















TABLE 3-2: TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES SCREENING MATRIX

Rating Codes			Rela	ative Over	all Cost &	Performa	nce				, yr					
Above Average Average	tatus	_			ity &			1	NOC's	ႏွ	Nonhalogenated SVOC's	s,oo,				
O Below Average N/A - "Not Applicable"	nent S	t Tair			eliabil	stso		_	enated	Dy bet	enated	bed SV			ides	e l
VD - "Insufficient Data" ◇ - Level of Effectiveness highly dependent upon specific con-	Development Status	Treatment Train	M80	Capital	System Reliability Maintain ability	Relative Costs		Availability	Nonhalogenated	Halogenated VOC's	halog	Halogenated SVOC's	ş	Inorganics	Radionuclides	Explosives
taminant and its application	8	Ĕ	8	క	Sy	<u>8</u>	Time	Ava	Nor	포	No	포	Fuels	直	å	ă
Soil, Sediment, Bedrock, and Sludge																
3.1 In Situ Biological Treatment 4.1 Bioventing	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	♦	•	0	•	0	♦	0
4.2 Enhanced Bioremediation	:	:	0	0	0	•	0	•	•	•	•	♦	•	0	♦	•
4.3 Phytoremediation 3.2 In Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment	•			_				-	•	•	•	•	•		0	
4.4 Chemical Oxidation	•	•	0	0	0	0	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	♦	0	0
4.5 Electrokinetic Separation 4.6 Fracturing	•	0	0	0	0	0	3	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.7 Soil Flushing	:	•	0	3	•	0	0	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.8 Soil Vapor Extraction 4.9 Solidification/Stabilization	÷	•	•	0	÷	·	•	÷	0	0	•	•	0	•	•	0
3.3 In Situ Thermal Treatment																
4.10 Thermal Treatment	•	0	0	0	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	0	0
3.4 Ex Situ Biological Treatment (assuming excavation) 4.11 Biopiles	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	♦	•	♦	0	0
4.12 Composting 4.13 Landfarming	:	•	•	•	•	•	9	•	3	0	3	0	•	0	0	• •
4.13 Landfarming 4.14 Slurry Phase Biological Treatment	÷	0	0	0	3	3	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	♦	0	•
3.5 Ex Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment (assuming excavation	n)			0	1	0	•		0		•			•		
4.15 Chemical Extraction 4.16 Chemical Reduction /Oxidation	•	•	•	0	•	0	•	•	•	0	0	•	•	•	0	•
4.17 Dehalogenation	:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	•	0	0	0	0
4.18 Separation 4.19 Soil Washing	•	Ö	Ö	0	•	9	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	9	0	ŏ
4.20 Solidification/Stabilization	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0
3.6 Ex Situ Thermal Treatment (assuming excavation) 4.21 Hot Gas Decontamination	0	•	0	0	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4.22 Incineration	•	•	0	0	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	0	•
4.23 Open Burn/Open Detonation 4.24 Pyrolysis	•	•	0	0	0	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	•	0	0	0
4.25 Thermal Desorption	•	•	0	0)	9	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	0	•
3.7 Containment 4.26 Landfill Cap		•	0	0	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	0
427 Landfill Cap Enhancements/Alternatives	•	•	•	0	•	•	0	•	•	9	•	9	9	0	0)
3.8 Other Treatment 4.28 Excavation, Retrieval, Off-Site Disposal	•	•	•	•	•	♦	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	0	0)
Ground Water, Surface Water, and Leachate																
3.9 In Situ Biological Treatment		•	0	1 0	1 0	•	♦	•	•	•	•	•		•		
4.29 Enhanced Bioremediation 4.30 Monitored Natural Attenuation	•	•	0	9	0	•	♦	•	•	•	•	0	•	0	0	0
4.31 Phytoremediation	•	•	•	•	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	♦	0	0
3.10 In Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment 4.32 Air Sparging	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	0	0	0
4.33 Bioslurping	:	0	•	•	0	•	•	•	0	0	•	•	•	0	0	0
4.34 Chemical Oxidation 4.35 Directional Wells (enhancement)	•	÷	3	0	•	•	•	÷	•	•	•	0	•	♦	0	0
4.36 Dual Phase Extraction	÷	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0 0	0	0
4.37 Thermal Treatment 4.38 Hydrofracturing Enhancements	•	0	•	•	•	0	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
4.39 In-Well Air Stripping 4.40 Passive/Reactive Treatment Walls	•	3	0	0	0	3	0	•	3	3	3	0	0	• •	0	0
3.11 Ex Situ Biological Treatment																_
4.41 Bioreactors	:	÷	0	0	•	•	→	•	•	•	•	♦♦	•	0	0	
4.42 Constructed Wetlands 3.12 Ex Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment (assuming pumping)		-	_									_			
4.43 Adsorption/ Absorption	•	•	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0	0	0	0	•	♦	0
4.44 Advanced Oxidation Processes 4.45 Air Stripping	•	0	0	0	•	•	0	•	•	•	0	0	0	0	♦	0
4.46 Granulated Activated Carbon Liquid Phase Carbon Adsorption 4.47 Groundwater Pumping/Pump & Treat	:	0	0	0	:	0	00	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	♦
	•	0	0	0	•	9	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	•	•	0
4.48 Ion Exchange		0	•	0	•	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	•	• •	0
4.48 lon Exchange 4.49 Precipitation/Coagulation/Flocculation	•	0	0				-	•	•	÷	0	0	0			0
4.48 Ion Exchange 4.49 Precipitation/Coagulation/Flocculation 4.50 Separation	•		•	•	•	0	0	_	_	_		0		0	0	
4.48 Ion Exchange 4.49 Precipitation/Coagulation/Flocculation 4.50 Separation 4.51 Sprinkler Irrigation 3.13 Containment	•	•	•	·											0	
4.48 Ion Exchange 4.49 Precipitation/Coagulation/Flocculation 4.50 Separation 4.51 Sprinkler Imgation	•	0			•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•
4.48 ber Exchange 4.49 Preiphation/Cogulation/Flocosation 4.50 Separation 4.51 Sprinkler Impation 3.13 Containment 4.52 Physical Barriers 4.52 Dep Well Injection 3.14 Alf Emissions/OH-Gas Treatment	•	•	3	0	9	•	0	•	•	•	•	•)	•	3	0
4.45 los Exchange 4.45 Pespelation/Cogulation/Flocculation 4.50 Separation 4.50 Separation 3.13 Containment 4.52 Physical Edinters 4.52 Physical Edinters 3.53 Deep Well lipection 3.14 Aff Emissionsi Off-Gas Treatment 4.54 Bollytheolo	•	• N/A	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	3 I/D	
4.45 in: Exchange 4.45 Prospitation Cogulation Flooculation 4.50 Separation 4.50 Separation 3.10 Constainment 4.52 Physical Barters 4.52 Physical Barters 4.53 Deep Well Injection 3.14 Alf Emissions (Inj. Gas Treatment 4.55 High Energy Destruction 4.55 High Energy Destruction 4.55 High Energy Destruction		N/A N/A N/A	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	• I/D	• •	•	• •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	0	I/D I/D	⋄
4.48 Inc Exchange 4.49 Presiphation/Cogulation/Flocoulation 4.50 Separation 4.51 Sprinker Irrigation 3.13 Containment 4.52 Physical Barries 4.52 Dept Well Injection 3.14 Air Emissions/OH/Gas Treatment 4.56 Big Emissions		• N/A N/A	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	•	• I/D	•	•	• •	• •	• •	•	0	I/D	→

TABLE 3-1: DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS USED IN THE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES SCREENING MATRIX

Factors			Above Average	Average	Below Average	Other		
	relopment Status le status of an available techni	ology	Implemented as part of the final remedy at multiple sites, well documented, understood, etc.	Has been implemented at full scale but still needs improvements, testing, etc.	Not been fully implemented but has been tested (pilot, bench, lab scale) and is promising	♦ Level of		
	atment Train e technology only effective as pa	art of the treatment train?	Stand-alone technology (not complex in terms of number of media/treatment technologies, maybe one "routine" technology in addition)	mber of media/treatment technologies, maybe understood widely applied etc.		Effectiveness highly dependent upon specific		
ce	O&M Operation and Maintenance Int	ensive	Low degree of O&M intensity	Average degree of O&M intensity	High degree of O&M intensity	contaminant and its application/ design		
performance	Capital Capital Intensive		Low degree of capital investment	Average degree of capital investment	High degree of capital investment			
and perf	System Reliability /Maintair The expected range of demor maintenance relative to other	strated reliability and	High reliability and low maintenance	Average reliability and average maintenance	Low reliability and high maintenance	N/A "Not Applicable"		
overall cost and	Relative Costs Design, construction, and openance (O&M) costs of the coreach and pre-and post-treatr	e process that defines	Low degree of general costs relative to other options	Average degree of general costs relative to other options	High degree of general costs relative to other options	I/D "Insufficient Data"		
	Time required to clean up a "standard" site using the	in situ soil	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	More than 3 years for in situ soil			
Relative		ex situ soil	Less than 0.5 year	0.5-1 year	More than 1 year for ex situ soil			
~		groundwater	Less than 3 years	3-10 years	More than 10 years for water			
Nu	ilability nber of vendors that can designtain the technology	n, construct, and	More than 4 vendors	2-4 vendors	Fewer than 2 vendors			
- N - H - N	taminants Treated taminants are classified into eig inhalogenated VOCs alogenated VOCs inhalogenated SVOCs alogenated SVOCs alogenated SVOCs	ht groups: - Fuels - Inorganics - Radionuclides - Explosives	Effectiveness Demonstrated at Pilot or Full Pilot or Full Scale	Limited Effectiveness Demonstrated at Pilot or Full Scale	No Demonstrated Effectiveness at Pilot or Full Scale	Same as above		