ATTACHMENT I--FINAL RISK ASSESSMENT <u>PENICILLIUM ROQUEFORTI</u>

(February 1997)

I. INTRODUCTION

<u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> is a common saprophytic fungus, that is widespread in nature and can be isolated from soil, decaying organic substances and plant parts. The major industrial uses of this fungus are for the production of blue cheeses, flavoring agents, antibacterials, polysaccharides, proteases and other enzymes.

While the fungus has been a constituent of Roquefort, Stilton and other blue cheeses and eaten by humans since about 500 AD, there is considerable evidence to indicate that most strains are capable of producing harmful secondary metabolites (alkaloids and other mycotoxins) under certain growth conditions (Peberdy, 1985; Sharpell, 1985).

History of Commercial Use and Products Subject to TSCA Jurisdiction

The chief industrial use of the fungus <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u>, is in the production of Roquefort cheese. Strains of the microorganism are also used to produce compounds that can be employed as antibiotics, flavors and fragrances (Sharpell, 1985); uses not regulated under the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA).

The organism can also be used for the production of proteases and specialty chemicals, such as methyl ketones (Larroche et al, 1989) and 2-heptanone (Larroche and Gros, 1989; Jong and Gantt, 1987). Other strains of <u>Penicillium</u> species are also useful in biodeterioration (Peberdy, 1985) which may be used in applications subject to TSCA reporting.

II. IDENTIFICATION AND CLASSIFICATION OF THE MICROORGANISM

Fungi, in general, can be relatively difficult to identify or classify compared to other microbial groups such as the bacteria. Fungi are classified by morphological features that vary with cultural techniques and the experience of the taxonomist. Reliance on morphological characters may not serve as a dependable model for identification of closely related species. Molecular methods which are currently applied to bacteria have not advanced as rapidly with fungi. However, certain fungal genera, including <u>Penicillium</u>, can be classified with a fair degree of certainty by using standard media.

A. Definition of Penicillium roqueforti

Since the turn of the century, Thom (1910) and others have studied the genus <u>Penicillium</u> because of the importance of these fungi in the fermentation process of cheese-making. Raper and Thom's "Manual of Penicillia" (1949) has been accepted for decades as the standard descriptive monograph. Raper and Thom (1949) placed the cheese-fermenting penicillia in two separate series, the <u>P. roqueforti</u> and the <u>P. camemberti</u> series. Given the considerable experience with these fungi, mycologists can now readily identify an isolate of <u>Penicillium</u> using standard media originally described by Raper and Thom (Alexopoulos and Mims, 1979). In practice, closely related strains with identical micromorphology were sometimes considered separate species (Samson and Gams, 1984). More recently, a species concept based primarily on morphological characters of conidiophores and conidia for <u>P. roqueforti</u> was adopted by Samson et al. (1977).

B. Taxonomic Characterization

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is traditionally identified by this organism's morphological characteristics and colony morphology when grown on specific growth media. Raper et al., (1968) describe colonies on Czapek's medium as broadly spreading, 5.0 to 6.0 cm in 10-12 days at room temperature, heavily sporulating, velvety with the surface fairly smooth or plane with broad, white, thin margin, cobwebby with hyphae radiating partly on the surface and partly just below the surface of the medium. Green conidial areas follow the hyphae in unevenly radiating lines. At margins, white shades into blue-green and various other shades of green. Reverse side is shades of green to bluish green, to almost black.

Morphology of the organism itself is based on features of the brush-shaped fruiting head; size, shape and number of conidia; size and number of sterigmata; whether there is branching; length and surface markings of the conidiophore; overall dimensions; and like characters. Thus, examination of both colony morphology and microscopy wet mounts is necessary. While appearance may vary according to the medium on which cultures are grown, characteristics remain quite stable when subcultured on the same medium.

Numerous studies have identified and classified <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> at the genus, species and strain levels. The genus and species of <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> are considered to be well defined on the basis of morphological features. The predominant characteristics are the production of asexual spores in phialides with a distinctive brush-shaped configuration (Raper et al. 1944; Raper, 1957; Samson and Gams 1984). Since Raper and Thom's work (1949), more than 70 additional species have been described for the genus <u>Penicillium</u>. Even today, the taxonomy is still governed mainly by morphological features. As these properties are relatively unstable under mutagenesis and selection, or long-term artificial culturing, the current taxonomy of some industrial strains may be difficult to ascertain.

Although the taxonomy of this group is related to a constancy of morphological features such as size and morphology of individual conidia, phialide shape and colony color (Raper and Thom, 1949; Pitt, 1979) some of these characteristics are dependent to an extent on the medium used to culture the fungus. Therefore, strictly defined growth conditions are required for the current taxonomy. Improvements in the taxonomy based on examining additional features such as physiological characters, DNA/DNA hybridization, ribosomal RNA sequences and the production of unique arrays of secondary metabolites are evolving, but not yet systematized (Samson and Gams, 1984).

Many of these <u>Penicillium</u> species either do not possess a sexual state (teleomorph) or it is rarely found and assumed to play a very minor part in their genetics in nature. According to Peterson (1990), no sexual state has ever been described for <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u>. Fungi without sexual forms are placed in a taxonomic grouping called the fungi imperfecti (anamorph). At the present time <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> is in the fungi imperfecti grouping. Even though <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> has no reported sexual stage, it has been placed in the same taxonomic section with other imperfect penicillia that have been linked to the ascomycete teleomorph <u>Eupenicillium</u> (Peterson, 1990).

C. Related Species of Concern

For the reasons noted above with classification and identification of penicillia, it is frequently difficult to discriminate between closely related species. There are limited cases in which closely related penicillia are found in association with infections as opportunistic pathogens in immunocompromised hosts. However, several species of the genus including <u>P. notatum</u>, <u>P. oxalicum</u>, <u>P. communi</u>, <u>P. corymbiferum</u>, <u>P. expansium</u> and <u>P. urticae</u> are also capable of producing mycotoxins such as roquefortine (Scott, 1984).

III. HAZARD ASSESSMENT

A. Human Health Hazards

The pathogenic potential of <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> is very low, even as an opportunistic pathogen. There are limited cases in which closely related penicillia are found in association with infections. Peberdy (1985) in discussing the possibility of penicillia adopting the role of opportunistic pathogens in humans, mentions the report of Eschete et al. (1981) describing a case of <u>P</u>. <u>chrysogenum</u> as the cause of endopthalmitis.

There is one documented case of hypersensitivity caused by <u>P. roqueforti</u>. Campbell et al. (1983) described a patient who worked in a plant where blue cheese was manufactured by use of <u>P. roqueforti</u>. This patient developed a cough, dyspnea, malaise, reduced lung volume and bibasalar crackles. A chest roentgenogram revealed bilateral infiltrates. Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid contained many lymphocytes and antibodies against <u>P. roqueforti</u>. Such antibodies were also present in the patient's serum. However, the allergic reaction to <u>P. roqueforti</u> is apparently low considering its extensive use for cheese production for many years.

The major human health concern for <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> is its ability to produce mycotoxins.

1. Toxins produced by Penicillium roqueforti and
theirtheir

Many of the strains of <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> isolated from commercial blue cheeses as well as from moldy grains and nuts have been shown in the laboratory to produce mycotoxins (Jong and Gantt, 1987). These mycotoxins include isofumigaclavin C, penicillic acid, PR toxin, patulin, botryodiploidin and roquefortine. The effects noted with ingestion of these mycotoxins are mutagenesis and tumorigenesis as well as extensive liver, kidney and nerve damage. Although there is a lack of documented cases of human toxicity, studies have shown that in the laboratory industrial strains of <u>P</u>. roqueforti can produce mycotoxins (Betina, 1989; Wei et al., 1985). However, the endpoints that are noted and the doses at which the effects are observed frequently are based on LD50 and omit references to No Observable Effect Level (NOEL) dosages. Finally, there is no assurance that the below noted data were derived from studies that employed Good Laboratory Principles.

Two of the toxins, roquefortine and PR toxin have vertebrate LD50 values of about 10 mg/kg intraperitoneal (CRC Handbook of Microbiology, 1987). This level of toxicity has routinely been considered "highly toxic" in EPA's evaluation of premanufacture notices (PMNs) on new chemicals. However, production of these toxins is related to the composition of the growth substrate and usually occurs in stationary phase cultures. While not universally true, mycotoxins are generally produced on high carbon/nitrogen solid substrates (Ciegler and Kurtzmann, 1970; Scott, 1984). The level of toxin production for specific cultures is variable but for research purposes can be induced to be as high as 1 mg/liter (Hohn, 1990).

Scott (1981) summarized these toxins and their synonyms, as

well as their possible presence in blue cheese.

a. Roquefortine

Roquefortine is an indole mycotoxin. It is produced by <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> and some other <u>Penicillium</u> species, namely <u>P</u>. <u>notatum</u>, <u>P</u>. <u>oxalicum</u>, <u>P</u>. <u>communi</u>, <u>P</u>. <u>corymbiferum</u>, <u>P</u>. <u>expansium</u> and <u>P</u>. <u>urticae</u> (Scott, 1984). Roquefortine has been assigned the structure 10b-(1,1-dimethyl-2-propenyl)-3-imidazol-4-ylmethylene-5a,10b,11,11a-tetrahydro-2H-pyrazino-[1',2':1,5]pyrrol[2,3,b]indo le-1,4-(3H,6H)-dione (Scott and Kennedy, 1976). It is identical to roquefortine C.

Ueno and Ueno (1978) reported an intraperitoneal (IP) LD50 for roquefortine of 15-20 mg/kg in rats. Arnold et al. (1987) reported that roquefortine causes convulsive seizures when administered to mice IP in doses of 50-100 mg/kg (Scott et al., 1976). They reported LD50 of 169 mg/kg in male and 184 mg/kg in female CR57 mice and 189 mg/kg in male and 184 mg/kg in female Swiss-Webster mice. Neurologic properties reported by Scott et al., 1976, were not seen in the Arnold et al. (1987) study. However, Wagener et al. (1980) reported paralytic activity in day-old cockerels incubated with roquefortine.

Roquefortine was found to occur primarily in the mycelium of surface grown cultures of <u>P</u>. roqueforti. Independently, Scott et al. (1976) found roquefortine in yeast extract sucrose-grown mycelium of <u>P</u>. roqueforti. Low concentrations of roquefortine C were found in roquefort-type blue cheese by Ohmomo (1975), but exact concentrations were not reported. Scott and Kennedy (1976) found concentrations of roquefortine up to 6.8 mg/kg in samples of market blue cheese they examined. Ware et al. (1980) reported average levels of 0.42 μ g/g of roquefortine in 12 samples of blue cheese and of 0.045 μ g/g in two samples of blue cheese dressing. In fact, roquefortine seems to be produced by most strains of <u>P</u>. roqueforti isolated from blue cheese or used as cheese starters (Scott et al., 1977). A small percentage of strains recovered from meat also produce roquefortine (Leistner and Eckardt, 1979).

Schoch et al. (1984) conducted mutagenicity studies by the Ames test on six strains of P. roqueforti used commercially for the production of mold-ripened cheese. They also checked the six strains for roquefortine production and for mutagenic activity of the roquefortine. Neither the fungus or roquefortine showed any mutagenic activity by the Ames test (Schoch et al., 1983). Frank et al. (1977) fed 2.5 mL of a suspension of P. roqueforti and the cheese produced by the P. roqueforti once weekly to rats by gavage over their life span. They also gave subcutaneous injections of these suspensions once weekly subcutaneously for 52 weeks. There was no evidence of a possible carcinogenic effect.

Kough (1991) quotes the CRC Handbook of Microbiology, 1987,

as showing roquefortine having an LD50 value of about 10 mg/kg which would place it among those substances considered "highly toxic" in the EPA's evaluation of chemicals under TSCA. An oral LD50 for roquefortine was not available. Frank et al. (1977) fed both a suspension of <u>P</u>. roqueforti and the cheese produced by the P. roqueforti to rats with no ill effect. They also gave these suspensions by subcutaneous injection without effect. However, the strains with which they worked had not been tested for toxin production. Scott (1981) believes "...no potential acute human health hazard can be extrapolated from the amounts of roquefortine present in blue cheese." However, until more is known about roquefortine, the amounts produced during commercial handling and its stability, it cannot be considered to be without some potential hazard to human and/or animal health.

b. PR Toxin and Eremofortines (and Derivatives)

PR toxin (7-acetoxy-5,6-epoxy-3,5,6,7,8,8a-hexahydro-3',8,8a-trimethyl-3-oxaspiro[naphthalene-2(1H,2'oxirane]-3'carboxaldehyde) (Arnold et al., 1987) is one of the most acutely toxic metabolites known to be formed by P. roqueforti (Scott, It is consistently detected, and frequently found in blue 1981). cheese (Leistner and Eckardt, 1979; Orth, 1976; Polonsky et al., 1980; Wei and Lui, 1985; Wei et al., 1976; Wei et al., 1973). Wei et al. (1973) isolated and partially characterized PR toxin from a strain of <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> recovered from toxic moldy feeds (later switching to an NRRL strain that proved to be a high producer). Following chromatography, the toxin could be detected by fluorescence under UV light. The median lethal dose of pure PR toxin IP in weanling rats was 11 mg/kg. The oral median lethal dose was 115 mg/kg. Within 10 minutes of an oral dose of about 10 mg (160 mg/kg) animals experienced breathing difficulties which persisted to death (Wei et al., 1973). Oral doses above about 130 to 160 mq/kq body weight were fatal to 60-q rats in 36 hours or less. Gross pathology consisted of swollen, gas-filled stomach and intestines, while histological changes included congestion and edema of lung, brains and kidney with degenerative changes in liver and kidney and hemorrhage in the kidney as well.

Chen et al. (1982) studied the toxic effects of PR toxin in mice, rats, anesthetized cats and preparations of isolated rat auricle. Toxic effects in mice and rats included abdominal writhing, decrease of motor activity and respiration rate, weakness of the hind leg and ataxia. Intraperitoneal LD50 in mice was 5.8 mg/kg. Mice, rats and cats injected IP developed ascites fluid and edema of the lungs and scrotum; IV injections caused edema of the lung and large volumes of pleural and pericardial fluids. LD50 in rats was 11.6 mg/kg IP and 8.2 mg/kg IV. Although arrhythmias occurred in the late shock stage, the contractile force of the isolated rat auricle was more affected than the heart rate. The investigators concluded that PR toxin produced acute toxic effects in animals via an increase of capillary permeability and direct damage to lungs, heart, liver, and kidneys.

After 10-15 minutes, all weanling rats injected IP with 1.5 mg PR toxin developed breathing problems, motor incoordination and flaccid paralysis, particularly in the back legs (Polonelli et al., 1978). Death ensued in 2-4 hours. Histological tests showed turbid swelling of hepatocyte cytoplasm. Intraperitoneal LD50 was 14.5 mg/kg body weight. Rats administered 0.5 mg PR toxin orally procapite/prodic for two months showed no visible effect. The intent to continue oral feedings was mentioned by Polonelli et al. (1978), but a review of the literature did not reveal published results. Mutagenicity of PR Toxin (1978) was demonstrated by Nagao et al. (1976) and Ueno et al. (1978) by the <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u> test and by Wei et al. (1979) by testing with <u>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</u> and <u>Neurospora crassa</u>.

Polonelli et al. (1982) carried out preliminary studies on possible carcinogenic effects of PR toxin in rats. They reported that 2 of 10 albino rats fed PR toxin developed tumors, i.e., one squamous cell epithelioma and one uterine sarcoma within 449 and 551 days, respectively. The control group developed one adenocarcinoma after a longer time span of 931 days.

Polonelli et al. (1978) also studied the conditions under which PR toxin is formed. They found PR toxin is produced only in stationary cultures, beginning on the 9th day of incubation, and increasing up to the 35th day, at which time it begins to decrease and disappears on approximately the 120th day. It is found only in the medium in which it is grown and within the pH range of 4.5-9.0. Toxigenesis occurred within the temperature range of $10^{\circ}-30^{\circ}$ C with the optimum temperature at 24° C. Toxin production was dependent upon the amount of sucrose in the medium, and began at 5% sucrose and reached a maximum at 15%. No PR toxin was formed under microaerophilic conditions. The authors speculate that microaerophilic conditions prevail in most cheeses, which could explain why PR toxin is not generally found in them. However, Arnold et al. (1987) pointed out that PR toxin reacts with ammonia and free amino acids present in high concentrations in blue cheese. PR imine and reaction products formed by mixing PR toxin with L-alpha-alanine or L-leucine were tested for toxicity. The acute toxicities of the PR derivatives were considerably lower than that of the parent compound. Scott and Kanhere (1979) noted similar phenomena. They conclude that both PR toxin and PR imine are unstable in blue cheese and believe that the agents responsible for destruction of PR toxin formed during ripening of the blue cheese are most likely amino compounds. However, they felt that more definitive experiments would be needed to assess any possible latent toxicological

hazard from PR toxin, taking into account the cheese as a whole.

PR toxin enters into reactions involving its aldehyde function to form cross-links between DNA and protein (Moule et al., 1980). It also inhibits in vitro transcriptional capacity of nuclei isolated from the liver of male Wistar rats when the compound is administered in vivo. The toxin inhibited both the RNA polymerase systems responsible for ribosomal RNA synthesis and heterogenous nuclear RNA synthesis (Moule et al., 1976). Lee et al. (1984) found that PR toxin inhibited the in vitro activities of rat liver DNA polymerases alpha, beta and gamma, as Hsieh et al. (1986) studied the effect of PR toxin in the well. mitochondrial HCO3-ATPase of the rat brain, heart and kidney. They concluded that of the three tissues tested, HCO3-ATPase of the heart mitochondria was most sensitive to PR toxin and that the HCO3-ATPase was inhibited in a noncompetitive, irreversible manner.

Dire et al. (1978) reported that <u>P. roqueforti</u> metabolites eremofortin A, eremofortin B, eremofortin C and eremofortin D, at 10 mg/mL had no effect on the ciliate protozoan <u>C. campylum</u> that they were using to detect toxicity. Moreau (1980) claimed that neither PR toxin or other derivatives of eremophilane, i.e., eremofortines, are found in cheese because of their instability. This was corroborated by Sieber (1978) who reported that PR toxin was isolated from <u>P. roqueforti</u> strains incubated on special media and also from <u>P. roqueforti</u> strains used for cheese manufacture. However, he found cheese ripening conditions did not favor production of the toxin.

c. Isofumigaclavine A and B

Isofumigaclavine A is another alkaloid produced by \underline{P} . roqueforti. This toxin and the product of its hydrolysis, isofumigaclavine B, are identical with roquefortines A and B, respectively. These toxins were reported by Ohmomo and coworkers (1975, 1977) and by Kozlovskii (1979). Scott et al. (1977) reported yields of isofumigaclavine A determined over 7 to 35 days to be consistently low. These investigators tested <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> in 200 mL media for isofumigaclavine A production after 18 days incubation at 25° C. One strain of <u>P</u>. roqueforti did not produce detectable amounts of isofumigaclavine A in either the mycelia or the media. A second strain produced 0.5 and 0.1 mg in the mycelium and medium, respectively, in Medium I and 1.0 and 0.1 mg in mycelium and medium, respectively, in Medium II; a third medium did not produce detectable levels. However, when cultures were grown at 15°C instead of 25°C, 2 mg/mycelial mat of isofumigaclavine A formed, about three times that formed at 25°C. No isofumigaclavine A was detected in the medium; 0.06 mg is the limit of detection. In fact, isofumigaclavine A yields exceeded those of roquefortine in several commercial blue cheese samples (Scott and Kennedy, 1976). It is of interest that blue cheese is generally ripened by storage at 9°-12°C for three months. Scott and Kennedy (1976) found roquefortine in 16 of 16 samples of cheese from seven countries; isofumigaclavine A (mean 0.61 microgram/g) and traces of isofumigaclavine B were also usually present.

d. Dihydroroquefortine, Festuclavine and Marcfortine A (Alkaloids)

Some alkaloids produced by <u>P. roqueforti</u> are believed to serve as intermediates in the production of other alkaloids. Dihydroroquefortine, also known as roquefortine D, is described by Scott (1981) as "one of the two stereoisomeric 12,13dihydroroquefortines." Roquefortine D is probably a precursor of roquefortine C (Ohmomo et al., 1975, 1977; Kozlovskii et al., 1979). Kozlovskii et al. (1979) reported isolating 3,12-dihydroroquefortine, a derivative of roquefortine. Festuclavine is a clavine alkaloid toxin produced by <u>P. roqueforti</u>. It was isolated and identified by Kozlovskii et al. (1979). Marcfortine A is a novel alkaloid, also obtained from <u>P. roqueforti</u> (Polonsky et al., 1980). Toxicological data on these chemicals is limited.

e. Mycophenolic Acid

Mycophenolic acid is a metabolite reported to be produced by all strains of <u>P</u>. roqueforti tested and by a few other species of penicillia (La Font et al., 1979). It has antibiotic activity against bacteria and dermatophytic fungi and also interferes with viral multiplication (Planterose, 1969). It has been used in the treatment of psoriasis (Marinari et al., 1977). The toxicity for mammals appears to be low: LD50 in rats is 2,500 mg/kg and 500 mg/kg IV; in mice the LD50 is 700 mg/kg and 450 mg/kg IV (Wilson, 1971). Chronicity tests of daily oral doses of 80 and 320 mg/kg for one year did not cause apparent signs of toxicity in rabbits (Adams et al., 1975). However, rats given daily oral doses of 30 mg/kg died within 9 weeks and rhesus monkeys receiving 150 mg/kg daily developed abdominal colic, bloody diarrhea, weight loss and anemia after two weeks (Carter et al., 1969). Thirty-five human patients who received high oral doses of mycophenolic acid (2.4 g to 7.2 g daily) for 52-104 weeks had some adverse reactions, including cramps, nausea and diarrhea (Marinari et al., 1977). Scott (1981) reported that Umeda et al. (1977) induced mutations and chromosome aberrations in a mouse mammary carcinoma cell line with mycophenolic acid, but the compound was not mutagenic in Salmonella systems (Nagao et al., 1976; Webner et al., 1978). La Font et al. (1979) checked 16 strains of P. roqueforti for mycophenolic acid using four media to test production, thin-layer chromatography for assays and chicken embryos for toxicity tests. All strains produced mycophenolic acid, some on the order of 0.8 to 4 mg/g of dry culture. Greatest yields were obtained after 10 $\,$ days of incubation at 15°C. La Font et al. (1979) mention

studies (unpublished) using fluorodensitometric assays for mycophenolic acid in marketed blue-mold cheeses; 38% of studied samples were positive with 3% of the cheeses having levels of mycophenolic acid higher than 10 mg/kg. Strain differences in the <u>P. roqueforti</u> as to the amount of mycophenolic acid produced were noted.

Engel et al. (1982) did not find that all strains of <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> produced mycophenolic acid. They found that out of 80 strains, 20 were able to produce up to 600 mg in 2% yeast extract-5% sucrose broth. Sixty-two of the strains had been recovered from starter cultures of blue-veined cheeses from western Europe. Only seven of these 62 produced mycophenolic acid. All of the producer strains came from an individual; and in the market, cheeses with mycophenolic acid as high as 5 mg/kg of mycophenolic acid were only found in samples from this same factory. Toxicity tests in this study were performed with Detroit 98 and Girardi Heart human cell lines and one established pig kidney cell line (Am II). Schoch et al. (1983) did not detect any mycophenolic acid in the six strains of <u>P</u>. roqueforti they cultivated on semi-synthetic medium.

The oral LD50 of 700 mg/kg in mice placed mycophenolic acid in EPA's moderately toxic category.

f. Patulin, Penicillic Acid and Citrinin

Although there have been surveys in cheeses for the toxic metabolites patulin, penicillic acid and citrinin; they have not been found. Nonetheless, they are known metabolites of \underline{P} . roqueforti. Olivigni and Bullerman (1978) reported the production of patulin and penicillic acid by an atypical \underline{P} . roqueforti isolated from cheddar cheese. The culture extracts were toxic to Bacillus megaterium and chicken embryos. Commercial strains of <u>P</u>. roqueforti used to produce blue cheeses were not shown to produce these metabolites. Moubasher et al. (1978) found penicillic acid in two of six strains of \underline{P} . roqueforti recovered from blue cheese, and Leistner and Eckardt (1979) in one of 80 strains isolated from food and grains. Scott (1981) reviewed other recoveries of penicillic acid: Karow et al. (1944) obtained it from <u>P. suavolens</u> (synonym for <u>P</u>. roqueforti) and Samsen et al. (1977) from fermented cheese. Seven isolates of <u>P</u>. roqueforti isolated from moldy grapes all produced patulin after 9 days at 25°C in yeast extract-2% sucrose-15% medium. Amounts varied from 20-1267 micrograms/5 mL cultures. Six cheese isolates produced no patulin under these conditions. One isolate from fresh grapes produced patulin. One isolate from meat produced both patulin and the nephrotoxin citrinin; the other two isolates produced patulin only (Scott, 1977).

The available toxicological data on these chemicals is

limited. Scott (1977), from results of subcutaneous injections of rodents, reported that these two chemicals may have carcinogenic capabilities, but a long-term oral feeding of rats gave no such indication (Osswald et al., 1978).

It is apparent that patulin and penicillic acid are not frequently formed by <u>P</u>. roqueforti (though they may be more common in moldy cheese that perhaps has been stored too long). They are also unstable in cheese (Lieu and Bullerman, 1977). This all suggests that the health hazards posed by these two substances are slight.

Stability of citrinin is uncertain in moist grains (Mintzlaff and Machnik, 1972). Little work appears to have been done with this toxin, perhaps because it has not been among the metabolites that <u>P. roqueforti</u> produces in cheese.

g. Botryodiplodin

Botryodiplodin has been reported as a mycotoxin synthesized by <u>P</u>. roqueforti. Moulé et al. (1981) reported that this toxin inhibited cell multiplication in growing cell cultures at concentrations without effect on cultures nearing or at confluence. In the growing culture the toxin affected DNA, RNA and protein synthesis. Moulé et al. (1982) further showed that botryodiplodin induces DNA-protein cross-links in rat hepatoma cells and hamster lung fibroblasts. Botryodiplodin was not among the mycotoxins detected in the six <u>P</u>. roqueforti strains isolated from mold-ripened cheese (Schoch et al., 1983).

h. Siderophores, Betaines and "Other" Toxins

Scott (1981) summarizes the other possible toxic metabolites produced by <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> as: ferrichrome, which was found in cheese together with an unknown negatively charged siderophore, which had 5-10 microgram/g siderophore activity (it is speculated that siderophores in food may complex iron, making it unavailable for bodily use); coprogen which is not found in cheese, and about which little appears to be known; water-soluble betaines, ergothioneine and hercynine, also about which little is known; toxins "1, 2, and 3", the last two of which had weak acute toxicity for mice. Scott (1981) states that there are reports of toxigenic <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> strains recovered from chestnuts, pecans and meat products.

i. Combined Effects of Toxins

No reports were found that deal with possible combined toxin effects as they might occur in a product.

<u>j. Summary</u>

Health effect concerns for this organism lie with its production of a variety of mycotoxins, some of which have been studied rather extensively and some of which are so newly described that they have received very little attention. Some of these mycotoxins have been shown to be produced by <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> strains used for cheese production and some have been detected in small amounts in the cheese itself. PR toxin and roquefortine appear to be the most toxic of the mycotoxins produced by <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u>. PR toxin, one of the most potent mycotoxins, is unstable and deteriorates rapidly, so apparently under normal production conditions does not pose a health effects problem. Roquefortine has been recovered from blue cheese at low levels and there have been no reported adverse effects from consumption of the cheese.

The composition of medium used to make cheese and the length of time and conditions of the fermentation lead to highly variable results with respect to the composition and amounts of mycotoxins produced. In general, mycotoxins are produced in media with a high carbon to nitrogen ratio. The production of mycotoxins in TSCA-related usage is less likely as the production of specialty chemicals is expected to occur over significantly shorter time frames compared with the fermentation of cheese. Under these conditions the production of mycotoxins during fermentation for specialty chemicals is anticipated to occur at lower levels, if at all, compared with the production of cheese.

B. Environmental Hazards

1. Hazards to Plants

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is not a known pathogen of plants. <u>Penicillium</u> species are known to cause the deterioration of stored agricultural products. The species <u>P. expansum</u>, <u>P. digitatum</u> and <u>P. italicum</u> are responsible for significant losses of stored citrus, apples and pears (Peberdy, 1985). All but 3 of the strains of <u>P. roqueforti</u> listed for distribution by the ATCC require a USDA permit due to their ability to degrade seeds and plant products (Singh, 1990). However, there are no known published reports which document <u>P</u>. roqueforti as infecting plants.

2. Animal Hazards

P. roqueforti is not a known pathogen of animals. Penicillia are saprophytes that play an important role in cycling organic substrates. The penicillia are also responsible for the biodeterioration of stored grains and silage. Feeding maize silage infected with <u>P</u>. roqueforti to 112 dairy cows resulted in loss of appetite, cessation of rumen activity and gut inflammation (Vesely et al., 1981). First calves aborted in the 7th and 8th months. Sterile maize silage inoculated with P. roqueforti and incubated at 20°C produced up to 160 mg/kg PR In addition, a dose of 0.01 micrograms of PR toxin was toxin. extremely toxic to 40-h-old chicken embryos. Many fungal species including <u>P</u>. roqueforti, have been shown to be capable of producing toxins in stored grain and silage. These mycotoxins, PR toxin and roquefortine, produced in <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> molded feed grain have been implicated, but not documented as the cause of instances of spontaneous bovine abortion and placental retention (Wei et al., 1973; Moreau and Moss, 1979; Haggblom, 1990) as other toxin producing fungal strains were present. There are no known published reports which document <u>P</u>. roqueforti as infecting animals. Indeed there are few known reports of any Penicillium species causing infection in an animal.

IV. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

A. Worker Exposure

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is considered a Class 1 Containment Agent under the National Institute of Health (NIH) Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 1986).

No data were available for assessing the release and survival specifically for fermentation facilities using <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u>. Therefore, the potential worker exposures and routine releases to the environment from large-scale, conventional fermentation processes were estimated on information available from eight premanufacture notices submitted to EPA under TSCA Section 5 and from published information collected from non-engineered microorganisms (Reilly, 1991). These values are based on reasonable worst-case scenarios and typical ranges or values are given for comparison.

During fermentation processes, worker exposure is possible during laboratory pipetting, inoculation, sampling, harvesting, extraction, processing and decontamination procedures. A typical site employs less than 10 workers/shift and operates 24 hours/day throughout the year. NIOSH has conducted walk-through surveys of several fermentation facilities in the enzyme industry and monitored for microbial air contamination. These particular facilities were not using recombinant microorganisms, but the processes were considered typical of fermentation process technology. Area samples were taken in locations where the potential for worker exposure was considered to be potentially greatest, i.e., near the fermentor, the seed fermentor, sampling ports, and separation processes (either filter press or rotary drum filter). The workers with the highest potential average exposures at the three facilities visited were those involved in air sampling. Area samples near the sampling port revealed average airborne concentrations ranging from 350 to 648 cfu/m³. Typically, the Chemical Engineering Branch would not use area monitoring data to estimate occupational exposure levels since the correlation between area concentrations and worker exposure is highly uncertain. Personal sampling data are not available at the present time. Thus, area sampling data have been the only means of assessing exposures for previous PMN biotechnology submissions. Assuming that 20 samples per day are drawn and that each sample takes up to 5 minutes to collect, the duration of exposure for a single worker will be about 1.5 hours/day. Assuming that the concentration of microorganisms in the worker's breathing zone is equivalent to the levels found in the area sampling, the worst-case daily inhalation exposure is estimated to range up to 650 to 1200 cfu/day. The uncertainty associated with this estimated exposure value is not known (Reilly, 1991).

B. Environmental and General Exposure

1. Fate of the Organism

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is a saprophytic fungus that is typically found in soil and decaying vegetation. Reproduction is asexual and involves the production of conidia. The genus is aerobic, but the oxygen requirements needed for growth have not been determined. <u>Penicillium</u> species are able to utilize a number of carbohydrate and nitrogen sources and can grow over a broad Ph (3-8) range (Peberdy, 1985). These properties make it likely that any released <u>P. roqueforti</u> strains would survive in the environment.

2. Releases

Estimates of the number of <u>P</u>. roqueforti organisms released during production are tabulated in Table 1 (Reilly, 1991). The uncontrolled/untreated scenario assumes no control features for the fermentor off-gases, and no inactivation of the fermentation broth for the liquid and solid waste releases. The containment criteria required for the full exemption scenario assume the use of features or equipment that minimize the number of viable cells in the fermentor off-gases. They also assume inactivation procedures resulting in a validated 6-log reduction of the number of viable microorganisms in the liquid and solid wastes relative to the maximum cell density of the fermentation broth.

TABLE 1. E	stimated Number of Viable <u>P</u> . <u>roqueforti</u> Organisms Released During Production				
Release Media	Uncontrolled/ Untreated (cfu/day)	Full Exemption (cfu/day)	Release (days/year)		
Air Vents Rotary Drum Filter Surface Water Soil/Landfill	2x10 ⁸ - 1x1011 250 7x10 ¹² 7x10 ¹⁴	<2x10 ⁸ - 1x101 250 7x10 ⁶ 7x10 ⁸	11 350 350 90 90		

Source: Reilly, 1991

These are "worst-case" estimates which assume that the maximum cell density in the fermentation broth for fungi is 10^7 cfu/ml, with a fermentor size of 70,000 liters, and the separation efficiency for the rotary drum filter is 99 percent.

<u>3. Air</u>

Specific data which indicate the survivability of <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> in the atmosphere after release are currently unavailable. Survival of vegetative cells during aerosolization is typically limited due to stresses such as shear forces, desiccation, temperature, and UV light exposure. However, survival as spores may be expected. As with naturally-occurring strains, human exposure may occur via inhalation as the organisms are dispersed in the atmosphere attached to dust particles, or lofted through mechanical or air disturbance.

Air releases from fermentor off-gas could potentially result in nonoccupational inhalation exposures due to point source releases. To estimate exposures from this source, the sector averaging form of the Gaussian algorithm described in Turner (1970) was used. For purposes of this assessment, a release height of 3 meters and downward contact at a distance of 100 meters were assumed. Assuming that there is no removal of organisms by controls/equipment for off-gases, potential human inhalation dose rates are estimated to range from 3.0×10^3 to 1.5×10^6 cfu/year for the uncontrolled/untreated scenario and less than that for systems with full exemptions. It should be noted that these estimates represent hypothetical exposures under reasonable worst case conditions (Versar, 1992).

4. Water

The concentrations of <u>P</u>. roqueforti in surface water were estimated using stream flow values for water bodies receiving process wastewater discharges from facilities within SIC Code 283 (drugs, medicinal chemicals, and pharmaceuticals). The surface water release data (cfu/day) tabulated in Table 1 were divided by the stream flow values to yield a surface water concentration of the organism (cfu/l). The stream flow values for SIC Code 283 were based on discharger location data retrieved from the Industrial Facilities Dischargers (IFD) database on December 5, 1991, and surface water flow data retrieved from the RXGAGE database. Flow values were obtained for water bodies receiving wastewater discharges from 154 indirect (facilities that send their waste to a POTW) and direct dischargers facilities that have a NPDES permit to discharge to surface water). Tenth percentile values indicate flows for smaller rivers within this distribution of 154 receiving water flows and 50th percentile values indicate flows for more average rivers. The flow value expressed as 7Q10 is the lowest flow observed over seven consecutive days during a 10-year period. The use of this methodology to estimate concentrations of P. roqueforti in surface water assumes that all of the discharged organisms survive wastewater treatment and that growth is not enhanced by any component of the treatment process. Estimated concentrations of <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> in surface water for the uncontrolled/untreated and the full exemption scenarios are tabulated in Table 2 (Versar, 1992).

Flow	Receiving Stream Flow (MLD*)		Organ (cfu	Organisms (cfu/l)	
	Mean	7Q10	Mean	7Q10	
Uncontrolled/Untreated 10th Percentile 50th Percentile	156 768	5.60 68.13	4.5x10 ⁴ 9.11x10 ³	1.25x10 ⁶ 1.03x10 ⁵	
Full Exemption 10th Percentile 50th Percentile	156 768	5.60 68.13	4.5x10 ⁻² 9.11x10 ⁻³	1.25x100 ⁰ 1.03x10 ⁻¹	

TABLE 2. Penicillium roqueforti Concentrations in Surface Water

*MLD = million liters per day
Source: Versar, 1992

5. Soil

Since soil is a natural habitat for <u>P. roqueforti</u>, it would be expected to survive, particularly as spores, in soil. Human exposures via dermal contact and ingestion routes, and environmental exposures [i.e., to terrestrial, avian, and aquatic organisms (via runoff)] may occur at the discharge site because of the establishment of <u>P. roqueforti</u> within the soil (Versar, 1991).

6. Summary

Although direct monitoring data are unavailable, worst case estimates do not suggest high levels of exposure of <u>P</u>. roqueforti to either workers or the public resulting from normal fermentation operations.

V. INTEGRATION OF RISK

A. Discussion

1. Characterization of the Organism

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is a ubiquitous, saprophytic fungus frequently found on decomposing organic material. As with all fungi the conventional means of identification is based on morphological characteristics. This is in contrast to bacterial systematics, which rely on biochemical tests that produce qualitative responses and standardize the identification of the organism. Given the long history of use of <u>P. roqueforti</u> in microbial fermentations, the typical source of strains for industrial uses today would be standard culture collections.

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is principally used in the production of cheeses, a non-TSCA application. TSCA applications include the production of enzymes and specialty chemicals through fermentation processes. Also, there is the possible application of <u>P. roqueforti</u> for bioremediation processes. The utility of this organism for microbial fermentation uses is well established.

2. Risks to Humans

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is a benign, nonpathogenic organism. Among the literature reviewed for this assessment, there has been only one reported case of pathogenicity. There are anecdotal reports of abortion in cattle brought about by the consumption of feed contaminated with <u>P. roqueforti</u>, although the correlation with disease is not strong. Contaminated feed can be assumed to be colonized by a variety of microorganisms which may produce toxins. There is no report of associating <u>P. roqueforti</u> with abortion in cattle through Koch's Postulates. Moreover, the relevance of these reports to human health issues is questionable.

The primary potential human health effect of <u>P</u>. roqueforti is the production of mycotoxins. The most toxic of these are roquefortine and PR toxin. Other mycotoxins produced by this organisms appear to be less toxic and of low concern. Health effects data on PR toxin and roquefortine are based principally on animal data. An LD50 in rats has been reported as 10-20 mg/kg intraperitoneal. The available data on exposure to roquefortine and PR toxin appear to be limited to food consumption. Roquefortine has been recovered from blue cheese at low levels and there have been no reported adverse effects from consumption of the cheese.

PR toxin has been shown to cause decreased motor activity and respiration rates, and hind leg weakness in mice and rats. It has also been shown to be lethal in rats and mice at relatively high intraperitoneal doses. Similar to roquefortine, PR toxin has been recovered from cheese; however, data indicate that PR toxin is unstable in cheese presumably accounting for the absence of adverse effects in humans from consumption of this cheese.

Conditions conducive to the production of mycotoxins by \underline{P} . <u>roqueforti</u> include a medium of high C/N ratios (usually with the medium supplemented with sucrose), growth of the fungus on the surface of the medium presumably due to the high oxygen content, and growth of the fungus in stationary phase. The first two condition may most likely be encountered during a commercial fermentation process.

Under fermentation conditions, the C/N ratio of the medium will be tailored to the need of the fungus based on its nutritional requirements. In general, microorganisms are most productive during the early phases of the growth stage when conditions are conducive to vigorous growth (i.e., when metabolism is highest, nutrient level is greatest, and cellular waste is lowest). Fungal fermentations, in some cases, have extended periods of surface/air interface cultivation; a condition conducive to the production of mycotoxins. However, the uses of <u>P</u>. <u>roqueforti</u> under TSCA are primarily expected to include the production of specialty chemicals. Microbial fermentation for the production of specialty chemicals under TSCA have a significantly shorter fermentation period (days or weeks) when compared to typical periods for cheese production (months). Shorter fermentation periods are less likely to result in stationary phase growth of the fungus. Finally, the production of toxins vary between strains of <u>P</u>. roqueforti: under specified conditions some strains produce mycotoxins while others do not.

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is classified as a class containment 1 microorganism under the NIH Guidelines and is therefore Good Large Scale Practices containment criteria designed to limit potential exposure to either the microorganism or its products. This limited exposure allays concern for exposure of either workers or the public to mycotoxins produced by this organism. The unstable nature of the PR toxin further reduces concern for exposure of workers or the public to this mycotoxin. Overall, this organism has a history of safe use without noted reports of adverse human health effects.

3. Risks to the Environment

Effects to nonhuman targets remain low. The concern for effects on cattle from the consumption of stored silage is based on anecdotal evidence. Effects were noted to occur following consumption of moldy silage. The residue contained, among other organisms, <u>P. roqueforti</u>. However, a more definitive test such as Koch's Postulates, was not carried out to determine the causative agent. This organism is not known to be a pathogen of plants.

Potential environmental hazards are mitigated by limitations to exposure brought about by the conditions of contained use. The containment conditions and practices employed in industrial microbial fermentations are designed to limit release of the organism to the environment.

B. RECOMMENDATIONS

<u>P. roqueforti</u> is recommended for the tiered exemption.

VI. REFERENCES

A. Primary Sources

Adams, E., G. Tood and W. Gibson. 1975. Long-term toxicity study of mycophenolic acid in rabbits. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 34:509-512.(3)

Alexopoulis and C.W. Mims. 1979. Introduction to mycology. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Arnold, D.L., P.M. Scott, P.F. McGuire, J. Hawig and E.A. Nera. 1987. Acute toxicity studies on roquefortine and P.R. toxin, metabolites of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> in the mouse. Cosmet. Toxicol. 16:369-371.(3)

Betina, V. 1989. Mycotoxins, Vol. 9. <u>In</u> Bioactive Molecules. Elsevier American Publishers, Oxford, Tokyo, NY. (1)

Campbell, J.A., M.J. Kryda, M.W. Trauhaff, J.J. Marx, Jr., and R.C. Roberts. 1983. Cheese workers hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Ann.(3) Rev. Resp. Dis. 127:495-496.

Carter, S.B., T.J. Franklin, D.F. Jones, B.J. Leonard, S.D. Mills, R.W. Turner and W.B. Turner and W.B. Turner. 1969. Mycophenolic Acid: An Anti-Cancer Compound with Unusual Properties. Nature (London) 223:848-850.

Chen, F.C., C.F. Chen and R.D. Wei. 1982. Acute toxicity of PR Toxin, a Mycotoxin from <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Toxicol. 20:433-431.

Ciegler, A. and C. Kurtzman. 1970. Penicillic Acid Production by Blue-Eye Fungi on Various Agricultural Commodities; Appl. Microbio. 20:761-764. (1)

CRC Handbook of Microbiology. 1987

Dire, D., S. Moreau and M. Cacan. 1978. Use of a Ciliate Protozoan for Fungal Toxin Studies. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 19:489-495.

Dynamac. 1991. Human Health Effects of <u>Penicillium</u> roqueforti Unpublished, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Eschete, M.L., J.W. King, B.C. West and A. Oberle. 1981. Mycopathology 74:125-127.

Frank, R.K., R. Orth, S. Ivankovic, M. Kuhlmann and D. Schmähl.

1977. Investigations on Carcinogenic Effects of <u>Penicillium</u> caseicolum and <u>P. roqueforti</u> in Rats. Experentia 33:515-516.

Haggblom, P. 1990. Isolation of Roquefortine C from Feed Grain. Appl. and Environ. Microbiol. 56:2934-2936.

Henis, Y. 1987. Survival and Dormancy of Bacteria. <u>In</u>: Survival and Dormancy of Bacteria, Wiley Interscience. New York, NY.

Hohn. 1990. In Kough, 1990.

Hsieh, K.P., S. Yu, Y.H. Wei, C.F. Chen and R.D. Wei. 1986. Inhibitory effect in vitro of PR Toxin, a Mycotoxin from <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>, on the mitochondrial HCO3-3-ATPace of Rat Brain, Heart and Kidney. Toxicol. 24:153-160.

Jong, S.C. and M.J.Gantt (eds.). 1987. Catalogue of Fungi and Yeasts (17th ed.) American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD.

Kough, J.L. 1991. Environmental Hazard Assessment of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> for 5(h)4 Exemption. Unpublished, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Kozlovskii, A.G., T.A. Reshelilova, T.N. Medvedeva, M.U. Arinbasarov, V.G. Sakharovskij and V.M. Adanin. 1979. Intracellular and extracellular alkaloids of the fungus <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Blokhlmya 44:1691-1700. [Quoted by Scott, 1981].

Larroche C., Arpah M. and Gros, J.B. 1989. Methyl-ketone by Ca-alginate/Eudrasit RL entrapped spores of <u>Penicillium</u> roqueforti. Enzyme Microb. Technol. 11:106-112.

Larroche C. and Gros, J.B. 1989. Batch and continuous 2-heptanone production Ca-alginate/Eudrasit RL entrapped spores of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> - application to aroma production. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 34:30-38.

LaVeck, G. 1991. Exposure Assessments of Microorganisms Considered for 5(h)(4) Exemptions Under the Proposed Biotech Rule. Unpublished, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Lee, Y.H., S.C. Fang and R.D. Wei. 1984. The Effects of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> Toxin on the Activity of Rat Hepatic DNA Polymerase. Toxicology 33:43-57. (Abstract)(3)

Leistner, L. and C. Eckardt. 1979. Vorkommen toxinoger Penicillien bei Fleischerzeugnissen Fleischwirtschaft 59:1892-1896 [Quoted by Scott, 1981]. Lieu, F.Y. and L.B. Bullerman. 1977. Production and Stability of Aflatoxin, Penicillic Acid and Patulin in Several Substrates. Jour. Food Science 42:1222-1224, 1228. [Quoted by Scott, 1981].

Marinari, R., R. Fleischmajer, A.H. Schragger and A.L. Rosenthal. 1977. Mycophenolic Acid in the Treatment of Psoriasis. Arch. Dermatol 113:930-932.

Mintzlaff, H.-J. and W. Machnik. 1972. Untersuchungen über das Toxinbildungsvermögen von Penicillium caseicolum and Penicillium roqueforti-Stámmen, die für die Herstellung verschied ner Kásesorten von Bedeutung sind. Bundesanstalt für Fleischforchung in Kulmbach. Jahresbericht, Teil, I. pp. 52-53 [Quoted by Scott, 1981].

Moreau, C. and M. Moss. 1979. Recent Developments in the Study of Mycotoxins, pp. 286-319. <u>In</u>: Moulds, Toxins and Food. C. Moreaux and M. Moss, Eds. John Wiley and Sons Chichester, New York, Brisbane, Toronto.

Moreau, C. 1980. <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>: Morphology, Physiology, Importance in the Cheesemaking. Mycotoxins: (Bibliographic Revision). Lait 60:254-271.

Moubasher, A.H., M.I.A. Abdel-Kader and I.A. El-Kady. 1978. Toxigenic Fungi Isolated from Roquefort Cheese. Mycopathologica 66:187-190.

Moulé, Y., S. Moreaux and C. Aujard. 1980. Induction of Cross-Links between DNA and Protein by PRT Toxin, a Mycotoxin from <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Mutation Res. 77:79-89.

Moulé, Y., M. Jemmali and N. Rousseau. 1976. Mechanism of the Inhibition of Transcriptin by PR Toxin, a Mycotoxin from <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Chem. Biol. Interact. 14:207-216.

Moulé, Y., C. Douce, S. Moreau and N. Darracq. 1981. Effects of the Mycotoxin Botryodiplodin on Mammalian Cells in Culture. Chem. Biol. Interact. 37:155-164.

Moulé, Y., F. Renauld, N. Darracq and C. Douce. 1982. DNA-Protein Cross-Linking by the Mycotoxin, Botryodiplodin, in Mammalian Cells. Carcinogenesis (London) 3:211-214. (3)

Nagao, M., M. Honda, T. Yamazaki, S. Natori, Y. Ueno, M. Yamasaki, Y. Seino, T. Yahagi and T. Sugimura. 1976. Proc. Jap. Assoc. (3) Mycotoxicol. 41-43. [Quoted by Scott, 1984]. Ohmomo, S., T. Sato, T. Utagawa and M. Abe. 1975. Isolation of Festuclavine and Three New Indole Alkaloids, Roquefortine A, B and C from the Cultures of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> (Production of Alkaloids and Related Substances by Fungi Part XII) Jour. Agric. Chem. Soc. Japan 49:615-623.

Ohmomo, S., T. Utagawa and M. Abe. 1977. Identification of Roquefortine C Produced by <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Agric. Biol. Chem. 41:2097-2098.

Olivigni, F.J. and Bullerman, L.B. 1978. Production of Penicillic Acid and Patulin by an Atypical <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> Isolate. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 35:435-438. (Abstract)(3)

Orth, R. 1976. P-R Toxinbildung bei <u>Penicillium</u> roqueforti. Stämmen. Z. Lebensm. Unters.-Forsch 160:131-136. [Quoted by Scott, 1981].

Osswald H., H.K. Frank, D. Komitowski and H. Winter. 1978. Long-Term Testing of Patulin Administered Orally in Sprague-Dawley Rats and Swiss Mice. Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 16:243-247.

Peberdy, J.F. 1985. Biology of <u>Penicillium</u>. pp. 407-431. <u>In</u>: Biology of Industrial Microorganisms. Demain, A.L. and Solomon, N.A., Eds. The Benjamin/Cummings Pub. Co., Inc. London; Amsterdam; Don Mills, Ontario; Sydney; Tokyo.

Peterson S. 1990. In Kough, 1990.

Pitt, J.I. 1979. "The Genus <u>Penicillium</u>" Academic Press, London, 346p.

Planterose, D.N. 1969. Anti-viral and Cytotoxic Effects of Mycophenolic Acid. Jour. Gen. Virol. 4:629-630.

Polonelli, I., G. Morace, F. Delle Monache and R.A. Samson. 1978. Studies on the PR Toxin of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Mycopathologia 66:99-104.

Polonelli, L., L. Lauriola and G. Morace. 1982. Preliminary Studies on the Carcinogenic Effects of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> Toxin. Mycopathologia 78:125-127.

Polonsky, J., M.-A. Merrien, T. Prangé, C. Pascard and S. Moreau. 1980. Isolation and Structure (X-Ray Analysis) of marcfortine A, a new alkaloid from <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Jour. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 601-602.

Raper, K.B. 1957. Nomenclature in <u>Aspergillus</u> and <u>Penicillium</u>. Mycologia 49:644-662. Raper, K.B., Alexander D.F. and Coghill R.D. 1944. Penicillin. 11. Natural variation and penicillin production in <u>Penicillium</u> <u>notatum</u> and allied species. J. Bacteriol. 48:639-659.

Raper, K.B. and C.Thom. 1949. A Manual of the Penicillia. Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, MD.

Raper, K.B., C. Thom and D.I. Fennell. 1968. <u>In</u>: A Manual of the Penicillia, pp. 395-401. Hafner Publishing Co., Inc., New York.

Samson, R.A., C. Eckardt and R. Orth. 1977. The Taxonomy of <u>Penicillium</u> Species from Fermented Cheeses. A. van Leeuwenhoek Jour. Microbiol. Serol. 43:341-350.

Samson, R.A., and W. Gams. 1984. The Taxonomic Situation in the Hyphomycete Genera <u>Penicillium</u>, <u>Aspergillus</u>, and <u>Fusarium</u>. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 50:815-824.

Schoch, U., J. Luthy and C. Schlatter. 1983. Mycotoxins in Mold-Ripened Cheese. Mitt Geb. Lebensmittelunters Hyg. 74:50-59. Schoch, U., J. Luthy and C. Schlatter. 1984. Subchronic Toxicity Testing of Mold-Ripened Cheese. Z. Lebensm Unters Forsch. 179:99-103. (3)

Scott, P.M. 1977. <u>Penicillium</u> Mycotoxins pp. 283-356. <u>In</u>: Mycotoxic Fungi, Mycotoxins, Mycotoxicoses. T.D. Wyllie and L.G. Morehouse, Eds. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.

Scott, P.M. 1981. Toxins of <u>Penicillium</u> Species Used in Cheese Manufacture. Jour. of Food Protection 44:702-710.

Scott, P.M. 1984. Roquefortine. In: Mycotoxins--Production, Isolation, Separation and Purification. V. Betina, Ed. Elsevier Science Publishers, B.V., Amsterdam.

Scott, P.M. and B.P.C. Kennedy. 1976. Analysis of Blue Cheese for Roquefortine and Other Alkaloids from <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Jour. Agric. Food Chem. 24:865-868.

Scott, P.M., M-A. Merrien and J. Polanky. 1976. Roquefortine and Isofumigaclavine A, Metabolites from <u>Penicillium</u> roqueforti. Experimentia 32:140.

Scott, P.M., B.P.C. Kennedy, J. Harwig and B.J. Blanchfield. 1977. Study of Conditions for Production of Roquefortine and Other Metabolites of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 33:249-253.

Scott, P.R. and S.R. Kanhere. 1979. Instability of PR Toxin Blue Cheese. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 62:141-147.

Sharpell, F.H., Jr. 1985. Microbial flavors and fragrances. In: Comprehensive Biotechnology. The Principle and applications, and Regulations of Biotechnology in Industry, Agriculture, and(Eds.). New York: Pergamon Press. pp. 965-981.

Sieber, R. 1978. Harmlessness to Human Health of the Mold Cultures Used in Cheesemaking. Z. Ernahrungswiss 17:112-123. (Abstract) (3).

Singh, L. 1990, In Kough, 1990.

Thom, C. 1910. Cultural Studies of Species of <u>Penicillium</u>. Bull. Bur. Anim. Ind. U.S. Dept. Agric. 118:1-109. [Quoted by Samson et al., 1977] (3)

Ueno, Y., K. Kubota, T. Ito and Y. Nakamura. 1978. Mutagenicity of Carcinogenic Mycotoxins in <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u>. Cancer Research 38:536-542. (3)

Ueno, Y. and I. Ueno. 1978. Toxicology and Biochemistry of Mycotoxins (Chapter 3 -- see Table 3.26 -- Indole Mycotoxins, p. 144), In: Toxicology, Biochemistry and Pathology of Mycotoxins. K. Uraguchi and M. Yamazaki, Eds. Halstead Press, Tokyo.

Umeda, M., T. Tsutsui and M. Saito. 1977. Mutagenicity and Inducibility of DNA Single-Stranded Breaks and Chromosome Aberrations by Various Mycotoxins. Gann 68:619-625.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 1986. Guidelines for research involving recombinant DNA molecules; Notice. 51 FR 16958-16985.

Vesel, D., D. Veselá and Adamková. 1981. Occurrence of PR-Toxin-Producing <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> in Corn Silage. Vet. med. (Praha) 26:109-115.

Wagener, R.E., N.D. Davis and U.L. Diener. 1980. Penitrim A and Roquefortine Production by <u>Penicillium commune</u>. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 39:882-887.

Ware, G.M., C.W. Thorpe and A.E. Pohland. 1980. Determination of Roquefortine in Blue Cheese and Blue Cheese Dressing by High Pressure Liquid Chromatography with UV and Electrochemical Detectors. Jour. Assoc. Off, Anal. Chem. 63:637-641.

Webner, F.C., P.G. Thiel, S.J. van Rensburg and I.P.C. Demasius. 1978. Mutagenicity to <u>Salmonella typhimurium</u> of some <u>Aspergillus</u> and <u>Penicillium</u> Mycotoxins. Mutation Research 58:193-203. Wei, R. and G. Liu. 1978. PR Toxin Production in Different <u>Penicillium</u> roqueforti Strains. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 35:797-799.

Wei, R., T. Ong, W. Whong, D. Frezza, G. Bronzetti and E. Zeiger. 1979. Environ. Mutagenesis 1:45-53.

Wei, R., H.K. Schnoes, P.A. Hart and F.M. Strong. 1975. The Structure of PR Toxin, a Mycotoxin from <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Tetrahedron 31:109-114.

Wei, R., P.E. Still, E.B. Smalley, H.K. Schnoes and F.M. Strong, 1973. Isolation and Partial Characterization of a Mycotoxin from <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Appl. Microbio. 25:111-114. (1)

Wei, R.D., Lee Y.H.W. and Wei, Y.H. 1985. Some biochemical responses to PR toxin, a mycotoxin from <u>Penicillium</u> roqueforti. <u>In</u>: Trichothecenes and other Mycotoxins. J. Lacey (ed.). New York: John Wiley and Sons. pp. 337-348.

Wei, Y-H, W-H Ding and R-D Wei. 1984. Biochemical Effects of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> Toxin on Rat Liver Mitochondrial Respiration and Oxidative Phosphorylation. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 230:400-411.

Wilson, B.J. 1971. Miscellaneous <u>Penicillium</u> Toxins. pp. 459-521. <u>In</u>: Microbial Toxins. Vol. VI, A. Ciegler, S. Kadis and S.J. Ail, Eds. Academic Press, Inc., New York.

B. Secondary Sources

- Kough, J. 1990. Environmental hazard assessment of <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u> for 5(h)(4) exemption. Unpublished, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- (2) Dynamac. 1990. Organism Profile: <u>Penicillium roqueforti</u>. Unpublished, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- (3) Dynamac. 1991. Human health effects of <u>Penicillium</u> <u>roqueforti</u>. Unpublished, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.