

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27711

APR 3 0 2014

OFFICE OF AIR QUALITY PLANNING AND STANDARDS

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Implementation Guidance on Annual Compliance Certification Reporting and Statement

of Basis Requirements for Title V Operating Permits

FROM:

Stephen D. Page

Director

TO:

Regional Air Division Directors, Regions 1-10

This memorandum and attachments provide guidance on satisfying the Clean Air Act title V annual compliance certification reporting and statement of basis requirements. It addresses two outstanding recommendations made by the Office of Inspector General (OIG) in the report titled, "Substantial Changes Needed in Implementation and Oversight of Title V Permits if Program Goals are to be Fully Realized," (OIG Report No. 2005-P-00010):

Recommendation 2-1: Develop and issue guidance or rulemaking on annual compliance certification content, which requires responsible officials to certify compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of the permit, as appropriate.

Recommendation 2-3: Develop nationwide guidance on the contents of the statement of basis which includes discussions of monitoring, operational requirements, regulatory applicability determinations, explanation of any conditions from previously issued permits that are not being transferred to the title V permit, discussion of streamlining requirements, and other factual information, where advisable, including a list of prior title V permits issued to the same applicant at the plant, attainment status, and construction, permitting, and compliance history of the plant.

In a February 8, 2013, memorandum to the OIG, the EPA stated its intent to address these two recommendations, as well as similar recommendations from the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee's Title V Task Force (*see* "Final Report to the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee: Title V Implementation Experience," April 2006).

The attachments below provide non-binding guidance that responds to OIG recommendations regarding annual compliance certification and statement of basis. The attachments highlight existing statutory and regulatory requirements and guidance issued by the EPA, and state and local permitting authorities. In addition, the attachments highlight key components of the applicable legal requirements and clarifications responsive to certain OIG recommendations. As you are aware, this information was developed in collaboration with EPA regional offices. Note that state and local permitting authorities

also provide guidance on title V requirements; the EPA encourages sources to consult with their state and local permitting authorities to obtain additional information or to obtain specific guidance.

If you have any questions, please contact Juan Santiago, Associate Director, Air Quality Policy Division/OAQPS, at (919) 541-1084, santiago.juan@epa.gov.

Attachments

Disclaimer

These documents explain the requirements of the EPA regulations, describes the EPA policies, and recommends procedures for sources and permitting authorities to use to ensure that the annual compliance certification and the statement of basis are consistent with applicable regulations. These documents are not a rule or regulation, and the guidance they contain may not apply to a particular situation based upon the individual facts and circumstances. The guidance does not change or substitute for any law, regulation, or any other legally binding requirement and is not legally enforceable. The use of non-mandatory language such as "guidance," "recommend," "may," "should," and "can," is intended to describe the EPA policies and recommendations. Mandatory terminology such as "must" and "required" is intended to describe controlling requirements under the terms of the Clean Air Act and the EPA regulations, but the documents do not establish legally binding requirements in and of themselves.

Attachment 1

Implementation Guidance on Annual Compliance Certification Requirements Under the Clean Air Act Title V Operating Permits Program

I. Overview of Title V and Annual Compliance Certification Requirements

Title V of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) establishes an operating permits program for major sources of air pollutants, as well as other sources. CAA sections 501-507; 42 U.S.C. Sections 7661-7661f. A detailed history and description of title V of the CAA is available in the preamble discussions of both the proposed and final original regulations implementing title V – the first promulgation of 40 CFR Part 70. See 57 FR 32250 (July 21, 1992) (Final Rule): 56 FR 21712 (May 10, 1991) (Proposed Rule). The EPA recently provided further information regarding compliance certification history in a proposed rulemaking titled, "Amendments to Compliance Certification Content Requirements for State and Federal Operating Permits Programs," published on March 29, 2013. 78 FR 19164. Under title V, states are required to develop and implement title V permitting programs in conformance with program requirements promulgated by the EPA in 40 CFR Part 70. Title V requires that every major stationary source (and certain other sources) apply for and operate pursuant to an operating permit. CAA section 502(a) and 503. The operating permit must contain conditions that assure compliance with all of the sources' applicable requirements under the CAA. CAA section 504(a). Title V also states, among other requirements, that sources certify compliance with the applicable requirements of their permits no less frequently than annually (CAA section 503(b)(2)), provides authority to the EPA to prescribe procedures for determining compliance and for monitoring and analysis of pollutants regulated under the CAA (CAA section 504(b)), and requires each permit to "set forth inspection, entry, monitoring, compliance certification, and reporting requirements to assure compliance with the permit terms and conditions." (CAA section 504(c).)

This guidance document focuses on the annual compliance certification, which applies to the terms and conditions of issued operating permits. CAA section 503(b)(2) states that the EPA's regulations implementing title V "shall further require the permittee to periodically (but no less frequently than annually) certify that the facility is in compliance with any applicable requirements of the permit, and to promptly report any deviations from permit requirements to the permitting authority." CAA section 504(c) states that each title V permit issued "shall set forth inspection, entry, monitoring, compliance certification, and reporting requirements to assure compliance with the permit terms and conditions. . . Any report required to be submitted by a permit issued to a corporation under this subchapter shall be signed by a responsible corporate official, who shall certify its accuracy." Additional requirements of compliance certification are described in section 114(a)(3) of the CAA as follows:

The Administrator shall in the case of any person which is the owner or operator of a major stationary source, and may, in the case of any other person, require enhanced monitoring and submission of compliance certifications. Compliance certifications shall include (A) identification of the applicable requirement that is the basis of the certification, (B) the method used for determining the compliance

status of the source, (C) the compliance status, (D) whether compliance is continuous or intermittent, (E) such other facts as the Administrator may require. Compliance certifications and monitoring data shall be subject to subsection (c) of this section [availability of information to the public].

CAA section 114(a)(3), 42 U.S.C. section 7414(a)(3). The EPA promulgated regulations implementing these provisions for title V operating permits purposes. Key regulatory provisions regarding compliance certifications are found in 40 CFR section 70.6(c), "Compliance requirements."

II. Overview of Annual Compliance Certification Requirements

The EPA's regulations at 40 CFR section 70.6(c) describe the required elements of annual compliance certifications. Specifically, 40 CFR section 70.6(c)(5)(iii)-(iv) provides that all permits must include the following annual compliance certification requirements:

- (iii) A requirement that the compliance certification include all of the following (provided that the identification of applicable information may cross-reference the permit or previous reports, as applicable):
- (A) The identification of each term or condition of the permit that is the basis of the certification;
- (B) The identification of the method(s) or other means used by the owner or operator for determining the compliance status with each term and condition during the certification period. Such methods and other means shall include, at a minimum, the methods and means required under paragraph (a)(3) of this section;
- (C) The status of compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit for the period covered by the certification, including whether compliance during the period was continuous or intermittent. The certification shall be based on the method or means designated in paragraph (c)(5)(iii)(B) of this section. The certification shall identify each deviation and take it into account in the compliance certification. The certification shall also identify as possible exceptions to compliance any periods during which compliance is required and in which an excursion or exceedance as defined under part 64 of this chapter occurred; and
- (D) Such other facts as the permitting authority may require to determine the compliance status of the source.
- (iv) A requirement that all compliance certifications be submitted to the Administrator as well as to the permitting authority.
- (6) Such other provisions as the permitting authority may require.

Further information surrounding compliance certification is described in the regulatory provision addressing the criteria for a permit application, 40 CFR section 70.5(d). There have been revisions to Part 70 since its original promulgation in 1992.

One rulemaking action relevant to compliance certifications was in response to an October 29, 1999, remand from the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) v. EPA, 194 F.3d 130 (D.C. Cir. 1999). In that case, the Court upheld a portion of the EPA's compliance assurance monitoring rule, but remanded back to the EPA the need to ensure 40 CFR sections 70.6(c)(5)(iii) and 71.6(c)(5)(iii) were consistent with language in CAA section 114(a)(3) which states that compliance certifications shall include, among other requirements, "'whether compliance is continuous or intermittent.'" NRDC at 135 (internal citations omitted). Accordingly, the EPA proposed to add appropriate language to paragraph (c)(5)(iii)(C) of both 40 CFR sections 70.6 and 71.6. However, the final rule on June 27, 2003 (68 FR 38518) inadvertently deleted an existing sentence from the regulations (which was not related to the addition which resulted from the D.C. Circuit decision). The OIG Report referenced this issue and in response to the OIG, as agreed, the EPA has proposed to restore the inadvertently deleted sentence back into the rule. See, e.g., 78 FR 19164 (March 29, 2013). This proposed rule would reinstate the inadvertently removed sentence – which, consistent with the Credible Evidence rule, requires owners and operators of sources to "identify any other material information that must be included in the certification to comply with section 113(c)(2) of the Act, which prohibits knowingly making a false certification or omitting material information" – in its original place before the semicolon at the end of 40 CFR sections 70.6(c)(5)(iii)(B) and 71.6(c)(5)(iii)(B). The EPA is still reviewing comments received on this proposal; however, today's guidance document is based on statutory and long-standing regulatory requirements regarding compliance certifications, obligations for "reasonable inquiry" and consideration of credible evidence, many of which were also relied upon in the EPA's proposal.

III. Implementation of the Annual Compliance Certification Requirements

The statutory and regulatory provisions regarding compliance certification provide direction to sources and permitting authorities regarding implementation of these provisions. Nonetheless, questions arise periodically and, as a general matter, responding to those questions typically occurs on a case-by-case basis, consistent with the statutory and regulatory requirements, as well as applicable state or local regulations. Questions may be posed to authorized permitting authorities, EPA Regional Offices, or EPA Headquarters offices. As a general matter, where formal responses are provided by EPA, such responses may be searched and viewed on various websites. These include, among others:

- http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg/t5pgm.html
- Environmental Appeals Board (EAB) decisions on PSD permitting http://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/EAB_Web_Docket.nsf/PSD+Permit+Appeals+(CAA)?OpenView Environmental Appeals Board (EAB) decisions on title V permitting http://yosemite.epa.gov/oa/EAB_Web_Docket.nsf/Title+V+Permit+Appeals?OpenView

- The EPA's online searchable database of many PSD and title V guidance documents issued by EPA headquarters offices and EPA Regions (operated by Region 7) http://www.epa.gov/region07/air/policy/search.htm.
- The EPA's online searchable database of CAA title V petitions and issued orders (operated by Region 7) http://www.epa.gov/region7/air/title5/petitiondb/petitiondb.htm.¹

A review of these databases indicates that there are a number of issues that arise with some regularity and those general questions and responses are addressed below. In addition, the EPA notes that state and local permitting authorities are also a source of guidance on compliance certification form, instructions, and content. In some circumstances, state and local permitting authorities may require additional content for the annual compliance certification. See, e.g., 40 CFR sections 70.6(c)(5)(iii)(D) and (c)(6). As a result, sources should review such requirements prior to completing the annual compliance certification.

A. Level of Specificity in Describing the Permit Term or Condition

The CAA and the EPA's regulations require that the annual compliance certification identify the terms and conditions that are the subject of the certification. As a general matter, specificity ensures that the responsible official has in fact reviewed each term and condition, as well as considered all appropriate information as part of the certification.² This does not mean, however, that each and every permit term and condition needs to be spelled out in its entirety in the annual compliance certification or that the certification needs to resemble a checklist of each permit term and condition. While some sources (and states) use what is informally referred to as a "long form" for certifications (where each term or condition is typically individually identified), such forms are not expressly required by either the CAA or the EPA's regulations, even though it may be advisable to use such a form.

The certification should include sufficient specificity and must identify the terms and conditions that are being covered by the certification. 40 CFR section 70.6(c)(5)(iii)(A)-(D). As a "best practice," sources may include additional information where there are unique or complex permit conditions such that "compliance" with a particular term and condition is predicated on several elements. In that case, additional information in the annual compliance certification may be advisable to explain how compliance with a particular condition was determined and, thus, the basis for the certification of compliance.

Consistent with the EPA's regulations, the annual compliance certification must include "[t]he identification of the method(s) or other means used by the owner or operator for determining the compliance status with each term and condition during the certification period." 40 CFR section 70.6(c)(5)(iii)(B). For example, there may be situations where certification is based on electronic

¹ The EPA's practice is to publish a notice in the *Federal Register* announcing that a petition order was signed. Once signed, the EPA's practice is to place a copy of that final order on the title V petition order database, which is searchable online.

² The EPA's regulations require that a "responsible official" sign the compliance certification. The term "responsible official" is defined in 40 CFR section 70.2.

data from continuous emissions monitoring devices, which may result in a fairly straightforward annual compliance certification. Alternatively, there may be situations where compliance during the reporting period was determined through parametric monitoring, which requires the source to consider various data and perform a mathematical calculation, to determine the compliance status. In that latter situation when various data from parametric monitoring are combined via calculation, the annual compliance certification may contain more detail regarding that term or condition which relies on parametric monitoring in the permit.³

Regardless of the level of specificity provided for the particular terms and conditions in the annual certification itself, the minimum regulatory requirements include "[t]he identification of each term or condition of the permit that is the basis of the certification," 40 CFR Section 70.6(c)(5)(iii)(A). As noted above, there may be different ways to meet this requirement. For example, when referencing a permit term or condition in the certification, if the permit incorporates by reference a citation without explaining the particular term or condition, the source may choose to provide additional clarity in the compliance certification to support the certification. Another situation where additional specificity may be advisable is where a source has an alternative operating scenario where the source may be best served by providing additional compliance related information in support of the certification. As another example, the part 71 federal operating permits program administered by the EPA includes a form, and instructions, for sources to use for their annual compliance certifications. Annual Compliance Certification (A-COMP), EPA Form 5900-04, at page 4, available at: http://www.epa.gov/airquality/permits/pdfs/a-comp.pdf. This form is not expressly required for non-EPA permitting authorities; however, this form and the instructions provide feedback regarding what to include in an annual compliance certification.

Importantly, permitting authorities have additional compliance certification requirements and/or recommendations that sources should consult before finalizing a compliance certification in order to ensure compliance with the applicable requirements. *See, e.g.*, 40 CFR section 70.6(c)(6).

B. Form of the Certification

As a general matter, there is no requirement in the Act or in Part 70 that a source use a specific form for the compliance certification (although some states have adopted specific forms and instructions). The most relevant consideration in certifications is not the form, but the content and clarity of the terms and conditions with which the compliance status is being certified. Some state permitting authorities have developed template forms and instructions to assist sources in ensuring compliance with applicable requirements. The EPA has not provided such templates, except as noted above where a form is provided for the EPA's part 71 permit program. While templates are not required by the statute or the regulations, they can be useful tools (e.g., to facilitate electronic reporting and consistency) so long as sources consider whether the form adequately covers their permitting and certification situation, and the sources are able to make adjustments where appropriate to ensure compliance. The type of form used should be

³ The CAA and the EPA's regulations require other more frequent compliance reports in addition to the annual compliance certification. In some circumstances, it may be helpful for a source to reference another compliance report in the annual compliance certification, as appropriate.

considered in light of the regulatory requirement to certify compliance with the specific terms and conditions of the permit. 40 CFR section 70.6(c)(5)(iii)(C). Additionally, as was noted earlier, because approved state and local areas may require additional elements in the annual compliance certifications, sources should confirm that their form is consistent with applicable state and local permitting requirements.

C. Certification Language

The EPA's regulations at 40 CFR section 70.5(d) require that the annual compliance certification include the following language: "Based on information and belief formed <u>after reasonable inquiry</u>, I certify that the statements and information in this certification are true, accurate, and complete." (Emphasis added.) While the EPA appreciates that each permit includes specific monitoring requirements, additional data may be available that indicate compliance (or noncompliance). The EPA recently proposed to provide additional clarity on this issue by proposing to restore a sentence to 40 CFR section 70.6(c)(5)(iii)(B) that had been inadvertently deleted, as discussed above.

IV. Discussion of Compliance Certification Content in Clean Air Act Advisory Committee Final Report on the Title V Implementation Experience

In the EPA's February 8, 2013, memorandum to the OIG, stated its intent to address the OIG's recommendation concerning the annual compliance certification, as well as similar recommendations from the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee's Title V Task Force.⁴ While this guidance document responds to the 2005 OIG Report, information provided above overlaps with recommendations from the Title V Task Force. This guidance document does not adopt the Task Force recommendations; however, to the extent that they overlap with the discussion above, the EPA provides some observations regarding those recommendations.

Section 4.7 of the Task Force Report discusses compliance certification forms. This section includes, among other items, comments from stakeholders, a summary of the Task Force discussions, and Task Force recommendations. Of the five recommendations included in this section of the Report, three were unanimously supported by the Task Force members (Recommendations 3, 4, and 5). Task Force Final Report at 119-120. EPA's discussion above regarding the level of specificity and the form of the annual compliance certification generally addresses the two recommendations for which there was not consensus within the Task Force (Recommendations 1 and 2).

The five recommendations, directly quoted from the Task Force Report, are as follows:

⁴ In April 2006, the Title V Task Force finalized a document titled, "Final Report to the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee: Title V Implementation Experience." This document was the result of the Task Force's efforts to review the implementation and performance of the operating permit program under title V of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. Included in the report are a number of recommendations, including some specific recommendations regarding compliance certifications that are consistent with existing regulations and information provided in this guidance document.

Recommendation #1. Most of the Task Force endorsed an approach akin to the "short form" certification, believing that a line-by-line listing of permit requirements is not required and imposes burdens without additional compliance benefit. Under this approach, the compliance certification form would include a statement that the source was in continuous compliance with permit terms and conditions with the exception of noted deviations and periods of intermittent compliance. Although the permittee would cross-reference the permit for methods of compliance, in situations where the permit specifies a particular monitoring method but the permittee is relying on different monitoring, testing or other evidence to support its certification of compliance, that reliance should be specifically identified in the certification and briefly explained. An example of such a case would be where the permit requires continuous temperature records to verify compliance with a minimum temperature requirement. If the chart recorder data was not recorded for one hour during the reporting period because it ran out of ink, and the source relies on the facts that the data before and after the hour shows temperature above the requirement minimum and that the alarm system which sounds if temperature falls below setpoint was functioning and did not alarm during the hour, these two items would be noted as the data upon which the source relies for certifying continuous compliance with the minimum temperature requirement.

Recommendation #2. Others on the Task Force believed that more detail than is included in the short form is needed in the compliance certification to assure source accountability and the enforce-ability of the certification. These members viewed at least one of the following options as acceptable (some members accepting any, while others accepting only one or two):

- 1. The use of a form that allows sources to use some cross-referencing to identify the permit term or condition to which compliance was certified. Cross-referencing would only be allowed where the permit itself clearly numbers or letters each specific permit term or condition, clearly identifies required monitoring, and does not itself include cross-referencing beyond detailed citations to publicly accessible regulations. The compliance certification could then cite to the number of a permit condition, or possibly the numbers for a group of conditions, and note the compliance status for that permit condition and the method used for determining compliance. In the case of permit conditions that are not specifically numbered or lettered, the form would use text to identify the requirement for which the permittee is certifying.
- 2. Use of the long form.
- 3. Use of the permit itself as the compliance certification form with spaces included to identify whether compliance with each condition was continuous or intermittent and information regarding deviations attached.

Recommendation # 3. Where the permit specifies a particular monitoring or compliance method and the source is relying on other information, that information should be separately specified on the certification form.

Recommendation # 4. Where a permit term does not impose an affirmative obligation on the source, the form should not require a compliance certification; e.g., where the permit states that it does not convey property rights or that the permitting authority is to undertake some activity such as provide public notice of a revision.

Recommendation # 5. All forms should provide space for the permittee to provide additional explanation regarding its compliance status and any deviations identified during the reporting period.

Task Force Final Report at 118-120.⁵ With regard to these recommendations, the EPA offers several observations. First, there is nothing in the CAA or Part 70 that prohibits Recommendation 3, 4, and 5, which had unanimous support from the Task Force. See 40 CFR section 70.6(c)(5)(iii)-(iv). Second, with regard to Recommendations 3 and 5, these should be considered "best practices" to ensure that the annual certification provides adequate information. Third, Recommendations 1 and 2 outline different ideas surrounding the level of specificity and the form of the annual compliance certification. This guidance document does address those issues and recommends activities consistent with the regulatory requirements while also providing some flexibility on the level of specificity depending on the complexity of the permit conditions being certified.

⁵ With regard to the first recommendation, the EPA observes that the example provided in the Task Force Report identifies a scenario in which additional narrative on the annual compliance certification form would be useful to explain the determination that the sources was (or was not) in compliance with a permit term or condition.

Attachment 2

Implementation Guidance on Statement of Basis Requirements Under the Clean Air Act Title V Operating Permits Program

I. Overview of Legal Requirements for Statement of Basis

Section 502 of the CAA addresses title V permit programs generally. Among other required elements of the EPA's rules implementing title V, Congress stated that the regulations shall include:

Adequate, streamlined, and reasonable procedures for expeditiously determining when applications are complete, for processing such applications, for public notice, including offering an opportunity for public comment and a hearing, and for expeditious review of permit actions, including applications, renewals, or revisions....

CAA section 502(b)(6). The EPA's regulations implementing title V require that a permitting authority provide "a statement that sets forth the legal and factual basis for the draft permit conditions (including references to the applicable statutory or regulatory provisions). The permitting authority shall send this statement to the EPA and to any other person who requests it." 40 CFR section 70.7(a)(5). As will be discussed below, among other purposes, the statement of basis is intended to support the requirements of CAA section 502(b)(6) by providing information to allow for "expeditious" evaluation of the permit terms and conditions, and by providing information that supports public participation in the permitting process, considering other information in the record.

Since the EPA promulgated its Part 70 regulations, the EPA has provided additional guidance and information surrounding the statement of basis. This information is available on EPA's searchable online database of Title V guidance

(http://www.epa.gov/region07/air/policy/search.htm). A search of that database reveals numerous documents dating back to 1996 that provide feedback regarding the content of the statement of basis. Because the specific content of the statement of basis depends in part on the terms and conditions of the individual permit at issue, the EPA's regulations are intended to provide flexibility to the state and local permitting authorities regarding content of the statement of basis. The statement of basis is required to contain, as the regulation states, sufficient information to explain the "legal and factual basis for the draft permit conditions." 40 CFR section 70.7(a)(5).

II. Guidance on the Content of Statement of Basis

Since promulgation of the Part 70 regulations, the EPA has provided guidance on recommended contents of the statement of basis. Taken as a whole, various title V petition orders and other documents, particularly those cited in those orders, provide a good roadmap as to what should be

¹ See, e.g., Region 10 Questions & Answers No. 2: Title V Permit Development (March 19, 1996) (available online at http://www.epa.gov/region07/air/title5/t5memos/r10qa2.pdf).

included in a statement of basis on a permit-by-permit basis, considering, among other factors, the technical complexity of a permit, history of the facility, and the number of new provisions being added at the title V permitting stage. This guidance document identifies a few such documents for example purposes and provides references for locating such materials on the Internet.

The EPA provided an overview of this guidance in a 2006 title V petition order. *In the Matter of Onyx Environmental Services*, Order on Petition No. V-2005-1 (February 1, 2006) (*Onyx Order*) at 13-14. In the *Onyx Order*, in the context of a general overview statement on the statement of basis, the EPA explained,

A statement of basis must describe the origin or basis of each permit condition or exemption. However, it is more than just a short form of the permit. It should highlight elements that U.S. EPA and the public would find important to review. Rather than restating the permit, it should list anything that deviates from simply a straight recitation of applicable requirements. The statement of basis should highlight items such as the permit shield, streamlined conditions, or any monitoring that is required under 40 C.F.R. § 70.6(a)(3)(i)(B). Thus, it should include a discussion of the decision-making that went into the development of the title V permit and provide the permitting authority, the public, and U.S. EPA a record of the applicability and technical issues surrounding the issuance of the permit. (Footnotes omitted.) See, e.g., In Re Port Hudson Operations, Georgia Pacific, Petition No. 6-03-01, at pages 37-40 (May 9, 2003) ("Georgia Pacific"); In Re Doe Run Company Buick Mill and Mine, Petition No. VII-1999-001, at pages 24-25 (July 31, 2002) ("Doe Run"); In Re Fort James Camas Mill, Petition No. X-1999-1, at page 8 (December 22, 2000) ("Ft. James").

Onyx Order at 13-14. In the Onyx Order, there is a reference to a February 19, 1999, letter that identified elements which, if applicable, should be included in the statement of basis. In that letter to Mr. David Dixon, Chair of the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) Title V Subcommittee, the EPA Region 9 Air Division provided a list of air quality factors to serve as guidance to California permitting authorities that should be considered when developing a statement of basis for purposes of EPA Region 9's review. Specifically, this letter identified the following elements which, if applicable, should be included in the statement of basis:

- additions of permitted equipment which were not included in the application,
- identification of any applicable requirements for insignificant activities or Stateregistered portable equipment that have not previously been identified at the Title V facility,
- outdated SIP requirement streamlining demonstrations,
- multiple applicable requirements streamlining demonstrations,
- permit shields.
- alternative operating scenarios,
- compliance schedules,
- CAM requirements,

- plant wide allowable emission limits (PAL) or other voluntary limits,
- any district permits to operate or authority to construct permits,
- periodic monitoring decisions, where the decisions deviate from already agreedupon levels. These decisions could be part of the permit package or could reside in a publicly available document. (Parenthetical omitted)

Enclosure to February 19, 1999, letter from Region 9 to Mr. David Dixon.

In 2001, in a letter from the EPA to the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, which is also cited to in the *Onyx Order*, the EPA explained that:

The [statement of basis] should also include factual information that is important for the public to be aware of. Examples include:

- 1. A listing of any Title V permits issued to the same applicant at the plant site, if any. In some cases it may be important to include the rationale for determining that sources are support facilities.
- 2. Attainment status.
- 3. Construction and permitting history of the source.
- 4. Compliance history including inspections, any violations noticed, a listing of consent decrees into which the permittee has entered and corrective action(s) taken to address noncompliance.

Letter from Stephen Rothblatt, EPA Region 5 to Robert Hodanbosi, Ohio EPA, December 20, 2001 (available online at http://www.epa.gov/region07/air/title5/t5memos/sbguide.pdf). In 2002, in the context of finding deficiencies with the State of Texas operating permits program, the EPA explained that, "a statement of basis should include, but is not limited to, a description of the facility, a discussion of any operational flexibility that will be utilized at the facility, the basis for applying the permit shield, any federal regulatory applicability determinations, and the rationale for the monitoring methods selected." 67 FR 732, 735 (January 7, 2002).

The EPA has also addressed statement of basis contents in additional title V petition orders (available in an online searchable database at

http://www.epa.gov/region7/air/title5/petitiondb/petitiondb.htm). In some cases, title V petition orders provide information even where a statement of basis is not directly at issue. For example, the EPA has interpreted 40 CFR section 70.7(a)(5) to require that the rationale for selected monitoring methods be clear and documented in the permit record. In the Matter of CITGO Refining and Chemicals Company LP (CITGO), Order on Petition No. VI-2007-01 (May 28, 2009) at 7; see also In the Matter of Fort James Camas Mill (Fort James), Order on Petition No. X-1999-1 (December 22, 2000) at page 8. This type of information could be included in the statement of basis. The EPA observes that where such information is included in the statement of basis, this can facilitate a better understanding of the rationale for monitoring. Such information could also be included in other parts of the permit record. In addition, it is particularly helpful when the statement of basis identifies key issues that the permitting authority anticipates would be a priority for EPA or public review (for example, if such issues represent new conditions or

interpretations of applicable requirements that are not explicit on their face). See, e.g., In the Matter of Consolidated Edison Co. Of NY, Inc. Ravenswood Steam Plant, Order on Petition No. II-2001-08 (Sept. 30, 2003) at page 11; In the Matter of Port Hudson Operation Georgia Pacific, Order on Petition No. 6-03-01 (May 9, 2003) at pages 37-40; In the Matter of Doe Run Company Buick Mill and Mine (Doe Run), Order on Petition No. VII-1999-001 (July 31, 2002) at pages 24-26; In the Matter of Los Medanos Energy Center (Order on Petition) (May 24, 2004) at pages 14-17.

Each of the various documents referenced above provide generalized recommendations for developing an adequate statement of basis rather than "hard and fast" rules on what to include. Taken as a whole, they provide a good roadmap as to what should be included in a statement of basis on a permit-by-permit basis, considering, among other factors, the technical complexity of the permit, history of the facility, and the number of new provisions being added at the title V permitting stage.²

III. Discussion of Statement of Basis Content in Clean Air Act Advisory Committee Final Report on the Title V Implementation Experience

In the EPA's February 8, 2013, memorandum to the OIG, the EPA stated its intent to address the OIG's recommendation concerning the statement of basis, as well as similar recommendations from the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee's Title V Task Force.³ While this guidance document responds to the 2005 OIG Report, information provided above overlaps with recommendations from the Title V Task Force. This guidance document does not adopt the Task Force recommendations; however, to the extent that they overlap with the discussion above, the EPA provides some observations regarding those recommendations.

Section 5.5 of the Task Force Final Report addresses the statement of basis. This section includes a regulatory background piece, comments from stakeholders, a summary of the Task Force discussions, and Task Force recommendations. The recommendations section includes a list of items considered appropriate for inclusion into a statement of basis. Final Report at 231. Members of the Task Force unanimously supported the recommendations regarding the statement of basis. Because these recommendations overlaps substantially, if not wholly, with guidance previously provided by EPA, it is appropriate to include these recommendations within this guidance document as an additional guideline for developing an adequate statement of basis.

The Task Force recommended that the following items are appropriate for inclusion in a statement of basis document:

² With regard to the title V permitting stage, a best practice includes making previous statements of basis accessible to give background on provisions that already exist in the permit and may not be a part of the permit action at issue, and provide context for the permit as a whole and the particular revisions at issue in that permit action or permit stage.

³ In April 2006, the Title V Task Force finalized a document titled, "Final Report to the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee: Title V Implementation Experience." This document was the result of the Task Force's efforts to review the implementation and performance of the operating permit program under title V of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. Included in the report are a number of recommendations, including specific recommendations regarding statement of basis contents that overlap with or are informative to this guidance document.

- 1. A description and explanation of any federally enforceable conditions from previously issued permits that are not being incorporated into the Title V permit.
- 2. A description and explanation of any streamlining of applicable requirements pursuant to EPA White Paper No. 2.
- 3. A description and explanation of any complex non-applicability determination (including any request for a permit shield under section 70.6(f)(1)(ii)) or any determination that a requirement applies that the source does not agree is applicable, including reference to any relevant materials used to make these determinations (e.g., source tests, state guidance documents).
- 4. A description and explanation of any difference in form of permit terms and conditions, as compared to the applicable requirement upon which the condition was based.
- 5. A discussion of terms and conditions included to provide operational flexibility under section 70.4(b)(12).
- 6. The rationale, including the identification of authority, for any Title V monitoring decision.

Task Force Final Report at 231. With regard to these recommendations, the EPA offers several observations. First, there is nothing in the CAA or Part 70 that precludes a permitting authority from including the items listed above in a statement of basis. Not all of those items will apply to every permit action (as is the case with the lists provided by the EPA in the previously-cited guidance documents). Second, concerning item #1, we note that there are very limited circumstances in which a condition from a previously issued permit would not need to be incorporated into the title V permit. Third, concerning item #2, the "White Paper" refers to "White Paper Number 2 for Improved Implementation of the Part 70 Operating Permits Program", dated March 5, 1996 (available online at http://www.epa.gov/region07/air/title5/t5memos/wtppr-2.pdf).

In developing the statement of basis, as was discussed earlier, the EPA recommends that permitting authorities consider the individual circumstances of the permit action in light of the regulatory requirements for the permit record in order to determine whether information along the lines of the items identified by the Task Force warrants inclusion into the statement of basis. In making this determination, the permitting authority is encouraged to consider whether the inclusion of such information would provide important explanatory information for the public and the EPA, and bolster the defensibility of the permit (thus improving the efficiency of the permit process and reducing the likelihood of receiving an adverse comment or an appeal), while also ensuring that the statutory and regulatory requirements are being met.