directly as collected or with samples passed through a 60 μm NITEX[®] filter and compared without dilution, against a control. Using eight replicate chambers per test, each containing 150 mL, and 400 mL for chemical analysis, would require approximately 1.6 L or more of sample per test per day.

14.10.2.2 Effluents

14.10.2.2.1 The selection of the effluent test concentrations should be based on the objectives of the study. A dilution factor of 0.5 is commonly used. A dilution factor of 0.5 provides precision of $\pm 100\%$, and testing of concentrations between 6.25% and 100% effluent using only five effluent concentrations (6.25%, 12.5%, 25%, 50%, and 100%). Test precision shows little improvement as dilution factors are increased beyond 0.5 and declines rapidly if smaller dilution factors are used. **Therefore, USEPA recommends the use of the ≥ 0.5 dilution factor.** If 100‰ HSB is used as a diluent, the maximum concentration of effluent that can be tested will be 80% at 20‰ and 70% at 30‰ salinity.

14.10.2.2.2 If the effluent is known or suspected to be highly toxic, a lower range of effluent concentrations should be used (such as 25%, 12.5%, 6.25%, 3.12%, and 1.56%). If high mortality is observed during the first 1-to-2 h of the test, additional dilutions at the lower range of effluent concentrations should be added.

14.10.2.2.3 The volume of effluent required for daily renewal of eight replicates per concentration for five concentrations of effluent and a control, each containing 150 mL of test solution, is approximately 1200 mL. Prepare enough test solution (approximately 1600 mL) at each effluent concentration to provide 400 mL additional volume for chemical analyses.

14.10.2.2.4 Just prior to test initiation (approximately 1 h), the temperature of a sufficient quantity of the sample to make the test solutions should be adjusted to the test temperature ($26 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$) and maintained at that temperature during the addition of dilution water.

14.10.2.2.5 Higher effluent concentrations (i.e., 25%, 50%, and 100%) may require aeration to maintain adequate dissolved oxygen concentrations. However, if one solution is aerated, all concentrations must be aerated. Aerate effluent as it warms and continue to gently aerate test solutions in the test chambers for the duration of the test.

14.10.2.2.6 Effluent dilutions should be prepared for all replicates in each treatment in one flask to minimize variability among the replicates. The test chambers (cups) are labeled with the test concentration and replicate number. Dispense 150 mL of the appropriate effluent dilution to each test chamber.

14.10.2.3 Dilution Water

14.10.2.3.1 Dilution water may be uncontaminated natural seawater (receiving water), HSB prepared from natural seawater, or artificial seawater prepared from FORTY FATHOMS[®] or GP2 sea salts (see Table 1 and Section 7, Dilution Water). Other artificial sea salts may be used for culturing mysid and for the survival, growth, and fecundity test if the control criteria for acceptability of test data are satisfied.

14.10.3 START OF THE TEST

14.10.3.1 The test should begin as soon as possible, preferably within 24 h after sample collection. The maximum holding time following retrieval of the sample from the sampling device should not exceed 36 h for off-site toxicity tests unless permission is granted by the permitting authority. In no case should the test be started more than 72 h after sample collection (see Section 8, Effluent and Receiving Water Sampling, Sample Handling, and Sample Preparation for Toxicity Tests).

14.10.3.2 Begin the test by randomly placing five animals (one at a time) in each test cup of each treatment using a large bore (4 mm ID) pipette (see Appendix A for an example of randomization). It is easier to capture the animals if the volume of water in the dish is reduced and the dish is placed on a light table. It is recommended that the transfer pipette be rinsed frequently because mysids tend to adhere to the inside surface.

14.10.4 LIGHT, PHOTOPERIOD, SALINITY AND TEMPERATURE

14.10.4.1 The light quality and intensity under ambient laboratory conditions are generally adequate. Light intensity of 10-20 $\mu\text{E}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$, or 50 to 100 foot candles (ft-c), with a photoperiod of 16 h light and 8 h darkness. It is critical that the test water temperature be maintained at $26 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$. It is recommended that the test water temperature be continuously recorded. The salinity should vary no more than $\pm 2\%$ among chambers on a given day. If effluent and receiving water tests are conducted concurrently, the salinities of these tests should be similar.

14.10.4.1.1 If a water bath is used to maintain the test temperature, the water depth surrounding the test cups should be at least 2.5 cm deep.

14.10.4.1.2 Rooms or incubators with high volume ventilation should be used with caution because the volatilization of the test solutions and evaporation of dilution water may cause wide fluctuations in salinity. Covering the test cups with clear polyethylene plastic may help prevent volatilization and evaporation of the test solutions.

14.10.5 DISSOLVED OXYGEN (DO) CONCENTRATION

14.10.5.1 Aeration may affect the toxicity of effluents and should be used only as a last resort to maintain a satisfactory DO. The DO should be measured on new solutions at the start of the test (Day 0) and before daily renewal of test solutions on subsequent days. The DO should not fall below 4.0 mg/L (see Section 8, Effluent and Receiving Water Sampling, Sample Handling, and Sample Preparation for Toxicity Tests). If it is necessary to aerate, all treatments and the control should be aerated. The aeration rate should not exceed 100 bubbles/minute, using a pipet with a 1-2 mm orifice, such as a 1-mL KIMAX[®] serological pipet, or equivalent. Care should be taken to ensure that turbulence resulting from aeration does not cause undue stress on the mysid.

14.10.6 FEEDING

14.10.6.1 *Artemia* nauplii are prepared as described above.

14.10.6.2 During the test, the mysids in each test chamber should be fed *Artemia* nauplii, (less than 24-h old), at the rate of 150 nauplii per mysid per day. Adding the entire daily ration at a single feeding immediately after test solution renewal may result in a significant DO depression. Therefore, it is preferable to feed half of the daily

ration immediately after test solution renewal, and the second half 8 - 12 h later. Increase the feeding if the nauplii are consumed in less than 4 h. It is important that the nauplii be washed before introduction to the test chamber.

14.10.7 DAILY CLEANING OF TEST CHAMBERS

14.10.7.1 Before the daily renewal of test solutions, uneaten and dead *Artemia*, dead mysids and other debris are removed from the bottom of the test chambers with a pipette. As much of the uneaten *Artemia* as possible should be removed from each chamber to ensure that the mysids principally eat new hatched nauplii. By placing the test chambers on a light box, inadvertent removal of live mysids can be greatly reduced because they can be more easily seen. Any incidence of removal of live mysids from the test chambers during cleaning, and subsequent return to the chambers should be noted in the test records.

14.10.8 OBSERVATIONS DURING THE TEST

14.10.8.1 Routine Chemical and Physical Determinations

14.10.8.1.1 DO is measured at the beginning and end of each 24-h exposure period in one test chamber at each test concentration and in the control.

14.10.8.1.2 Temperature, pH, and salinity are measured at the end of each 24-h exposure period in one test chamber at each concentration and in the control. Temperature should also be monitored continuously observed and recorded daily for at least two locations in the environmental control system or the samples. Temperature should be measured in a sufficient number of test chambers at least at the end of the test to determine temperature variation in environmental chamber.

14.10.8.1.3 The pH is measured in the effluent sample each day before new test solutions are made.

14.10.8.2 Routine Biological Observations

14.10.8.2.1 The number of live mysids are counted and recorded each day when the test solutions are renewed (Figure 7). Dead animals and excess food should be removed with a pipette before test solutions are renewed.

14.10.8.2.2 Protect the mysids from unnecessary disturbance during the test by carrying out the daily test observations, solution renewals, and removal of the dead mysids, carefully. Make sure the mysids remain immersed during the performance of the above operations.

14.10.9 TEST SOLUTION RENEWAL

14.10.9.1 Before the daily renewal of test solutions, slowly pour off all but 10 mL of the old test medium into a 20 cm diameter culture dish on a light table. Be sure to check for animals that may have adhered to the sides of the test chamber. Rinse them back into the test cups. Add 150 mL of new test solution slowly to each cup. Check the culture dish for animals that may have been poured out with the old media, and return them to the test chamber.

14.10.10 TERMINATION OF THE TEST

14.10.10.1 After measuring the DO, pH, temperature, and salinity and recording survival, terminate the test by pouring off the test solution in all the cups to a one cm depth and refilling the cups with clean seawater. This will keep the animals alive, but not exposed to the toxicant, while waiting to be examined for sex and the presence of eggs.

14.10.10.2 The live animals must be examined for eggs and the sexes determined within 12 h of the termination of the test. If the test was conducted in the field, and the animals cannot be examined on site, the live animals should be shipped back to the laboratory for processing. Pour each replicate into a labeled 100 mL plastic screw capped

jar, and send to the laboratory immediately.

14.10.10.3 If the test was conducted in the laboratory, or when the test animals arrive in the laboratory from the field test site, the test organisms must be processed immediately while still alive as follows:

14.10.10.3.1 Examine each replicate under a stereomicroscope (240X) to determine the number of immature animals, the sex of the mature animals, and the presence or absence of eggs in the oviducts or brood sacs of the females (see Figures 3-6). This must be done while the mysids are alive because they turn opaque upon dying. This step should not be attempted by a person who has not had specialized training in the determination of sex and presence of eggs in the oviduct. NOTE: Adult females without eggs in the oviduct or brood sac look like immature mysids (see Figure 6).

TEST: _____

START DATE: _____

SALINITY: _____

	TRTMT	TEMP	SALINITY	D.O.	pH	TRTMT	TEMP	SALINITY	D.O.	pH	
DAY 1	REP										
	REP										
DAY 2	REP										
	REP										
DAY 3	REP										
	REP										
DAY 4	REP										
	REP										
DAY 5	REP										
	REP										
DAY 6	REP										
	REP										
DAY 7	REP										
	REP										
	TRTMT	TEMP	SALINITY	D.O.	pH	TRTMT	TEMP	SALINITY	D.O.	pH	
DAY 1	REP										
	REP										
DAY 2	REP										
	REP										
DAY 3	REP										
	REP										
DAY 4	REP										
	REP										
DAY 5	REP										
	REP										
DAY 6	REP										
	REP										
DAY 7	REP										
	REP										

Figure 2. Data form for the mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, water quality measurements. From USEPA (1987d).

MATURE FEMALE, EGGS IN OVIDUCTS




Figure 3. Mature female mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, with eggs in oviducts. From USEPA (1987d).

MATURE FEMALE, EGGS IN BROOD SAC




Figure 4. Mature female mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, with eggs in oviducts and developing embryos in the brood sac. Above: lateral view. Below: dorsal view. From USEPA (1987d).

14.10.10.3.2 Record the number of immatures, males, females with eggs and females without eggs on data sheets (Figure 7).

14.10.10.3.3 Rinse the mysids by pipetting them into a small netted cup and dipping the cup into a dish containing deionized water. Using forceps, place the mysids from each replicate cup on tared weighing boats and dry at 60°C for 24 h or at 105°C for at least 6 h.

14.10.10.3.4 Immediately upon removal from the drying oven, the weighing pans were placed in a dessicator until weighed, to prevent absorption of moisture from the air. Weigh to the nearest mg. Record weighing pans and subtract the tare weight to determine the dry weight of the mysid in each replicate. Record the weights (Figure 8). For each test chamber, divide the first dry weight by the number of original mysids per replicate to determine the average individual dry weight and record data. For the controls also calculate the mean weight per surviving mysid in the test chamber to evaluate if weights met test acceptability criteria (see Subsection 14.12).

14.10.9.3.5 Pieces of aluminum foil (1-cm square) or small aluminum weighing pans can be used for dry weight analyses. The weighing pans should not exceed 10 mg in weight.

14.10.9.3.6 Number each pan with a waterproof pen with the treatment concentration and replicate number. Forty-eight (48) weigh pans are required per test if all the organisms survive.

MATURE MALE




Figure 5. Mature male mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*. From USEPA (1987d).

14.11 SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS AND TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA

14.11.1 A summary of test conditions and test acceptability criteria is listed in Table 3.

14.12 ACCEPTABILITY OF TEST RESULTS

14.12.1 The minimum requirements for an acceptable test are 80% survival and an average weight of at least 0.20 mg/surviving mysid in the controls. If fecundity in the controls is adequate (egg production by 50% of females), fecundity should be used as a criterion of effect in addition to survival and growth.

IMMATURE




Figure 6. Immature mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, (A) lateral view, (B) dorsal view. From USEPA (1987d).

14.13 DATA ANALYSIS

14.13.1 GENERAL

14.13.1.1 Tabulate and summarize the data. Table 4 presents a sample set of survival, growth, and fecundity data.

14.13.1.2 The endpoints of the mysid 7-day chronic test are based on the adverse effects on survival, growth, and egg development. The LC50, the IC25, and the IC50 are calculated using point estimation techniques (see Section 9, Chronic Toxicity Test Endpoints and Data Analysis). LOEC and NOEC values for survival, growth, and fecundity are obtained using a hypothesis testing approach such as Dunnett's Procedure (Dunnett, 1955) or Steel's Many-one Rank Test (Steel, 1959; Miller, 1981) (see Section 9). Separate analyses are performed for the estimation of the LOEC and NOEC endpoints and for the estimation of the LC50, IC25, and IC50. Concentrations at which there is no survival in any of the test chambers are excluded from the statistical analysis of the NOEC and LOEC for survival, growth, and fecundity, but included in the estimation of the LC50, IC25, and IC50. See the Appendices for examples of the manual computations, and examples of data input and program output.

14.13.1.3 The statistical tests described here must be used with a knowledge of the assumptions upon which the tests are contingent. The assistance of a statistician is recommended for analysts who are not proficient in statistics.

TEST: _____

START DATE: _____

SALINITY: _____

TREATMENT/ REPLICATE	DAY 1 # ALIVE	DAY 2 # ALIVE	DAY 3 # ALIVE	DAY 4 # ALIVE	DAY 5 # ALIVE	DAY 6 # ALIVE	DAY 7 # ALIVE	FEMALES W/EGGS	FEMALES NO EGGS	MALES	IMMATURES
C											
1											
2											

Figure 7. Data form for the mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, survival and fecundity data. From USEPA (1987d).

TEST: _____

START DATE: _____

SALINITY: _____

TREATMENT/ REPLICATE	DAY 1 # ALIVE	DAY 2 # ALIVE	DAY 3 # ALIVE	DAY 4 # ALIVE	DAY 5 # ALIVE	DAY 6 # ALIVE	DAY 7 # ALIVE	FEMALES W/EGGS	FEMALES NO EGGS	MALES	IMMATURES
3	1										
	2										
	3										
	4										
	5										
	6										
	7										
	8										
4	1										
	2										
	3										
	4										
	5										
	6										
	7										
	8										
5	1										
	2										
	3										
	4										
	5										
	6										
	7										
	8										

Figure 7. Data form for the mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, survival and fecundity data (CONTINUED). From USEPA (1987d).

TEST: _____

START DATE: _____

SALINITY: _____

TREATMENT/REPLICATE	PAN #	TARE WT.	TOTAL WT.	ANIMAL WT.	# OF ANIMALS	WT./ANIMAL
C	1					
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5					
	6					
	7					
	8					
1	1					
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5					
	6					
	7					
	8					
2	1					
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5					
	6					
	7					
	8					

Figure 8. Data form for the mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, dry weight measurements. From USEPA (1987d).

TEST: _____

START DATE: _____

SALINITY: _____

TREATMENT/REPLICATE	PAN #	TARE WT.	TOTAL WT.	ANIMAL WT.	# OF ANIMALS	WT./ANIMAL
3	1					
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5					
	6					
	7					
	8					
4	1					
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5					
	6					
	7					
	8					
5	1					
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5					
	6					
	7					
	8					

Figure 8. Data form for the mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, dry weight measurements (CONTINUED). From USEPA (1987d).

TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS AND TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA FOR THE MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, SEVEN DAY SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST WITH EFFLUENTS AND RECEIVING WATERS (TEST METHOD 1007.0)¹

1. Test type:	Static renewal (required)
2. Salinity:	20‰ to 30‰ (± 2‰ of the selected test salinity) (recommended)
3. Temperature:	26 ± 1°C (recommended) Test temperatures must not deviate (i.e., maximum minus minimum temperature) by more than 3°C during the test (required)
4. Light quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination (recommended)
5. Light intensity:	10-20 μE/m ² /s (50-100 ft-c.) (ambient laboratory levels) (recommended)
6. Photoperiod:	16 h light, 8 h darkness, with phase in/out period (recommended)
7. Test chamber:	8 oz plastic disposable cups, or 400 mL glass beakers (recommended)
8. Test solution volume:	150 mL per replicate (recommended minimum)
9. Renewal of test solutions:	Daily (required)
10. Age of test organisms:	7 days (required)
11. No. organisms per test chamber:	5 (required minimum)
12. No. replicate chambers per concentration:	8 (required minimum)
13. No. larvae per concentration:	40 (required minimum)
14. Source of food:	Newly hatched <i>Artemia</i> nauplii (less than 24 h old)(required)
15. Feeding regime:	Feed 150 24 h old nauplii per mysid daily, half after test solution renewal and half after 8-12 h (recommended)
16. Cleaning:	Pipette excess food from cups daily immediately before test solution renewal and feeding (recommended)

¹ For the purposes of reviewing WET test data submitted under NPDES permits, each test condition listed above is identified as required or recommended (see Subsection 10.2 for more information on test review). Additional requirements may be provided in individual permits, such as specifying a given test condition where several options are given in the method.

TABLE 3. SUMMARY OF TEST CONDITIONS AND TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA FOR THE MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, SEVEN DAY SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST WITH EFFLUENTS AND RECEIVING WATERS (TEST METHOD 1007.0) (CONTINUED)

17. Aeration:	None unless DO falls below 4.0 mg/L, then gently aerate in all cups (recommended)
18. Dilution water:	Uncontaminated source of natural seawater, deionized water mixed with hypersaline brine or artificial sea salts (HW MARINEMIX®, FORTY FATHOMS®, GP2 or equivalent) (available options)
19. Test concentrations:	Effluents: 5 and a control (required) Receiving waters: 100% receiving water (or minimum of 5) and a control (recommended)
20. Dilution factor:	Effluents: ≥ 0.5 series (required) Receiving waters: None, or ≥ 0.5 (recommended)
21. Test duration:	7 days (required)
22. Endpoints:	Survival and growth (required); and egg development (recommended)
23. Test acceptability criteria:	80% or greater survival, average dry weight 0.20 mg or greater in controls (required); fecundity may be used if 50% or more of females in controls produce eggs (required if fecundity endpoint used)
24. Sampling requirements:	For on-site tests, samples collected daily and used within 24 h of the time they are removed from the sampling device. For off-site tests, a minimum of three samples (e.g., collected on days one, three, and five) with a maximum holding time of 36 h before first use (see Section 8, Effluent and Receiving Water Sampling, Sample Handling and Sample Preparation for Toxicity Tests, Subsection 8.5.4) (required)
25. Sample volume required:	3 L per day (recommended)

TABLE 4. DATA FOR *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA* 7-DAY SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST¹

Treatment	Replicate Chamber	Total Mysids	No. Alive	Total Females	Females w/Eggs	Mean Weight
Control	1	5	4	1	1	0.146
	2	5	4	2	2	0.118
	3	5	5	3	2	0.216
	4	5	5	1	1	0.199
	5	5	5	2	2	0.176
	6	5	5	5	4	0.243
	7	5	5	2	2	0.213
	8	5	4	3	3	0.144
50 ppb	1	5	4	2	1	0.154
	2	5	5	3	1	0.193
	3	5	4	3	2	0.190
	4	5	4	0	0	0.190
	5	5	5	5	2	0.256
	6	5	5	2	1	0.191
	7	5	4	4	1	0.122
	8	5	5	3	1	0.177
100 ppb	1	5	3	3	1	0.114
	2	5	5	2	1	0.172
	3	5	5	1	0	0.160
	4	5	5	2	1	0.199
	5	5	5	3	2	0.165
	6	5	3	1	0	0.145
	7	5	4	4	1	0.207
	8	5	4	0	0	0.186
210 ppb	1	5	5	1	0	0.153
	2	5	4	2	0	0.094
	3	5	1	1	0	0.017
	4	5	4	3	0	0.122
	5	5	3	1	0	0.052
	6	5	4	2	0	0.154
	7	5	4	1	0	0.110
	8	5	4	3	0	0.103
450 ppb	1	5	0	0	0	--
	2	5	1	0	0	0.012
	3	5	0	0	0	--
	4	5	1	0	0	0.002
	5	5	0	0	0	--
	6	5	0	0	0	--
	7	5	0	0	0	--
	8	5	2	1	0	0.081

¹ Data provided by Lussier, Kuhn and Sewall, Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Narragansett, RI.

14.13.2 EXAMPLE OF ANALYSIS OF MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, SURVIVAL DATA

14.13.2.1 Formal statistical analysis of the survival data is outlined in Figures 9 and 10. The response used in the analysis is the proportion of animals surviving in each test or control chamber. Separate analyses are performed for the estimation of the NOEC and LOEC endpoints and for the estimation of the LC50 endpoint. Concentrations at which there is no survival in any of the test chambers are excluded from statistical analysis of the NOEC and LOEC, but included in the estimation of the LC, EC, and IC endpoints.

14.13.2.2 For the case of equal numbers of replicates across all concentrations and the control, the evaluation of the NOEC and LOEC endpoints is made via a parametric test, Dunnett's Procedure, or a nonparametric test, Steel's Many-one Rank Test, on the arc sine square root transformed data. Underlying assumptions of Dunnett's Procedure, normality and homogeneity of variance, are formally tested. The test for normality is the Shapiro-Wilk's Test, and Bartlett's Test is used to test for homogeneity of variance. If either of these tests fails, the nonparametric test, Steel's Many-one Rank Test, is used to determine the NOEC and LOEC endpoints. If the assumptions of Dunnett's Procedure are met, the endpoints are estimated by the parametric procedure.

14.13.2.3 If unequal numbers of replicates occur among the concentration levels tested, there are parametric and nonparametric alternative analyses. The parametric analysis is a t-test with the Bonferroni adjustment (see Appendix D). The Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with the Bonferroni adjustment is the nonparametric alternative.

14.13.2.4 Probit Analysis (Finney, 1971; see Appendix H) is used to estimate the concentration that causes a specified percent decrease in survival from the control. In this analysis, the total mortality data from all test replicates at a given concentration are combined. If the data do not fit the Probit model, the Spearman-Kärber method, the Trimmed Spearman-Kärber method, or the Graphical method may be used (see Appendices I-K).

14.13.2.5 The proportion of survival in each replicate must first be transformed by the arc sine transformation procedure described in Appendix B. The raw and transformed data, means and variances of the transformed observations at each concentration including the control are listed in Table 5. A plot of the survival data is provided in Figure 11.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*
SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST

SURVIVAL HYPOTHESIS TESTING




Figure 9. Flowchart for statistical analysis of mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, survival data by hypothesis testing.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*
SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST

SURVIVAL POINT ESTIMATION




Figure 10. Flowchart for statistical analysis of mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, survival data by point estimation.




Figure 11. Plot of survival proportions of mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, at each treatment level.

TABLE 5. MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, SURVIVAL DATA

	Replicate	Control	Concentration (ppb)			
			50.0	100.0	210.0	450.0
RAW	1	0.80	0.80	0.60	1.00	0.00
	2	0.80	1.00	1.00	0.80	0.20
	3	1.00	0.80	1.00	0.20	0.00
	4	1.00	0.80	1.00	0.80	0.20
	5	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.60	0.00
	6	1.00	1.00	0.60	0.80	0.00
	7	1.00	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.00
	8	0.80	1.00	0.80	0.80	0.40
ARC SINE TRANS- FORMED	1	1.107	1.107	0.886	1.345	0.225
	2	1.107	1.345	1.345	1.107	0.464
	3	1.345	1.107	1.345	0.464	0.225
	4	1.345	1.107	1.345	1.107	0.464
	5	1.345	1.345	1.345	0.886	0.225
	6	1.345	1.345	0.886	1.107	0.225
	7	1.345	1.107	1.107	1.107	0.225
	8	1.107	1.345	1.107	1.107	0.685
Mean (Y_i)		1.256	1.226	1.171	1.029	0.342
S_i^2		0.015	0.016	0.042	0.067	0.031
i		1	2	3	4	5

14.13.2.6 Test for Normality

14.13.2.6.1 The first step of the test for normality is to center the observations by subtracting the mean of all observations within a concentration from each observation in that concentration. The centered observations are listed in Table 6.

14.13.2.6.2 Calculate the denominator, D, of the test statistic:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

Where: X_i = the i th centered observation

\bar{X} = the overall mean of the centered observations

n = the total number of centered observations.

TABLE 6. CENTERED OBSERVATIONS FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

Replicate	Control (Site Water)	Concentration (ppb)			
		50.0	100.0	210.0	450.0
1	-0.149	-0.119	-0.285	0.316	-0.117
2	-0.149	0.119	0.174	0.078	0.121
3	0.089	-0.119	0.174	-0.565	-0.117
4	0.089	-0.119	0.174	0.078	0.121
5	0.089	0.119	0.174	-0.142	-0.117
6	0.089	0.119	-0.285	0.078	-0.117
7	0.089	-0.119	-0.064	0.078	-0.117
8	-0.149	0.119	-0.064	0.078	0.342

14.13.2.6.3 For this set of data, $n = 40$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{40}(-0.006) = 0.0$$

$$D = 1.197$$

14.13.2.6.4 Order the centered observations from smallest to largest:

$$X^{(1)} \leq X^{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X^{(n)}$$

Where $X^{(i)}$ is the i th ordered observation. These ordered observations are listed in Table 7.

14.13.2.6.5 From Table 4, Appendix B, for the number of observations, n , obtain the coefficients a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k where k is $n/2$ if n is even and $(n-1)/2$ if n is odd. For the data in this example, $n = 40$ and $k = 20$. The a_i values are listed in Table 8.

14.13.2.6.6 Compute the test statistic, W , as follows:

$$W = \frac{1}{D} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k a_i (X^{(n-i+1)} - X^{(i)})^2 \right]$$

The differences $X^{(n-i+1)} - X^{(i)}$ are listed in Table 8. For this data in this example:

$$W = \frac{1}{1.197} (1.0475)^2 = 0.9167$$

TABLE 7. ORDERED CENTERED OBSERVATIONS FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

i	$X^{(i)}$	i	$X^{(i)}$
1	-0.565	21	0.078
2	-0.285	22	0.078
3	-0.285	23	0.078
4	-0.149	24	0.089
5	-0.149	25	0.089
6	-0.149	26	0.089
7	-0.143	27	0.089
8	-0.119	28	0.089
9	-0.119	29	0.119
10	-0.119	30	0.119
11	-0.119	31	0.119
12	-0.117	32	0.119
13	-0.117	33	0.121
14	-0.117	34	0.121
15	-0.117	35	0.174
16	-0.117	36	0.174
17	-0.064	37	0.174
18	-0.064	38	0.174
19	0.078	39	0.316
20	0.078	40	0.342

14.13.2.6.7 The decision rule for this test is to compare W as calculated in Subsection 14.13.2.6.6 with the critical value found in Table 6, Appendix B. If the computed W is less than the critical value, conclude that the data are not normally distributed. For this set of data, the critical value at a significance level of 0.01 and $n = 40$ observations is 0.919. Since $W = 0.9167$ is less than the critical value, conclude that the data are not normally distributed.

14.13.2.6.8 Since the data do not meet the assumption of normality, Steel's Many-one Rank Test will be used to analyze the survival data.

14.13.2.7 Steel's Many-one Rank Test

14.13.2.7.1 For each control and concentration combination, combine the data and arrange the observations in order of size from smallest to largest. Assign the ranks (1, 2, ..., 16) to the ordered observations with a rank of 1 assigned to the smallest observation, rank of 2 assigned to the next larger observation, etc. If ties occur when ranking, assign the average rank to each tied observation.

14.13.2.7.2 An example of assigning ranks to the combined data for the control and 50.0 ppb concentration is given in Table 9. This ranking procedure is repeated for each control/concentration combination. The complete set of rankings is summarized in Table 10. The ranks are then summed for each concentration level, as shown in Table 11.

TABLE 8. COEFFICIENTS AND DIFFERENCES FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

i	a_i	$X^{(n-i+1)} - X^{(i)}$	
1	0.3964	0.907	$X^{(40)} - X^{(1)}$
2	0.2737	0.601	$X^{(39)} - X^{(2)}$
3	0.2368	0.459	$X^{(38)} - X^{(3)}$
4	0.2098	0.323	$X^{(37)} - X^{(4)}$
5	0.1878	0.323	$X^{(36)} - X^{(5)}$
6	0.1691	0.323	$X^{(35)} - X^{(6)}$
7	0.1526	0.264	$X^{(34)} - X^{(7)}$
8	0.1376	0.240	$X^{(33)} - X^{(8)}$
9	0.1237	0.238	$X^{(32)} - X^{(9)}$
10	0.1108	0.238	$X^{(31)} - X^{(10)}$
11	0.0986	0.238	$X^{(30)} - X^{(11)}$
12	0.0870	0.236	$X^{(29)} - X^{(12)}$
13	0.0759	0.206	$X^{(28)} - X^{(13)}$
14	0.0651	0.206	$X^{(27)} - X^{(14)}$
15	0.0546	0.206	$X^{(26)} - X^{(15)}$
16	0.0444	0.206	$X^{(25)} - X^{(16)}$
17	0.0343	0.153	$X^{(24)} - X^{(17)}$
18	0.0244	0.142	$X^{(23)} - X^{(18)}$
19	0.0146	0.0	$X^{(22)} - X^{(19)}$
20	0.0049	0.0	$X^{(21)} - X^{(20)}$

14.13.2.7.3 For this example, determine if the survival in any of the concentrations is significantly lower than the survival in the control. If this occurs, the rank sum at that concentration would be significantly lower than the rank sum of the control. Thus compare the rank sums for the survival at each of the various concentration levels with some "minimum" or critical rank sum, at or below which the survival would be considered significantly lower than the control. At a significance level of 0.05, the minimum rank sum in a test with four concentrations (excluding the control) and eight replicates is 47 (See Table 5, Appendix E).

14.13.2.7.4 Since the rank sum for the 450 ppb concentration level is less than the critical value, the proportion surviving in that concentration is considered significantly less than that in the control. Since no other rank sums are less than or equal to the critical value, no other concentrations have a significantly lower proportion surviving than the control. Hence, the NOEC and the LOEC are assumed to be 210.0 ppb and 450.0 ppb, respectively.

14.13.2.8 Calculation of the LC50

14.13.2.8.1 The data used for the Probit Analysis is summarized in Table 12. For the Probit Analysis, run the USEPA Probit Analysis Program. An example of the program output is provided in Figure 12.

14.13.2.8.2 For this example, the chi-square test for heterogeneity was not significant. Thus Probit Analysis appears to be appropriate for this set of data.

TABLE 9. ASSIGNING RANKS TO THE CONTROL AND 50 PPB CONCENTRATION LEVEL FOR STEEL'S MANY-ONE RANK TEST

Rank	Transformed Proportion of Total Mortality	Concentration
4	1.107	Control
4	1.107	Control
4	1.107	Control
4	1.107	50 ppb
4	1.107	50 ppb
4	1.107	50 ppb
4	1.107	50 ppb
12	1.571	Control
12	1.571	Control
12	1.571	Control
12	1.571	Control
12	1.571	Control
12	1.571	50 ppb
12	1.571	50 ppb
12	1.571	50 ppb
12	1.571	50 ppb

14.13.3 EXAMPLE OF ANALYSIS OF MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, GROWTH DATA

14.13.3.1 Formal statistical analysis of the growth data is outlined in Figure 13. The response used in the statistical analysis is mean weight per original of males and females combined per replicate. Because this measurement is based on the number of original organisms exposed (rather than the number surviving), the measured response is a combined survival and growth endpoint that can be termed biomass. The IC25 and IC50 can be calculated for the growth data via a point estimation technique (see Section 9, Chronic Toxicity Test Endpoints and Data Analysis). Hypothesis testing can be used to obtain an NOEC and LOEC for growth. Concentrations above the NOEC for survival are excluded from the hypothesis test for growth effects.

TABLE 10. TABLE OF RANKS¹

Replicate	Control	Concentration (ppb)			
		50	100	210	450
1	1.107(4,5,6.5,10)	1.107(4)	0.886(1.5)	1.345(13.5)	0.225(3)
2	1.107(4,5,6.5,10)	1.345(12)	1.345(12)	1.107(6.5)	0.464(6.5)
3	1.345(12,12,13.5,14)	1.107(4)	1.345(12)	0.464(1)	0.225(3)
4	1.345(12,12,13.5,14)	1.107(4)	1.345(12)	1.107(6.5)	0.464(6.5)
5	1.345(12,12,13.5,14)	1.345(12)	1.345(12)	0.886(2)	0.225(3)
6	1.345(12,12,13.5,14)	1.345(12)	0.886(1.5)	1.107(6.5)	0.225(3)
7	1.345(12,12,13.5,14)	1.107(4)	1.107(5)	1.107(6.5)	0.225(3)
8	1.107(4,5,6.5,10)	1.345(12)	1.107(5)	1.107(6.5)	0.685(8)

¹Control ranks are given in the order of the concentration with which they were ranked.

TABLE 11. RANK SUMS

Concentration	Rank Sum
50	64
100	61
210	49
450	36

14.13.3.2 The statistical analysis using hypothesis tests consists of a parametric test, Dunnett's Procedure, and a nonparametric test, Steel's Many-one Rank Test. The underlying assumptions of the Dunnett's Procedure, normality and homogeneity of variance, are formally tested. The test for normality is the Shapiro-Wilk's Test and Bartlett's Test is used to test for homogeneity of variance. If either of these tests fails, the nonparametric test, Steel's Many-one Rank Test, is used to determine the NOEC and LOEC endpoints. If the assumptions of Dunnett's Procedure are met, the endpoints are determined by the parametric test.

14.13.3.3 Additionally, if unequal numbers of replicates occur among the concentration levels tested, there are parametric and nonparametric alternative analyses. The parametric analysis is a t test with the Bonferroni adjustment. The Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with the Bonferroni adjustment is the nonparametric alternative. For detailed information on the Bonferroni adjustment, see Appendix D.

Probit Analysis of *Mysidopsis bahia* Survival Data

Conc.	Number Exposed	Number Resp.	Observed Proportion Responding	Proportion Responding Adjusted for Controls
Control	40	3	0.0750	0.0000
50.0000	40	4	0.1000	-0.0080
100.0000	40	6	0.1500	0.0480
210.0000	40	11	0.2750	0.1880
450.0000	40	36	0.9000	0.8880

Chi - Square for Heterogeneity (calculated) = 0.725

Chi - Square for Heterogeneity (tabular value) = 5.991

Probit Analysis of *Mysidopsis bahia* Survival Data

Estimated LC/EC Values and Confidence Limits

Point	Exposure Conc.	Lower 95% Confidence Limits	Upper 95% Confidence Limits
LC/EC 1.00	123.112	65.283	165.552
LC/EC 50.00	288.873	239.559	335.983

Figure 12. Output for USEPA Probit Analysis Program, Version 1.5.

TABLE 12. DATA FOR PROBIT ANALYSIS

	Control	Concentration (ppb)			
		50.0	100.0	210.0	450.0
No Dead	3	4	6	11	36
No Exposed	40	40	40	40	40

14.13.3.4 The data, mean and variance of the observations at each concentration including the control for this example are listed in Table 13. A plot of the data is provided in Figure 14. Since there is significant mortality in the 450 ppb concentration, its effect on growth is not considered.

TABLE 13. MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, GROWTH DATA

Replicate	Control	Concentration (ppb)			
		50.0	100.0	210.0	450.0
1	0.146	0.157	0.114	0.153	-
2	0.118	0.193	0.172	0.071	0.012
3	0.216	0.190	0.160	0.017	-
4	0.199	0.190	0.199	0.112	0.002
5	0.176	0.256	0.165	0.052	-
6	0.243	0.191	0.145	0.154	-
7	0.213	0.122	0.207	0.110	-
8	0.144	0.177	0.186	0.103	0.081
Mean (Y_i)	0.182	0.184	0.168	0.101	-
S_i^2	0.00186	0.00145	0.00091	0.00222	-
i	1	2	3	4	5

14.13.3.5 Test for Normality

14.13.3.5.1 The first step of the test for normality is to center the observations by subtracting the mean of all observations within a concentration from each observation in that concentration. The centered observations are listed in Table 14.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*
SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST




Figure 13. Flowchart for statistical analysis of mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, growth data.




Figure 14. Plot of mean growth data for mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, test.

TABLE 14. CENTERED OBSERVATIONS FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

Replicate	Control	Concentration (ppb)		
		50.0	100.0	210.0
1	-0.036	-0.030	-0.054	0.052
2	-0.064	0.009	0.004	-0.007
3	0.034	0.006	-0.008	-0.084
4	0.017	0.006	0.031	0.021
5	-0.006	0.072	-0.003	-0.049
6	0.061	0.007	-0.023	0.053
7	0.031	-0.062	0.039	0.009
8	-0.038	-0.007	0.018	0.002

14.13.3.5.2 Calculate the denominator, D , of the statistic:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

Where: X_i = the i th centered observation

\bar{X} = the overall mean of the centered observations

n = the total number of centered observations

14.13.3.5.3 For this set of data, $n = 32$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{32} (0.007) = 0.000$$

$$D = 0.0451$$

14.13.3.5.4 Order the centered observations from smallest to largest

$$X^{(1)} \leq X^{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X^{(n)}$$

Where $X^{(i)}$ denotes the i th ordered observation. The ordered observations for this example are listed in Table 15.

TABLE 15. ORDERED CENTERED OBSERVATIONS FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

i	$X^{(i)}$	i	$X^{(i)}$
1	-0.084	17	0.006
2	-0.064	18	0.006
3	-0.062	19	0.007
4	-0.054	20	0.009
5	-0.049	21	0.009
6	-0.038	22	0.017
7	-0.036	23	0.018
8	-0.030	24	0.021
9	-0.023	25	0.031
10	-0.008	26	0.031
11	-0.007	27	0.034
12	-0.007	28	0.039
13	-0.006	29	0.052
14	-0.003	30	0.053
15	0.002	31	0.061
16	0.004	32	0.072

14.13.3.5.5 From Table 4, Appendix B, for the number of observations, n , obtain the coefficients a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k where k is $n/2$ if n is even and $(n-1)/2$ if n is odd. For the data in this example, $n = 32$ and $k = 16$. The a_i values are listed in Table 16.

14.13.3.5.6 Compute the test statistic, W , as follows:

$$W = \frac{1}{D} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k a_i (x^{(n-i+1)} - x^{(i)})^2 \right]$$

The differences $X^{(n-i+1)} - X^{(i)}$ are listed in Table 16. For this set of data:

$$W = \frac{1}{0.045} (0.2097)^2 = 0.9752$$

14.13.3.5.7 The decision rule for this test is to compare W as calculated in Subsection 14.13.3.5.6 to a critical value found in Table 6, Appendix B. If the computed W is less than the critical value, conclude that the data are not normally distributed. For this set of data, the critical value at a significance level of 0.01 and $n = 32$ observations is 0.904. Since $W = 0.9752$ is greater than the critical value, conclude that the data are normally distributed.

TABLE 16. COEFFICIENTS AND DIFFERENCES FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

i	a _(i)	X ⁽ⁿ⁻ⁱ⁺¹⁾	
1	0.4188	0.156	X ⁽³²⁾ - X ⁽¹⁾
2	0.2898	0.125	X ⁽³¹⁾ - X ⁽²⁾
3	0.2462	0.115	X ⁽³⁰⁾ - X ⁽³⁾
4	0.2141	0.106	X ⁽²⁹⁾ - X ⁽⁴⁾
5	0.1878	0.088	X ⁽²⁸⁾ - X ⁽⁵⁾
6	0.1651	0.072	X ⁽²⁷⁾ - X ⁽⁶⁾
7	0.1449	0.067	X ⁽²⁶⁾ - X ⁽⁷⁾
8	0.1265	0.061	X ⁽²⁵⁾ - X ⁽⁸⁾
9	0.1093	0.044	X ⁽²⁴⁾ - X ⁽⁹⁾
10	0.0931	0.026	X ⁽²³⁾ - X ⁽¹⁰⁾
11	0.0777	0.024	X ⁽²²⁾ - X ⁽¹¹⁾
12	0.0629	0.016	X ⁽²¹⁾ - X ⁽¹²⁾
13	0.0485	0.015	X ⁽²⁰⁾ - X ⁽¹³⁾
14	0.0344	0.010	X ⁽¹⁹⁾ - X ⁽¹⁴⁾
15	0.0206	0.004	X ⁽¹⁸⁾ - X ⁽¹⁵⁾
16	0.0068	0.002	X ⁽¹⁷⁾ - X ⁽¹⁶⁾

14.13.3.6 Test for Homogeneity of Variance

14.13.3.6.1 The test used to examine whether the variation in mean weight of the mysids is the same across all concentration levels including the control, is Bartlett's Test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980). The test statistic is as follows:

$$B = \frac{[(\sum_{i=1}^p V_i) \ln \bar{S}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^p V_i \ln S_i^2]}{C}$$

Where: V_i = degrees of freedom for each copper concentration and control, $V_i = (n_i - 1)$

p = number of concentration levels including the control

\ln = \log_e

i = 1, 2, ..., p where p is the number of concentrations including the control

n_i = the number of replicates for concentration i .

$$\bar{S}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p V_i S_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^p V_i}$$

$$C = 1 + [3(p-1)]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^p 1/V_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p V_i \right)^{-1} \right]$$

14.13.3.6.2 For the data in this example (see Table 13), all concentrations including the control have the same number of replicates ($n_i = 8$ for all i). Thus, $V_i = 7$ for all i .

14.13.3.6.3 Bartlett's statistic is therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= [(28)\ln(0.00162) - 7 \sum_{i=1}^p \ln(S_i^2)]/1.06 \\ &= [28(-6.427) - 7(-25.9329)]/1.06 \\ &= [-179.973 - (-181.530)]/1.06 \\ &= 1.469 \end{aligned}$$

14.13.3.6.4 B is approximately distributed as chi-square with $p - 1$ degrees of freedom, when the variances are in fact the same. Therefore, the appropriate critical value for this test, at a significance level of 0.01 with three degrees of freedom, is 11.34. Since $B = 1.469$ is less than the critical value of 11.34, conclude that the variances are not different.

14.13.3.7 Dunnett's Procedure

14.13.3.7.1 To obtain an estimate of the pooled variance for the Dunnett's Procedure, construct an ANOVA table as described in Table 17.

TABLE 17. ANOVA TABLE

Source	df	Sum of Squares (SS)	Mean Square (MS) (SS/df)
Between	p - 1	SSB	$S_B^2 = \text{SSB}/(p-1)$
Within	N - p	SSW	$S_W^2 = \text{SSW}/(N-p)$
Total	N - 1	SST	

Where: p = number of concentration levels including the control

N = total number of observations $n_1 + n_2 \dots + n_p$

n_i = number of observations in concentration i

$$SSB = \sum_{i=1}^p T_i^2/n_i - G^2/N \quad \text{Between Sum of Squares}$$

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}^2 - G^2/N \quad \text{Total Sum of Squares}$$

$$SSW = SST - SSB \quad \text{Within Sum of Squares}$$

G = the grand total of all sample observations, $G = \sum_{i=1}^p T_i$

T_i = the total of the replicate measurements for concentration i

Y_{ij} = the jth observation for concentration i (represents the mean dry weight of the mysids for concentration i in test chamber j)

14.13.3.7.2 For the data in this example:

$$n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 8$$

$$N = 32$$

$$T_1 = Y_{11} + Y_{12} + \dots + Y_{18} = 1.455$$

$$T_2 = Y_{21} + Y_{22} + \dots + Y_{28} = 1.473$$

$$T_3 = Y_{31} + Y_{32} + \dots + Y_{38} = 1.348$$

$$T_4 = Y_{41} + Y_{42} + \dots + Y_{48} = 0.805$$

$$G = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 = 5.081$$

$$SSB = \sum_{i=1}^p T_i^2/n_i - G^2/N$$

$$= \frac{1}{8}(6.752) - \frac{(5.081)^2}{32} = 0.0372$$

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} Y_{ij}^2 - G^2/N$$

$$= 0.889 - \frac{(5.081)^2}{32} = 0.0822$$

$$SSW = SST - SSB = 0.0822 - 0.0372 = 0.0450$$

$$S_B^2 = SSB / (p - 1) = 0.0372 / (4 - 1) = 0.0124$$

$$S_W^2 = SSW / (N - p) = 0.0450 / (32 - 4) = 0.0016$$

14.13.3.7.3 Summarize these calculations in the ANOVA table (Table 18).

TABLE 18. ANOVA TABLE FOR DUNNETT'S PROCEDURE EXAMPLE

Source	df	Sum of Squares (SS)	Mean Square (MS) (SS/df)
Between	3	0.0372	0.0127
Within	28	0.0450	0.0016
Total	31	0.0822	

14.13.3.7.4 To perform the individual comparisons, calculate the t statistic for each concentration, and control combination as follows:

$$t_i = \frac{(\bar{Y}_i - \bar{Y}_1)}{S_w \sqrt{(1/n_1) + (1/n_i)}}$$

Where: \bar{Y}_i = mean dry weight for concentration i

\bar{Y}_1 = mean dry weight for the control

S_w = square root of the within mean square

n_1 = number of replicates for the control

n_i = number of replicates for concentration i

14.13.3.7.5 Table 19 includes the calculated t values for each concentration and control combination. In this example, comparing the 50.0 ppb concentration with the control the calculation is as follows:

$$t_2 = \frac{(0.182 - 0.184)}{[0.040\sqrt{(1/8) + (1/8)}]}$$
$$= -0.100$$

TABLE 19. CALCULATED T VALUES

Concentration (ppb)	i	t_i
50.0	2	-0.150
100.0	3	0.700
210.0	4	4.050

14.13.3.7.6 Since the purpose of this test is to detect a significant reduction in mean weight, a one-sided test is appropriate. The critical value for this one-sided test is found in Table 5, Appendix C. For an overall alpha level of 0.05, 28 degrees of freedom for error and three concentrations (excluding the control) the approximate critical value is 2.15. The mean weight for concentration "i" is considered significantly less than the mean weight for the control if t_i is greater than the critical value. Therefore, the 210.0 ppb concentration has significantly lower mean weight than the control. Hence the NOEC and the LOEC for growth are 100.0 ppb and 210.0 ppb, respectively.

14.13.3.7.7 To quantify the sensitivity of the test, the minimum significant difference (MSD) that can be detected statistically may be calculated.

$$MSD = d S_w \sqrt{(1/n_1) + (1/n)}$$

Where: d = the critical value for Dunnett's Procedure

S_w = the square root of the within mean square

n = the common number of replicates at each concentration
(this assumes equal replication at each concentration)

n_1 = the number of replicates in the control.

14.13.3.7.8 In this example:

$$\begin{aligned}
 MSD &= 2.15(0.04)\sqrt{(1/8) + (1/8)} \\
 &= 2.15 (0.04)(0.5) \\
 &= 0.043
 \end{aligned}$$

14.13.3.7.9 Therefore, for this set of data, the minimum difference that can be detected as statistically significant is 0.043 mg.

14.13.3.7.10 This represents a 23.6% reduction in mean weight from the control.

14.13.3.8 Calculation of the ICp

14.13.3.8.1 The growth data from Table 13 are utilized in this example. As seen in, the observed means are not monotonically non-increasing with respect to concentration. Therefore, it is necessary to smooth the means prior to calculating the ICp. In the following discussion, the observed means are represented by \bar{Y}_i and the smoothed means by M_i .

14.13.3.8.2 Starting with the control mean, $\bar{Y}_1 = 0.182$ and $\bar{Y}_2 = 0.184$, we see that $\bar{Y}_1 < \bar{Y}_2$. Calculate the smoothed means:

$$M_1 = M_2 = (\bar{Y}_1 + \bar{Y}_2)/2 = 0.183$$

14.13.3.8.3 Since $\bar{Y}_5 = 0.025 < \bar{Y}_4 = 0.101 < \bar{Y}_3 = 0.168 < M_2$, set $M_3 = 0.168$ and $M_4 = 0.101$, and $M_5 = 0.025$. Table 20 contains the smoothed means and Figure 15 gives a plot of the smoothed response curve.

TABLE 20. MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, MEAN GROWTH RESPONSE AFTER SMOOTHING

Toxicant Conc. (ppb)	i	Response Means Y_i (mg)	Smoothed Mean M_i (mg)
Control	1	0.182	0.183
50.0	2	0.184	0.183
100.0	3	0.168	0.168
210.0	4	0.101	0.101
450.0	5	0.012	0.012

14.13.3.8.4 An IC25 and IC50 can be estimated using the Linear Interpolation Method. A 25% reduction in weight, compared to the controls, would result in a mean weight of 0.136 mg, where $M_1(1-p/100) = 0.183(1-25/100)$. A 50% reduction in mean dry weight, compared to the controls, would result in a mean weight of 0.091 mg. Examining the smoothed means and their associated concentrations (Table 20), the response, 0.136 mg, is bracketed by $C_3 = 100$ ppb and $C_4 = 210$ ppb. The response, 0.091 mg, is bracketed by $C_4 = 210$ ppb and $C_5 = 450$ ppb.




Figure 15. Plot of raw data, observed means, and smoothed means for the mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, growth data from Tables 13 and 20.

14.13.3.8.5 Using the equation in Section 4.2 from Appendix L, the estimate of the IC25 is calculated as follows:

$$IC_p = C_j + [M_1(1 - p/100) - M_j] \frac{(C_{(j+1)} - C_j)}{M_{(j+1)} - M_j}$$

$$\begin{aligned} IC_{25} &= 100 + [0.183(1 - 25/100) - 0.168] \frac{(210 - 100)}{(0.101 - 0.168)} \\ &= 151 \text{ ppb.} \end{aligned}$$

14.13.3.8.6 Using Equation 1 from Appendix L, the estimate of the IC50 is calculated as follows:

$$IC_p = C_j + [M_1(1 - p/100) - M_j] \frac{(C_{(j+1)} - C_j)}{M_{(j+1)} - M_j}$$

$$\begin{aligned} IC_{50} &= 210 + [0.183(1 - 50/100) - 0.101] \frac{(450 - 210)}{(0.012 - 0.101)} \\ &= 236 \text{ ppb.} \end{aligned}$$

14.13.3.8.7 When the ICPIN program was used to analyze this set of data, requesting 80 resamples, the estimate of the IC25 was 150.6446 ppb. The empirical 95.0% confidence interval for the true mean was 97.0905 ppb and 186.6383 ppb. The computer program output for the IC25 for this data set is shown in Figure 16.

14.13.3.8.8 When the ICPIN program was used to analyze this set of data for the IC50, requesting 80 resamples, the estimate of the IC50 was 234.6761 ppb. The empirical 95.0% confidence interval for the true mean was (183.8187 ppb to 277.9211 ppb). The computer program output for the IC50 for this data set is shown in Figure 17.

14.13.4 EXAMPLE OF ANALYSIS OF MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, FECUNDITY DATA

14.13.4.1 Formal statistical analysis of the fecundity data is outlined in Figure 18. The response used in the statistical analysis is the proportion of females with eggs in each test or control chamber. If no females were present in a replicate, a response of zero should not be used. Instead there are no data available for that replicate and the number of replicates for that level of concentration or the control should be reduced by one. Separate analyses are performed for the estimation of the NOEC and LOEC endpoints, and for the estimation of the EC, LC, and IC endpoints. The data for a concentration are excluded from the statistical analysis of the NOEC and LOEC endpoints if no eggs were produced in all of the replicates in which females existed. However, all data are included in the estimation of the IC25 and IC50.

Conc. ID	1	2	3	4.	5
Conc. Tested	0	50	100	210	450
Response 1	.146	.154	.114	.153	0
Response 2	.118	.19	.172	.094	.012
Response 3	.216	.193	.160	.017	0
Response 4	.199	.190	.199	.122	.002
Response 5	.176	.190	.165	.052	0
Response 6	.243	.191	.145	.154	0
Response 7	.213	.122	.207	.110	0
Response 8	.144	.177	.186	.103	.081

*** Inhibition Concentration Percentage Estimate ***

Toxicant/Effluent: Effluent
 Test Start Date: Test Ending Date:
 Test Species: MYSID SHRIMP, Mysidopsis bahia
 Test Duration: growth test
 DATA FILE: mysidwt.icp
 OUTPUT FILE: mysid.i25

Conc. ID	Number Replicates	Concentration $\mu\text{g/l}$	Response Means	Standard Dev.	Pooled Response Means
1	8	0.000	0.182	0.043	0.183
2	8	50.000	0.184	0.038	0.183
3	8	100.000	0.168	0.030	0.168
4	8	210.000	0.101	0.047	0.101
5	8	450.000	0.102	0.028	0.012

The Linear Interpolation Estimate: 150.6446 Entered P Value: 25

Number of Resamplings: 80
 The Bootstrap Estimates Mean: 147.1702 Standard Deviation: 23.7984
 Original Confidence Limits: Lower: 97.0905 Upper: 186.6383
 Resampling time in Seconds: 0.11 Random Seed: -1623038650

Figure 16. ICPIN program output for the IC25.

Conc. ID	1	2	3	4.	5
Conc. Tested	0	50	100	210	450
Response 1	.146	.154	.114	.153	0
Response 2	.118	.193	.172	.094	.012
Response 3	.216	.190	.160	.017	0
Response 4	.199	.190	.199	.122	.002
Response 5	.176	.256	.165	.052	0
Response 6	.243	.191	.145	.154	0
Response 7	.213	.122	.207	.110	0
Response 8	.144	.177	.186	.103	.081

*** Inhibition Concentration Percentage Estimate ***

Toxicant/Effluent:

Test Start Date: Test Ending Date:

Test Species: MYSID SHRIMP, Mysidopsis bahia

Test Duration: growth test

DATA FILE: mysidwt.icp

OUTPUT FILE: mysidwt.i50

Conc. ID	Number Replicates	Concentration $\mu\text{g/L}$	Response Means	Standard. Dev. Response Means	Pooled
1 8	0.000	0.182	0.043	0.183	
2 8	50.000	0.184	0.038	0.183	
3 8	100.000	0.168	0.030	0.168	
4 8	210.000	0.101	0.047	0.101	
5 8	450.000	0.012	0.028	0.01	

The Linear Interpolation Estimate: 234.6761 Entered P Value: 50

Number of Resamplings: 80

The Bootstrap Estimates Mean: 230.7551 Standard Deviation: 30.6781

Original Confidence Limits: Lower: 183.8197 Upper: 277.9211

Resampling time in Seconds: 0.16 Random Seed: -628896314

Figure 17. ICPIN program output for the IC50.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA* SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST




Figure 18. Flowchart for statistical analysis of mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, fecundity data.

14.13.4.2 For the case of equal numbers of replicates across all concentrations and the control, the evaluation of the NOEC and LOEC endpoints is made via a parametric test, Dunnett's Procedure, or a nonparametric test, Steel's Many-one Rank Test, on the arc sine square root transformed data. Underlying assumptions of Dunnett's Procedure, normality and homogeneity of variance, are formally tested. The test for normality is the Shapiro-Wilk's Test, and Bartlett's Test is used to test for homogeneity of variance. If either of these tests fails, the nonparametric test, Steel's Many-one Rank Test, is used to determine the NOEC and LOEC endpoints. If the assumptions of Dunnett's Procedure are met, the endpoints are estimated by the parametric procedure.

14.13.4.3 If unequal numbers of replicates occur among the concentration levels tested, there are parametric and nonparametric alternative analyses. The parametric analysis is a t test with the Bonferroni adjustment (Appendix D). The Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test with the Bonferroni adjustment is the nonparametric alternative.

14.13.4.4 The proportion of female mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, with eggs in each replicate must first be transformed by the arc sine square root transformation procedure described in Appendix B. Since the denominator of the proportion of females with eggs varies with the number of females occurring in that replicate, the adjustment of the arc sine square root transformation for 0% and 100% is not used for this data. The raw and transformed data, means and variances of the transformed observations at each test concentration including the control are listed in Table 21. Since there is significant mortality in the 450 ppb concentration, its effect on reproduction is not considered. Additionally, since no eggs were produced by females in any of the replicates for the 210 ppb concentration, it is not included in this statistical analysis and is considered a qualitative reproductive effect. A plot of the mean proportion of female mysids with eggs is illustrated in Figure 19.

14.13.4.5 Test for Normality

14.13.4.5.1 The first step of the test for normality is to center the observations by subtracting the mean of all observations within a concentration from each observation in that concentration. The centered observations are listed in Table 22.

14.13.4.5.2 Calculate the denominator, D, of the statistic:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

Where: X_i = the *i*th centered observation

\bar{X} = the overall mean of the centered observations

n = the total number of centered observations




Figure 19. Proportion of female mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, with eggs.

TABLE 21. MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, FECUNDITY DATA: PERCENT FEMALES WITH EGGS

Replicate	Test Concentration (ppb)				
	Control	50.0	100.0	210.0	
RAW	1	1.00	0.50	0.33	0.0
	2	1.00	0.33	0.50	0.0
	3	0.67	0.67	0.00	0.0
	4	1.00	-	0.50	0.0
	5	1.00	0.40	0.67	0.0
	6	0.80	0.50	0.00	0.0
	7	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.0
	8	1.00	0.33	-	0.0
ARC SINE TRANS- FORMED ¹	1	1.57	0.78	0.61	-
	2	1.57	0.61	0.78	-
	3	0.96	0.96	0.00	-
	4	1.57	-	0.78	-
	5	1.57	0.68	0.96	-
	6	1.12	0.78	0.00	-
	7	1.57	0.52	0.52	-
	8	1.57	0.61	-	-
Mean(Y_i)	1.44	0.71	0.52	-	
S^2_i	0.064	0.021	0.147	-	
i	1	2	3	4	

¹ Since the denominator of the proportion of females with eggs varies with the number of females occurring in that replicate, the adjustment of the arc sine square root transformation for 0% and 100% is not used for this data.

TABLE 22. CENTERED OBSERVATIONS FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

Replicate	Control	Test Concentration (ppb)	
		50.0	100.0
1	0.13	0.07	0.09
2	0.13	-0.10	0.26
3	-0.48	0.25	-0.52
4	0.13	-	0.26
5	0.13	-0.03	0.44
6	-0.32	0.07	-0.52
7	0.13	-0.19	0.00
8	0.13	-0.10	-

14.13.4.5.3 For this set of data, $n = 22$

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{22} (0.000) = 0.000$$

$$D = 1.4412$$

14.13.4.5.4 Order the centered observations from smallest to largest:

$$X^{(1)} \leq X^{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X^{(n)}$$

Where $X^{(i)}$ denotes the i th ordered observation. The ordered observations for this example are listed in Table 23.

14.13.4.5.5. From Table 4, Appendix B, for the number of observations, n , obtain the coefficients a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k where k is $n/2$ if n is even and $(n-1)/2$ if n is odd. For the data in this example, $n = 22$ and $k = 11$. The a_i values are listed in Table 24.

14.13.4.5.6 Compute the test statistic, W , as follows:

$$W = \frac{1}{D} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k a_i (X^{(n-i+1)} - X^{(i)}) \right]^2$$

The differences $X^{(n-i+1)} - X^{(i)}$ are listed in Table 24. For the data in this example:

$$W = \frac{1}{1.4412} (1.1389)^2 = 0.900$$

TABLE 23. ORDERED CENTERED OBSERVATIONS FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

i	$X^{(i)}$	i	$X^{(i)}$
1	-0.52	12	0.09
2	-0.52	13	0.13
3	-0.48	14	0.13
4	-0.32	15	0.13
5	-0.19	16	0.13
6	-0.10	17	0.13
7	-0.10	18	0.13
8	0.03	19	0.25
9	0.00	20	0.26
10	0.07	21	0.26
11	0.07	22	0.44

TABLE 24. COEFFICIENTS AND DIFFERENCES FOR SHAPIRO-WILK'S EXAMPLE

	i	a _i	X ⁽ⁿ⁻ⁱ⁺¹⁾ - X ⁽ⁱ⁾
1	0.4590	0.96	X ⁽²²⁾ - X ⁽¹⁾
2	0.3156	0.78	X ⁽²¹⁾ - X ⁽²⁾
3	0.2571	0.74	X ⁽²⁰⁾ - X ⁽³⁾
4	0.2131	0.57	X ⁽¹⁹⁾ - X ⁽⁴⁾
5	0.1764	0.32	X ⁽¹⁸⁾ - X ⁽⁵⁾
6	0.1443	0.23	X ⁽¹⁷⁾ - X ⁽⁶⁾
7	0.1150	0.23	X ⁽¹⁶⁾ - X ⁽⁷⁾
8	0.0878	0.16	X ⁽¹⁵⁾ - X ⁽⁸⁾
9	0.0618	0.13	X ⁽¹⁴⁾ - X ⁽⁹⁾
10	0.0368	0.06	X ⁽¹³⁾ - X ⁽¹⁰⁾
11	0.0122	0.02	X ⁽¹²⁾ - X ⁽¹¹⁾

14.13.4.5.7 The decision rule for this test is to compare W as calculated in Subsection 14.13.4.5.6 to a critical value found in Table 6, Appendix B. If the computed W is less than the critical value, conclude that the data are not normally distributed. For this set of data, the critical value at a significance level of 0.01 and n = 22 observations is 0.878. Since W = 0.900 is greater than the critical value, conclude that the data are normally distributed.

14.13.4.6 Test for Homogeneity of Variance

14.13.4.6.1 The test used to examine whether the variation in proportion of female mysids with eggs is the same across all concentration levels including the control, is Bartlett's Test (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980). The test statistic is as follows:

$$B = \frac{[(\sum_{i=1}^p V_i) \ln \bar{S}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^p V_i \ln S_i^2]}{C}$$

Where: V_i = degrees of freedom for each copper concentration and control, V_i = (n_i - 1)

p = number of concentration levels including the control

$$\bar{S}^2 = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^p V_i S_i^2)}{\sum_{i=1}^p V_i}$$

ln = log_e

i = 1, 2, ..., p where p is the number of concentrations including the control

n_i = the number of replicates for concentration i.

$$C = 1 + [3(p-1)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^p 1/V_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p V_i \right)^{-1} \right]]$$

14.13.4.6.2 For the data in this example (see Table 21), $n_1 = 8$, $n_2 = 7$ and $n_3 = 7$. Thus, the respective degrees of freedom are 7, 6 and 6.

14.13.4.6.3 Bartlett's statistic is therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} B &= [(19)\ln(0.077) - (7 \ln(0.064) + 6 \ln(0.021) + 6 \ln(0.147))]/1.07 \\ &= [19(-2.564) - (-53.925)]/1.07 \\ &= [-48.716 - (-53.925)]/1.07 \\ &= 4.868 \end{aligned}$$

14.13.4.6.4 B is approximately distributed as chi-square with $p - 1$ degrees of freedom, when the variances are in fact the same. Therefore, the appropriate critical value for this test, at a significance level of 0.01 with two degrees of freedom, is 9.210. Since $B = 4.868$ is less than the critical value of 9.210, conclude that the variances are not different.

14.13.4.7 T test with the Bonferroni Adjustment

14.13.4.7.1 A t test with the Bonferroni adjustment is used as an alternative to Dunnett's Procedure when, as in this set of data, the number of replicates is not the same for all concentrations. Like Dunnett's Procedure, it uses a pooled estimate of the variance, which is equal to the error value calculated in an analysis of variance. To obtain an estimate of the pooled variance, construct an ANOVA table as described in Table 25.

TABLE 25. ANOVA TABLE

Source	df	Sum of Squares (SS)	Mean Square (MS) (SS/df)
Between	$p - 1$	SSB	$S_B^2 = \text{SSB}/(p-1)$
Within	$N - p$	SSW	$S_W^2 = \text{SSW}/(N-p)$
Total	$N - 1$	SST	

Where: p = number of concentration levels including the control

N = total number of observations $n_1 + n_2 \dots + n_p$

n_i = number of observations in concentration i

$$SSB = \sum_{i=1}^p T_i^2/n_i - G^2/N \quad \text{Between Sum of Squares}$$

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}^2 - G^2/N \quad \text{Total Sum of Squares}$$

$$SSW = SST - SSB \quad \text{Within Sum of Squares}$$

G = the grand total of all sample observations, $G = \sum_{i=1}^p T_i$

T_i = the total of the replicate measurements for concentration i

Y_{ij} = the j th observation for concentration i (represents the mean dry weight of the mysids for concentration i in test chamber j)

14.13.4.7.2 For the data in this example:

$$n_1 = 8 \quad n_2 = 7 \quad n_3 = 7$$

$$N = 22$$

$$T_1 = Y_{11} + Y_{12} + \dots + Y_{18} = 11.5$$

$$T_2 = Y_{21} + Y_{22} + \dots + Y_{27} = 4.94$$

$$T_3 = Y_{31} + Y_{32} + \dots + Y_{37} = 3.65$$

$$G = T_1 + T_2 + T_3 = 20.09$$

$$\begin{aligned} SSB &= \sum_{i=1}^p T_i^2/n_i - G^2/N \\ &= \frac{132.25}{8} + \frac{24.40}{7} + \frac{13.32}{7} - \frac{403.61}{22} = 3.57 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} SST &= \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}^2 - G^2/N \\ &= 23.396 - \frac{403.61}{22} = 5.05 \end{aligned}$$

$$SSW = SST - SSB = 5.05 - 3.57 = 1.48$$

$$S_B^2 = SSB/(p-1) = 3.57/(3-1) = 1.785$$

$$S_W^2 = SSW/(N-p) = 1.48/(22-3) = 0.078$$

14.13.4.7.3 Summarize these calculations in the ANOVA table (Table 26).

TABLE 26. ANOVA TABLE FOR THE T TEST WITH BONFERRONI'S ADJUSTMENT EXAMPLE

Source	df	Sum of Squares (SS)	Mean Square (MS) (SS/df)
Between	2	3.57	1.785
Within	19	1.48	0.078
Total	21	5.05	

14.13.4.7.4 To perform the individual comparisons, calculate the t statistic for each concentration, and control combination as follows:

$$t_i = \frac{(\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_i)}{S_w \sqrt{(1/n_1) + (1/n_i)}}$$

- Where:
- \bar{Y}_i = mean proportion of females with eggs for concentration i
 - \bar{Y}_1 = mean proportion of females with eggs for the control
 - S_w = square root of the within mean square
 - n_1 = number of replicates for the control
 - n_i = number of replicates for concentration i

14.13.4.7.5 Table 27 includes the calculated t values for each concentration and control combination. In this example, comparing the 50.0 ppb concentration with the control the calculation is as follows:

$$t_2 = \frac{(1.44 - 0.52)}{[0.279 \sqrt{(1/8) - (1/7)}]}$$

$$= 5.05$$

TABLE 27. CALCULATED T VALUES

Test Concentration (ppb)	i	t _i
50.0	2	5.05
100.0	3	6.37

14.13.4.7.6 Since the purpose of this test is to detect a significant reduction in mean proportion of females with eggs, a one-sided test is appropriate. The critical value for this one-sided test is found in Table 5, Appendix D, Critical Values for the t test with Bonferroni's adjustment. For an overall alpha level of 0.05, 19 degrees of freedom for error and two concentrations (excluding the control) the approximate critical value is 2.094. The mean proportion for concentration "i" is considered significantly less than the mean proportion for the control if t_i is greater than the critical value. Therefore, the 50.0 ppb and the 100.0 ppb concentrations have significantly lower mean proportion of females with eggs than the control. Hence the LOEC for fecundity is 50.0 ppb.

14.13.4.7.7 To quantify the sensitivity of the test, the minimum significant difference (MSD) that can be detected statistically may be calculated.

$$MSD = t S_w \sqrt{(1/n_1) + (1/n)}$$

Where: t = the critical value for the t test with Bonferroni's adjustment

S_w = the square root of the within mean square

n = the common number of replicates at each concentration
(this assumes equal replication at each concentration)

n₁ = the number of replicates in the control

14.13.4.7.8 In this example:

$$\begin{aligned} MSD &= 2.094(0.279)\sqrt{(1/8) + (1/7)} \\ &= 2.094(0.279)(0.518) \\ &= 0.303 \end{aligned}$$

14.13.4.7.9 Therefore, for this set of data, the minimum difference that can be detected as statistically significant is 0.30.

14.13.4.7.10 The MSD (0.30) is in transformed units. To determine the MSD in terms of percent of females with eggs, carry out the following conversion.

1. Subtract the MSD from the transformed control mean.

$$1.44 - 0.30 = 1.14$$

2. Obtain the untransformed values for the control mean and the difference calculated in 4.10.1.

$$[\text{Sine}(1.44)]^2 = 0.983$$

$$[\text{Sine}(1.14)]^2 = 0.823$$

3. The untransformed MSD (MSD_u) is determined by subtracting the untransformed values from 14.13.4.7.10.2.

$$\text{MSD}_u = 0.983 - 0.823 = 0.16$$

14.13.4.7.11 Therefore, for this set of data, the minimum difference in mean proportion of females with eggs between the control and any copper concentration that can be detected as statistically significant is 0.16.

14.13.4.7.12 This represents a 17% decrease in proportion of females with eggs from the control.

14.13.4.8 Calculation of the ICp

14.13.4.8.1 The fecundity data in Table 4 are utilized in this example. Table 28 contains the mean proportion of females with eggs for each toxicant concentration. As can be seen, the observed means are monotonically nonincreasing with respect to concentration. Therefore, it is not necessary to smooth the means prior to calculating the IC. Figure 20 gives a plot of the response curve.

TABLE 28. MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, MEAN MEAN PROPORTION OF FEMALES WITH EGGS

Toxicant Conc. (ppb)	i	Response Means Y^i (mg)	Smoothed Mean M_i (mg)
Control	1	0.934	0.934
50.0	2	0.426	0.426
100.0	3	0.317	0.317
210.0	4	0.000	0.000
450.0	5	0.010	0.000

14.13.4.8.2 An IC25 and IC50 can be estimated using the Linear Interpolation Method. A 25% reduction in mean proportion of females with eggs, compared to the controls, would result in a mean proportion of 0.701, where $M_1(1-p/100) = 0.934(1-25/100)$. A 50% reduction in mean proportion of females with eggs, compared to the control would result in a mean proportion of 0.467. Examining the means and their associated concentrations (Table 28), the response, 0.701, is bracketed by $C_1 = 0$ ppb and $C_2 = 50$ ppb. The response, 0.467, is bracketed by $C_1 = 0$ ppb and $C_2 = 50$ ppb.

14.13.4.8.3 Using the equation in Section 4.2 from Appendix L, the estimate of the IC25 is calculated as follows:

$$ICp = C_j + [M_1(1-p/100) - M_j] \frac{(C_{j+1} - C_j)}{(M_{j+1} - M_j)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 IC_{25} &= 0 + [0.934(1 - 25/100) - 0.934] \frac{(50 - 0)}{(0.426 - 0.934)} \\
 &= 23 \text{ ppb.}
 \end{aligned}$$

14.13.4.8.4 Using the equation in Section 4.2 from Appendix L, the estimate of the IC50 is calculated as follows:

$$IC_p = C_j + [M_1 (1 - p/100) - M_j] \frac{C_{(j+1)} - C_j}{(M_{(j+1)} - M_j)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 IC_{50} &= 0 + [0.934(1 - 50/100) - 0.934] \frac{(50 - 0)}{(0.426 - 0.934)} \\
 &= 46 \text{ ppb.}
 \end{aligned}$$

14.13.4.8.5 When the ICPIN program was used to analyze this set of data, requesting 80 resamples, the estimate of the IC25 was 29.9745 ppb. The empirical 95.0% confidence interval for the true mean was 20.0499 ppb to 30.5675 ppb. The computer program output for the IC25 for this data set is shown in Figure 21. This value is extrapolated below the lowest test concentration and data should be used cautiously.

14.13.4.8.6 When the ICPIN program was used to analyze this set of data for the IC50, requesting 80 resamples, the estimate of the IC50 was 45.9490 ppb. The empirical 95.0% confidence interval for the true mean was 40.1467 ppb to 63.0931 ppb. The computer program output for the IC50 for this data set is shown in Figure 22.




Figure 20. Plot of the mean proportion of female mysids, *Mysidopsis bahia*, with eggs

Conc. ID	1	2	3	4
Conc. Tested	0	50	100	210
Response 1	1	.5	.3	0
Response 2	1	.33	.5	0
Response 3	.67	.67	0	0
Response 4	1	.4	.5	0
Response 5	1	.5	.67	0
Response 6	.8	.25	0	0
Response 7	1	.33	.25	0
Response 8	1			0

*** Inhibition Concentration Percentage Estimate ***

Toxicant/Effluent: Effluent
 Test Start Date: Test Ending Date:
 Test Species: MYSID SHRIMP, Mysidopsis bahia
 Test Duration: fecundity
 DATA FILE: mysidfe.icp
 OUTPUT FILE: mysidfe.i25

Conc. ID	Number Replicates	Concentration $\mu\text{g/l}$	Response Means	Standard. Dev.	Pooled Response Means
1 8	0.000	0.934	0.127	0.934	
2 7	50.000	0.426	0.142	0.426	
3 7	100.000	0.317	0.257	0.317	
4 8	210.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

The Linear Interpolation Estimate: 29.9745 Entered P Value: 25

Number of Resamplings: 80
 The Bootstrap Estimates Mean: 23.8871 Standard Deviation: 3.0663
 Original Confidence Limits: Lower: 20.0499 Upper: 30.5765
 Resampling time in Seconds: 1.37 Random Seed: 1918482350

Figure 21. ICPIN program output for the IC25.

Conc. ID	1	2	3	4
Conc. Tested	0	50	100	210
Response 1	1	.5	.3	0
Response 2	1	.33	.5	0
Response 3	.67	.67	0	0
Response 4	1	.4	.5	0
Response 5	1	.5	.67	0
Response 6	.8	.25	0	0
Response 7	1	.33	.25	0
Response 8	1			0

*** Inhibition Concentration Percentage Estimate ***

Toxicant/Effluent: Effluent
 Test Start Date: Test Ending Date:
 Test Species: MYSID SHRIMP
 Test Duration: fecundity
 DATA FILE: mysidfe.icp
 OUTPUT FILE: mysidfe.i50

-Conc. ID	Number Replicates	Concentration $\mu\text{g/l}$	Response Means	Std. Dev.	Pooled Response Means
1 8	0.000	0.934	0.127	0.934	
2 7	50.000	0.426	0.142	0.426	
3 7	100.000	0.317	0.257	0.317	
4 8	210.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

The Linear Interpolation Estimate: 45.9490 Entered P Value: 50

Number of Resamplings: 80
 The Bootstrap Estimates Mean: 47.8720 Standard Deviation: 8.2908
 Original Confidence Limits: Lower: 40.1467 Upper: 63.0931
 Resampling time in Seconds: 1.32 Random Seed: -391064242

Figure 22. ICPIN program output for the IC50.

14.14 PRECISION AND ACCURACY

14.14.1 PRECISION – Data on single-laboratory and multilaboratory precision are described below (Subsections 14.14.1.1 and 14.14.1.2). Single-laboratory precision is a measure of the reproducibility of test results when tests are conducted using a specific method under reasonably constant conditions in the same laboratory. Single-laboratory precision is synonymous with the terms within-laboratory precision and intralaboratory precision. Multilaboratory precision is a measure of the reproducibility of test results from different laboratories using the same test method and analyzing the same test material. Multilaboratory precision is synonymous with the term interlaboratory precision. Interlaboratory precision, as used in this document, includes both within-laboratory and between-laboratory components of variability. In recent multilaboratory studies, these two components of interlaboratory precision have been displayed separately (termed within-laboratory and between-laboratory variability) and combined (termed total interlaboratory variability). The total interlaboratory variability that is reported from these studies is synonymous with interlaboratory variability reported from other studies where individual variability components are not separated.

14.14.1.1 Single-Laboratory Precision

14.14.1.1.1 Data on the single-laboratory precision of the mysid survival, growth, and fecundity using copper (Cu) sulfate and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in natural seawater and in artificial seawater (GP2) are shown in Tables 29-33. In Tables 29-30 the coefficient of variation for the IC25, ranges from 18.0 to 35.0 and the IC50, ranges from 5.8 to 47.8, indicating acceptable test precision. Data in Tables 31-33 show no detectable differences between tests conducted in natural or artificial seawaters.

14.14.1.1.2 EPA evaluated within-laboratory precision of the Mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, Survival, Growth, and Fecundity Test using a database of routine reference toxicant test results from 10 laboratories (USEPA, 2000b). The database consisted of 130 reference toxicant tests conducted in 10 laboratories using a variety of reference toxicants including: chromium, copper, and potassium chloride. Among the 10 laboratories, the median within-laboratory CV calculated for routine reference toxicant tests was 28% for the IC25 growth endpoint. In 25% of laboratories, the within-laboratory CV was less than 24%; and in 75% of laboratories, the within-laboratory CV was less than 32%.

14.14.1.2 Multilaboratory Precision

14.14.1.2.1 In 2000, EPA conducted an interlaboratory variability study of the Mysid, *Mysidopsis bahia*, Survival, Growth, and Fecundity Test (USEPA, 2001a; USEPA, 2001b). In this study, each of 11 participant laboratories tested 4 blind test samples that included some combination of blank, effluent, reference toxicant, and receiving water sample types. The blank sample consisted of bioassay-grade FORTY FATHOMS® synthetic seawater, the effluent sample was a municipal wastewater spiked with KCl, the receiving water sample was a natural seawater spiked with KCl, and the reference toxicant sample consisted of bioassay-grade FORTY FATHOMS® synthetic seawater spiked with KCl. Of the 44 *Mysidopsis bahia* Survival, Growth, and Fecundity tests conducted in this study, 97.7% were successfully completed and met the required test acceptability criteria. Of seven tests that were conducted on blank samples, none showed false positive results for survival, growth, or fecundity endpoints. Results from the reference toxicant, effluent, and receiving water sample types were used to calculate the precision of the method. Table 34 shows the precision of the IC25 for each of these sample types. Averaged across sample types, the total interlaboratory variability (expressed as a CV%) was 41.3% for growth IC25 results. Table 35 shows the frequency distribution of survival and growth NOEC endpoints for each sample type. For the survival endpoint, NOEC values spanned three concentrations for the reference toxicant, effluent, and receiving water sample types. The percentage of values within one concentration of the median was 100% for each of the sample types. For the growth endpoint, NOEC values spanned four concentrations for the reference toxicant sample type and three concentrations for the effluent and receiving water sample types. The percentage of values within one concentration of the median was 92.3%, 100%, and 100% for the reference toxicant, effluent, and receiving water sample types, respectively. For the fecundity endpoint, NOEC values spanned three concentrations for the reference toxicant, the effluent, and the receiving water sample types. The percentage of values within one concentration of the median was 75.0%, 87.5%, and 66.7% for the reference toxicant, effluent, and receiving water sample types, respectively.

14.14.2 ACCURACY

14.14.2.1 The accuracy of toxicity tests cannot be determined.

TABLE 29. SINGLE-LABORATORY PRECISION OF THE MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST PERFORMED IN NATURAL SEAWATER, USING JUVENILES FROM MYSIDS CULTURED AND SPAWNED IN NATURAL SEAWATER, AND COPPER (Cu) SULFATE AS A REFERENCE TOXICANT^{1,2,3,4,5,6}

Test Number	NOEC (µg/L)	IC25 (µg/L)	IC50 (µg/L)	Most Sensitive Endpoint ⁷
1	63	96.1	NC ⁸	S
2	125	138.3	175.5	S
3	125	156.3	187.5	S
4	125	143.0	179.9	S
5	125	157.7	200.3	S
n:	5	5	4	
Mean:	NA	138.3	185.8	
CV(%):	NA	18.0	5.8	

¹ Data from USEPA (1988a) and USEPA (1991a).

² Tests performed by Randy Cameleo, ERL-N, USEPA, Narragansett, RI.

³ Eight replicate exposure chambers, each with five juveniles, were used for the control and each toxicant concentration. The temperature of the test solutions was maintained at 26 ± 1°C.

⁴ Copper concentrations in Tests 1-2 were: 8, 16, 31, 63, and 125 mg/L. Copper concentrations in Tests 3-6 were, 16, 31, 63, 125, and 250 µg/L.

⁵ NOEC Range: 63 - 125 µg/L (this represents a difference of two exposure concentrations).

⁶ For a discussion of the precision of data from chronic toxicity tests see Section 4, Quality Assurance.

⁷ Endpoints: G=Growth; S=Survival.

⁸ NC = No linear interpolation estimate could be calculated from the data, since none of the group response means were less than 50 percent of the control concentrations.

TABLE 30. SINGLE-LABORATORY PRECISION OF THE MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TEST PERFORMED IN NATURAL SEAWATER, USING JUVENILES FROM MYSIDS CULTURED AND SPAWNED IN NATURAL SEAWATER, AND SODIUM DODECYL SULFATE (SDS) AS A REFERENCE TOXICANT^{1,2,3,4,5,6}

Test Number	NOEC (mg/L)	IC25 (mg/L)	IC50 (mg/L)	Most Sensitive Endpoint ⁷
1	2.5	4.5	NC ⁹	S
2	< 0.3	NC ⁸	NC ⁹	S
3	< 0.6	NC ⁸	NC ⁹	S
4	5.0	7.8	NC ⁹	S
5	2.5	3.6	4.6	S
6	5.0	7.0	9.3	S
n:	4	4	2	
Mean:	NA	5.7	6.9	
CV(%):	NA	35.0	47.8	

¹ Data from USEPA (1988a) and USEPA (1991a).

² Tests performed by Randy Cameleo, ERL-N, USEPA, Narragansett, RI.

³ Eight replicate exposure chambers, each with five juveniles, were used for the control and each toxicant concentration. The temperature of the test solutions was maintained at $26 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$.

⁴ SDS concentrations in Tests 1-2 were: 0.3, 0.6, 1.3, 2.5, and 5.0 mg/L. SDS concentrations in Tests 3-4 were: 0.6, 1.3, 2.5, 5.0 and 10.0 mg/L. SDS concentrations in Tests 5-6 were: 1.3, 2.5, 5.0, 10.0, and 20.0 mg/L.

⁵ NOEC Range: < 0.3 - 5.0 mg/L (this represents a difference of four exposure concentrations).

⁶ For a discussion of the precision of data from chronic toxicity tests see Section 4, Quality Assurance.

⁷ Endpoints: G=Growth; S=Survival.

⁸ NC = No linear interpolation estimate could be calculated from the data, since none of the group response means were less than 75 percent of the control response mean.

⁹ NC = No linear interpolation estimate could be calculated from the data, since none of the group response means were less than 50 percent of the control response mean.

TABLE 31. COMPARISON OF SURVIVAL (LC50)¹, GROWTH AND FECUNDITY (IC50)¹ RESULTS FROM 7-DAY TESTS WITH THE MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, USING NATURAL SEAWATER (NSW) AND ARTIFICIAL SEAWATER (GP2) AS DILUTION WATER AND SODIUM DODECYL SULFATE (SDS) AS A REFERENCE TOXICANT

Test	Survival LC50		Growth IC50		Fecundity IC50	
	NSW	GP2	NSW	GP2	NSW	GP2
1	16.2	16.3	16.8	16.3	12.0	10.9
2	20.5	19.2	24.2	23.3	20.1	18.5
3	-- ²	21.9	-- ²	24.4	-- ²	21.7

¹ All LC50/IC50 values in mg/L.

² No test performed.

TABLE 32. COMPARISON OF SURVIVAL (LC50)¹, GROWTH AND FECUNDITY (IC50)¹ RESULTS FROM 7-DAY TESTS WITH THE MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, USING NATURAL SEAWATER (NSW) AND ARTIFICIAL SEAWATER (GP2) AS DILUTION WATER AND COPPER (Cu) SULFATE AS A REFERENCE TOXICANT

Test	Survival LC50		Growth IC50		Fecundity IC50	
	NSW	GP2	NSW	GP2	NSW	GP2
1	177	182	208	186	177	125
2	-- ²	173	-- ²	210	-- ²	142
3	190	174	195	179	168	186

¹ All LC50/IC50 values in µg/L.

² No test performed.

TABLE 33. CONTROL RESULTS FROM 7-DAY SURVIVAL, GROWTH, AND FECUNDITY TESTS WITH THE MYSID, *MYSIDOPSIS BAHIA*, USING NATURAL SEAWATER AND ARTIFICIAL SEAWATER (GP2) AS A DILUTION WATER

Test	Control ¹					
	Survival (%)		Growth (mg)		Fecundity (%)	
	NSW	GP2	NSW	GP2	NSW	GP2
1	98	93	0.32	0.32	73	77
2	80	90	0.40	0.43	100	95
3	-- ²	95	-- ²	0.40	-- ²	100
4	94	84	0.34	0.37	89	83
5	-- ²	94	-- ²	0.36	-- ²	83
6	80	75	0.40	0.41	79	93

¹ Survival as percent of mysids alive after 7 days; growth as mean individual dry weight; fecundity as percent females with eggs.

² No test performed.

TABLE 34. PRECISION OF POINT ESTIMATES FOR VARIOUS SAMPLE TYPES¹

Test Endpoint	Sample Type	CV (%) ²		
		Within-lab ³	Between-lab ⁴	Total ⁵
IC25 for Growth	Reference toxicant	8.69	40.0	40.9
	Effluent	5.26	36.6	37.0
	Receiving water	-	-	45.9
Average		6.98	38.3	41.3

¹ From EPA's WET Interlaboratory Variability Study (USEPA, 2001a; USEPA, 2001b).

² CVs were calculated based on the within-laboratory component of variability, the between-laboratory component of variability, and the total interlaboratory variability (including both within-laboratory and between-laboratory components). For the receiving water sample type, within-laboratory and between-laboratory components of variability could not be calculated since the study design did not provide within-laboratory replication for this sample type.

³ The within-laboratory (intralaboratory) component of variability for duplicate samples tested at the same time in the same laboratory.

⁴ The between-laboratory component of variability for duplicate samples tested at different laboratories.

⁵ The total interlaboratory variability, including within-laboratory and between-laboratory components of variability. The total interlaboratory variability is synonymous with interlaboratory variability reported from other studies where individual variability components are not separated.

TABLE 35. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF HYPOTHESIS TESTING RESULTS FOR VARIOUS SAMPLE TYPES¹

Test Endpoint	Sample Type	Median NOEC Value	% of Results at the Median	% of Results $\pm 1^2$	% of Results $\geq 2^3$
Survival NOEC	Reference toxicant	25%	53.8	46.2	0.00
	Effluent	12.5%	46.7	53.3	0.00
	Receiving water	12.5%	37.5	62.5	0.00
Growth NOEC	Reference toxicant	25%	53.8	38.5	7.69
	Effluent	12.5%	46.7	53.3	0.00
	Receiving water	12.5%	50.0	50.0	0.00
Fecundity NOEC	Reference toxicant	18.8%	- ⁴	75.0	25.0
	Effluent	25%	62.5	25.0	12.5
	Receiving water	9.38%	- ⁴	66.7	33.3

¹ From EPA's WET Interlaboratory Variability Study (USEPA, 2001a; USEPA, 2001b).

² Percent of values at one concentration interval above or below the median. Adding this percentage to the percent of values at the median yields the percent of values within one concentration interval of the median.

³ Percent of values two or more concentration intervals above or below the median.

⁴ The median NOEC fell between test concentrations, so no test results fell precisely on the median.