

## Meeting Minutes/Action Items

### Stakeholder Meeting, October 19, 2011 – Santa Fe, NM

#### DOE Items

1. The stakeholders voiced several concerns about the SDI experiment that they requested be communicated to DOE senior management. Those concerns could be summarized as:
  - a. The project should not start without EPA approval.
  - b. It doesn't appear there is budget allocated to mine out access drifts and alcoves
  - c. DOE should focus their limited budget on removing 40,000 drums of waste from LANL and disposing of it at WIPP. **ACTION: R. Patterson (was verbally communicated on October 20, 2011)**
2. Don Hancock request that stakeholders be provided access to DOE (CBFO, DOE/HQ NE, and SNL) review comments on the "A Management Proposal for Salt Disposal Investigations with a Field Scale Heater Test at WIPP". DOE was to check to see if these items can be provided. **ACTION: R. Patterson**
3. Stakeholders requested that defense determinations be made publically available. **ACTION: EPA/DOE. George Hellstrom committed to speaking to the CBFO Interim Manager about this issue. It may be that portions of the determination can be made available. Certain deliberative processes are protected. Mid-November was a tentatively agreed to resolution date.**

#### EPA Items

1. Stakeholders requested a meeting to get their comments on panel closure and repository reconfiguration submittals to the EPA sometimes this winter (December?). **ACTION: EPA will set up future meeting. Note, the pre-submittal meetings for Class 2 PMRs on the same subjects have not been scheduled. They could occur as soon as the January-February 2012 timeframe. These should be coordinated so that the stakeholders are getting the same story.**
2. EPA committed to post presentations from the October 18, 2011 Technical Exchange meeting to their website. **ACTION: R. Lee**
3. Stakeholders requested information about procedures that define the frequency of Station A probe pulls. **ACTION: J. Walsh agreed to provide that information.**

#### NMED Items

1. Stakeholders requested a copy of the Annual Site Environmental Report for the purpose of reviewing Culebra monitoring data. **ACTION: S. McCauslin/R. Patterson (DOE) agreed to provide paper copies of the last three years reports to Arends and Greenwald.**

## General Items

1. Don Hancock requested that DOE post documents that they submit to the EPA on their website. They should follow the same practice as with permit related documents and the NMED. **ACTION: None. EPA has always posted WIPP related documents to their docket and website. The permittees (as opposed to the NMED) post permit related documents. The current process is posting documentation that is generated. 40 CFR 194 and RCRA do not have to use the same systems.**
2. Janet Greenwald requested that EPA search the Yucca Mountain document data base for a report that discusses the possibility of lead in containers crumbling during transportation. **ACTION: None. Kathy Economy indicated EPA has no knowledge of this report and EPA would need further information (such as a document title, author(s), and investigative institution) to corroborate this statement.**

DRAFT