Appendix C

## Summary of Clean Watersheds Needs Survey 2000 Technical Information

Table C-1 summarizes the number of treatment facilities and collection systems in operation in 2000 in each State and U.S. Territory.

**Table C-1.** Number of Operational Treatment Facilities and Collection Systems in 2000

State	Treatment Facilities	Collection Systems
Alabama	272	275
Alaska	45	46
Arizona	118	132
Arkansas	335	367
California <sup>a</sup>	586	797
Coloradoª	311	391
Connecticut	91	137
Delaware	18	42
District of Columbia	1	1
Florida	277	317
Georgia	352	403
Hawaii	21	21
Idaho	168	207
Illinois	721	1,018
Indiana	404	482
Iowa	726	756
Kansas	634	673
Kentucky	224	255
Louisiana	355	382
Maine	137	171
Maryland	156	201
Massachusetts	126	230
Michigan	396	663
Minnesota	514	655
Mississippi	303	352
Missouri	678	751
Montana	194	204
Nebraska	464	469
Nevada <sup>b</sup>	51	56

State	Treatment Facilities	Collection Systems
New Hampshire	85	117
New Jersey	156	575
New Mexico	55	64
New York <sup>a</sup>	588	1,048
North Carolina	491	617
North Dakota	282	284
Ohio	765	1,008
Oklahoma	489	495
Oregon	207	254
Pennsylvania	779	1,553
Rhode Island	21	34
South Carolina	186	206
South Dakota <sup>a</sup>	271	274
Tennessee	246	281
Texas	1,363	1,675
Utah	97	164
Vermont	81	97
Virginia	227	290
Washington	235	331
West Virginia	212	289
Wisconsin	592	823
Wyoming <sup>b</sup>	96	121
American Samoa <sup>b</sup>	2	2
Guam <sup>b</sup>	7	7
N. Mariana Islands <sup>b</sup>	2	2
Puerto Rico <sup>b</sup>	30	30
Virgin Islands <sup>b</sup>	12	12
Total	16,255	21,107

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> California, Colorado, New York, and South Dakota did not have the resources to complete the updating of these data.

b Results presented in this table for American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Nevada, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming are from the 1996 survey because these States and Territories did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

Table C-2 summarizes the number of treatment facilities and collection systems projected to be in operation in each State and U.S. Territory if all documented needs are met.

Table C-2. Number of Operational Treatment Facilities and Collection Systems If All Documented Needs Are Met

State	Treatment Facilities	Collection Systems
Alabama	279	285
Alaska	50	51
Arizona	232	258
Arkansas	360	406
California <sup>a</sup>	579	799
Coloradoa	331	430
Connecticut	99	159
Delaware	18	49
District of Columbia	1	1
Florida	302	346
Georgia	345	405
Hawaii	27	27
Idaho	177	219
Illinois	754	1,056
Indiana	424	510
Iowa	744	775
Kansas	665	712
Kentucky	301	369
Louisiana	371	405
Maine	145	184
Maryland	180	303
Massachusetts	141	267
Michigan	403	673
Minnesota	518	661
Mississippi	372	475
Missouri	729	848
Montana	208	218
Nebraska	475	483
Nevada <sup>b</sup>	52	56

ection Systems If All Docu	Treatment	Collection
State	Facilities	Systems
New Hampshire	85	120
New Jersey	164	600
New Mexico	58	68
New York <sup>a</sup>	657	1,175
North Carolina	518	702
North Dakota	282	286
Ohio	837	1,213
Oklahoma	487	496
Oregon	219	270
Pennsylvania	1,013	1,936
Rhode Island	20	36
South Carolina	187	222
South Dakota <sup>a</sup>	273	276
Tennessee	251	286
Texas	1,469	1,850
Utah	114	188
Vermont	84	100
Virginia	254	383
Washington	240	337
West Virginia	404	626
Wisconsin	628	974
Wyoming <sup>b</sup>	96	121
American Samoa <sup>b</sup>	2	2
Guam <sup>b</sup>	6	7
N. Mariana Islands <sup>b</sup>	2	2
Puerto Rico <sup>b</sup>	30	30
Virgin Islands <sup>b</sup>	12	12
Total	17,674	23,748

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> California, Colorado, New York, and South Dakota did not have the resources to complete the updating of these data.

b Results presented in this table for American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Nevada, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming are from the 1996 survey because these States and Territories did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

Table C-3 shows, for five flow ranges, the number of treatment facilities in operation in 2000 and the number projected to be in operation if all documented needs are met. The number of facilities and their cumulative flow (in millions of gallons per day) are shown for each of the flow ranges.

**Table C-3.** Number of Treatment Facilities by Flow Range

Treatment Facilities in Operation in 2000 <sup>a,b</sup>						
Existing Flow Range (mgd)	Total Existing Flow (mgd)					
0.001 to 0.100	6,583	290				
0.101 to 1.000	6,462	2,339				
1.001 to 10.000	2,665	8,328				
10.001 to 100.000	487	12,741				
100.001 and greater	46	11,201				
Other <sup>c</sup>	12	_				
Total	16,255	34,899				

Treatment Facilities in Operation in 2000 If All Documented Needs Are Met <sup>a,b</sup>						
Design Flow Range (mgd)	Number of Facilities	Total Future Design Flow Capacity (mgd)				
0.001 to 0.100	6,112	298				
0.101 to 1.000	7,223	2,750				
1.001 to 10.000	3,525	12,081				
10.001 to 100.000	748	19,873				
100.001 and greater	64	15,040				
Other <sup>c</sup>	2	_				
Total	17,674	50,042				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> California, Colorado, New York, and South Dakota did not have the resources to complete the updating of these data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Results presented in this table for American Samoa, Guam, Nevada, Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming are from the 1996 survey because these States and Territories did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Flow data for these facilities were unavailable.

Table C-4 shows, by level of treatment, the number of treatment facilities in operation in 2000 and the number projected to be in operation if all documented needs are met. The number of facilities, their cumulative capacities (in millions of gallons per day), and the population served are shown for each level of treatment. The population served number is then presented as a percentage of the total 2000 U.S. population.

**Table C-4.** Number of Treatment Facilities by Level of Treatment

Treatment Facilities in Operation in 2000 <sup>a,b</sup>								
Number of Present Design Number of Level of Treatment Facilities Capacity (mgd) People Served U.								
Less than Secondary <sup>c</sup>	47	1,023	6,426,062	2.3				
Secondary	9,156	19,268	88,221,896	32.0				
Greater than Secondary	4,892	22,165	100,882,207	36.6				
No Discharge <sup>d</sup>	1,938	2,039	12,283,047	4.5				
Partial Treatment <sup>e</sup>	222	563	_	_				
Total	16,255	45,058	207,813,212 <sup>f</sup>	75.4				

Treatment Facilities in Operation in 2000 If All Documented Needs Are Meta,b								
Number of Future Design Capacity Number of Level of Treatment Facilities (mgd) People Served U.S.								
Less than Secondary <sup>c</sup>	27	481	3,851,000	1.2				
Secondary	9,463	20,008	103,716,058	31.9				
Greater than Secondary	5,739	26,239	140,251,554	43.2				
No Discharge <sup>d</sup>	2,221	2,579	21,224,596	6.5				
Partial Treatment <sup>e</sup>	224	734	_	_				
Total	17,674	50,041	269,043,208 <sup>f</sup>	82.8				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>California, Colorado, New York, and South Dakota did not have the resources to complete the updating of these data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Results presented in this table for American Samoa, Guam, Nevada, Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming are from the 1996 survey because these States and Territories did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Less-than-secondary facilities include facilities granted or pending section 301(h) waivers from secondary treatment for discharges to marine waters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> No-discharge facilities do not discharge treated wastewater to the Nation's waterways. These facilities dispose of wastewater via methods such as industrial reuse, irrigation, or evaporation.

eThese facilities provide some treatment to wastewater and discharge their effluents to wastewater facilities for further treatment and discharge.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup>This table does not include the results for approximately 3.3 million people (present) and 3.5 million people (future) that are receiving centralized collection because the data related to flow and effluent levels were not complete for the CWNS 2000.

Table C-5 presents the number of CSO facilities with documented needs identified during the 1996 Clean Water Needs Survey and the CWNS 2000.

**Table C-5.** Number of Facilities With CSO Correction Needs and Total CSO Correction Needs: 1996 and 2000 (January 2000 dollars in millions)

State	Number of Facilities with CSO Needs in 1996	Number of Facilities with CSO Needs in 2000	1996 CSO Needs (\$ Millions)	2000 CSO Need: (\$ Millions
Alabama	0	0	0	(
Alaska	1	1	18	5
Arizona	0	0	0	(
Arkansas	0	0	0	(
California	4	1	1,215	426
Colorado	1	1	13	9
Connecticut	10	6	485	500
Delaware	2	1	124	102
District of Columbia	1	1	493	1,019
Florida	0	0	0	(
Georgia	7	2	408	918
Hawaii	0	0	0	(
Idaho	0	0	0	(
Illinois	104	105	10,415	9,45
Indiana	119	107	4,953	5,46
Iowa	16	14	527	1,53
Kansas	3	3	589	39
Kentucky	15	12	930	21
Louisiana	0	0	0	
Maine	46	48	542	65
Maryland	7	8	127	39
Massachusetts	28	25	2,246	2,32
Michigan	48	21	4,133	2,43
Minnesota	1	1	29	
Mississippi	0	0	0	
Missouri	12	7	985	1,18
Montana	1	0	1	
Nebraska	3	2	272	86
Nevadaª	0	0	0	NI
New Hampshire	5	4	461	48
New Jersey	37	39	3,348	4,38
New Mexico	0	0	0	
New York	64	83	4,429	5,49
North Carolina	1	1	1	

**Table C-5.** (continued)

State	Number of Facilities with CSO Needs in 1996	Number of Facilities with CSO Needs in 2000	1996 CSO Needs (\$ Millions)	2000 CSO Needs (\$ Millions)
North Dakota	0	0	0	0
Ohio	110	109	4,660	3,623
Oklahoma	0	0	0	0
Oregon	5	2	757	74
Pennsylvania	110	123	4,415	5,431
Rhode Island	3	3	573	633
South Carolina	0	0	0	0
South Dakota	3	1	16	2
Tennessee	3	2	110	244
Texas	0	0	0	0
Utah	0	0	0	0
Vermont	20	4	179	31
Virginia	4	3	617	460
Washington	16	11	600	608
West Virginia	56	45	857	869
Wisconsin	3	3	59	342
Wyoming <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	NR
American Samoa <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	NR
Guama	0	0	0	NR
N. Mariana Islands <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	NR
Puerto Rico <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	NR
Virgin Islands <sup>a</sup>	0	0	0	NR
Total	869	799	49,587	50,588

Note: NR = not reported. American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Nevada, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Results presented in this table for American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Nevada, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming are from the 1996 survey because these States and Territories did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

Table C-6 presents the number of storm water facilities with needs identified in the CWNS 2000 by the size of the MS4.

 Table C-6.
 Number of Facilities With MS4 Storm Water Needs and Total MS4 Needs (January 2000 dollars in millions)

		4 Facilities 00 people)		IS4 Facilities h 249,999 people)		4 Facilities 00 people)
State	Number of Facilities <sup>a</sup>	Needs (\$ Millions)	Number of Facilities <sup>a</sup>	Needs (\$ Millions)	Number of Facilities <sup>a</sup>	Needs (\$ Millions)
Alabama	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arizona	7	137	5	174	5	941
Arkansas	0	0	0	0	0	0
California	18	182	5	45	5	124
Colorado	10	20	1	14	1	14
Connecticut	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delaware	0	0	0	0	0	0
District of Columbia	0	0	0	0	1	37
Florida	46	150	20	325	17	205
Georgia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0
Idaho	0	0	0	0	0	0
Illinois	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indiana	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iowa	1	1	0	0	0	0
Kansas	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kentucky	0	0	1	3	0	0
Louisiana	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maine	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maryland	1	0ь	6	47	5	409
Massachusetts	0	0	0	0	0	0
Michigan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Minnesota	2	9	0	0	1	111
Mississippi	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missouri	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montana	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nebraska	7	7	1	17	0	0
Nevada	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
New Hampshire	0	0	0	0	0	0
New Jersey	47	89	0	0	0	0
New Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Table C-6.** (continued)

		4 Facilities 00 people)		S4 Facilities h 249,999 people)		4 Facilities 00 people)
State	Number of Facilities	Needs (\$ Millions)	Number of Facilities <sup>a</sup>	Needs (\$ Millions)	Number of Facilities <sup>a</sup>	Needs (\$ Millions)
New York	6	5	0	0	2	11
North Carolina	0	0	2	1	1	$0_{\rm p}$
North Dakota	3	4	0	0	0	0
Ohio	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	1	190
Oregon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pennsylvania	0	0	10	17	0	0
Rhode Island	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Carolina	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Dakota	7	14	0	0	0	0
Tennessee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Texas	0	0	1	21	31	2,204
Utah	0	0	1	5	0	0
Vermont	0	0	0	0	0	0
Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	0	0	0	0	0	0
West Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wisconsin	5	16	0	0	0	0
Wyoming	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
American Samoa	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Guam	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
N. Mariana Islands	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Puerto Rico	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Virgin Islands	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Total	160	634	53	669	70	4,246

Note: NR = not reported. American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Nevada, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The number of facilities on this table does not reflect the number of MS4s in a particular state. The number of facilities reflects how many records were entered into the CWNS 2000 database, and one facility can cover multiple MS4s or multiple facilities can cover one MS4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Estimate is less than \$0.5 million.

Table C-7 shows, by treatment level, the number of facilities in operation in 2000 and the population served at the State level. The number of facilities and population served are shown for each level of treatment and for each State and U.S. Territory.

**Table C-7.** Number of Treatment Facilities and Population Served per State by Level of Treatment for Year 2000

	Number o	f Facilities Prov	viding Listed Eff	luent Level	Рори	lation Served b	y Listed Effluent	Level
State	Less than Secondary <sup>a</sup>	Secondary	Greater than Secondary	No Discharge <sup>b</sup>	Less than Secondary <sup>a</sup>	Secondary	Greater than Secondary	No Discharge <sup>b</sup>
Alabama	0	130	129	8	0	732,009	1,994,219	7,593
Alaska	5	30	0	9	207,994	108,879	0	21,920
Arizona	0	17	18	81	0	111,767	2,215,703	1,378,004
Arkansas	0	118	207	9	0	726,471	803,753	12,155
California <sup>c</sup>	5	182	77	309	4,198,270	12,159,009	7,919,130	3,577,181
Colorado <sup>c</sup>	0	246	38	22	0	1,556,854	2,142,434	7,788
Connecticut	0	49	38	4	0	1,266,574	813,536	1,210
Delaware	0	3	11	4	0	10,476	728,997	13,070
District of Columbiad	0	0	1	0	0	0	1,298,601	0
Florida	0	17	84	175	0	238,764	6,155,714	4,931,819
Georgia	0	227	80	35	0	1,721,572	2,594,389	89,249
Hawaii	2	5	2	12	532,378	139,609	20,286	89,512
Idaho	0	107	5	55	0	562,008	265,812	60,303
Illinois	0	415	301	1	0	683,543	9,811,768	572
Indiana	0	125	274	0	0	410,940	3,416,852	0
Iowa	0	707	9	3	0	1,925,926	181,763	1,393
Kansas	0	355	79	197	0	694,512	1,277,425	101,964
Kentucky	0	123	94	0	0	1,242,187	921,134	0
Louisiana	1	184	163	1	3,000	2,268,451	878,478	207
Maine	12	116	2	7	9,303	624,604	16,038	5,956
Maryland	0	75	75	6	0	949,367	2,045,325	3,920
Massachusetts	1	77	35	7	20,074	4,235,095	822,135	17,043
Michigan	0	204	120	68	0	1,254,599	6,161,491	108,121
Minnesota	1	411	100	0	42	967,813	2,073,977	0
Mississippi	0	195	75	1	0	1,139,734	507,809	524
Missouri	0	578	77	21	0	3,757,717	451,630	2,663
Montana	0	107	5	80	0	397,988	89,635	63,564
Nebraska	0	298	19	146	0	977,825	155,078	64,166
Nevada <sup>e</sup>	0	44	3	4	0	139,996	252,229	237,442
New Hampshire	1	70	2	10	25,409	555,435	17,890	7,984
New Jersey	0	94	55	1	0	6,762,536	1,090,502	34,307
New Mexico	0	57	1	17	0	898,530	7,150	135,338

**Table C-7.** (continued)

	Number o	f Facilities Prov	iding Listed Eff	luent Level	Population Served by Listed Effluent Level				
State	Less than Secondary <sup>a</sup>	Secondary	Greater than Secondary	No Discharge <sup>b</sup>	Less than Secondary <sup>a</sup>	Secondary	Greater than Secondary	No Discharge <sup>b</sup>	
New York <sup>c</sup>	0	360	178	27	0	11,273,282	3,748,413	116,814	
North Carolina	0	313	134	33	0	1,056,606	2,576,092	112,989	
North Dakota	0	254	1	27	0	468,946	21,531	5,909	
Ohio	0	169	593	2	0	1,401,922	7,404,543	956	
Oklahoma	0	249	39	199	0	1,716,478	712,679	151,004	
Oregon	1	101	67	37	625	1,333,432	1,219,279	33,050	
Pennsylvania	2	360	397	2	1,476	6,237,683	4,157,929	2,314	
Rhode Island	0	19	2	0	0	687,805	10,184	0	
South Carolina	0	123	53	7	0	1,769,072	549,626	30,628	
South Dakota <sup>c</sup>	0	234	8	29	0	268,874	164,144	14,467	
Tennessee	0	110	130	5	0	1,459,559	1,700,862	4,193	
Texas	2	524	661	160	1,070	2,538,924	14,025,086	640,857	
Utah	0	49	4	44	0	1,636,148	190,027	134,011	
Vermont	0	48	31	2	0	90,497	193,684	722	
Virginia	0	157	60	2	0	2,166,150	2,318,144	1,373	
Washington	0	201	7	27	0	2,847,237	894,801	31,127	
West Virginia	3	142	63	0	2,205	581,527	374,677	0	
Wisconsin	0	283	279	26	0	573,346	3,250,360	20,360	
Wyominge	0	78	3	14	0	244,075	87,923	3,030	
American Samoa <sup>e</sup>	2	0	0	0	5,511	0	0	0	
Guam <sup>e</sup>	2	2	0	2	62,639	9,236	0	4,275	
N. Mariana Islands <sup>e</sup>	0	2	0	0	0	1,118	0	0	
Puerto Rico <sup>e</sup>	6	22	2	0	1,336,535	581,405	151,290	0	
Virgin Islands <sup>e</sup>	1	10	1	0	19,531	58,294	50	0	
Total	47	9,156	4,892	1,938	6,426,062	88,221,896	100,882,207	12,283,047	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Less-than-secondary facilities include facilities granted or pending section 301(h) waivers from secondary treatment for discharges to marine waters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> No-discharge facilities do not discharge treated wastewater to the Nation's waterways. These facilities dispose of wastewater via methods such as industrial reuse, irrigation, or evaporation.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm c} \ California, Colorado, New \ York, and \ South \ Dakota \ did \ not \ have \ the \ resources \ to \ the \ complete \ updating \ of \ these \ data.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The reported population served for the District of Columbia includes populations from Maryland and Virginia that receive wastewater treatment at the Blue Plains facility in the District of Columbia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Results presented in this table for American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Nevada, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming are from the 1996 survey because these States and Territories did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

Table C-8 shows, by treatment level, the number of facilities that will be in operation if all documented needs are met and the population served at State level. The number of facilities and population served are shown for each level of treatment and for each State and U.S. Territory.

**Table C-8.** Number of Treatment Facilities and Population Served per State by Level of Treatment If All Documented Needs Are Met

	Number o	f Facilities Prov	iding Listed Eff	luent Level	Population Served by Listed Effluent Level				
State	Less than Secondarya	Secondary	Greater than Secondary	No Discharge <sup>b</sup>	Less than Secondary <sup>a</sup>	Secondary	Greater than Secondary	No Discharge <sup>b</sup>	
Alabama	0	128	135	10	0	850,278	2,330,863	18,170	
Alaska	5	31	0	13	346,571	211,131	0	42,808	
Arizona	0	9	32	188	0	70,767	2,729,902	3,167,290	
Arkansas	0	122	229	9	0	1,071,716	1,395,634	20,200	
California <sup>c</sup>	3	171	85	310	2,618,003	16,439,258	10,261,833	5,208,425	
Colorado <sup>c</sup>	0	254	51	20	0	1,932,072	2,709,427	9,363	
Connecticut	0	22	70	7	0	492,536	2,022,618	9,910	
Delaware	0	3	11	4	0	14,294	791,212	14,179	
District of Columbia <sup>d</sup>	0	0	1	0	0	0	1,446,672	0	
Florida	0	18	88	195	0	338,758	8,846,624	8,882,498	
Georgia	0	190	114	35	0	1,798,412	4,613,062	130,884	
Hawaii	2	7	2	16	768,000	231,900	42,258	231,879	
Idaho	0	114	9	52	0	763,978	434,133	91,246	
Illinois	0	438	310	2	0	921,010	11,723,762	863	
Indiana	0	123	294	0	0	460,829	4,131,955	0	
Iowa	0	718	15	3	0	2,263,449	289,360	1,595	
Kansas	0	338	119	204	0	613,118	2,113,860	124,192	
Kentucky	0	177	107	5	0	2,099,101	1,540,928	9,883	
Louisiana	0	137	230	1	0	2,587,405	1,257,299	220	
Maine	12	120	4	9	11,338	780,367	25,914	16,977	
Maryland	0	79	88	13	0	293,355	3,447,507	7,048	
Massachusetts	1	77	45	13	32,368	3,707,305	1,119,261	56,924	
Michigan	0	207	122	70	0	1,332,723	6,626,003	124,612	
Minnesota	1	397	116	2	70	1,000,278	2,608,888	587	
Mississippi	0	250	100	4	0	960,652	1,627,486	2,652	
Missouri	0	623	83	21	0	5,198,472	668,613	4,530	
Montana	0	110	9	86	0	500,250	197,470	95,212	
Nebraska	0	283	36	154	0	903,404	542,114	76,484	
Nevada <sup>e</sup>	0	45	3	4	0	292,934	285,015	365,653	
New Hampshire	1	61	9	13	35,450	725,157	108,043	14,975	
New Jersey	0	79	70	8	0	7,138,560	1,623,823	101,959	
New Mexico	0	33	5	20	0	1,058,474	113,452	159,168	

**Table C-8.** (continued)

	Number o	f Facilities Prov	iding Listed Eff	luent Level	Рорц	Population Served by Listed Effluent Level				
State	Less than Secondary	Secondary	Greater than Secondary	No Discharge <sup>b</sup>	Less than Secondary <sup>a</sup>	Secondary	Greater than Secondary	No Discharge <sup>b</sup>		
New York <sup>c</sup>	0	392	214	32	0	10,760,456	5,189,868	291,070		
North Carolina	0	262	186	55	0	719,930	4,799,511	374,303		
North Dakota	0	252	3	27	0	566,119	33,978	6,834		
Ohio	0	175	659	3	0	1,502,828	8,676,938	1,156		
Oklahoma	0	243	43	201	0	1,848,131	792,888	160,835		
Oregon	1	99	76	43	164	1,747,092	1,793,014	49,482		
Pennsylvania	0	500	481	5	0	6,505,193	4,978,940	4,665		
Rhode Island	0	14	6	0	0	585,710	191,301	0		
South Carolina	0	106	73	7	0	2,339,472	1,625,231	49,951		
South Dakota <sup>c</sup>	0	233	9	31	0	364,006	296,726	16,541		
Tennessee	0	111	133	5	0	1,808,794	2,418,761	5,516		
Texas	0	551	719	175	0	3,607,777	20,948,926	907,461		
Utah	0	48	7	56	0	2,040,695	466,271	256,001		
Vermont	0	45	37	2	0	115,109	260,306	1,020		
Virginia	0	168	77	3	0	2,720,537	4,338,245	4,797		
Washington	0	203	7	30	0	4,127,624	1,092,419	61,861		
West Virginia	0	305	98	0	0	983,278	494,256	0		
Wisconsin	0	272	310	38	0	583,944	3,688,193	32,476		
Wyoming <sup>e</sup>	0	77	4	14	0	355,741	130,372	5,532		
American Samoa <sup>e</sup>	2	0	0	0	39,200	0	0	0		
Guam <sup>e</sup>	0	4	0	2	0	112,910	0	4,545		
N. Mariana Islands <sup>e</sup>	0	2	0	0	0	37,139	0	0		
Puerto Rico <sup>e</sup>	0	27	3	0	0	3,176,760	321,090	0		
Virgin Islands <sup>e</sup>	0	10	2	0	0	54,870	39,786	0		
Total	27	9,463	5,739	2,221	3,851,000	103,716,058	140,251,554	21,224,596		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Less-than-secondary facilities include facilities granted or pending section 301(h) waivers from secondary treatment for discharges to marine waters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> No-discharge facilities do not discharge treated wastewater to the Nation's waterways. These facilities dispose of wastewater via methods such as industrial reuse, irrigation, or evaporation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> California, Colorado, New York, and South Dakota did not have the resources to complete the updating of these data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The reported population served for the District of Columbia includes populations from Maryland and Virginia that receive wastewater treatment at the Blue Plains facility in the District of Columbia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Results presented in this table for American Samoa, Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Nevada, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, and Wyoming are from the 1996 survey because these States and Territories did not participate in the CWNS 2000.

Table C-9 presents the treatment facilities represented in the CWNS 2000 as having less than secondary effluent discharges and no 301(h) waivers from secondary treatment for discharges to marine waters. The present and future effluent levels, flow design, and population receiving treatment are shown for each facility, in addition to the Category I needs for the facility. Technical data are of January 1, 2000.

**Table C-9.** Technical Data and Costs for Facilities With Less-Than-Secondary Effluent Levels That Do Not Have 301(h) Waivers

State	Facility Name	Present Effluent	Future Effluent	Present Flow Design (mgd)	Future Flow Design (mgd)	Present Population Receiving Treatment	Future Population Receiving Treatment	Documented Category I Needs (January 2000 \$ millions)
CA	Gustine WWTP <sup>a</sup>	Advanced Primary	Secondary	2.8	1.18	4,044	6,435	3.1
CA	Joint WPCP <sup>b, c</sup>	Advanced Primary	Secondary	400	400	2,660,000	3,000,000	488.1
LA	Kelley Land Subdivision <sup>c</sup>	Advanced Primary	Secondary	0.05	0.202	3,017	3,450	0.5
MN	Barry Imhoff Tank <sup>d</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	Primary (45 mg/L < BOD)	0.01	0.01	42	70	0
OR	Dufur STP <sup>c</sup>	Advanced Primary	Secondary	0.043	0.043	625	825	0
PA	Nescopeck WWTP <sup>c</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	Secondary	0.11	0.25	1,377	1,150	2.2
PA	Teagarden MA <sup>e</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	None	0.01	0	99	0	0
TX	Rio Del Sol WWTP <sup>e</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	None	0.08	0	540	0	0
TX	Taft ISD - San Antonio Water System <sup>e</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	None	0.005	0	530	0	0
WV	Brushfork Area Collection System <sup>f</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	None	0.196	0	55	0	0
WV	Chattaroy PSD STP <sup>e</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	None	0.17	0	806	0	0
wv	Route 219 Area Collection System <sup>e</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	None	0.1	0	1,344	0	0
VI	St. Thomas WWTF <sup>g</sup>	Primary (45 mg/L< BOD)	Secondary	3.4	3.5	22,831	26,212	29.9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> After January 2000 the facility's discharge method was converted to land application, and the facility is now considered as having secondary treatment.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{b}}$  This facility is under consent order to achieve secondary treatment by December 31, 2002.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm c}$  This facility will increase its level of treatment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> State has verified the effluent levels for this facility.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm e}\,$  This treatment plant is to be abandoned, and its flow will go to another facility.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm f}$  This treatment plant has been abandoned (after January 2000), and its flow goes to another facility.

g The plant underwent construction after January 2000 and now achieves secondary treatment.