FACA MOVES Model Review Workgroup

Matthew Barth

Professor and Director
College of Eng.-Center for Environmental Research & Technology
University of California-Riverside

Michael Rodgers

Adjunct Professor and Director
Air Quality Laboratory
School of Civil and Environmental Engineering
Georgia Institute of Technology



MOVES Review Workgroup Objectives

- Evaluate and provide recommendations on MOVES inputs
 - emission rates
 - fleet & activity
 - fuel and other adjustments
- Evaluate MOVES input and output structures and their usefulness for developing SIPs and transportation conformity programs
- Make recommendations to the full MSTRS

MOVES Workgroup Membership

- > Chairs:
 - John Koupal, EPA
 - Matt Barth, UC Riverside
- > Industry:
 - Auto Alliance, AlAM, EMA, API, CRC
- > Environmental Groups:
 - NRDC, Environmental Defense
- State and Local Government:
 - NACAA, AASHTO, CARB
- > Federal Government:
 - EPA, FHWA
- > Academia:
 - UC Riverside, Georgia Tech, NC State, Cornell

MOVES Workgroup Process

- > EPA presents MOVES modeling materials at meetings
- Meeting notes are taken and distributed
- Workgroup members poll their membership and provide feedback comments
- Comments are compiled, summarized, and distributed
- Workgroup discusses comments at next meeting with the goal of developing consensus recommendations to be forwarded to MSTRS
- Workgroup also reviews draft MOVES reports as they become available

2007-2009 Meeting Topics

- Fleet & Activity, Light Duty HC/CO/NOx emission rates
- Light Duty PM rates & Kansas City Study
- Evaporative emissions
- Fuel Effects
- Inspection/Maintenance
- Heavy Duty emission rates and Temperature Effects
- Fleet & Activity Update
- Tier 2 Emission Rates, LD PM & High VSP bins
- E10 & E85 effects, Air Toxics
- Heavy Duty Emission Rates
- Draft MOVES2009 & Project Level Manager

MOVES is largely shaped by data collected since the release of MOBILE6

- > Activity
 - In-use vehicle trip patterns
 - VIUS2002
- Light-Duty Vehicles
 - Thousands of in-use vehicles from I/M programs
 - Kansas City gasoline PM study
 - Remote sensing data
 - In-use certification verification data
- Heavy-Duty Vehicles
 - 100 in-use vehicles (E-55 plus)
 - MOBILE6 based on engine certification data

Workgroup Comments:

- Workgroup discussions with EPA have been very open and informative.
- MOVES depends heavily on data; continuing data collection is essential
- Model validation is a higher priority than new features

Workgroup Recommendations: Data Collection

- Priorities for next phase of MOVES development/maintenance should be based on sensitivity analyses.
- > EPA team should develop a "data collection plan" that would fill data holes and provides data into the future for maintenance
- EPA should consider some type of periodic surveillance data collection program, in addition to relying on I/M data sets, data from rule making projects, programs such as KC project, PEMS, etc.
- EPA should continue to be aggressive in obtaining data from similar or related data collection programs (state DOTs, California Air Resources Board, CRC)

Workgroup Recommendations: Validation

- > EPA should develop a validation plan:
 - Potentially funded and/or carried out by other entities
 - Include comparisons to
 - MOBILE6 and EMFAC
 - remote sensing data
 - tunnel studies
 - Include intermediate validation of model subparts

Workgroup Recommendations: Future updates

- Workgroup has concerns on how EPA is going to update MOVES in the future:
 - need to update both emissions and fleet/activity inputs
 - need to ensure that data is available
 - Updates on a regular / irregular basis?
 - perhaps consider regular periodic version release: MOVE2007, MOVES2008, etc.

Workgroup Recommendations: Other

- Significant outreach should occur with transportation community on interfacing MOVES with transportation data and models
 - FHWA has begun to address
 - Workshops, trainings and other communications need to continue
- Ensure that future funding stream is available for MOVES development and maintenance