



**ACT Work Group
Recap of October 16 Meeting**

November 13, 2007

Contents

- ▶ October 16 meeting
- ▶ Subsequent activity
- ▶ Recent developments

Discussion topics

- ▶ Review of Santa Fe meeting
- ▶ Deseret permit
- ▶ Stationary source authorities under Clean Air Act

Subgroup work

- ▶ Initial discussions: CCS and Incentives
- ▶ Identified
 - ▶ new options
 - ▶ concerns with current options
 - ▶ Areas on which to move forward
- ▶ Process for subgroup activity through October meeting (further development of options – conference calls)

Presentations

- ▶ EPC
- ▶ Alternative approaches (DSM, etc.)
- ▶ Further information on PUC role
- ▶ EPRI: deployment of ACTs
- ▶ Various experts on CCS subtopics (property, insurance, Schlumberger)
- ▶ Incentives for labor expansion

- ▶ CAAAC meeting

Recent developments

- ▶ EPA announcement on UIC
- ▶ IOGCC information on sequestration (Sep 25, 2007)
- ▶ Carnegie Mellon paper: “Incentives for Near-Term CO₂ Geological Sequestration” (Oct. 9, 2007)
- ▶ IGCC projects
- ▶ Other developments?

The background is a deep blue gradient with several overlapping, semi-transparent, wavy ribbon-like shapes that create a sense of motion and depth. These shapes are primarily in shades of light blue and white, contrasting with the darker blue background.

Additional Slides

November 13, 2007

Reg drivers: near- vs. long-term

Near-term: Coal-fired projects in transitional phase before a national GHG policy, including projects about to “turn dirt.”

→ **What can be done to encourage these projects to implement ACTs?**

Near-term (prior to national policy)	Long-term policies
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Early deployment program• Permitting (BACT)• NSPS• Standstill agreements• State legislation for PUCs• Offsets to encourage technology adoption	<p>Provisions in a trading bill (e.g., bonus allowances)</p>