## SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology

#### FINAL

August 2010 Project 12301-007 Perrin Quarles Associates, Inc.

Prepared by

# Sargent & Lundy

55 East Monroe Street • Chicago, IL 60603 USA • 312-269-2000

#### LEGAL NOTICE

This analysis ("Deliverable") was prepared by Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. ("S&L"), expressly for the sole use of Perrin Quarles Associates, Inc. ("Client") in accordance with the agreement between S&L and Client. This Deliverable was prepared using the degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by engineers practicing under similar circumstances. Client acknowledges: (1) S&L prepared this Deliverable subject to the particular scope limitations, budgetary and time constraints, and business objectives of the Client; (2) information and data provided by others may not have been independently verified by S&L; and (3) the information and data contained in this Deliverable are time sensitive and changes in the data, applicable codes, standards, and acceptable engineering practices may invalidate the findings of this Deliverable. Any use or reliance upon this Deliverable by third parties shall be at their sole risk.

This work was funded and reviewed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under the supervision of William A. Stevens, Senior Advisor – Power Technologies. Additional input and review was provided by Dr. Jim Staudt, President of Andover Technology Partners.



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final

#### **Establishment of Cost Basis**

Cost data for the SDA FGD systems was more limited than that for the wet FGD systems. A similar trend with generating capacity is generally seen between the wet and SDA system. The same generating capacity relationship was used for the wet and SDA cost estimation.

A least squares curve fit of proprietary in-house cost data was defined as a "typical" SDA FGD retrofit for removal of 95% of the inlet sulfur. It should be noted that the lowest available SO<sub>2</sub> emission guarantees, from the original equipment manufactures of SDA FGD systems, are 0.06 lb/MMBtu. The typical SDA FGD retrofit was based on:

- Retrofit Difficulty = 1 (Average retrofit difficulty);
- Gross Heat Rate = 9800 Btu/kWh;
- $SO_2$  Rate = 2.0 lb/MMBtu;
- Type of Coal = PRB; and
- Project Execution = Multiple lump sum contracts; and
- Recommended  $SO_2$  emission floor = 0.08 lb/MMBtu.

Units below 50 MW will typically not install an SDA FGD system. Sulfur reductions for the small units would be accomplished by; treating smaller units at a single site with one SDA FGD system, switching to a lower sulfur coal, repowering with natural gas, dry sorbent injection, and/or a reduction in operating hours. Capital costs of approximately \$800/kW may be used for units below 50 MW under the premise that these will be combined.

Based on the typical SDA FGD performance, the technology should not be applied to fuels with more than 3 lb  $SO_2/MMBtu$  and the cost estimator should be limited to fuels with less than 3 lb  $SO_2/MMBtu$ .

An alternate dry technology, circulating dry scrubber (CDS), can meet removals of 98% or greater over a large range of inlet sulfur concentrations. It should be noted that the lowest SO<sub>2</sub> emission guarantees for a CDS FGD system are 0.04 lb/MMBtu.

#### Methodology

#### Inputs

Several input variables are required in order to predict future retrofit costs. The gross unit size in MW (equivalent acfm) and sulfur content of the fuel are the major variables for the capital estimation. A retrofit factor that equates to difficulty in construction of the system must be defined. The costs herein could increase significantly for congested sites. The unit gross heat rate will factor into the amount of flue gas generated and ultimately the size of the absorber, reagent preparation, waste handling, and balance of plant costs. The SO<sub>2</sub> rate will have the greatest influence on the reagent handling and waste handling facilities. The type of fuel (Bituminous, PRB, or Lignite) will influence the flue gas quantities as a result of the different typical heating values.



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### **SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final**

#### Outputs

#### Total Project Costs (TPC)

First the base installed costs are calculated for each required module (BM\_). The base installed costs include:

- All equipment;
- Installation;
- Buildings;
- Foundations;
- Electrical; and
- Average retrofit difficulty.

The modules are:

BMR =	Base absorber island cost
BMF =	Base reagent preparation and waste recycle/handling cost
BMB =	Base balance of plan costs including: ID or booster fans, piping, ductwork, electrical, etc.
BM =	BMR + BMF + BMB

The total base installed cost (BM) is then increased by:

- Engineering and construction management costs at 10% of the BM cost;
- Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc., at 10% of the BM cost; and
- Contractor profit and fees at 10% of the BM cost.

A capital, engineering, and construction cost subtotal (CECC) is established as the sum of the BM and the additional engineering and construction fees.

Additional costs and financing expenditures for the project are computed based on the CECC. Financing and additional project costs include:

- Owner's home office costs (owner's engineering, management, and procurement) at 5% of the CECC; and
- Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) at 10% of the CECC and owner's costs. The AFUDC is based on a three-year engineering and construction cycle.



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final

The total project cost is based on a multiple lump sum contract approach. Should a turnkey engineering procurement construction (EPC) contract be executed, the total project cost could be 10 to 15% higher than what is currently estimated.

Escalation is not included in the estimate. The total project cost (TPC) is the sum of the CECC and the additional costs and financing expenditures. Table 1 contains an example capital cost estimation.



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final

#### Table 1. Example Capital Cost Estimate for the SDA FGD System (Costs are all based on 2009 dollars)

	Variable	Designation	Units	Value	C	alculation	
	Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	300		er Input (Greater	
	Retrofit Factor	В		1			rage" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
	Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9800		er Input	
	SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	< Us	er Input (SDA FG	D Estimation only valid up to 3 lb/MMBtu SO2 Rate)
	Type of Coal	E		PRB 🔻	< Us	er Input	
	Coal Factor	F		1.05	/	PRB=1.05, Lig=1.	07
	Heat Rate Factor	G		0.98	C/1000		
	Heat Input	Н	(Btu/hr)	2.94E+09	A*C*10	000	
Capital Cost Calculation Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty					Examp	le	Comments
BMR (\$) =	if(A>600 then (A*92000) else 566000*(A^0.716))*B*(F*G)^0.6*(D/4)	`0.01		-	\$	33,953,000	Base module absorber island cost
BMF (\$) =	if(A>600 then (A*48700) else 300000*	(A^0.716))*B*(D	*G)^0.2		\$	20,379,000	Base module reagent preparation and waste recycle/handling cost
BMB (\$) =	if(A>600 then (A*129900) else 799000	*(A^0.716))*B*(I	<sup>=</sup> *G)^0.4		\$	47,988,000	Base module balance of plan costs including: ID or booster fans, piping, ductwork, electrical, etc
BM (\$) = BM (\$/KW) =	BMR + BMF + BMW + BMB				\$	102,320,000 341	Total Base module cost including retrofit factor Base module cost per kW
Total Project Cost							
•	A1 = 10% of BM					10,232,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 10% of E					\$ \$	10,232,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
A3 = 10% of I					\$	10,232,000	Contractor profit and fees
()	CECC (\$) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 CECC (\$/kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =					133,016,000 443	Capital, engineering and construciton cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construciton cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% of C	ECC				\$	6,651,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
	ludes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 - Includes Owner's Costs =				\$	139,667,000 466	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 10% of (	B2 = 10% of (CECC + B1)				\$	13,967,000	AFUDC (Based on a 3 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) - Includes Owner's Costs and AFUDC = CECC + B1 + B2 TPC (\$/kW) - Includes Owner's Costs and AFUDC =					\$	153,634,000 512	Total project cost Total project cost per kW



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final

#### Fixed O&M (FOM)

The fixed operating and maintenance (O&M) cost is a function of the additional operations staff (FOMO), maintenance labor and materials (FOMM), and administrative labor (FOMA) associated with the SDA FGD installation. The FOM is the sum of the FOMO, FOMM, and FOMA.

The following factors and assumptions underlie calculations of the FOM:

- All of the FOM costs were tabulated on a per kilowatt-year (kW yr) basis.
- In general, 8 additional operators are required for a SDA FGD system. The FOMO was based on the number of additional operations staff required.
- The fixed maintenance materials and labor is a direct function of the process capital cost (BM).
- The administrative labor is a function of the FOMO and FOMM.

#### Variable O&M (VOM)

Variable O&M is a function of:

- Reagent use and unit costs;
- Waste production and unit disposal costs;
- Additional power required and unit power cost; and
- Makeup water required and unit water cost.

The following factors and assumptions underlie calculations of the VOM:

- All of the VOM costs were tabulated on a per megawatt-hour (MWh) basis.
- The reagent usage is a function of gross unit size, SO<sub>2</sub> feed rate, and removal efficiency. The estimated reagent usage was based on a sulfur removal efficiency of 95% with a flue gas temperature into the SDA FGD of 300°F and an adiabatic approach to saturation of 30°F. The calcium-to-sulfur stoichiometric ratio varies based on inlet sulfur. The variation in stoichiometric ratio was accounted for in the estimation. The economic estimation is only valid up to 3 lb SO<sub>2</sub>/MMBtu inlet. The basis for the lime purity was 90% CaO with the balance being inert material.



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final

- The waste generation rate is a function of inlet sulfur and calcium to sulfur stoichiometry. Both variables are accounted for in the waste generation estimation. The waste disposal rate is based on 10% moisture in the by-product.
- The additional power required includes increased fan power to account for the added SDA FGD pressure drop. This requirement is a function of gross unit size (actual gas flow rate) and sulfur rate.
- The makeup water rate is a function of gross unit size (actual gas flow rate) and sulfur feed rate.

Input options are provided for the user to adjust the variable O&M costs per unit. Average default values are included in the base estimate. The variable O&M costs per unit options are:

- Limestone cost in \$/ton;
- Waste disposal costs in \$/ton;
- Auxiliary power cost in \$/kWh;
- Makeup water costs in \$/1000 gallon; and
- Operating labor rate (including all benefits) in \$/hr.

The variables that contribute to the overall VOM are:

VOMR =	Variable O&M costs for lime reagent
VOMW =	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal
VOMP =	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power
VOMM =	Variable O&M costs for makeup water

The total VOM is the sum of VOMR, VOMW, VOMP, and VOMM. Table 2 contains an example O&M cost estimate, while Table 3 is a complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet.



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### **SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final**

#### Table 2. Example O&M Cost Estimate for the SDA FGD System (Costs are all based on 2009 dollars)

	Verieble	Desimution	11	Malua	Oslaulation
	Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation
	Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	300	< User Input (Greater than 50 MW)
	Retrofit Factor	В	(56	1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)
	Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9800	< User Input
	SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	< User Input (SDA FGD Estimation only valid up to 3 lb/MMBtu SO2 Rate)
	Type of Coal	E		PRB 🔻	< User Input
	Coal Factor	-t-	$\sim$	1.05	Bit=1 PRB=1.05, Lig=1.07
	Heat Rate Factor	Y GY	<u>Y Y</u>	X 0.98 X	
(	Heat Input	. н	(Btu/hr)	2.94E+09	A*C*1000
	Lime Rate	K	(ton/hr)	4	(0.6702*(D^2)+13.42*D)*A*G/2000 (Based on 95% SO2 removal)
	Waste Rate	L	(ton/hr)	10	(0.8016*(D^2)+31.1917*D)*A*G/2000
(	Aux Power	М	(%)	1.35	(0.000547*D^2+0.00649*D+1.3)*F*G Should be used for model input.
	Makeup Water Rate	Ν	(1000 gph)	17	(0.04898*(D^2)+0.5925*D+55.11)*A*F*G/1000
	Lime Cost	Р	(\$/ton)	95	
(	Waste Disposal Cost	Ø	(\$/ton)	30	
	Aux Power Cost	R	(\$/kWh)	0.06	
× 1	Makeup Water Cost	S	(\$/1000)	1	
(	Operating Labor Rate	Т	(\$/hr)	60	Labor cost including all benefits
Fixed O&M Cost FOMO (\$/kW yr) = (8 additional operators)*2080*T/(A*1000)					\$ 3.33 Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.	· ,				\$ 5.12 Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(F	-OMO+0.4*FOMM)				\$ 0.16 Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO	+ FOMM + FOMA				\$ 8.61 Total Fixed O&M costs
Variable O&M Cost					
VOMR (\$/MWh) = K*P/A					\$ 1.37 Variable O&M costs for lime reagent
VOMW (\$/MWh) = L*Q/A					\$ 0.96 Variable O&M costs for waste disposal
VOMP (\$/MWh) =M*R*10					<ul> <li>Variable O&amp;M costs for additional auxiliary power required including additional fan power (Refer to Aux Power % above)</li> </ul>
VOMM (\$/MWh) = N*S/A					\$ 0.06 Variable O&M costs for makeup water
VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR +	VOMW + VOMP + VOMM				\$ 2.40



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### **SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final**

#### Table 3. Example Complete Cost Estimate for the SDA FGD System (Costs are all based on 2009 dollars)

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation	
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	300	< User Input (Greater than 50 MW)	
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)	
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9800	< User Input	
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	2	< User Input (SDA FGD Estimation only valid up to 3 lb/MMBtu SO2 Rate)	
Type of Coal	E		PRB 🔻	< User Input	
Coal Factor	F		1.05	Bit=1, PRB=1.05, Lig=1.07	
Heat Rate Factor	G		0.98	C/10000	
Heat Input	Н	(Btu/hr)	2.94E+09	A*C*1000	
Lime Rate	K	(ton/hr)	4	(0.6702*(D^2)+13.42*D)*A*G/2000 (Based on 95% SO2 removal)	
Waste Rate	L	(ton/hr)	10	(0.8016*(D^2)+31.1917*D)*A*G/2000	
Aux Power	М	(%)	1.35	(0.000547*D^2+0.00649*D+1.3)*F*G Should be used for model input.	
Makeup Water Rate	Ν	(1000 gph)	17	(0.04898*(D^2)+0.5925*D+55.11)*A*F*G/1000	
Lime Cost	Р	(\$/ton)	95		
Waste Disposal Cost	Q	(\$/ton)	30		
Aux Power Cost	R	(\$/kWh)	0.06		
Makeup Water Cost	S	(\$/1000)	1		
Operating Labor Rate	T	(\$/hr)	60	Labor cost including all benefits	

Capital Cost Calculation Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty		Exam	ple	Comments
BMR (\$) =	if(A>600 then (A*92000) else 566000*(A^0.716))*B*(F*G)^0.6*(D/4)^0.01	\$	33,953,000	Base module absorber island cost
BMF (\$) =	if(A>600 then (A*48700) else 300000*(A^0.716))*B*(D*G)^0.2	\$	20,379,000	Base module reagent preparation and waste recycle/handling cost
BMB (\$) =	BMB (\$) = if(A>600 then (A*129900) else 799000*(A^0.716))*B*(F*G)^0.4		47,988,000	Base module balance of plan costs including: ID or booster fans, piping, ductwork, electrical, etc
BM (\$) = BM (\$/KW) =	BMR + BMF + BMW + BMB	\$	102,320,000 341	Total Base module cost including retrofit factor Base module cost per kW
Total Project Cos A1 = 10% of A2 = 10% of A3 = 10% of	BM BM	\$ \$ \$	10,232,000 10,232,000 10,232,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc Contractor profit and fees
	ixcludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 ) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	133,016,000 443	Capital, engineering and construciton cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construciton cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% of C	ECC	\$	6,651,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
• •	cludes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	139,667,000 466	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 10% of (CECC + B1)			13,967,000	AFUDC (Based on a 3 year engineering and construction cycle)
	ludes Owner's Costs and AFUDC = CECC + B1 + B2 Includes Owner's Costs and AFUDC =	\$	153,634,000 512	Total project cost Total project cost per kW



Project No. 12301-007 August 20, 2010

#### Variable Designation Units Value Calculation (MW) Unit Size (Gross) 300 <--- User Input (Greater than 50 MW) А <--- User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0) Retrofit Factor В 1 Gross Heat Rate С (Btu/kWh) 9800 <--- User Input SO2 Rate D (lb/MMBtu) 2 <--- User Input (SDA FGD Estimation only valid up to 3 lb/MMBtu SO2 Rate) PRB • <--- User Input Type of Coal Е Coal Factor Bit=1, PRB=1.05, Lig=1.07 F 1.05 Heat Rate Factor G 0.98 C/10000 Heat Input Н (Btu/hr) 2.94E+09 A\*C\*1000 Lime Rate Κ (ton/hr) 4 (0.6702\*(D^2)+13.42\*D)\*A\*G/2000 (Based on 95% SO2 removal) 10 (0.8016\*(D^2)+31.1917\*D)\*A\*G/2000 Waste Rate (ton/hr) М 1.35 (0.000547\*D^2+0.00649\*D+1.3)\*F\*G Should be used for model input. Aux Power (%) Makeup Water Rate Ν (1000 gph) 17 (0.04898\*(D^2)+0.5925\*D+55.11)\*A\*F\*G/1000 Lime Cost Ρ 95 (\$/ton) 30 Waste Disposal Cost Q (\$/ton) Aux Power Cost R (\$/kWh) 0.06 Makeup Water Cost S (\$/1000) 1 Operating Labor Rate Т (\$/hr) 60 Labor cost including all benefits

#### **SDA FGD Cost Development Methodology – Final**

Fixed	0&M	Cost
IIAEu		COSL

	FOMO (\$/kW yr) = (8 additional operators)*2080*T/(A*1000)	\$	3.33	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	FOMM (\$/kW yr) = BM*0.015/(B*A*1000)	\$	5.12	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
	FOMA (\$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$	0.16	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	FOM (\$/kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	S	8.61	Total Fixed O&M costs
١	/ariable O&M Cost			
	VOMR (\$/MWh) = K*P/A	\$	1.37	Variable O&M costs for lime reagent
	VOMW (\$/MWh) = L*Q/A	\$	0.96	Variable O&M costs for waste disposal
	VOMP (\$/MWh) =M*R*10	\$	-	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required including additional fan power (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOMM (\$/MWh) = N*S/A	\$	0.06	Variable O&M costs for makeup water
	VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP + VOMM	s	2.40	