MODELING FOR THE WISSAHICKON WATERSHED TMDL

## DATASETS

-EPA formally requested data for Wissahickon in December, 2011

- Good response- received/acquired data from many sources (PWD, PADEP, USGS, LIMS, DVRPC)
- Wealth of watershed, source data obtained
- Monitoring data (DMR, in-stream flow/water quality, weather)
- Watershed characteristics (updated DVRPC Ianduse, stream cross section data)
- Nutrient Endpoint Analysis, Wissahickon Nutrient Stressor Verification


## PERMITTED FACILITIES

| Permit Number | Facility Name | Permit Number | Facility Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PA0012190 | Precision Tube Co | PAG130038 | Lansdale Boro MS4 |
| PA0022586 | North Wales Water Authority | PAG130054 | Cheltenham Twp MS4 |
| PA0023256 | Upper Gwynedd Twp WWTP | PAG130072 | Lower Gwynedd Twp MS4 |
| PA0026603 | Ambler Boro STP | PAG130075 | Upper Dublin Twp MS4 |
| PA0026867 | Abington STP | PAG130103 | Whitemarsh Twp MS4 |
| PA0029441 | Upper Dublin WWTP | PAG130130 | Springfield Twp MS4 |
| PA0050865 | Gessner Ambler Fac | PAG130137 | Whitpain Twp MS4 |
| PA0052515 | Ambler Boro WFP | PAG130157 | Horsham Twp MS4 |
| PA0053074 | Valley Green Corp Ctr STP | PA0054712 | Philadelphia MS4 |
| PA0053538 | Merck Sharp \& Dohme Corp West Point Facility | PAG040003 | Entwisle SRSTP |
|  |  | PAG040009 | Harris SRSTP |
| PA0054712 | Philadelphia MS4 | PAG050011 | BP Amoco Station 1269 |
| PA0055565 | Wings Field Preservation | PAG050079 | Sunoco, Inc. |
|  |  | PAR110049 | Moore Products Co. |
| PA0057631 | David \& Marie Sayers |  | Allied Concrete \& Supply |
| PAG130005 | North Wales Boro MS4 | PAR210024 | Dresher Plt |
| PAG130012 | Abington Twp MS4 | PAR230073 | McNeil Consumer Healthcare |
| PAG130016 | Montgomery Twp MS4 |  | Ft Washington Pl |
| PAG130019 | Upper Moreland Twp MS4 | PAR600075 | Poor Boys Used Auto Parts/West |
| PAG130026 | Worcester Twp MS4 |  |  |
| PAG130031 | Upper Gwynedd Twp MS4 | PAR800114 | Federal Express Corp Sega |
| PAG130036 | Ambler Boro MS4 | PAR900001 | Abington Transfer Station |

- Five Municipal POTWs
- Three industrial wastewater small facilities
- One Phase I MS4
- Fifteen Phase II MS4s
- Six storm water general permits
- Two storm water individual permit
- Two groundwater cleanup general permits
- One small sewage facility
- Three single residence


## DIFFUSE SOURCES

- Landuse/landcover (all under MS4 jurisdiction)
- Golf courses
- Stormwater
- Agriculture
- Forested

Septic Systems


## LINKED MODELING SYSTEM CONCEPT



- LSPC represents contributions from the land surface and smaller tributaries
- Volumes and loads estimated by LSPC are delivered to EFDC
- EFDC simulates hydrodynamics and water quality based on LSPC inputs and weather data input


## LSPC WATERSHED MODEL

## ADVANTAGES OF DYNAMIC MODELING

- Dynamic modeling increases resolution of nutrient loading
- Spatial resolution: Nutrient loading dependent on physical morphology, source location
- Temporal resolution: Meteorology, travel time
- Visualization can be useful in situations where influential watershed characteristics or dominant sources exist



## MODEL SETUP

- 64 Square miles
- 118 Subwatersheds
- 22 Hydrologic response units (HRUs)
- Dynamic representation
- 3 Climate timeseries
- Grid-based precipitation
- 2 USGS flow gages
- 5 Point major sources
= $1 / 1 / 2005-12 / 31 / 2005$ is target time period



## MODELING TIME PERIOD: DATA DENSITY

- 1/1/2005-12/31/2005 is target time period
- Streamflow data available through current
- Available ambient WQ data decreases after 2005.
- PWD sonde data (10 stations), PWD grab sample data (2 stations)
- PADEP

| PCode | Parameter Name | Units | No. Obs | Mean | First Date | Last Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CORRDEP | Corrected Depth | in | 114085 | 17.13 | $2004-08-10$ | $2005-11-21$ |
| DO | Dissolved Oxygen | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 115510 | 8.56 | $2004-08-10$ | $2005-11-21$ |
| PH | pH | pHU | 115510 | 7.78 | $2004-08-10$ | $2005-11-21$ |
| SPCOND | Specific Conductivity at 25 <br> deg C | uMHO/cm | 115510 | 812 | $2004-08-10$ | $2005-11-21$ |
| TURB | Turbidity | NTU | 55173 | 70 | $2004-08-10$ | $2005-11-17$ |
| WTEM | Water Temperature | deg C | 115510 | 17.54 | $2004-08-10$ | $2005-11-21$ |

## MODELING TIME PERIOD: DATA DENSITY

- 1/1/2005-12/31/2005 is target time period
- Streamflow data available through current
- Available ambient WQ data decreases after 2005.
- PWD sonde data (10 stations), PWD grab sample data (2 stations)
- PADEP

| PCode | Parameter Name | Units | No. Obs | Mean | First Date | Last Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BOD30 | BOD 30d | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 118 | 6.91 | $2005-01-13$ | $2005-09-08$ |
| BOD5 | BOD 5d | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 120 | 1.40 | $2005-01-13$ | $2005-09-08$ |
| CBOD5 | CBOD 5d | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 118 | 1.06 | $2005-01-13$ | $2005-09-08$ |
| CHLA | Chlorophyll-a | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 97 | 3.01 | $2005-01-13$ | $2005-09-08$ |
| DO | Dissolved Oxygen | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 271 | 9.93 | $2005-01-13$ | $2011-06-27$ |
| NO2 | Nitrite | $\mathrm{mgN} / \mathrm{L}$ | 661 | 0.04 | $2005-01-13$ | $2008-05-21$ |
| NO3 | Nitrate | $\mathrm{mgN} / \mathrm{L}$ | 760 | 3.46 | $2005-01-04$ | $2011-06-27$ |
| PH | pH | pHU | 352 | 7.78 | $2005-01-04$ | $2011-07-12$ |
| PO4 | Orthophosphate | $\mathrm{mgP} / \mathrm{L}$ | 753 | 0.41 | $2005-01-04$ | $2011-06-27$ |
| TKN | Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | $\mathrm{mgN} / \mathrm{L}$ | 514 | 1.30 | $2005-01-13$ | $2006-07-23$ |
| TNH3 | Total Ammonia | $\mathrm{mgN} / \mathrm{L}$ | 641 | 0.13 | $2005-01-04$ | $2011-07-12$ |
| TP | Total Phosphorus | $\mathrm{mgP} / \mathrm{L}$ | 440 | 0.70 | $2005-01-13$ | $2008-05-21$ |
| TSS | Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 651 | 60.67 | $200-01-13$ | $2007-04-27$ |
| TURB | Turbidity | NTU | 964 | 17.82 | $2005-01-04$ | $2011-07-12$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## MODELING TIME PERIOD: DATA DENSITY

- $1 / 1 / 2005-12 / 31 / 2005$ is target time period
- Streamflow data available through current
- Available ambient WQ data decreases after 2005.
- PWD sonde data (10 stations), PWD grab sample data (2 stations)
- PADEP (same 2 locations as PWD)

| PCode | Parameter Name | Units | No. Obs | Mean | First Date | Last Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DO | Dissolved Oxygen | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 101 | 11.81 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| DOC | Dissolved Organic Carbon | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 7 | 3.53 | $2009-10-08$ | $2011-03-14$ |
| FLOWCFS | Flow | cfs | 84 | 91.11 | $2002-02-07$ | $2010-06-28$ |
| NO2 | Nitrite | $\mathrm{mgN} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102 | 0.04 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| NO3 | Nitrate | $\mathrm{mgN} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102 | 5.46 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| PH | pH | pHU | 204 | 7.99 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| PO4 | Orthophosphate | $\mathrm{mgP/L}$ | 100 | 0.71 | $2002-04-08$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| SPCOND | Specific Conductivity at 25 <br> deg C | $\mathrm{uMHO} / \mathrm{cm}$ | 204 | 739 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| TN | Total Nitrogen | $\mathrm{mgN} / \mathrm{L}$ | 103 | 6.06 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| TNH3 | Total Ammonia | $\mathrm{mgN} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102 | 0.05 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| TOC | Total Organic Carbon | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 37 | 4.76 | $2002-0207$ | $2005-03-07$ |
| TP | Total Phosphorus | $\mathrm{mgP/L}$ | 102 | 0.81 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| TSS | Total Suspended Solids | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102 | 10.94 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |
| WTEM | Water Temperature | deg C | 102 | 12.95 | $2002-02-07$ | $2011-03-30$ |

## MODELING TIME PERIOD: DATA DENSITY

- 1/1/2005-12/31/2005 is target time period
- Streamflow data available through current
- Available ambient WQ data decreases after 2005.
- PWD sonde data (10 stations), PWD grab sample data (2 stations)
- PADEP
- Additional data availability for 2005 include:
- Permittee DMR data
- PADEP Periphyton data from WQN field sheets
- PWD Macroinvertebrate data (mainly 2005, into early 2006)


## WISSAHICKONSPECIFIC CONSIDERATIONS

- Watershed straddles geologic "fall-line"
- Upper watershed characterized by losing streams
- Water lost upstream may be re-introduced downstream based on USGS report:


## Water Budgets for Selected Watersheds in the Delaware River Basin, Eastern Pennsylvania and Western New Jersey

(USGS, 2005)

- Could not calibrate upstream and downstream USGS stations simultaneously
- Addressed the issue by modifying the LSPC code



## LSPC HYDROLOGY

- Avg flow (1/1/2005 to 12/31/2005) Line of Equal Value


$\ldots$ Avg Monthly Rainfall (in.)
- Avg Observed Flow (11/2005 to 12/31/2005)

- Avg Daily Rainfall (in.)
-Avg Daily Rainfall (in.)
-Avg Observed Flow ( $1 / 1 / 2005$ to $12 / 31 / 2005$ )





## LSPC HYDROLOGY

- Avg Flow (1/1/2005 to 12/31/2005) - Line of Equal Value


Average Observed Flow (cfs)


- Avg Monthly Rainfall (in.)
$\rightarrow$ Avg Obsened Flow (1/1/2005 to 12/31/2005)

- Avg Daily Rainfall (in.)
- Avg Daily Rainfall (in.)
-Avg Modeled Flow (Same Period)

Flow (cfs)


Date


## LSPC WATER QUALITY



## LSPC WATER QUALITY



## LSPC ANIMATION

- Animation shows watershed simulation results and concentrations applied to the EFDC receiving water model
- Mainstem concentrations are ultimately modeled by EFDC


7.08 mg/L
$14.53 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$

EFDC RECEIVING WATER QUALITY MODEL

## EFDC MODEL CONFIGURATION



## EFDC MODEL CONFIGURATION

- Based on the EFDC model developed in 2002
- 1-D grid
- Grid slightly extended to match the sub-watershed boundary on Sandy Run
- 120 Cells total
- Bottom elevations updated with surveyed cross section data
- TP is primary modeling pollutant, algae and DO modeled as checkpoints



## EFDC BENTHIC ALGAE ROUTINES

- The TMDL accounts for two types of benthic algae (filamentous periphyton and non-filamentous periphyton) in addition to macroalgae.
- Simulated two groups to allow for competition between algal species and individual interaction with nutrients and substrate
- Incorporated substrate availability factors, flood scour effects on periphyton
- Incorporated time variable factor to approximate the seasonal change in canopy impacting shading effect on water temperature and periphyton light availability


## EFDC BENTHIC ALGAE ROUTINES

- Periphyton species were parameterized by
- Species growth, respiration, and grazing loss rate, nutrient half-saturation
- Calibrated algal parameter values used literature values and guidance from PADEP as a baseline- lack of observed filamentous/non-filamentous distribution data
- Anecdotal information points to presence of both (PADEP recommendation) in the Wissahickon watershed

|  | Filamentous <br> (model species \#1 | Non- filamentous <br> (model species \#2 | Literature <br> min | Literature <br> max |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth (1/d) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.62 | 4 |
| Respiration (1/day) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.2 |
| Grazing (1/d) | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.005 | 0.1 |
| P half-saturation (mg/L) | 0.125 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.5 |

## EFDC BENTHIC ALGAE ROUTINES



|  | Filamentous <br> (model species \#1 | Non- filamentous <br> (model species \#2 | Literature <br> min | Literature <br> max |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth (1/d) | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.62 | 4 |
| Respiration (1/day) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.2 |
| Grazing (1/d) | 0.035 | 0.035 | 0.005 | 0.1 |
| P half-saturation (mg/L) | 0.125 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.5 |

## EFDC BENTHIC ALGAE ROUTINES



## EFDC WQ CALIBRATION
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## EFDC WQ CALIBRATION
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## EFDC WQ CALIBRATION
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## ALLOCATION SCENARIO

## ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY

- 2005-2006 chosen as modeling period
- 2005 chosen as calibration period due to rich data monitoring data availability
- Monitoring data used to calibrate model
- Good calibration suggests good representation of Wissahickon system in 2005
- 2005-2006 Conditions used to derive allocations
- Meteorological conditions
- Land use
- Discharge volumes and concentrations


## ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY

- Allocation Scenario meets the average TP target of $0.04 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ for the growing season, which is based on 2012 Nutrient Endpoint Guidance for the Northern Piedmont Ecoregion of PA.
- Only TP was reduced to meet the TP target (i.e ammonia, BOD were represented for nutrient dynamics, but were not reduced).
- DO and algal growth were simulated, but not used explicitly as allocation targets.
- Permitted point source discharges, land surface contributions, and septic contributions were reduced to meet the target.

Development of Nutrient Endpoints for the Northern Piedmont Ecoregion of Pennsylvania: TMDL Application

Follow-up Analysis
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## ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY

"Top-down" allocation process

- Phosphorus reduced in watershed model until ${ }^{\text {target is met }}$

- Reduced land surface, interflow, and groundwater contributions by landuse
- LSPC loads applied to EFDC
- Permitted point source discharges reduced
- Revisit LSPC land use reductions if target can't be met


## DIFFUSE SOURCES

- Landuse/landcover (all under MS4 jurisdiction)
- Golf courses
- Stormwater
- Agriculture
- Forested
- Septic Systems



## BASELINE LOAD DISTRIBUTION

## Example TP Distribution during Low Flow Conditions (May 2005)



## BASELINE LOAD DISTRIBUTION

## Baseline Phosphorus Load Distribution by Source (2005-2006)



## ALLOCATIONS: <br> PERMITTED FACILITIES

- Permitted dischargers reduced 97-99\%
- DMR data (2005-2006) used as baseline, does not account for improvements made since that time
- Average baseline concentrations range from $2.73 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ TP (Upper Gwynedd) to 4.1 mg/L TP (Ambler)

| Facility | Permit Number | Baseline TP <br> Load (lbs/year) | Allocated TP <br> Load (lbs/year) | Percent <br> Reduction (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abington WWTP | PA0026867 | 45734.00 | 361.45 | 99.2 |
| Ambler WWTP | PA0026603 | 81115.00 | 798.63 | 99.0 |
| North Wales WWTP | PA0022586 | 3976.08 | 47.71 | 98.8 |
| Upper Dublin WWTP | PA0029441 | 9634.00 | 171.47 | 98.2 |
| Upper Gwynedd WWTP | PA0023256 | 47311.00 | 282.58 | 99.4 |

## ALLOCATIONS:

## MUNICIPAL SEPARATE SEWER SYSTEMS (MS4s)

| MS4 | Permit Number | Baseline TP Load (lbs/year) | Allocated TP Load (lbs/year) | Percent Reduction (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abington | PAG130012 | 9574.45 | 209.60 | 97.8 |
| Ambler | PAG130036 | 2707.77 | 79.37 | 97.1 |
| Cheltenham | PAG130054 | 576.99 | 27.82 | 95.2 |
| Horsham | PAG130157 | 563.86 | 15.28 | 97.3 |
| Lansdale | PAG130038 | 1912.30 | 26.03 | 98.6 |
| Lower Gwynedd | PAG130072 | 23505.76 | 1458.61 | 93.8 |
| Montgomery | PAG130016 | 5143.51 | 119.85 | 97.7 |
| North Wales | PAG130005 | 1639.47 | 27.01 | 98.4 |
| Philadelphia | PA0054712 | 24799.61 | 2404.14 | 90.3 |
| Springfield | PAG130130 | 15038.23 | 641.87 | 95.7 |
| Upper Dublin | PAG130075 | 30535.65 | 1587.65 | 94.8 |
| Upper Gwynedd | PAG130031 | 12149.69 | 458.51 | 96.2 |
| Upper Moreland | PAG130019 | 156.50 | 1.78 | 98.9 |
| Whitemarsh | PAG130103 | 16595.84 | 1373.25 | 91.7 |
| Whitpain | PAG130137 | 12295.91 | 784.40 | 93.6 |
| Worcester | PAG130026 | 314.64 | 9.82 | 96.9 |

## ALLOCATIONS:

## PHILADELPHIA MS4



## ALLOCATIONS: PHILADELPHIA MS4

| Summarized Land <br> Use Group | Baseline TP Load <br> (los/year) | Allocated TP Load <br> (lbs/year) | Percent Reduction <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agriculture | 60.41 | 2.24 | 96.3 |
| Residential | 15391.04 | 613.22 | 96.02 |
| Impervious <br> Developed | 3964.41 | 209.53 | 94.71 |
| Pervious Developed | 4053.91 | 249.31 | 93.85 |
| Background | 1329.84 | 1329.84 | 0.0 |
| Total Aggregate MS4 <br> WLA for Philadelphia | 24799.61 | 2404.14 | 90.3 |

## ALLOCATIONS: SEPTIC SYSTEMS

- Septics represent <1\% of total phosphorus load
- Septics are assumed to be functioning properly
- Septics reduced 88\% uniformly
- Baseline load: 6.3Ibs/day
- Allocated Load: 0.8lbs/day
- Reductions could be (may already be) addressed by connection to existing sewer system



## TMDL

| Source Group | Baseline TP Load <br> (los/year) | Allocated TP Load <br> (los/year) | Percent Reduction |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Point Sources: <br> WWTP | $187,770.08$ | $1,661.84$ | 99.1 |
| Point Sources: <br> MS4 | $157,510.18$ | $9,224.99$ | 94.1 |
| Nonpoint Sources | $2,289.11$ | 274.69 | 88.0 |
| Total | $347,569.37$ | $11,161.52$ | 96.8 |
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