# DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION Interim Final 2/5/99 RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

## **Current Human Exposures Under Control**

Facility Name:	Cytec Engineered Materials Inc.
Facility Address:	1300 Revolution Street, Havre de Grace, MD 21078
Facility EPA ID #:	MDD 00 307 5942

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

X If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

- If no re-evaluate existing data, or
- if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

# **BACKGROUND**

# **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

# **Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

# **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are nearterm objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

# **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**<sup>1</sup> above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater	Х			VOC contamination
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		Х		See explanation below.
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		Х		No contamination.
Surface Water		Х		No surface water discharge of contaminants.
Sediment		Х		No surface water discharge of contaminants.
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2	Х			See explanation below.
ft)				
Air (outdoors)		Х		No documented releases.

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing
appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each
 "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

\_\_\_\_ If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

<u>Groundwater:</u> A volatile organic compound (VOC) contaminated plume, consisting primarily of 1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA) and Methylene Chloride, extends offsite to the east/southeast. 1,2-DCA concentrations in 1999 range from approximately 34,000  $\mu$ g/l (from well MW-10D) to non-detect in groundwater monitoring wells, compared to the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) of 5  $\mu$ g/l.

Indoor Air: Off-site groundwater contamination has been identified mainly in the Lower Talbot, or lower portion of the aquifer. The area of contamination that extends beneath the residential area to the east is bounded above by the Upper Talbot aquifer, which is not contaminated above MCLs in this area. Residential homes above the plume are built on concrete slabs with no basements. For on-site issues, the facility does not have subsurface enclosed rooms (e.g. utility vaults) and highest concentrations in the subsurface was located well away from building structures. Adhesives and manufacturing area floors are coated with Electrostatic Dissipative surfaces, heavy epoxy sealants or floor tiling which limits the potential for subsurface vapor migration to indoor air environments. Administrative offices are also tiled. Floors in shipping and loading areas are made of thick concrete. Higher air turnover rates are required due to Division I, Class I rated areas (flammable materials handling). Also, to fulfill OSHA requirements, air quality testing for total volatiles is performed on an annual basis.

<u>Subsurface Soil:</u> On-site sampling has detected VOC-contamination (of same contaminants in groundwater) above Soil Screening Levels (SSLs) for transfer to groundwater and Risk Based Concentrations for industrial use (RBCs). The majority of the contamination was found at > 15 ft below ground surface.

Footnotes:

<sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

<sup>2</sup>Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

	Summar	y Exposure	Pathway E	valuation Table			
Po	otential <u>Hun</u>	nan Recep	tors (Under	Current Cond	itions)		
"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food <sup>3</sup>
Groundwater	No	No	No	No			No
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft)				No			No
Air (outdoors)							

Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table:

1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.

2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media -- Human Receptor combination (Pathway).

Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces ("\_\_\_\_"). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.

X If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <u>Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</u> to analyze major pathways).

If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.

If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

### Rationale and Reference(s):

<u>GW:</u> All drinking water in the area is provided by the City of Havre de Grace. Food is not grown near contaminated area.

<u>Sub Soil:</u> Contaminated soil is at depths >15 ft bgs and aboveground solvent storage tanks with containment structures directly above that area, which minimizes the current exposure pathways. Subsurface soil excavation activities (>4 ft) are closely monitored and controlled as part of the facility's overall safety procedures. These activities are treated as confined space entry requiring shoring, air monitoring (e.g. LEL) and, when applicable, forced air ventilation (e.g. utilities).

<sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

- 4. Can the **exposures** from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "**significant**"<sup>4</sup> (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?
  - If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."
  - If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."

If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

<sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

- 5. Can the "significant" **exposures** (identified in #4) be shown to be within **acceptable** limits?
  - If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) continue and enter "YE" after summarizing and referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
  - If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")- continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
  - If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) continue and enter "IN" status code

Rationale and Reference(s):

- 6. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):
  - X YE Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the **Cytec Engineered Materials** facility, EPA ID # **MDD003075942**, located at **1300 Revolution Street**, **Havre de Grace**, **MD** under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.
  - NO "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."
  - IN More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by	(signature)		Date	5/30/02
	(print)	Jennifer L. Shoemaker		
	(title)	Remedial Project Manager		

Supervisor	(signature)	)	Date	5/30/02
	(print)	Robert E. Greaves		
	(title)	Chief, General Operations Branch		
	(EPA Reg	ion or State) EPA Region III, WCMD		

Locations where References may be found:

U.S. EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers

(name)	Jennifer L. Shoemaker
(phone #)	(215) 814-2772
(e-mail)	shoemaker.jennifer@epa.gov

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.