

The Cumulative Impact of Source Reduction on U.S. Toxic Releases

Evidence from a Differences-in-Differences Analysis

Matthew Ranson May 8, 2014



Background



- <u>Source reduction</u> is a proactive approach for managing toxic releases from industrial facilities.
 - <u>Definition</u>: reducing the volume or toxicity of waste at the source by changing the material-generating process.
 - <u>Examples</u>: redesigning a product to use fewer materials; substituting a safer chemical for a more toxic one; preventing spills and leaks.
- Why do source reduction?
 - Inexpensive compared to end-of-pipe controls (such as scrubbers).
 - Voluntary approach, so attractive to both government and industry.
- Sounds great...but how effective is it?

Motivation



- Between 1991 and 2012, the 56,000 facilities that reported to the TRI program carried out 370,000 source reduction projects.
- Over the same time period, annual aggregate U.S. toxic releases declined significantly.



(The increase in releases in 1998 is due to an expansion of TRI reporting requirements to new industries.)

 <u>Research question</u>: What role did source reduction play in this large decrease in U.S. toxic releases?

Research Overview



- <u>Goal</u>: To understand how source reduction affects facilities' releases of toxic chemicals. Two questions:
 - 1) How do the <u>average facility's</u> TRI releases change when it implements a source reduction project?
 - 2) How has source reduction affected <u>U.S. aggregate</u> TRI releases over the last 20 years?
- Data: Taken from the TRI reporting program.
 - 1987-2012: Toxic releases, by facility, chemical, and year
 - 1991-2012: Number of source reduction projects, by facility, chemical, and year

Research Overview



- <u>Methodology</u>: "Differences-in-differences" approach (common in economics literature)
 - Estimates how toxic releases at each facility-chemical changed in the year before and after implementing a source reduction project.
 - Controls for other facility- and industry-level factors (e.g., changes in production, economic conditions, pollution regulations).
- Main findings (still preliminary!):
 - The <u>average</u> source reduction project results in a 13 percent decrease in facility-level TRI releases (of targeted chemicals).
 - Between 1991 and 2012, source reduction may have reduced <u>cumulative</u> U.S. TRI releases by as much as 26 billion pounds.

Presentation Outline



- Methodology
- Results
- Discussion

Methodology



Methodology





- Suppose that a facility implements a source reduction project that reduces its releases of a particular chemical in 2005.
 - We want to measure how the project affected its releases.
 - Problem: We don't know how releases would have changed anyway if the facility <u>hadn't</u> implemented the project.



Solution:

- 1) Compare the trend in releases of the <u>affected</u> chemical against the trends in releases of <u>unaffected</u> chemicals from the same facility.
- 2) The difference in the changes in releases is due to source reduction.

(Note that we drop facilities that only report one type of chemical.)



Results



Results

Abt Associates | pg 11

Average Impact on Facility-level Releases





Abt Associates | pg 12

Average Impact on Facility-level Releases, by Type of Project





Cumulative Impact on U.S. Total Releases



Cumulative Impact of Source Reduction on U.S. Total Releases



The solid black line shows <u>actual</u> total annual TRI releases of all chemicals.

The gray line shows <u>simulated</u> releases, if no source reductions projects had occurred.

- <u>Main result</u>: Between 1991 and 2012, source reduction prevented <u>26 billion</u> <u>pounds</u> of toxic releases in the United States.
- (Actual cumulative releases were 130 billion pounds over the same period.)

Discussion



Discussion



Uncertainties and Limitations



This analysis has several uncertainties and limitations. For example:

- This is still preliminary research.
- The differences-in-differences approach is reasonable, but not perfect.
 - TRI releases of affected chemicals increase in the years <u>before</u> a source reduction project (compared to unaffected chemicals).
- Because of the TRI reporting thresholds, some facilities might be able to stop reporting after a successful source reduction project.

Conclusions



Two main conclusions:

- The average source reduction project results in a 13 percent decrease in facility-level TRI releases.
- Between 1991 and 2012, source reduction may have reduced aggregate TRI releases by as much as 26 billion pounds.

These estimates are work-in-progress, but they still highlight the potential impacts of source reduction.

Thanks!



Thanks!

