

Archived Publication

This information provided in this document is for reference. Please be aware that the information in this document may be outdated or superseded by additional information.



EPA promulgated regulations for Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) in February 12, 2003 that expanded the number of operations covered by the CAFO regulations and included requirements to address the land application of manure from CAFOs. The rule became effective on April 14, 2003. NPDES-authorized states were required to modify their programs by February 2005 and develop state technical standards for nutrient management. On February 28, 2005, in response to litigation brought by various organizations, the Second Circuit court issued its decision in *Waterkeeper Alliance et al. v. EPA*, 399 F.3d 486 (2d Cir. 2005). EPA has updated the CAFO rule to reflect the changes requested by the Court. Visit www.epa.gov/npdes/caforule to view the 2008 CAFO Final Rule and supporting documents.



page 1 of 10

9. Example Onsite Check List

N	PDES CAFO Permit NMP Nine Minimum Practices Revie	w Checklis
	The checklist is composed of three parts which are to be completed as follows: Part A Summary Information Documents critical information concerning the operation.	
	Part B Detailed Plan Review and On-Site Inspection Checklist	
	To be completed when reviewing a plan or during an on-site inspection of the operation.	
	Note: Some of the information in this checklist may only be applicable to Large CAFOs. Please consultable additional details.	t regulations for
2	rt A - Summary Information	
1.	Plan Preparer Certification	
	Did the plan preparation involve certified technical specialists?	□ Yes.,.□ No
	• Are the name and certification credentials of the plan preparer identified in the plan?	☐ Yes ☐ No
2.	Type of Operation	
	Is the facility operated ☐ Year Round ☐ Seasonally	
	Notes:	
	• Is the operation ☐ Open lot ☐ Partially enclosed ☐ Fully enclosed.	
	Notes:	
	4 STORM CONTROL OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTROL OF T	
	 Does the description of the facility in the plan reflect the description of the facility in the application/NOI/Fact Sheet/Permit? 	□ Yes □ No
3.	Facility Maps	
	Does the plan include maps that identify topography, soil types, confinement areas, manure and	
	wastewater storage, raw material storage, handling, and treatment facilities, and environmentally	
	sensitive areas (sinkholes, wells, drinking water sources, field tile drain outlets) for the production area and all land application areas owned or under the ownership, rental, lease, other legal	
	arrangement of the CAFO operator?	☐ Yes ☐ No 🗶
	Does the plan identify the watershed(s) in which the operation is located including latitude and	
	longitude to the entrance of the production area?	
	• Is this watershed listed on the State's list of impaired watersheds?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, what impairments are identified?	
	Is this facility located in a state designated source water protection area?	□ Yes □ No
	Are there any other water quality problems in this watershed?	□ Yes □ No
	Explain:	
	To an Accordance &	
	10 Carra -	

otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

page 2 of 10

2.3	o orași de primer procesar a refugiul de comunicat de la comunicaci de la comunicaci de la comunicaci de la co Comunicaci	
Anin		
♥ VVI	nat type of animals are confined at the facility?	
	☐ Beef (slaughter/feeder)	☐ Chicken – Layer ☐ Chicken – Broiler
	□ Dairy	
	Swine	☐ Sheep/lambs
	☐ Turkey ☐ Other	☐ Horse ☐ Duck
		L Duck
• VVI	nat is the design capacity by animal type?	
	☐ Beef (slaughter/feeder)	
	□ Dairy	
		☐ Sheep/lambs
		☐ Horse
0.44		
• IS	the plan based upon the design capacity?	□ Yes □ No
	es the plan identify the size (acres) of the produces the plan identify the size (acres) of the produces.	duction area? □ Yes acres □ No
Man		duction area? □ Yes acres □ No ation and K identified in the plan? fear ★ P lbs/Year ★ K lbs/Year
Man	nure/Litter/Process Wastewater General are the manure generation rates for N, P, a Animal Type 1	duction area?
Man • Wi	nure/Litter/Process Wastewater General nat are the manure generation rates for N, P, a Animal Type 1 NIbs/Y Animal Type 2 NIbs/Y	duction area? ☐ Yes acres ☐ No ation and K identified in the plan? fear ★ P lbs/Year ★ K lbs/Year fear ★ P lbs/Year ★ K lbs/Year fear ★ P lbs/Year ★ K lbs/Year and K rates based upon?
Man • Wi	Numerical Intercolor	duction area? ☐ Yes acres ☐ No ation Ind K identified in the plan? Idear ★ P lbs/Year ★ K lbs/Year Idear ★ P lbs/Year ★ K lbs/Year
M an • Wi	Animal Type 3 N Ibs/Y Historian Type 3 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 3 N Ibs/Y Historian Type 3 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 3 N Ibs/Y Historian Type 3 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 4 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 5 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 5 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 6 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 7 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 8 N Ibs/Y Animal Type 9	duction area? ☐ Yes acres ☐ No ation Ind K identified in the plan? Idear ★ P lbs/Year ★ K lbs/Year Idear ★ P lbs/Year ★ K lbs/Year
Man With	Animal Type 1	duction area?
Man With	Animal Type 1	duction area?
Man With	Animal Type 1	duction area?
Man With	Animal Type 1	duction area?
Man With	Animal Type 1	duction area?
Man With	Animal Type 1	duction area?
Man With	Animal Type 1	duction area?

7-6□

Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

	ons	
	tions are identified in the plan? (Note if more than one option is ident tive amount of the manure wastewater utilized under this option)	March 1997
Land Application	□ Yes	% □ No
If yes: how many acre applying mani	es of land owned or under the control of the applicant are available ure/wastewater generated by the CAFO?acres.	for
Do the facility used to develo	maps identify the fields or conservation management units (CMU) op the plan? (Field boundaries, field number, acreage)	🗆 N o
Composting	□ Yes	%□ No
Incineration	□ Yes	% □ No
If yes, does the plan	address what is done with the remaining ash	<u></u> -
277	30, 20, 70	<u> </u>
	O Yes	
	4	
ischeriae ius nectacis _		
Company of the control of the contro	CAFO sold/given away for use at another location not associated	
If yes, what is the est	imated amount transferred annually?tons	
Crop Production	SV, NO APINA DAN SALEMAN SALEMAN SALEMAN	DVac DNa
	crops are produced?	
The second of th		
	crop rotations (if any)? N/A	
	ation?	

		Name of the Control o
	ping practices?	
		 □ Yes □ No
If yes, what are they	ping practices?? □ Ridge Till □ Conservation Tillage □ Other	
If yes, what are they	ping practices?? □ Conservation Tillage	
If yes, what are they' Does cropping system use	ping practices? Pringe Till Conservation Tillage Other irrigation? Sprinkler Overland Center Pivot	
If yes, what are they Does cropping system use If yes, what type.	ping practices? Printing a Conservation Tillage Other Irrigation? Sprinkler Overland Center Pivot Ridge and furrow Traveling Gun	
If yes, what are they Does cropping system use If yes, what type.	ping practices? Pringe Till Conservation Tillage Other irrigation? Sprinkler Overland Center Pivot	
If yes, what are they Does cropping system use If yes, what type. Is crop/rotation information	ping practices? Printing a Conservation Tillage Other Irrigation? Sprinkler Overland Center Pivot Ridge and furrow Traveling Gun	Yes No
If yes, what are they Does cropping system use If yes, what type. Is crop/rotation information Are realistic crop yield goal	ping practices? Printing Till Conservation Tillage Other Irrigation? Priood Sprinkler Overland Center Pivot Ridge and furrow Traveling Gun provided in the plan for each field/CMU?	Yes No
If yes, what are they Does cropping system use If yes, what type. Is crop/rotation information Are realistic crop yield goa What source of information	ping practices? Ridge Till	Yes No Yes No No Yes No Yes No on?
If yes, what are they Does cropping system use If yes, what type. Is crop/rotation information Are realistic crop yield goa What source of information	ping practices? Printing Till Conservation Tillage Other Irrigation? Priood Sprinkler Overland Center Pivot Ridge and furrow Traveling Gun provided in the plan for each field/CMU? Is identified in the plan?	Yes No Yes No No Yes No Yes No on?
If yes, what are they Does cropping system use If yes, what type. Is crop/rotation information Are realistic crop yield goa What source of information □ Farm Records (C.)	ping practices? Ridge Till	Yes No Yes No No Yes No Yes No on?

X = Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements

page 3 of 10

8.	Nutrient Application						
	• Does the plan identify the					T 1/2-	D. N 1
	application rate?						
	What is the basis?			- EDO		LI NRGS	S Code 590
							
	 Does the plan identify the 					🗖 Yes .	🗆 No 🕽
	II TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO		☐ Surface applied	The state of the s			
	 Does the NMP reference in the permit? 					□ Yes	□ No Ì
	Does the plan include lar						5.45
	25-Year, 24-Hour Store	370		asca and others t	and one is booten.		
	Does the plan utilize the of this operation to determ	correct 25-Y	ear, 24-Hour rainfall			🗆 Yes	🗆 No 🕽
	Note source of information	·	*		-		
d	ditional Comments:						
	A Compared to a Utilization and a resolution						
	* Some facilities are requir	red to design	storage impoundme	ents based on a 1	00-year, 24-hour ste		
'aı		red to design	storage impoundme	ents based on a 1	00-year, 24-hour ste		
	* Some facilities are requir	red to design	storage impoundme	ents based on a 1	00-year, 24-hour ste		
Air	* Some facilities are requir t B - Detailed Plan R himum Practice n Review	red to design Review and Ensure Ad	storage impoundme d On-Site Inspe dequate Storage	ents based on a 1 ection Checkl Capacity	00-year, 24-hour str	orm.	
Air	* Some facilities are requir t B - Detailed Plan R nimum Practice	red to design Review and Ensure Ad	storage impoundme d On-Site Inspe dequate Storage	ents based on a 1 ection Checkl Capacity	00-year, 24-hour str	orm.	
lir	* Some facilities are required B - Detailed Plan Renammeractice n Review • Does the plan identify the • Does the storage volume	red to design Review and Ensure Ad e volume and e in the plan	storage impoundment of On-Site Inspectional of Storage duration of storage account for manure	ents based on a 1 cetion Checkl Capacity required for the fa	ist. acility?	orm. □ Yes	
lir	* Some facilities are required B - Detailed Plan Renimum Practice n Review • Does the plan identify the	red to design Review and Ensure Act e volume and in the plant and the 25-year	storage impoundment of On-Site Inspection of Storage duration of Storage account for manure every 24-hour storm every 24-hour every 24	ents based on a 1 cotion Checkl Capacity required for the formal process was lent for the CAFO le	ist. acility? tewater in addition to ocation?	orm. □ Yes	□ No ၨ
lir	* Some facilities are required B - Detailed Plan Resident Practice Note: The Practice Review Does the plan identify the Does the storage volume the collection of runoff are	red to design Review and Ensure Ad e volume and e in the plan and the 25-year e, poultry, and	d On-Site Inspected of the Inspected of Inspected	ents based on a 1 ction Checkl Capacity required for the fa and process wastent for the CAFO le e a 100-year/24-h	ist. acility? tewater in addition to ocation? acur storm)	orm. ———————————————————————————————————	No)
lir	* Some facilities are required B - Detailed Plan Renimum Practice n Review • Does the plan identify the • Does the storage volume the collection of runoff are (Note: New source swine)	red to design Review and Ensure Ac e volume and e in the plan and the 25-yea e, poultry, and constructed a schedule for	d On-Site Inspection of Storage dequate Storage dequate Storage decount for manure ear/24-hour storm event operations us and operated in according out the storage of the storage decount of the storage decou	ents based on a 1 ction Checkl Capacity required for the fa and process wast ent for the CAFO le e a 100-year/24-h rdance with the E-	acility? tewater in addition to ocation? iour storm) LG?	Yes	□ No
lir	* Some facilities are require **TE - Detailed Plan R **Immum Practice **N Review **Does the plan identify the **Does the storage volume **the collection of runoff ar (Note: New source swind **Are storage structures of **Does the plan include a second	red to design Review and Ensure Act e volume and e in the plan and the 25-yea e, poultry, and constructed a schedule for s?	storage impoundment of On-Site Inspection of Storage duration of Storage account for manure ar/24-hour storm event of veal operations us and operated in according out the storage of One of On	ents based on a 1 cotion Checkl Capacity required for the found process wastent for the CAFO lie a 100-year/24-hrdance with the Errage structures of	ist. acility? tewater in addition to ocation? our storm) LG? r solids removal for	Yes Yes Yes Yes	_ No.
lir	* Some facilities are require **TE - Detailed Plan R **Immum Practice **N Review **Does the plan identify the **Does the storage volume **the collection of runoff ar (Note: New source swind **Are storage structures of **Does the plan include a siliquid storage structures **Does the plan require materials **Does the pla	red to design Review and Ensure Act e volume and e in the plan and the 25-yea e, poultry, and constructed a schedule for s?	storage impoundment of On-Site Inspection of Storage duration of Storage account for manure ar/24-hour storm event of veal operations us and operated in according out the storage of One of On	ents based on a 1 cotion Checkl Capacity required for the found process wastent for the CAFO lie a 100-year/24-hrdance with the Errage structures of	ist. acility? tewater in addition to ocation? our storm) LG? r solids removal for	Yes Yes Yes Yes	_ No.
lir	* Some facilities are requir It B - Detailed Plan R nimum Practice In Review • Does the plan identify the • Does the storage volume the collection of runoff ar (Note: New source swind • Are storage structures of • Does the plan include a soliquid storage structures	red to design Review and Ensure Act e volume and e in the plans nd the 25-yea e, poultry, and constructed a schedule for 6? aintenance for	d On-Site Inspection of Storage dequate Storage dequate Storage decount for manure ar/24-hour storm ever diveal operations us and operated in according out the storage structure.	ents based on a 1 ection Checkl Capacity required for the fand process wastent for the CAFO lee a 100-year/24-h rdance with the E- rage structures or	ist. acility? tewater in addition to ocation? our storm) LG? r solids removal for	Yes	No No
iir'la	* Some facilities are require the Detailed Plan Resimum Practice In Review Does the plan identify the Does the storage volume the collection of runoff are (Note: New source swind) Are storage structures of Does the plan include a liquid storage structures. Does the plan require massite Inspection	red to design Review and Ensure Ac e volume and e in the plan and the 25-yea e, poultry, and constructed a schedule for s? aintenance for	d On-Site Inspection of Storage dequate Storage dequate Storage dequate Storage decount for manure decount for all storage structures and other appropriate decount for all structures and other appropriate decount for all structures and other appropriate decount for all structure	ents based on a 1 cotion Checkl Capacity required for the frand process wastent for the CAFO lee a 100-year/24-hrdance with the Erage structures or res?	ist. acility? tewater in addition to ocation? iour storm) LG? r solids removal for	Yes Yes Yes	No No No
Air	* Some facilities are require the Detailed Plan Resimum Practice In Review Does the plan identify the Does the storage volume the collection of runoff are (Note: New source swind) Are storage structures of Does the plan include a liquid storage structures Does the plan require massite Inspection Is a depth marker in place	red to design Review and Ensure Ac e volume and e in the plan and the 25-year e, poultry, and constructed a schedule for services aintenance for ce in all lagoor	d On-Site Inspection of Storage dequate Storage dequate Storage decount for manure ar/24-hour storm ever deveal operations us and operated in according out the storage structures and other appropriations and other appropriations and other appropriations maintained?	ents based on a 1 ection Checkl Capacity required for the fi and process wastent for the CAFO le e a 100-year/24-h rdance with the E- rage structures or res?	ist. acility? tewater in addition to ocation? our storm) LG? r solids removal for	Yes Yes Yes	No No No

page 4 of 10

Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

If yes, what method If yes, what method If Render If Render If It and If	Other mortality storage prior to final of	address animal mortalit Composting		Yes[⊐ No 🗶
If yes, what metho ☐ Rende ☐ Landfil • Does the plan address r • Is the mortality rate use confined at the operatio	ods are identified in the plan to ring ☐ Incineration ☐ Other mortality storage prior to final of	address animal mortalit Composting	y?	Yes[J No X
☐ Rende☐ Landfill Does the plan address related in the mortality rate use confined at the operation	ring	☐ Composting			
 Is the mortality rate use confined at the operatio 	mortality storage prior to final c	Notice Control			
 Is the mortality rate use confined at the operatio 	d in the plan consistent with U	lisposition?		Yes[⊒ No
confined at the operatio					
 Does the animal mortali 	n?			Yes[⊒ No
	ity plan meet State requiremen	its?	🗅 N/A 🗅	Yes [No 🕽
On-Site Inspection					
 Are the animal mortality being properly implement 	disposal methods and equipn nted?	nent identified in the plan	in place and	Yes	⊒ No X
Minimum Practice	Divert Clean Water From	Production Area			
Plan Review				arc	N-V-V
production areas?	rovisions that address the dive			Yes	□No
(See Minimum Sta	being collected and is storage and ard No. 8)	of runoff adequate?			
	eriodic visual inspection to verif				
 Does the plan address t 	he maintenance of diversion s	tructures?		Yesl	JNo
On-Site Inspection					
 Are the diversion provisi 	ions identified in the plan being	properly implemented?		Yes	ON
 Is the storage capacity s 	sufficient for all non-diverted ru	noff?		Yes	□No
 Are records of periodic i 	nspections being maintained?			Yes	∃No
How often are operator	inspections being conducted	? (Circle one: Daily V	Veekly Monthly)		
Minimum Practice	Prevent Direct Contact				
Plan Review				==01 98X3333 7	FI 75
	map identify any surface water				
If yes, are measu	ires in the plan to prevent direc	et contact?		Yes	∃ No 】
What are the mea	asures identified in the plan?	☐ Fences ☐ Othe	r		
On-Site Inspection					
	the production area?	anning a mangaration of the con-	🗅	YesI	ONC
• Are the measures identif	fied in the plan being implement	ed and maintained to prev	ent direct contact?	Yes .	□ No 🕽
	contact with surface water in				□No

page 5 of 10

X = Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

Minimum Practice	Chemical Handling
Plan Review	
to prevent the mishand	rated measures (in accordance with applicable laws and regulations) dling of pesticides, hazardous and toxic chemicals, and petroleum from contaminating manure and wastewater? ☐ Yes ☐ No
If no, explain:	
On-Site Inspection	
 Are the measures iden 	tified being implemented?
	of mishandling of pesticides, hazardous and toxic chemicals, and -products contaminating manure and wastewater storage
Notes:	
S anda — districted addition	
Minimum Practice	Conservation Practices to Reduce Nutrient Loss
Plan Review	Outself duton i lacences to resident Mattern 2000
T 1947	the use of best management practices (BMPs) to control runoff from the.
	□ N/A□ Yes□ No
Land application	area(s)
Do the plan and facility	maps identify the specific areas that the BMPs are to be applied?
Land Application	on Areas Production Area
	ffers (Type of vegetation)
☐ Diversion	
☐ Grassed Wate	erway (Type of vegetation)
☐ Strip Cropping	
☐ Residue Mana	egement
☐ Terracing	
☐ Conservation	Tillage
• If any of these BMPs a	re being used does the plan specify how they are to be implemented? □ Yes □ No
If yes, what does	sthe plan require?
• What references are n	ited for the practices? USDA Practice Standards
	(Note: to be used to verify proper implementation)
	The second secon
	O&M requirements for practices used to reduce nutrient loss?
On-Site Inspection	
	inimization practices in the plan being properly implemented? ☐ Yes ☐ No 🗶
 If buffers are being use 	ed, are the widths in agreement with those identified in the plan? 🗆 Yes 🗅 No
 Is there no evidence of 	buffers being breached by waste? □ Yes □ No

Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

page 6 of 10

Minimum Practice	Protocols for Manure	and Soil Testing
Plan Review		
and soil for determin	ing nutrient content?	pling and analysis of manure, wastewater
Technical Standard?	The first of the second second second second	ified in the State Nutrient Management
		anure and soil sample analysis? 🗆 Yes 🗅 No 🗶
(At a minimum man	ure/wastewater samples are to b ken and tested for phosphorous	be taken annually and tested for nitrogen and phosphorous at least once every 5 years.)
On-Site Inspection		20000
site-specific NMP?		n within 12 months of developing the ☐ Yes ☐ No
requirements?		frequency that is consistent with permit
Are the sampling pr nutrient manageme	otocols consistent with permit re	equirements or those specified in the state
(At a minimum man and soil samples ta	ure/wastewater samples are to ken and tested for phosphorous	be taken annually and tested for nitrogen and phosphorous at least once every 5 years.)
 Are the results of th 	e sample analysis consistent w	ith the content and analyses of the NMP? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Minimum Practice	Protocols for Land Ap	plication of Manure and Wastewater
Plan Review		
 What is the number 	r of acres owned/acres leased o	r subject to an access agreement to be used
for land application	identified in the plan?ac	cres ownedacres leasedacres applied
 Does the plan ident conducted (e.g., froz 	ify weather and soil conditions under the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround of the property of the pround o	nder which application activities will not be
 Does the plan inclu upon N or P for each 	de a proper analysis to determin h management unit?	ne whether application rates are to be based
the permit or appro	ved by the Director of the permit	anagement Technical Standard identified in titing authority?
Does the plan take	into account other sources of n	utrients used at the operation?
If yes, what o	ther sources of nutrients have b	een accounted for:
	Commercial Fertilizer	☐ Biosolids
	Bedding	☐ Legume Credits
	Wastewater	☐ Previous manure applications
	Other	
Does the plan inclu	ude the application of wastewate	er to fields via an irrigation system? 🗆 Yes 🗀 No
If was:		ation system? 🗆 Yes 🗅 No
A Are th	e nutrients contributed by the in	rigation system accounted for in the nutrient ☐ Yes ☐ No
→ Does	the plan include provisions to m	inimize ponding or puddling of wastewater on ☐ Yes ☐ No
) Dogs	the plan address the manageme	ent of drainage water to prevent surface or

X = Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

page 7 of 10

testing, realistic yield goals*, and crop nutrient removal?	
 Does the plan include restrictions or adequate management practices to preve pollution from the application of manure/wastewater to flooded, saturated, froz or spow covered ground? 	en,
or snow covered ground?	
 Does the plan address specific pumping and clean out schedules for all liquid storage structures? 	
Does the plan require records to be maintained that document the date, location application rate of manure and wastewater that is land applied?	on. weather, and
Is there sufficient land owned or under the control of the operator to properly u and wastewater generated by the operation?	ıtilize all manure □ Yes □ No
If no:	
→ Does the plan identify the quantity of excess manure being general	
→ Does the plan identify how the excess manure is to be utilized?	40 C 12 C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C
→ Is excess manure/wastewater to be transferred off-site?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes:	
→ Does the plan include the necessary arrangements for this transf	
→ Does the plan identify the recipients?	
Does the plan address the maintenance of land application equipment?	
Does the plan identify the manure application method to be used?	
 Does the plan require periodic calibration of manure application equipment 	□ Yes□ No
Are the application rates identified in the plan appropriate?	□ Yes □ No
Notes:	
3 	
Site Inspection	
 Does the plan reflect the current operational characteristics (number of animal 	ls, cropping, etc.)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 Are the number of acres owned/acres leased consistent with those identified in 	n the plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No
 Is the crop rotation consistent with that identified in the plan used to determine rates and timing? 	e application
Is the application equipment being used consistent with the equipment identification	
Is the land application equipment being used appropriate?	
Is the amount of manure/wastewater being transferred off-site consistent with t	
identified in the plan?	🗆 Yes 🗆 No
Are records (name and address of recipient and amount) of off-site manure displaying maintained (if required)?	posal
Is manure and wastewater being applied within a 100' setback or within a 35' ve	

Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

page 8 of 10

Minimum Practice	Record Keeping	
Plan Review		
 Identify the require 	d records that the plan identifies are to be maintained at the facility.	
☐ Manure	and wastewater sample nutrient analysis results	
	nple analysis results that the plan was based upon for all land application Dates of sample://,/,/,/,/,	_ <i>I</i> I)
	/wastewater storage - date of emptying, level before emptying, and level after g, or quantity removed (dry manure)	
☐ Storage	facility level (weekly)	
	on log (stormwater diversions, runoff control structures, water lines, surface dments, and manure application equipment)	
	nance log of all equipment necessary to control discharge and meet permit reliance of land application equipment)	quirements (e.g.,
☐ Crop pla	anting/harvest dates by field or CMU	
☐ Crop typ	be and yield by field or CMU - bushels/acre (seasonally)	
	nount of N and P applied - date, time, and rate (lbs/acre, gallons/acre), weath in, application method, and equipment used by field or CMU (daily)	er
☐ On-site	precipitation	
☐ Animal I	nventory	
☐ Lease/R	Rental/Access Agreements for all land not owned by the operator	
☐ Name a	nd address of recipients and quantity of manure transferred off-site	
• Does the plan requ	ire any additional records be maintained at the facility?	□ Yes □ No
If yes, what a	are these records?	
<u>-</u>		20
	ide an emergency action plan to address spills and catastrophic events?	□ Yes□ No
On-Site Inspection • Are all of the record	ds identified in the plan being maintained and kept current?	Tives TiNo Y
	E	🗀 103 🗀 100 🖍
ii no, expiairi		-3
• Are records being n	naintained at the required frequency?	П Yes П No
2004		
ii iio, explairi		19
1 H	**************************************	
Are records being r	maintained on-site for the period required by the permit?	. 🗆 Yes 🗆 No
If no, explain	<u> </u>	20
W. 20		R
 Do the records inclined 	ude the date, time and estimated volume of any overflows?	. □ Yes □ No

Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

page 9 of 10

 is the plan adequately addressing the storage, handling, and application of manure an wastewater to prevent the discharge of pollutants to waters of the US? 	
• 1s there evidence of a past discharge?	
If yes what evidence was identified?	
 Is there any evidence of discharges to waters of the US from other activities at the ope If yes, what evidence was identified? 	ration? Yes No
Is there a risk of a future violation of permit conditions? If yes, what is the basis for this determination?	□ Yes □ No
Does the plan require revision?	🗆 Yes 🗅 No
If yes, what specific components of the plan require revision?	
ditional Comments:	
ditional Comments:	

X = Questions where a "no" answer may indicate that the NMP is deficient or that the facility may otherwise be in violation of permit requirements.

page 10 of 10