

Key Findings

- *WasteWise contributes to better waste management practices among partners:* The survey results provide clear evidence that WasteWise contributes to better waste management practices among USPS facilities. Early USPS WasteWise joiners conduct more recycling activities than later joiners, and have higher recycling frequencies for every material, and a higher recycling frequency across materials. Also, early USPS joiners have been recycling for a longer time than later joiners, and are more aware of their recycling rates. All of these results are statistically significant. Self-selection bias is unlikely to explain the extent of difference found in the survey between early and later WasteWise joiners. Focus group results and USPS interviews validate survey findings that WasteWise contributes to changes in waste management.
- **Participant feedback on WasteWise program approaches and activities is generally positive:** WasteWise receives consistently positive feedback on technical tools offered to partners, including greenhouse gas calculations, the Re-TRAC data management and reporting system, program website, and helpline. The WasteWise awards program reaches many participants and receives very positive feedback. The WasteWise annual conference received generally positive feedback from focus group participants, but conference data and survey data indicated mixed results on the value of the conference. The evaluation team noted a consistent theme that WasteWise partners desire more frequent communication from the program.
- The WasteWise data collection strategy emulates best practices among similar programs: WasteWise is now collecting data necessary to establish a credible baseline. WasteWise has created a powerful incentive for program participation and reporting by offering free access to Re-TRAC, a popular commercial application for waste tracking. WasteWise has taken steps to encourage participant adherence to the program's reporting standards, although EPA could take additional steps to improve the first-time quality of data submitted by partners. EPA takes steps to validate waste data reported to WasteWise, but could adopt additional measures to bolster confidence in self-reported data. While other EPA partnership programs encourage or require partners to submit environmental data normalized for external factors (i.e., economic conditions), OMB has precluded WasteWise from collecting normalized data. WasteWise emulates other data quality best practices identified across partnership programs.

Recommendations

The evaluation team suggests that EPA:

- Increase communications from EPA to WasteWise partners.
- Promote communications among WasteWise partners by providing an online venue for networking.
- In absence of additional program funding, consider recasting the conference as an awards ceremony.
- Keep a focus on offering high-value technical tools to partners.
- Invest in enhancements to the WasteWise Re-TRAC system to improve the efficiency of reviewing reported data, and collect information on the potential benefits of WasteWise through the annual reporting process.
- As resources allow, conduct research into the potential spillover effects of the WasteWise program.
- Develop high-level communications around the interplay of factors that encourage firms to make decisions on waste management and other environmental issues.

Contact(s)

- Terell Lasane, EPA Evaluation Support Division, lasane.terell@epa.gov
- John Cross, EPA ORCR, cross.Johnf@epa.gov
- Terry Grist, EPA ORCR, grist.terry@epa.gov

Report Link: <u>http://www.epa.gov/evaluate/impact.htm</u>

Date Completed: October 2010