#### **DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION** Interim Final 2/5/99 **RCRA** Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) **Current Human Exposures Under Control**

Facility Name:	Shenandoah Recycling Incorporated
Facility Address:	Route 254, Staunton, Virginia
Facility EPA ID #:	VAD 083 045 823

1. Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?

$\boxtimes$	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

- If data are not available, skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

## BACKGROUND

 $\square$ 

The approximately 300-acre Shenandoah facility is located at Route 254, Staunton, Augusta County, Virginia. The facility is located in a rural residential and agricultural area. The facility employs approximately 25 drivers (and owns that many trucks) and 5 onsite mechanics. The Shenandoah facility has one large vehicle maintenance building (contains a welding shop), a barn (used for storage; also contained 6 pigs in the basement), an office building, and a silo (no longer in use). The driveway and parking lot at the northern portion of the site is comprised of asphalt, while various other areas around the buildings are covered with gravel and vegetation. The buildings and parking areas comprise a small portion of the 195 acres; the remainder is covered with native grasses and trees. The Shenandoah facility has been in operation since 1967. The maintenance building was constructed in the 1960s; the barn is approximately 100 years old.

Shenandoah Recycling Inc. is not currently registered as a hazardous waste transporter. The facility maintains and repairs trucks, and repairs trash compactors onsite. Historically, the facility was a transporter of solid and hazardous wastes. Shenandoah also placed roll-off containers on the clients' properties for collection of wastes. The facility filed a Notification of Hazardous Waste Activity as a transportation and storage facility in July 1980 listing F006 as the only waste code handled. A November 1980 Part A of a Consolidated Permit Program Application (completed by the facility), among other documents reviewed by TtEC, indicated that the facility stored waste onsite until loads were full or the appropriate disposal facility documentation was completed. This document indicated the Shenandoah site was a drum storage (S01) and tank storage (S02) facility that operated under Interim Status.

Raw chemicals are stored in minor quantities at the facility and include motor oil, antifreeze, hydraulic fluid, truck wash soap, and windshield washer fluid. These are stored in small drums and containers. The facility maintains two diesel fuel Aboveground Storage Tanks (ASTs) on the northern portion of the site. Two diesel fuel Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) have been removed from the site. Used oil is stored in small ASTs in the maintenance building. The facility also has one 3,000-gallon (estimated) diesel AST and one 8,000-gallon (estimated) diesel AST. The facility maintains an inventory of various aerosol solvents for automotive use including brake cleaner, penetrant, paint, lubricant, carburetor cleaner, etc. Used tanks are sent to a facility in Greenville, Virginia for disposal.

# **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

## **Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

#### **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

#### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

# **Current Human Exposures Under Control**

# Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**"<sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>?</u>	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		Х		
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		Х		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		Х		
Surface Water		Х		
Sediment		Х		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		Х		
Air (outdoors)		Х		

- If no (for all media) skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.
- If yes (for any media) continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.
- If unknown (for any media) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

No evidence of a spill or release was found during the site visit.

Groundwater is not currently used as a potable or irrigation water supply at the facility. The plant utilizes local municipal potable water.

The facility was constructed in a manner that did not place any product lines underground. The facility was built with each building having containment measures, including trench drains. An on-site spill basin allows for the detention of liquids for testing prior to on-site surface discharge. Stormwater is surface discharged on-site through a gate-controlled outfall. The entire facility is built on a grade that provides for secondary containment with an earthen dam.

Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>2</sup> Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

# Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

# Potential Human Receptors (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food <sup>3</sup>
Groundwater					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Air (indoors) Soil (surface, e.g., <2							
ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)							
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

- 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media, which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.
- 2. Enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

- If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or manmade, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).
- If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) continue after providing supporting explanation.
- If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

- 4. Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "**significant**"<sup>4</sup> (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
  - If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
  - If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
  - $\square$
- If unknown (for any complete pathway) skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

<sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

5.	Can the "significant" <b>exposures</b> (identified in #4) be shown to be within <b>acceptable</b> limits?
	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no - (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

- 6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI (event code CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).
  - X YE Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Shenandoah Recycling Incorporated facility, EPA ID # VAD 083 045 823, located on Route 254 in Staunton, Virginia under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
  - NO "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
  - IN More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by	(signature) (print) Denis Zielinski (title)	Date	1/22/09
Supervisor	(signature) (print) Luis Pizarro (title) (EPA Region or State)	Date	1/22/09

Locations where References may be found:

US EPA Region III Waste & Chemicals Management Division 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)	Denis M. Zielinski	
(phone #)	215-814-3431	
(e-mail)	zielinski.denis@epa.gov	