

panels should send a written summary of their proposed contributions to Robert Olexsey (address above). These descriptions should be received before April 14, 1981. The Agency may limit the participation in the work shop sessions to allow for meaningful interchange in small groups.

Dated: March 17, 1981.

Alfred W. Lindsey,

*Acting Director, Hazardous and Industrial Waste Division.*

[FR Doc. 81-8762 Filed 3-20-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-30-M

#### 40 CFR Parts 408

[WH-FRL 1784-3]

#### Canned and Preserved Seafood Processing Point; Source Category

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Extension of comment period and notice of availability of additional supporting information.

**SUMMARY:** On January 9, 1981, EPA published in the Federal Register a proposed response to a petition for modification and amendment of regulations governing wastewater discharges from certain seafood processors located in Alaska (46 FR 2544). The comment period was scheduled to expire March 10, 1981. The purpose of this notice is to extend until May 11, 1981, the period for comment on all aspects of the proposed response to petition for modification and amendment of regulations.

**DATE:** Comments on the proposed response to petition and amendments to BPT regulations for the "non-remote" Alaskan subcategories of the seafood processing industry must be submitted to EPA by May 11, 1981.

**ADDRESS:** Send comments in triplicate to: Mr. Daniel S. Lent, Effluent Guidelines Division, (WH-552), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., S.W. Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: EGD Docket Clerk—Seafood Processing Industry.

The supporting information and all public comments submitted in response to this proposal will be available for inspection and copying at the EPA Public Information Reference Unit, Room 2404 (rear) PM-213 (EPA Library), 401 M St. S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460; EPA Region X, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101; and the EPA Alaska Operations Office, 701 "E" Street, Anchorage, Alaska. The EPA information regulation (40 CFR Part 2) provides that a reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Daniel S. Lent at (202) 426-2707.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On January 9, 1981, EPA proposed a response to a petition for modification and amendment of regulations for portions of the Canned and Preserved Seafood Processing Industry (46 FR 2544). More specifically, the proposed regulation amended effluent limitations based on the best practicable control technology currently available (BPT) for the "non-remote" Alaskan subcategories of the seafood industry. Comments on the proposal were to be submitted on or before March 10, 1981. Attorneys for the industry have requested a 60 day extension of the comment period, until May 11, 1981. EPA has decided to extend the period for comment on all technical and economic aspects of the proposed response to petition and amendment of BPT regulations until May 11, 1981. Interested persons should be aware that subsequent to January 9, 1981, the Agency placed additional supporting information in the EPA Public Information Reference Unit, Washington, D.C., EPA Region X, and the EPA Alaska Operations Office. This information and the dates made available are listed below:

(1) *Market Feasibility Study of Seafood Waste Reduction in Alaska*, July 1980—3/2/81

(2) *Market Feasibility Study of Seafood Waste Reduction in Alaska*, November 1980—3/2/81

(3) Telephone Memo on Fish Hatchery Program dated 2/25/81—3/2/81

(4) Memo on Current Seafood Waste Recovery Systems Planned or Under Review in Alaska dated 12/11/80—3/2/81

(5) Telephone Memo on Waste Trucking Costs dated 5/14/80—3/13/81

In view of industry's familiarity with the pertinent issues from prior contacts with EPA, we believe that these actions will provide the petitioning seafood processors and other interested parties with sufficient opportunity to comment on the proposed response to petition and amendment of regulations.

Dated: March 17, 1981.

James N. Smith,

*Acting Assistant Administrator for Water and Waste Management.*

[FR Doc. 81-8764 Filed 3-20-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-29-M

#### LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION

#### 45 CFR Part 1624

#### Comprehensive Civil Rights Regulations

**AGENCY:** Legal Services Corporation.

**ACTION:** Proposed rules.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation is intended to be a comprehensive statement of all grant and contract related civil rights obligations of Corporation recipients in both the delivery of services and in their employment practices. The present Part 1624 would be incorporated into the proposed civil rights regulation. This regulation would now include the Corporation's 504 regulations, present part 1624, as well as all of the other civil rights obligations of Corporation fund recipients.

**DATE:** Comments due April 22, 1981.

**ADDRESS:** Legal Services Corporation, 733 Fifteenth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Linda Hanten, 202-272-4010.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** While the proposed regulation seeks to guarantee the civil rights of a number of groups distinctions are made among the protected groups in the treatment required. For example, recipients are required to take affirmative action as to the employment of women and minorities and not as to the employment of members of other groups protected by the regulation. The regulation requires affirmative action to be taken only as to women and minorities because they are the groups traditionally given such protection. These groups have been afforded such protection because they, unlike the other groups protected by this part, historically have been unable to remedy discrimination against them through the political process.

An additional distinction made among the protected groups by the regulation is the provision of "special" services to persons with communication problems, e.g., language minorities, the hearing impaired and the blind. These services are provided because without them legal services would not be available to members of these groups. Additionally, Section 1006(b)(6) of the Act requires the Corporation to provide that the language of non-English speakers be used in the provision of services where they constitute a significant number of the client population.

As used in this part, minority language groups are defined as Asians, Native Americans, Alaskan Natives and persons of Spanish origin. This is the definition used in the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 1973aa, which requires elections to be conducted in the appropriate minority language(s) as well as in English in political jurisdictions where members of a minority language group make up five or more percent of the population. This definition is used here, as it was in the Voting Rights Act,