DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility	Name: Address: EPA ID #:	Atlantic Coast Environmental College Road and Railroad Avenue , Dover, De DED 000796300				
1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination?					
	_X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.				
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or				
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.				

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

C	1	Yes X	No	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Ground	doors) ²	_X_	 _X		
	e Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		_X		
	e Water		_x_		
Sedime	ent		_X_		
	f. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)	_X_			·
Air (ou	ıtdoors)		_X_		
		•	mentation		to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
	en capped, and the	levels o L"). Th	f contam e major (ination are	roundwater contamination (the Waste Pile Storage Un e decreasing, they are still above Maximum ts detected in an 1995 sampling event were oethane, 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, and chloroform.

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

²Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential **<u>Human Receptors</u>** (Under Current Conditions)

Groundwater Air (indoors) Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft) Surface Water Sediment Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft) Air (outdoors) Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table: 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above. 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways). If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation. If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation. If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient of the facility. Site conditions are such that there is no impact to human exposure.	"Contaminated"	Media Resident	s Workers	Day-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	Food ³
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)								
Surface Water Sediment Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft) Air (outdoors) Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table: 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above. 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X_ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways). If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient	, ,							
Sediment Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft) Air (outdoors) Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table: 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above. 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X_ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways). If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation. If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient		., <2 ft)						
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2 ft) Air (outdoors) Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table: 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above. 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X_ If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways). If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation. If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient								
Air (outdoors) Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table: 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above. 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways). If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation. If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient								
Instructions for Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table: 1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above. 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X_		e.g., >2 ft)						
1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above. 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways). If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation. If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient	Air (outdoors)							
"contaminated") as identified in #2 above. 2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X_	Instructions for S	ummary Exposure F	Pathway Eva	luation Tab	<u>ole</u> :			
Receptor combination (Pathway). Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X_					eptors' space	s for Media w	hich are no	t
Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary. _X_		•		npleteness"	under each	"Contaminated	d" Media	Human
skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) in-place, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet to analyze major pathways). If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation. If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient	Media - Human R combinations may	eceptor combination not be probable in	ns (Pathway	s) do not h	ave check sp	aces ("").	While these	e
combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation. If unknown (for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s): The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient	_X_	skip to #6, and ente in-place, whether n each contaminated	er "YE" statu atural or ma	ıs code, aft n-made, pr	er explaining eventing a co	and/or refere	ncing condi ure pathway	tion(s) from
and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s):The facility stopped operating in 1984. The site has a six foot high chain link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient							an Receptor	
link fence topped with barb wire surrounding the former operating area. While contaminated soils were removed from the site, a capped area remains over the former Waste Pile Storage Unit. The contaminated groundwater on-site is decreasing in concentrations, and the nearest drinking well is a 1/4 mile upgradient				ated" Med	ia - Human F	Receptor comb	ination) - sl	kip to #6
	link fence topped removed from the groundwater on-s	with barb wire surre site, a capped area ite is decreasing in	ounding the remains ove	former ope or the forme ons, and the	rating area. er Waste Pile nearest drink	While contam Storage Unit. king well is a 1	inated soils The contain	were minated

³ Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 4

4	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " significant " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?					
	If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."					
	If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."					
	If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code Rationale and Reference(s):					

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 5

C	an the "signific	cant" exposures (identified in #4) be shown to be within acceptable limits?
		If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying wh all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
		If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
		If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" statucode
R	ationale and R	eference(s):
1	ationale and N	crerence(s)
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		

Page 6

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):							
	X_	YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Atlantic Coast Environmental, Inc. facility, EPA ID # DED000796300, located at College Road and Railroad Avenue, Dover, De. under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be reevaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility. NO - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Under Control."						
		IN - More information is needed to make a de	etermination.					
	Completed by	(signature) (print) Michael Jacobi (title) Remedial Project Manager	Date <u>01-16-02</u>					
	Supervisor	(signature) (print) Robert E. Greaves (title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) EPA Region 3	Date <u>01-18-02</u>					
	Locations where	References may be found:						
	Contact telepho	ne and e-mail numbers						
		Michael Jacobi #)215-814-3435)jacobi.mike@epa.gov						

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.