## Treatment Options

Howard P. Isaacs, Program Manager Monitoring and Compliance Nebraska Drinking Water Program

EPA Region VII - Radionuclide Rule Training Omaha, NE June 6, 2007

#### There's Always a History Lesson

- > The only historical data Nebraska had was Gross alpha monitoring with little to no Uranium data
- > All of this historical data was a result of early distribution system monitoring
- > States were allowed to develop Grandfathering Programs (Start June 2000-End December 7, 2003)
- Nebraska submitted Grandfathering Plan to EPA Region VII in March 2002
- > EPA Region VII approved Nebraska plan in May 2002

#### **More History**

- > Program bombarded CWSs with GF info.
- > Of the 610 CWSs, 550 were eligible for GFP
- > 526 CWSs participated in the GFP
- > Conservative estimate of sampling cost savings \$750,000.00
- > Identified our potential troublesome systems.

## Compliance Consequence

- > Data gathered during the Grandfather period revealed Uranium would be Nebraska's dark horse problem with the Radionuclide Rule
- > High concentrations found in three river valleys
  - Republican
  - North Platte
  - Platte
- > Compliance monitoring began January 2004
- > Systems believed to have problems or potential problems were scheduled for this first year

#### Compliance Consequence con't

- Currently, 13 CWSs have been issued Administrative Orders for exceeding the Uranium MCL of 30 ug/l
- > As with any enforcement document, the systems are given suggestions (potential solutions) to pursue in the effort to return to compliance

## Compliance Options

- > Potential Solutions
  - Cease use of well with elevated Uranium
  - Seek new source (well or wells)
  - <u>Blend water</u> from source lower in Uranium concentration with higher concentration well
  - Install centralized treatment plant
  - Install Point-of-Use (POU) devices
  - <u>Purchase water</u> from another permitted public water system

### Decision Time

- > Cease use of well
  - May not be possible if this is the systems only well or all wells are high in Uranium
- New well source
  - No frills/cut corners \$250,000.00 and up range
- > Blend high and low source water wells
  - Tremendous variables \$?????????
- > Centralized Treatment
  - At today's prices \$1,000,000.00 and up range
- > POU
  - Financial breakpoint is somewhere around 100 connections
- > Purchasing water
  - Tremendous variables \$??????????

## Technologies

- > EPA Best Available Technologies (BATs) for Uranium
  - Ion Exchange (Anion is the most efficient)
  - Reverse Osmosis
  - Lime Softening
  - Enhanced Coagulation/Filtration

## Small System Technologies

- > Additional BATs for Small Systems
  - POU Ion Exchange (Anion)
  - POU Reverse Osmosis
  - Activated Alumina (Lab tested only)
- > Technologies other than those listed by EPA can also be used if the CWS demonstrates that the technology is efficient (pilot study)

#### So, Where Are We?

- ➤ New Source 1 system returned to compliance
- ➤ New Source 5 systems developing new well fields
- ➤ New Source 1 system developed 2 new wells only to have the Uranium levels fail after first month
- > Purchase Water 2 systems are now Consecutive
- > POU/RO 1 system currently installing units
- ➤ Decision in Progress 3 systems still in time frame to explore options

#### Issues Have We Seen

- ➤ Waste Disposal Questions Whether it be Centralized Treatment or POU Devices
  - Mixed Waste (Uranium + Arsenic)?
  - License to handle Radiological materials?
  - Worker Protection Criteria?
- ➤ Requirements dealing with POUs 100% participation; Liability; etc.
- ➤ Monitoring Schedule/Compliance
  Determination when using POU devices

# **Questions – Comments – Good Advise – Bad Advise – Contact Us**

Howard P. Isaacs, Program Manager Nebraska Drinking Water Program (402) 471-0930

howard.isaacs@hhss.ne.gov