This document does not substitute for EPA regulations; nor is it a regulation itself. Thus, it does not and cannot impose legally binding requirements on the EPA, the states, tribes or the regulated community, and may not apply to a particular situation based on the circumstances. If there are any differences between this web document and the statute or regulations related to this document, the statute and/or regulations govern. The EPA may change this guidance in the future.



REGION 1 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, SUITE 100 BOSTON, MA 02109-3912

February 15, 2011

The Honorable Susan Collins United States Senate 413 Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR

Dear Senator Collins:

Thank you for your January 21, 2011 letter concerning the role of Maine's Department of Environmental Protection (Maine DEP) in determining the appropriate Clean Water Act (CWA) listings for nutrient impaired waters, and the state's ability to rely on narrative, rather than numeric, nutrient criteria. Maine DEP has made considerable progress in working towards mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus pollution, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) appreciates and recognizes these important efforts. Administrator Jackson has asked me to respond on her behalf in coordination with her national program managers.

Nitrogen and phosphorus pollution impacts water supplies, aquatic life, and recreational water quality across the United States. EPA's regulations at 40 CFR Part 131.11 specify that criteria "must contain sufficient parameters or constituents to protect the designated use." Therefore, EPA considers state adoption of numeric criteria for nitrogen and phosphorus, the causal parameters directly responsible for eutrophication in near-field and/or downstream waters, a priority. While states may adopt a narrative nutrient criteria "in conjunction with numeric criteria for nitrogen and phosphorus, the numeric criteria will allow states to quantitatively evaluate waters for use attainment and promote consistency in assessment and permitting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA is aware of Maine's narrative nutrient criteria for Class GPA waters which apply to great ponds, natural lakes and ponds less than 10 acres in size (Maine Revised Statute, MRS, 38 §465-A Paragraph 1.B). However, Maine's Standards for Classification of Fresh Surface Waters (MRS 38 §465), for fresh waters which are not great ponds, and Standards for Classification of Estuarine and Marine Waters (MRS 38 §465-B) do not include narrative criteria specific to nutrients. Maine currently assesses rivers, streams, and estuarine and marine waters against general aquatic life use support and biological narrative standards. Assessment methods for measurement of these general standards, explained in Maine's Comprehensive Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM), include nutrient enrichment measures such as excessive plant and algal growth.

Following discussions with EPA Region 1 staff, Maine DEP revised the draft rule to provide for the development of higher, site-specific phosphorus criteria within a defined range. Given the flexibility incorporated in Maine's revised approach, and the minimal number of waterbodies that would likely necessitate site specific criteria, Maine should have the ability to apply site-specific criteria as needed.

I appreciate Maine's interest and efforts in mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus pollution. EPA looks forward to continuing to work with Maine to develop a scientifically and legally defensible approach to protecting the state's waters from nitrogen and phosphorus pollution.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Ms. Michael Ochs in the Office of Government Relations at (617) 918-1066, or Stephen Silva, Water Quality Branch Chief, at (617) 918-1561.

H. Curtis Spalding Regional Administrator



REGION 1 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, SUITE 100 BOSTON, MA 02109-3912

February 15, 2011

The Honorable Michael Michaud U.S. House of Representatives 437 CHOB Washington, D.C. 20510

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR

Dear Congressman Michaud:

Thank you for your January 21, 2011 letter concerning the role of Maine's Department of Environmental Protection (Maine DEP) in determining the appropriate Clean Water Act (CWA) listings for nutrient impaired waters, and the state's ability to rely on narrative, rather than numeric, nutrient criteria. Maine DEP has made considerable progress in working towards mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus pollution, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) appreciates and recognizes these important efforts. Administrator Jackson has asked me to respond on her behalf in coordination with her national program managers.

Nitrogen and phosphorus pollution impacts water supplies, aquatic life, and recreational water quality across the United States. EPA's regulations at 40 CFR Part 131.11 specify that criteria "must contain sufficient parameters or constituents to protect the designated use." Therefore, EPA considers state adoption of numeric criteria for nitrogen and phosphorus, the causal parameters directly responsible for eutrophication in near-field and/or downstream waters, a priority. While states may adopt a narrative nutrient criteria "in conjunction with numeric criteria for nitrogen and phosphorus, the numeric criteria will allow states to quantitatively evaluate waters for use attainment and promote consistency in assessment and permitting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA is aware of Maine's narrative nutrient criteria for Class GPA waters which apply to great ponds, natural lakes and ponds less than 10 acres in size (Maine Revised Statute, MRS, 38 §465-A Paragraph 1.B). However, Maine's Standards for Classification of Fresh Surface Waters (MRS 38 §465), for fresh waters which are not great ponds, and Standards for Classification of Estuarine and Marine Waters (MRS 38 §465-B) do not include narrative criteria specific to nutrients. Maine currently assesses rivers, streams, and estuarine and marine waters against general aquatic life use support and biological narrative standards. Assessment methods for measurement of these general standards, explained in Maine's Comprehensive Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM), include nutrient enrichment measures such as excessive plant and algal growth.

Following discussions with EPA Region 1 staff, Maine DEP revised the draft rule to provide for the development of higher, site-specific phosphorus criteria within a defined range. Given the flexibility incorporated in Maine's revised approach, and the minimal number of waterbodies that would likely necessitate site specific criteria, Maine should have the ability to apply site-specific criteria as needed.

I appreciate Maine's interest and efforts in mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus pollution. EPA looks forward to continuing to work with Maine to develop a scientifically and legally defensible approach to protecting the state's waters from nitrogen and phosphorus pollution.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Ms. Michael Ochs in the Office of Government Relations at (617) 918-1066, or Stephen Silva, Water Quality Branch Chief, at (617) 918-1561.

H. Curtis Spalding Regional Administrator



REGION 1 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, SUITE 100 BOSTON, MA 02109-3912

February 15, 2011

The Honorable Chellie Pingree U.S. House of Representatives 1037 Longworth HOB Washington, D.C. 20510

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR

Dear Congresswoman Pingree:

Thank you for your January 21, 2011 letter concerning the role of Maine's Department of Environmental Protection (Maine DEP) in determining the appropriate Clean Water Act (CWA) listings for nutrient impaired waters, and the state's ability to rely on narrative, rather than numeric, nutrient criteria. Maine DEP has made considerable progress in working towards mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus pollution, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) appreciates and recognizes these important efforts. Administrator Jackson has asked me to respond on her behalf in coordination with her national program managers.

Nitrogen and phosphorus pollution impacts water supplies, aquatic life, and recreational water quality across the United States. EPA's regulations at 40 CFR Part 131.11 specify that criteria "must contain sufficient parameters or constituents to protect the designated use." Therefore, EPA considers state adoption of numeric criteria for nitrogen and phosphorus, the causal parameters directly responsible for eutrophication in near-field and/or downstream waters, a priority. While states may adopt a narrative nutrient criteria<sup>1</sup> in conjunction with numeric criteria for nitrogen and phosphorus, the numeric criteria will allow states to quantitatively evaluate waters for use attainment and promote consistency in assessment and permitting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA is aware of Maine's narrative nutrient criteria for Class GPA waters which apply to great ponds, natural lakes and ponds less than 10 acres in size (Maine Revised Statute, MRS, 38 §465-A Paragraph 1.B). However, Maine's Standards for Classification of Fresh Surface Waters (MRS 38 §465), for fresh waters which are not great ponds, and Standards for Classification of Estuarine and Marine Waters (MRS 38 §465-B) do not include narrative criteria specific to nutrients. Maine currently assesses rivers, streams, and estuarine and marine waters against general aquatic life use support and biological narrative standards. Assessment methods for measurement of these general standards, explained in Maine's Comprehensive Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM), include nutrient enrichment measures such as excessive plant and algal growth.

Following discussions with EPA Region 1 staff, Maine DEP revised the draft rule to provide for the development of higher, site-specific phosphorus criteria within a defined range. Given the flexibility incorporated in Maine's revised approach, and the minimal number of waterbodies that would likely necessitate site specific criteria, Maine should have the ability to apply site-specific criteria as needed.

I appreciate Maine's interest and efforts in mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus pollution. EPA looks forward to continuing to work with Maine to develop a scientifically and legally defensible approach to protecting the state's waters from nitrogen and phosphorus pollution.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Ms. Michael Ochs in the Office of Government Relations at (617) 918-1066, or Stephen Silva, Water Quality Branch Chief, at (617) 918-1561.

H. Curtis Spalding Regional Administrator



REGION 1 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, SUITE 100 BOSTON, MA 02109-3912

February 15, 2011

The Honorable Olympia J. Snowe United States Senate 154 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

OFFICE OF THE REGIONAL ADMINISTRATOR

Dear Senator Snowe:

Thank you for your January 21, 2011 letter concerning the role of Maine's Department of Environmental Protection (Maine DEP) in determining the appropriate Clean Water Act (CWA) listings for nutrient impaired waters, and the state's ability to rely on narrative, rather than numeric, nutrient criteria. Maine DEP has made considerable progress in working towards mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus pollution, and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or Agency) appreciates and recognizes these important efforts. Administrator Jackson has asked me to respond on her behalf in coordination with her national program managers.

Nitrogen and phosphorus pollution impacts water supplies, aquatic life, and recreational water quality across the United States. EPA's regulations at 40 CFR Part 131.11 specify that criteria "must contain sufficient parameters or constituents to protect the designated use." Therefore, EPA considers state adoption of numeric criteria for nitrogen and phosphorus, the causal parameters directly responsible for eutrophication in near-field and/or downstream waters, a priority. While states may adopt a narrative nutrient criteria "in conjunction with numeric criteria for nitrogen and phosphorus, the numeric criteria will allow states to quantitatively evaluate waters for use attainment and promote consistency in assessment and permitting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA is aware of Maine's narrative nutrient criteria for Class GPA waters which apply to great ponds, natural lakes and ponds less than 10 acres in size (Maine Revised Statute, MRS, 38 §465-A Paragraph 1.B). However, Maine's Standards for Classification of Fresh Surface Waters (MRS 38 §465), for fresh waters which are not great ponds, and Standards for Classification of Estuarine and Marine Waters (MRS 38 §465-B) do not include narrative criteria specific to nutrients. Maine currently assesses rivers, streams, and estuarine and marine waters against general aquatic life use support and biological narrative standards. Assessment methods for measurement of these general standards, explained in Maine's Comprehensive Assessment and Listing Methodology (CALM), include nutrient enrichment measures such as excessive plant and algal growth.

Following discussions with EPA Region 1 staff, Maine DEP revised the draft rule to provide for the development of higher, site-specific phosphorus criteria within a defined range. Given the flexibility incorporated in Maine's revised approach, and the minimal number of waterbodies that would likely necessitate site specific criteria, Maine should have the ability to apply site-specific criteria as needed.

I appreciate Maine's interest and efforts in mitigating nitrogen and phosphorus pollution. EPA looks forward to continuing to work with Maine to develop a scientifically and legally defensible approach to protecting the state's waters from nitrogen and phosphorus pollution.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Ms. Michael Ochs in the Office of Government Relations at (617) 918-1066, or Stephen Silva, Water Quality Branch Chief, at (617) 918-1561.

H. Curtis Spalding Regional Administrator