1	Interim: 09-2009
2	
3	
4	ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS (AEGLs)
5	FOR
6	NITROGEN TRIFLUORIDE
7	(CAS Reg. No. 7783-54-2)
8	
9	NF ₃
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	INTERIM
15	
16	
17	
18	

1 2 PREFACE 3 4 Under the authority of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) P. L. 92-463 of 5 1972, the National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous 6 Substances (NAC/AEGL Committee) has been established to identify, review and interpret 7 relevant toxicologic and other scientific data and develop AEGLs for high priority, acutely toxic 8 chemicals. 9 AEGLs represent threshold exposure limits for the general public and are applicable to 10 11 emergency exposure periods ranging from 10 minutes to 8 hours. Three levels – AEGL-1, 12 AEGL-2 and AEGL-3 – are developed for each of five exposure periods (10 and 30 minutes, 1 13 hour, 4 hours, and 8 hours) and are distinguished by varying degrees of severity of toxic effects. 14 The three AEGLs are defined as follows: 15 16 AEGL-1 is the airborne concentration (expressed as parts per million or milligrams per cubic meter [ppm or mg/m^3]) of a substance above which it is predicted that the general 17 18 population, including susceptible individuals, could experience notable discomfort, irritation, or 19 certain asymptomatic, non-sensory effects. However, the effects are not disabling and are 20 transient and reversible upon cessation of exposure. 21 22 AEGL-2 is the airborne concentration (expressed as ppm or mg/m^3) of a substance above which it is predicted that the general population, including susceptible individuals, could 23 24 experience irreversible or other serious, long-lasting adverse health effects or an impaired ability 25 to escape. 26 AEGL-3 is the airborne concentration (expressed as ppm or mg/m^3) of a substance above 27 28 which it is predicted that the general population, including susceptible individuals, could 29 experience life-threatening health effects or death. 30 31 Airborne concentrations below the AEGL-1 represent exposure levels that could produce 32 mild and progressively increasing but transient and nondisabling odor, taste, and sensory 33 irritation or certain asymptomatic, non-sensory effects. With increasing airborne concentrations 34 above each AEGL, there is a progressive increase in the likelihood of occurrence and the severity 35 of effects described for each corresponding AEGL. Although the AEGL values represent 36 threshold levels for the general public, including susceptible subpopulations, such as infants, 37 children, the elderly, persons with asthma, and those with other illnesses, it is recognized that 38 individuals, subject to unique or idiosyncratic responses, could experience the effects described 39 at concentrations below the corresponding AEGL. 40 41

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2	PREFACE	2
3	LIST OF TABLES	5
4	LIST OF FIGURES	5
5	SUMMARY	6
6	1. INTRODUCTION	7
7	2. HUMAN TOXICITY DATA	
8	3. ANIMAL TOXICITY DATA	
9 10	3.1. Acute Lethality	
10 11 12	3.1.1. Nonhuman Primates	9
12	3.1.2. Dogs	
14	3.1.4. Mice	
15	3.2. Acute Non-Lethal Toxicity	
16	3.3. Repeat-Exposure Studies	
17	3.4. Neurotoxicity	14
18	3.5. Developmental/Reproductive Toxicity	14
19	3.6. Genotoxicity	15
20	3.7. Subchronic and Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity	
21	3.8. Summary	16
22	4. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS	16
23	4.1. Metabolism and Disposition	16
24	4.2. Mechanism of Toxicity	17
25	4.3. Structure-Activity Relationships	
26 27	4.4. Other Relevant Information	
28	4.4.1. Species Variability	
29	4.4.2. Susceptible Populations	
30 31	4.4.5. Concentration-Exposure Duration Relationship 4.4.4. Concurrent Exposure Issues	
32	5. DATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-1	20
33	5.1. Summary of Human Data Relevant to AEGL-1	20

1	5.2.	Summary of Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-1	
2	5.3.	Derivation of AEGL-1	
3	6. DA	ATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-2	21
4	6.1.	Summary of Human Data Relevant to AEGL-2	21
5	6.2.	Summary of Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-2	21
6	6.3.	Derivation of AEGL-2	21
7	7. DA	ATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-3	22
8	7.1.	Summary of Human Data Relevant to AEGL-3	
9	7.2.	Summary of Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-3	22
10	7.3.	Derivation of AEGL-3	
11	8. SU	IMMARY OF AEGLs	23
12	8.1.	AEGL Values and Toxicity Endpoints	23
13	8.2.	Comparison with Other Standards and Guidelines	24
14	8.3.	Data Adequacy and Research Needs	
15	9. RE	FERENCES	
16	APPENI	DIX A: Derivation of Nitrogen Trifluoride AEGLs	
17	APPENI	DIX B: Category Graph of AEGL Values and Toxicity Data	41
18	APPENI	DIX C: Derivation Summary for Nitrogen Trifluoride AEGLs	43
19			

1		LIST OF TABLES	
2			
3	S 1.	SUMMARY OF AEGL VALUES FOR NITROGEN TRIFLUORIDE	7
4	TABLE 1.	Chemical and Physical Properties	8
5	TABLE 2.	Mortality of Monkeys Exposed to NF3 for Various Exposure Durations	9
6	TABLE 3.	Mortality of Dogs Exposed to NF3 for Various Exposure Durations	10
7	TABLE 4.	Mortality of Rats Exposed to NF3 for Various Exposure Durations	11
8	TABLE 5.	Mortality of Mice Exposed to NF3 for Various Exposure Durations	12
9	TABLE 6.	LC ₅₀ Values in Four Species of Animals	12
10	TABLE 7.	Methemoglobin formation in monkeys and dogs	13
11	TABLE 8.	Summary of Repeat-Exposure Studies with Rats	14
12	TABLE 9.	Signs and Symptoms Associated with Methemoglobin Concentrations in Humans	18
13	TABLE 10.	AEGL-1 Values for Nitrogen Trifluoride	21
14	TABLE 11.	AEGL-2 Values for Nitrogen Trifluoride	22
15	TABLE 12.	AEGL-3 Values for Nitrogen Trifluoride	23
16	TABLE 13.	Summary of AEGL Values	23
17	TABLE 14.	Standards and Guidelines for Nitrogen Trifluoride	25
18			
19			
20			
20 21 22		LIST OF FIGURES	
23 24	Figure 1. Me Figure 2. Ca	easured And Projected Methemoglobin Levels In Dogs Exposed To NF ₃ For 60 Minutes	22

SUMMARY

High purity nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃, CAS No. 7783-54-2) is a colorless gas with little odor. Traces of active fluorides give it a pungent, musty or moldy odor. Nitrogen trifluoride is rather inert chemically, but at elevated temperatures is a potent oxidizer. High purity NF₃ finds use in the manufacture of semiconductors, as an oxidizer of high energy fuels, for the preparation of tetrafluorohydrazine, as an etchant gas in the electronic industry, and as a fluorine source in high power chemical lasers.

9

1

2

The odor threshold is high. During a 2- to 3-minute exposure, one of five volunteers 10 tentatively detected the odor at 500 ppm. No clinical studies were available for development of 11 AEGL values. Lethality studies with the monkey, dog, rat, and mouse showed that these species 12 13 were similarly sensitive to the lethal effects of NF₃. Inhaled NF₃ reacts with the hemoglobin 14 (Hb) of the blood, forming methemoglobin (MetHb). One mole of NF₃ reacts with 3-4 moles of 15 heme. Methemoglobin is unable to carry oxygen to the tissues, resulting in tissue anoxia. 16 Methemoglobinemia is a reversible condition; MetHb is reduced to oxyhemoglobin by 17 erythrocyte NADH-methemoglobin reductase. Studies with monkeys and dogs exposed to less 18 than lethal concentrations of NF₃ addressed methemoglobinemia. Exposure of dogs to NF₃ also

19 reduces hematology parameters including Hb, hematocrit, and erythrocyte count.

20

21 AEGL-1 values were based on an exposure of monkeys and dogs to 2000 ppm NF₃ for 60 22 minutes (120,000 ppm-minutes) (Vernot et al. 1973). This concentration-exposure duration resulted in 15% MetHb formation in dogs and 10% in monkeys. A MetHb concentration of 23 24 \leq 15% is without clinical signs or symptoms. The reduced erythrocyte count, Hb, and hematocrit of approximately 16%, seen only in dogs, were reversible. An interspecies uncertainty factor of 1 25 26 was applied because LC₅₀ values measured under identical conditions were similar for all four 27 species and because the dog was the most sensitive species for effects on hematology parameters. 28 Furthermore, compared with rodents, the dog is more hematologically similar to humans 29 regarding hematopoiesis and blood cell kinetics. An intraspecies uncertainty factor of 10 was 30 applied because infants, lacking the NADH cofactor for methemoglobin reductase, are especially 31 sensitive to MetHb-forming chemicals. Furthermore, some humans may be deficient in 32 methemoglobin reductase or anemic as a result of abnormal Hb M or Hb H. The resulting 60-33 minute 200 ppm value was time-scaled using an n value of 1.0 from the dog lethality studies. 34 The same endpoint of methemoglobinemia was the basis for time-scaling in the lethality studies 35 with the dog.

36

37 A MetHb concentration of 40-45% is predicted to induce weakness, fatigue, dizziness, 38 and lethargy in humans (Kiese 1974; Seger 1992). These symptoms are the threshold for an 39 impaired ability to escape and meet the definition of an AEGL-2. Responses to MetHb forming 40 chemicals are similar in dogs and humans. Data on an atmospheric concentration of NF₃ that 41 would result in a MetHb concentration of approximately 43% in the dog were unavailable. The 42 relationship between the atmospheric concentration of NF₃ and MetHb formation is linear. 43 Therefore, a concentration of NF₃ of 43%, the midpoint between the 15% MetHb concentration that defines the AEGL-1 and the 70% predicted threshold for lethality as cited in several reviews 44 45 (Kiese 1974; Seger 1992) and referenced in the key and supporting studies (Dost et al. 1970a; Vernot et al. 1973) was used as the endpoint for the AEGL-2. The AEGL-2 value for each 46

- 1 exposure duration was calculated as the midpoint concentration between each AEGL-1 and
- 2 AEGL-3 value.

3 4 The AEGL-3 values were based on the threshold for lethality in the study of Vernot et al. 5 (1973). The lethality data for the dog were used because, compared with rodents, the dog is more 6 hematologically similar to humans regarding hematopoiesis and blood cell kinetics. The 7 threshold for lethality at each AEGL-3 exposure duration was calculated using the probit-based, 8 dose-response program of ten Berge (2006). The threshold for lethality was set at 1%. This 9 value is similar to the benchmark dose BMC_{01} . The data indicated a time-scaling value of 1.0 $(C^{1.0} x t = k)$. An interspecies uncertainty factor of 1 was applied because mortality for four 10 species differed by less than a factor of 2 and the dog is the more sensitive species for reductions 11 in hematology parameters. An intraspecies uncertainty factor of 10 was applied because infants, 12 13 lacking the NADH cofactor for methemoglobin reductase, are especially sensitive to MetHb-14 forming chemicals. Furthermore, humans who are anemic, deficient in methemoglobin 15 reductase, or have abnormal Hbs are more sensitive to MetHb-generating chemicals.

- 16
- 17
- 18

The calculated values are listed in the table below.

S 1. Summary of AEGL Values for Nitrogen Trifluoride						
Classification	10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h	Endpoint (Reference)
AEGL-1 (Nondisabling)	1200 ppm (3500 mg/m ³)	400 ppm (1200 mg/m ³)	200 ppm (580 mg/m ³)	50 ppm (150 mg/m ³)	25 ppm (73 mg/m ³)	≤15% MetHb: 60- minute exposure of dogs and monkeys to 2000 ppm (Vernot et al. 1973)
AEGL-2 (Disabling)	3100 ppm (9000 mg/m ³)	1100 ppm (3200 mg/m ³)	530 ppm (1500 mg/m ³)	140 ppm (400 mg/m ³)	68 ppm (200 mg/m ³)	Estimated 43% MetHb: midpoint of AEGL-1 and AEGL- 3, dog data (Vernot et al. 1973)
AEGL-3 (Lethal)	5000 ppm (15,000 mg/m ³)	1700 ppm (4900 mg/m ³)	860 ppm (2500 mg/m ³)	220 ppm (640 mg/m ³)	110 ppm (320 mg/m ³)	Regression analysis of dog lethality data of Vernot et al. (1973) calculated with the ten Berge program (2006)

19

20

21 **1. INTRODUCTION**

22

High purity nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃, CAS No. 7783-54-2) is a colorless gas with little odor. Traces of active fluorides give it a pungent, musty or moldy odor. Nitrogen trifluoride is rather inert chemically, but at elevated temperatures is a potent oxidizer. Solubility in and reaction with water is limited. It reacts explosively with reducing agents; thus, its use as a fluorinating agent for organic compounds is limited (ACGIH 2001; Henderson and Woytek 1994; O'Neil et al. 2001; AIHA 2005). The chemical and physical properties of NF₃ are listed in

29 Table 1.

1 Nitrogen trifluoride is manufactured commercially by either of two processes: the 2 electrolysis of molten ammonium acid fluoride or the direct fluorination of ammonia in the 3 presence of molten ammonium fluoride. In the early 1990s, production in the United States was 4 less than 100 tons/year (Henderson and Woytek 1994). World-wide production for 2002 was 5 estimated at 5 million pounds (Air Products 2002). High purity NF₃ is used in the manufacture of semiconductors (to clean chemical vapor deposition chambers), as an oxidizer of high energy 6 7 fuels, for the preparation of tetrafluorohydrazine, as an etchant gas in the electronic industry, and 8 as a fluorine source in high power chemical lasers (Henderson and Woytek 1994; Air Products 9 2002). Nitrogen trifluoride is shipped as a gas under high pressure and is available in tube

- 10 trailers and cylinders (Henderson and Woytek 1994).
- 11

TABLE 1. Chemical and Physical Properties					
Parameter	Value	Reference			
Synonyms	Nitrogen fluoride; nitrogen	O'Neil et al. 2001;			
	trifluoride;perfluoroammonia;	AIHA 2005			
	trifluoamine; trifluoroammonia				
Chemical formula	NF ₃	O'Neil et al. 2001			
Molecular weight	71.00	O'Neil et al. 2001			
CAS Reg. No.	7783-54-2	O'Neil et al. 2001			
Physical state	Colorless gas	O'Neil et al. 2001			
Solubility in water	Insoluble	O'Neil et al. 2001			
Vapor pressure	>1 atm at 20°C	ACGIH 2001			
Vapor density (air =1)	2.4	AIHA 2005			
Liquid density (water =1)	1.885 at -129°C	O'Neil et al. 2001			
Melting point	-208.5°C	O'Neil et al. 2001			
Boiling point	-129°C	O'Neil et al. 2001			
Flammability limits in air	Nonflammable but reacts explosively	ACGIH 2001			
	with reducing agents; strong oxidizer;				
	decomposed by electric sparks				
Conversion factors	$1 \text{ ppm} = 2.90 \text{ mg/m}^3$	Calculated			
	$1 \text{ mg/m}^3 = 0.34 \text{ ppm}$				

12

13

14 **2. HUMAN TOXICITY DATA**

15 16

17

18 19 Five volunteers were exposed to 100 or 500 ppm for 2-3 minutes to study odor detection (Torkelson et al. 1962). None of the volunteers detected any odor at 100 ppm, and one of five volunteers tentatively detected a faint odor at 500 ppm.

20 3. ANIMAL TOXICITY DATA

21 **3.1.** Acute Lethality

22

Acute toxicity studies were conducted with monkeys, dogs, rats, and mice. Where mortality data were sufficient for calculation of LC_{50} values, the values for the respective species are summarized in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5. All LC_{50} values are summarized in Table 6.

3.1.1. Nonhuman Primates

2

1

3 Groups of 2-3 male and female Rhesus monkeys inhaled various concentrations of NF_3 4 for 15, 30, or 60 minutes (Vernot et al. 1973; see also MacEwen and Vernot 1969). Exposures 5 took place in a modified Rochester chamber. Atmospheres were monitored with a gas 6 chromatographic procedure. Surviving animals were observed for two weeks post-exposure. 7 Clinical signs during exposure included eye irritation, tachypnea, gasping, cyanosis, and emesis. 8 Tachypnea, gasping and cyanosis were attributed to tissue anoxia due to elevated concentrations 9 of MetHb. Gross and histopathologic findings in animals that died revealed lung congestion, edema, and focal hemorrhage. Organs of the viscera were congested. These findings were 10 consistent with anoxia and massive MetHb formation. The 15-, 30-, and 60-minute LC_{50} values 11 12 were 24,000, 14,000, and 10,000 ppm, respectively (Table 2). Monkeys that died succumbed 13 within one hour post-exposure.

14 15

TABLE 2. Mortality of Monkeys Exposed to NF3 for Various Exposure Durations				
	Mean Concentration in ppm			
Exposure Duration	(range)	Mortality	Approximate LC ₅₀ (ppm) ^a	
15 min	21,250 (20,400-22,000)	0/3	24,000	
	23,490 (21,812-27,880)	1/2		
	25,026 (23,370-32,636)	1/2		
	27,150 (21,500-30,100)	3/3		
30 min	13,038 (7790-18,040)	0/3	14,000	
	15,170 (14,432-15,580)	3/3		
60 min	8519 (8446-8815)	0/2	10,000	
	9390 (7300-11,100)	1/3		
	9947 (9020-10,496)	1/3		
	11,273 (9922-11,808)	3/3		

^aConfidence limits could not be calculated due to the small number of animals.

17 Source: Vernot et al. 1973.

18

19

20 **3.1.2. Dogs**

21

22 Groups of 2-5 male and female beagle dogs inhaled various concentrations of NF₃ for 15, 23 30, or 60 minutes (Vernot et al. 1973). Exposures took place in a modified Rochester chamber. 24 Atmospheres were monitored with a gas chromatographic procedure. Surviving animals were 25 observed for two weeks post-exposure. Clinical signs during exposure included eye irritation, 26 tachypnea, gasping, cyanosis, and emesis. Tachypnea, gasping and cyanosis were attributed to 27 anoxia due to MetHb formation. Gross and histopathologic findings in animals that died 28 revealed lung congestion, edema, and focal hemorrhage. Organs of the viscera were congested. 29 These findings are consistent with tissue anoxia as a result of elevated circulating MetHb. The 30 15-, 30-, and 60-minute LC_{50} values were 38,000, 20,400, and 9600 ppm, respectively (Table 3). Dogs that died succumbed within one hour post-exposure. In a study that addressed recovery 31 32 from NF₃-induced anemia, 14 of 18 dogs survived for 40 days following exposure to the 1-hour LC₅₀ value of 9600 ppm for 60 minutes. 33

TABLE 3. Mortality of Dogs Exposed to NF3 for Various Exposure Durations				
	Mean Concentration in ppm			
Exposure Duration	(range)	Mortality	Approximate LC ₅₀ (ppm) ^a	
15 min	31,816 (22,468-45,100)	0/3	38,000	
	37,600 (35,900-39,200)	2/5		
	41,410 (23,780-53,546)	2/3		
	43,460 (37,228-50,184)	3/3		
30 min	19,434 (14,760-21,484)	0/3	20,400	
	20,779 (16,810-23,158)	2/3		
	24,026 (21,484-25,092)	3/3		
60 min	8550 (7790-8900)	0/2	9600	
	9947 (9020-10,496)	2/3		
	11,450 (10,824-11-808)	2/2		
60 min	9600	4/18		

^a Confidence limits could not be calculated due to the small number of animals.

Source: Vernot et al. 1973.

3.1.3. Rats

5 6

2 3 4

7 In a preliminary study, Torkelson et al. (1962) allowed groups of 4-8 male rats (strain 8 unspecified) to inhale NF₃ while they were placed in a 19-liter glass jar. The NF₃ (purity not 9 specified) was metered into the chambers using a flow-through method; atmospheres were not 10 measured. Surviving animals were observed for two weeks. Results presented graphically indicated that all rats survived exposure to 20,000 ppm for 0.2 hours, 10,000 ppm for 0.5 hours, 11 12 5000 ppm for 1 hour, 2500 ppm for 2 hours, and 1000 ppm for 7 hours. When exposure 13 durations were extended, e.g., 20,000 ppm for 0.5 hours, or 10,000 ppm for one hour, mortality was increased to 100%. Deaths were ascribed to anoxia as a result of massive 14

15 methemoglobinemia. Surviving animals recovered rapidly.

16

17 Dost et al. (1970a) exposed seven groups of 5 rats each (gender and strain unspecified) to 18 10,000 ppm NF₃ for periods of time ranging from 45-60 minutes (average time 63.5 minutes). 19 Other groups of 10 rats inhaled 4000 ppm for 3 hours. Exposures took place in an 18x18x27inch aluminum chamber. Atmospheres were analyzed with an infrared spectrophotometer. 20 Whole-body exposure to 10,000 ppm resulted in mortality of 86% (30 of 35 rats) within a 5- to 21 22 15-minute post-exposure period. Methemoglobin levels at the time of death were equivalent to 23 60-70% of the available Hb. Rats in which 50% or more of circulating Hb was oxidized required 24 about 2 hours to recover to 10% MetHb. The concentration of 4000 ppm was not lethal to any 25 rats during a 3-hour exposure. All 10 rats died when the exposure duration was extended to 3.75 hours. Exposures below the lethal level caused no apparent distress other than accelerated 26 27 respiration. At nearly lethal and lethal concentrations, severe respiratory distress was 28 accompanied by MetHb reflected in the eye color and in the color of their mucus membranes and 29 extremities. Rats that survived 1-2 minutes after cessation of exposure generally recovered. 30

Groups of 10 male Sprague-Dawley rats inhaled various concentrations of NF₃ for 15, 30, 60 or 120 minutes (Vernot et al. 1973). Whole-body exposures took place in a 30-liter bell-jar chamber. Atmospheres were monitored by gas chromatography. Surviving animals were observed for two weeks post-exposure. Clinical signs during exposure included eye irritation, tachypnea, gasping, and cyanosis, and the latter three signs were attributed to anoxia brought on

1 by elevated MetHb. Gross and histopathologic findings in animals that died revealed lung

2 congestion, edema, and focal hemorrhage. Visceral organs were congested at necropsy. These

3 findings are consistent with anoxia and massive MetHb formation. The 15-, 30-, and 60-minute

4 LC_{50} values were 26,700, 11,700, and 6700 ppm, respectively (Table 4). During the 60-minute

5 exposure, most deaths occurred within the first 10 minutes. There were no deaths after 24 hours.

6 Nine of 10 rats died following exposure to 4500 ppm for 2 hours.

⁷ 8

TABLE 4. Mortality of Rats Exposed to NF3 for Various Exposure Durations					
Exposure	Mean Concentration	Mortality	Calculated LC ₅₀	Reference	
Duration	in ppm (range)	-	(Confidence Limits)		
12 min	20,000	0/4-8	-	Torkelson et al. 1962	
30 min	20,000	100%			
30 min	10,000	0/4-8	—	Torkelson et al. 1962	
60 min	10,000	100%			
60 min	5000	0/4-8	—	Torkelson et al. 1962	
120 min	2500	0/4-8	—	Torkelson et al. 1962	
7 h	1000	0/4-8	—	Torkelson et al. 1962	
63.5 min	10,000	30/35	—	Dost et al. 1970a	
180 min	4000	0/10	_	Dost et al. 1970a	
225 min	4000	10/10	—	Dost et al. 1970a	
15 min	19,316 (17,500-20,200)	0/10	26,700 (25,640-27,610)	Vernot et al. 1973	
	21,430 (18,500-23,500)	0/10			
	25,200 (23,500-25,900)	3/10			
	28,257 (27,000-28,800)	7/10			
	28,930 (26,500-30,000)	8/10			
	30,714 (28,600-31,200)	10/10			
30 min	8204 (8036-8282)	0/10	11,700 (11,080-12,330	Vernot et al. 1973	
	10,250 (10.004-10,436)	3/10			
	11,257 (11,070-11,316)	1/10			
	12,281 (12,218-13,300)	7/10			
	13,226 (13,202-13,407)	9/10			
60 min	4118 (4018-4428)	0/10	6700 (6130-7320)	Vernot et al. 1973	
	5117 (5002-5298)	1/10			
	6121 (5986-6232)	4/10			
	7190 (7134-7257)	5/10			
	8204 (8036-8282)	9/10			
120 min	4500	9/10	_	Vernot et al. 1973	

9

10 **3.1.4. Mice**

11

12 Groups of 10 male ICR mice inhaled various concentrations of NF₃ for 15, 30, 60 or 120 13 minutes (Vernot et al. 1973). Whole-body exposures took place in a 30-liter bell-jar chamber. Atmospheres were monitored with a gas chromatographic procedure. Surviving animals were 14 observed for two weeks post-exposure. During exposure the animals displayed eye irritation, 15 tachypnea, gasping, and cyanosis; the latter three signs were attributed to anoxia due to elevated 16 circulating MetHb. Gross and histopathologic findings in animals that died revealed lung 17 congestion, edema, focal hemorrhage, and visceral congestion. The findings were consistent 18 with methemoglobinemia. The 15-, 30-, and 60-minute LC_{50} values were 19,300, 12,300, and 19 20 7500 ppm, respectively (Table 5). Half of the mice succumbed during exposure; all mice died

- 1 within 3 days. Mice dying after 1 day showed extensive pneumonia. Two of 10 mice died
- 2 during or following exposure to 4500 ppm for 2 hours.
- 3

TABLE 5. Mortality of Mice Exposed to NF3 for Various Exposure Durations				
	Mean Concentration in ppm		Calculated LC ₅₀	
Exposure Duration	(range)	Mortality	(Confidence Limits)	
15 min	17,515 (15,800-18,000)	3/10	19,300 (17,910-20,910)	
	20,130 (17,800-20,900)	6/10		
	22,030 (18,200-23,500)	7/10		
	23,570 (19,300-24,300)	9/10		
30 min	8212 (8118-8323)	0/10	12,300 (11,460-13,160)	
	10,222 (9840-10,496)	1/10		
	10,906 (10,742-11,070)	1/10		
	11,234 (11,152-11,275)	5/10		
	12,327 (12,136-12,628)	6/10		
	16,293 (16,154-16,400)	9/10		
60 min	4112 (3854-4264)	0/10	7500 (7800-8280)	
	6469 (6297-6560)	3/10		
	7150 (6888-7544)	4/10		
	8200 (8150-8250)	6/10		
	12,218 (12,136-12,300)	10/10		
120 min	4500	2/10	—	

Source: Vernot et al. 1973.

5 6 7

4

A comparison of LC_{50} values for four species is in Table 6.

TABLE 6. LC ₅₀ Values in Four Species of Animals (ppm)				
Species	15 min	30 min	60 min	
Monkey	24,000	14,000	10,000	
Dog	38,000	20,400	9600	
Rat	26,700	11,700	6700	
Mouse	19,300	12,300	7500	

8 Source: Vernot et al. 1973.

10 11

3.2. Acute Non-Lethal Toxicity

12

13 Groups of 6 monkeys, 6 dogs and an unspecified number of rats and mice were exposed to levels of NF₃ predicted to cause 15% conversion of Hb to MetHb (120,000 ppm-minutes) 14 (Vernot et al. 1973). Gender and strain information for these animals are presented in the 15 preceding section under lethality studies. These concentration-exposure durations were 7000 16 17 ppm for 15 minutes, 3500 ppm for 30 minutes, and 2000 ppm for 60 minutes (Table 7). Blood was sampled immediately post-exposure. No adverse signs were noted in any species during 18 exposure, and no effects were seen on body weight gain during the 4-week post-exposure period. 19 20 At necropsy, rat organ-to-body weight ratios were unaffected. Gross histopathologic 21 examination revealed no differences from the unexposed controls.

22

Hematologic parameters were followed only in monkeys and dogs (Table 7). The 15%
MetHb formation in monkeys and dogs was fairly well achieved: monkeys, 10.3-16.5% and dogs,

25 10.3-19.2% (Table 7). Hematologic parameters were unaffected in monkeys following NF_3

⁹

1 exposures. At 105,000-120,000 ppm-minutes, dogs developed average 16% reductions in

2 hematocrit, Hb, and erythrocyte count, with the largest reductions seen at 7-14 days. The

- 3 decrease in these parameters averaged 33% following the exposure to the LC_{50} . Blood samples
- 4 also showed hemolysis; and the increased turbidity of the hemolyzed blood was due to the
- 5 presence of Heinz bodies.
- 6

TABLE 7. Methemoglobin formation in monkeys and dogs					
	Concentration Mean methemoglobin (%)				
Time (minutes)	(ppm)	Monkeys	Dogs		
15	7000 ^a	$16.5 \pm 1.0 \ (n = 6)$	$19.2 \pm 1.6 (n = 6)$		
30	3500 ^a	$15.0\pm0.5 (n=6)$	$10.3 \pm 1.0 \ (n = 6)$		
60	2000^{a}	$10.3\pm2.1 \ (n=6)$	$15.2 \pm 1.8 \ (n = 6)$		
60	1075 ^b	—	9.7 (n = 3)		
60	510 ^c	—	2.2 (n = 3)		
60	290 ^d	—	0.0 (n = 3)		

7 ^a Dose of 105,000-120,000 ppm-minutes.

8 ^b Dose of 64,500 ppm-minutes.

9 ^c Dose of 31,000 ppm-minutes.

- 10 ^d Dose of 17,400 ppm-minutes
- 11 Source: Vernot et al. 1973.

12

13

14 Monkeys, dogs, rats, and mice were exposed to a 30,000 ppm-minute dose level 15 (presumably target concentrations of 2000 ppm for 15 minutes, 1000 ppm for 30 minutes, or 500 ppm for 60 minutes). There were no body weight, organ weight, gross or microscopic changes in 16 any species during a 28-day post-exposure period. In dogs, hematology values showed no 17 18 decrease compared to controls during the post-exposure period. Methemoglobin data were provided only for dogs exposed to 510 ppm for 60 minutes, a 2.2% MetHb concentration (Table 19 20 7). The 60-minute exposure to 510 ppm was considered a NOAEL in dogs because the MetHb 21 value of 2.2% was within the precision of the analytical technique.

22 23

24

3.3. Repeat-Exposure Studies

25 Groups of 5 male and 5 female Crl:CD(SD)BR rats were exposed whole body to 0, 22, 100, or 500 ppm NF₃ for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week, for 2 weeks (Bamberger 1998). Chamber 26 27 atmospheres were monitored with gas chromatography. Rats were weighed throughout the 28 exposure period, and clinical signs were evaluated during and after each exposure. After each 29 exposure and prior to removal from the chamber, an alerting response to an auditory signal was checked. After the 10th exposure, hematology, clinical chemistry, and urine analyses were 30 performed. At sacrifice, organs were weighed and examined microscopically. Body weight was 31 32 unaffected by treatment, and there were no treatment-related mortalities. No clinical signs and 33 no failure to respond to an auditory stimulus were observed in any treatment group. Rats in the 500 ppm group had pallor during the 4th, 5th, 7th, and 10th exposures, and clinical pathology 34 35 evaluations revealed hemolytic anemia in male and female rats in the 100 and 500 ppm groups. 36 Circulating erythrocyte mass was marginally decreased in the 100 ppm male group and mildly to 37 moderately decreased in females in the 100 ppm group and in males and females in the 500 ppm group. Mean erythrocyte counts were 89% and 60% of the concurrent control in males in the 100 38

- 1 and 500 ppm groups, respectively. Mean erythrocyte counts were 81% and 49% of the control
- 2 group mean value in females in the 100 and 500 ppm groups, respectively. The 100 ppm
- 3 concentration increased MetHb from 0.5% to 0.8% in male rats and from 0.4% to 0.6% in female
- 4 rats (both statistically significant). Histopathological evaluation revealed increased
- 5 erythropoiesis that was ascribed to a regenerative, hemolytic anemia. Changes in the liver,
- 6 kidneys, spleen, and bone marrow all indicted a physiologic response to hemolytic anemia. The
- 7 NOAEL and LOAEL values in the Bamberger (1998) study were 22 and 100 ppm, respectively.
- 8

	TABLE 8. Summary of Repeat-Exposure Studies with Rats			
Concentration (ppm)	Exposure Conditions	Effects	Reference	
22	6 h/d, 5 d/wk,	No-observed effects	Bamberger 1998	
100	two wks	Hemolytic anemia; erythrocyte count,		
		Hb, and hematocrit marginally (males)		
		to moderately decreased (females)		
5 00		Hemolytic anemia; erythrocyte count,		
500		Hb, and hematocrit mildly to		
		moderately decreased (both sexes)		
100	7 h/d,	Hematology parameters unaffected;	Torkelson et al. 1962	
	5 d/wk,	mild to moderate pathologic changes in		
	19 wks	liver and kidney		

9

Twelve male and 12 female rats (strain unspecified) inhaled 100 ppm NF₃ 7 hours/day, 5
days/week for 19 weeks (94 exposures) (Torkelson et al. 1962). Methemoglobin determinations
were made initially and after 1, 2, 4, 8, and 66 exposures. Hematology parameters were
unaffected, and there was no evidence of methemoglobinemia at any sampling time. There was
no evidence of fluorosis in the teeth or bones. Mild to moderate changes consisted of cloudy
swelling of the hepatocytes and interstitial and slight tubular nephritis in the kidney.

17 18

19

3.4. Neurotoxicity

No studies specifically addressed the neurotoxicity of NF₃. Rats exposed whole body to 0, 22, 100, or 500 ppm NF₃ for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week, for 2 weeks responded appropriately to an auditory stimulus following each exposure (Bamberger 1998).

23 24

3.5. Developmental/Reproductive Toxicity

- Groups of eight time-mated female Crl:CD[®](SD)IGS BR rats were exposed to NF₃ at 0,
 5, 20, 50, or 100 ppm for six hours/day on days 6 through 20 of gestation ((Munley 2003).
 Atmospheres were generated by dilution of the metered gas in air, and the concentrations were
 measured with gas chromatography. Maternal body weight, food consumption, and clinical
 observations were recorded. On day 21 of gestation, the dams were sacrificed and examined
 grossly. Uterine contents were examined, and all fetuses were sexed, weighed, and examined for
 external alterations. There were no maternal deaths or abnormal gross changes; clinical
- 33 observations were unremarkable. There were no differences between the control and treatment
- 34 groups in the numbers of implantations, resorptions, litter size, sex ratio, or fetal body weight.
- 35 There were no substance-related external malformations.

3.6. Genotoxicity

4 High purity NF_3 was tested for genotoxic potential in *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays (Winegar 5 et al. 1996; Air Products 2002). Nitrogen trifluoride was non-mutagenic in Salmonella typhimurium strains TA1535, TA98, TA100, and TA102 and in Escherichia coli strain WP2 6 7 (uvra), both in the presence and absence of metabolic activation. Tested concentrations in air 8 ranged up to 50,000 ppm. In a repeat assay, NF₃ elicited a weak mutagenic response. In the 9 mouse lymphoma assay, dose levels of 1, 2, 4, 6, and 10% in an atmosphere of 5% carbon 10 dioxide in air induced non-significant increases in mutant frequency with increasing concentration. At 92,600 ppm in air, no cytotoxicity was observed in mouse lymphoma L5178Y 11 12 cells.

13

Nitrogen trifluoride was assayed for clastogenic activity in the bone marrow micronucleus
 assay with male and female Swiss-Webster mice. Concentrations in air were 0, 842, 1277, or
 2474 ppm. Gavage with urethane served as a reference mutagen. Nitrogen trifluoride failed to
 statistically significantly increase the number of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes.

18 19

20

3.7. Subchronic and Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity

21 In a 90-day study, groups of 15 male and 15 female Crl:CD(IGS)BR rats were exposed to 22 NF₃ atmospheres of), 5, 20, 50, or 100 ppm for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week (O'Neill 2003). 23 Chamber atmospheres were measured with gas chromatography. The study design followed U.S. 24 EPA OPPTS 870.3465 guidelines for subchronic inhalation toxicity. Rats were observed daily 25 for clinical signs and weighed weekly. Food consumption was monitored. Prior to sacrifice, 26 blood was sampled for hematology and clinical chemistry determinations and urine was collected 27 for urinalysis. At sacrifice, organs were weighed and major organs and tissues were examined 28 microscopically. Five rats per group were allowed a one-month recovery period following which 29 they were subjected to the same clinical chemistry and tissue analysis.

30

31 There were no deaths during the study, and there were no treatment-related clinical signs 32 or effects on body weight or food consumption. At termination of exposure, red cell mass 33 parameters (erythrocyte count, Hb, hematocrit) were mildly to moderately decreased in male and 34 female rats exposed to 20 ppm and above. At day 94, red cell counts in the 5, 20, 50, and 100 35 ppm groups were 98%, 93%, 93%, and 85% of control (males) and 99%, 93% 82%, and 81% of 36 control (females), respectively. These decrements were accompanied by increased erythropoiesis 37 in bone marrow, spleen, and liver. Congestion was observed in the spleen and pigment 38 deposition was found in the spleen, liver, and kidney. Spleen weight was increased. Fluoride 39 was increased in urine, but not in plasma. Following the recovery period, erythropoiesis returned 40 to normal, pigment deposition in the spleen, liver, and kidneys was reduced, and organ weight 41 and gross and microscopic pathology were considered normal. The author concluded these 42 changes were reversible physiological responses to hemolysis, i.e., the changes were reversible 43 following a 4-week recovery period. At day 128, erythrocyte counts ranged from 105-110% of control in males and 105-109% of control in females. Based on the severity of the increased 44 45 erythrocyte turnover, the study author considered 100 ppm the LOAEL for male rats and 50 ppm the LOAEL for female rats. 46

3

4

There were no chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity studies with NF₃.

3.8. Summary

5 Acute lethality studies have been conducted with monkeys, dogs, rats, and mice. The 15-, 6 30-, and 60-minute LC₅₀ values for monkeys were 24,000, 14,000, and 10,000 ppm, respectively. 7 The 15-, 30-, and 60-minute LC₅₀ values for dogs were 38,000, 20,400, and 9600 ppm, 8 respectively. The 15-, 30-, and 60-minute LC_{50} values for rats were 26,700, 11,700, and 6700 9 ppm, respectively. The 15-, 30-, and 60-minute LC_{50} values for mice were 19,300, 12,300, and 10 7500 ppm, respectively (Vernot et al. 1973). In an earlier study, no rats died when exposed to 20,000 ppm for 12 minutes, 10,000 ppm for 30 minutes, 5000 ppm for 1 hour, 2500 ppm for 2 11 12 hours, or 1000 ppm for 7 hours (Torkelson et al. 1962). Mortality was 100% when the exposure 13 to 20,000 ppm was extended to 30 minutes and the exposure to 10,000 ppm was extended to 1 14 hour. An NF₃ concentration of 4000 ppm for 3 hours was non-lethal, but all rats died when the 15 exposure was extended to 225 minutes (Dost et al. 1970a). In all studies, deaths occurred within 16 a few minutes post-exposure and were attributed to tissue anoxia as a result of circulating 17 MetHb.

18

Exposure to 120,000 ppm-minutes (7000 ppm for 15 minutes, 3500 ppm for 30 minutes, or 2000 ppm for 60 minutes) oxidized 15% of oxyHb to MetHb in monkeys and dogs (Vernot et al. 1973). There were no clinical signs of NF₃ intoxication. Hematology parameters were affected only in dogs, with an average 16% decrease in erythrocyte count, Hb and hematocrit. Following a 30,000 ppm-minute exposure, (presumably 2000 ppm for 15 minutes, 1000 ppm for 30 minutes, or 500 ppm for 60 minutes), hematology parameters were unaffected in dogs.

In a two-week, repeat exposure study, 22 ppm for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week, was a NOAEL for methemoglobinemia in rats as observed by changes in erythrocyte count, reduced Hb, and hematocrit (Bamberger 1998). A 100 ppm concentration increased MetHb from 0.5% to 0.8% in male rats and from 0.4% to 0.6% in female rats. Increased erythropoiesis indicated that the effect was reversible. In a 19-week study, exposure to 100 ppm NF₃ for 7 hours/day, 5 days/week, failed to change hematology parameters, but mild to moderate histologic changes were seen in the liver and kidneys (Torkelson et al. 1962).

33

In a 90-day study, rats were exposed to NF₃ atmospheres of 0, 5, 20, 50, or 100 ppm for 6 hours/day and 5 days/week (O'Neill 2003). Mild to moderate reductions in erythrocyte count, Hb, and hematocrit seen at the end of exposure resolved following a 4-week recovery period.

Nitrogen trifluoride concentrations of 5, 20, 50, or 100 ppm for six hours/day on days 6 through 20 of gestation were not developmentally toxic to the fetal rat (Munley 2003), and there is no evidence from standard short-term tests to suggest NF_3 presents a genotoxic or mutagenic hazard (Winegar et al. 1996; Air Products 2002).

42 43

4. SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

Metabolism and Disposition

44 45 4.1.

46 Nitrogen trifluoride oxidizes erythrocyte (oxy)Hb to MetHb which is unable to bind
 47 oxygen. Methemoglobin is normally <1% of the total blood Hb. Methemoglobinemia is

1 diagnosed when the percent MetHb is greater than 1.5%. Methemoglobin is reduced the NADH-

 $2 \qquad \text{dependent cytochrome } b_5 \text{ reductase (also called MetHb reductase or NADH-diaphorase) which is}$

3 present in the erythrocyte (Bloom and Brandt 2008). This enzyme accounts for 60-95% of the

4 MetHb reduction. Two other less important reducing systems are present. The combined MetHb $\frac{1}{2}$

5 reduction pathways can reduce the ferric (Fe^{+3}) iron of MetHb at a rate of approximately 15% per 6 hour. Rats in which 50% or more of Hb was oxidized required about two hours to reduce the

hour. Rats in which 50% or more of Hb was oxidized required about two hours to reduce the
MetHb levels to less than 10% (Dost et al. 1970a). Methemoglobin levels remained elevated at

8 2-5% for several days.

9

Exposure of male Sprague-Dawley rats to 10,000 ppm NF₃ for 45 minutes converted 37% of the total Hb to MetHb when measured immediately after exposure (Coppoc and Leger 1970). At the same time, there was a 6-fold increase in blood lactate, but both parameters returned to normal two hours later. Serum isocitric dehydrogenase did not change measurably. Serum glutamic oxalacetic transaminase was unchanged at 1 hour, but was increased 2- to 3-fold by 6 hours post-exposure.

16

17 Acute inhalation of NF₃ by rats, 5000 ppm for 50 minutes, resulted in a moderate increase in tissue fluoride at the end of the exposure that resolved in one day (Dost et al. 1970b). A high 18 19 erythrocyte fluoride content, 15-28 μ g/gram tissue wet weight, persisted during the 0 to 48-hour 20 recovery period. A control value was not provided, but exposures to other fluorides that were not 21 taken up to a great degree showed erythrocyte fluoride levels of 2.1-3.3 µg/gram tissue wet weight. Fluorine exposure was associated with an ammonium sulfate precipitate of erythrocyte 22 proteins including Hb and MetHb (Dost et al. 1970a). Spectral examination indicated that this 23 24 complex was not fluoromethemoglobin.

25 26

27

4.2. Mechanism of Toxicity

28 The acute inhalation toxicity of NF₃ is due to formation of MetHb, with death ensuing 29 from the resulting anoxia (Ruff 1931; Torkelson et al. 1962; Dost et al. 1970a). Methemoglobin 30 is incapable of carrying oxygen to the tissues. The exact mechanism for the reaction with Hb is 31 unknown. Reaction of NF₃ with Hb, both in vitro and in vivo with anesthetized dogs indicates 32 that one mole of NF₃ oxidizes three heme equivalents (Dost et al. 1971). Death in monkeys, 33 dogs, rats, and mice following acute exposures was attributed to MetHb formation or conversely, 34 the amount of oxyhemoglobin remaining in the blood as measured immediately after exposure 35 (Vernot et al. 1973). A decrease in oxyhemoglobin to less than 4 g/100 mL (i.e., >75% MetHb) 36 invariably resulted in death of the animals. Oxyhemoglobin values in surviving animals returned 37 to pre-exposure values at 5-10 hours post-exposure.

38

The concentration of MetHb in the blood is generally less than 1% (Bloom and Brandt 2008). Most individuals tolerate levels less than 10%, but cyanosis may be obvious when MetHb concentration exceeds 5-10%. Levels above 20% are considered clinically significant. Signs and symptoms associated with MetHb concentrations in humans are summarized in Table 9 (Kiese 1974; Seger 1992). The classifications in Table 9 are based on acute clinical

44 methemoglobinemia. Methemoglobinemia is usually accompanied by the presence of Heinz

45 bodies. Heinz bodies are precipitates of proteins in erythrocytes that develop after oxidation of

46 Hb. Erythrocytes with Heinz bodies are removed by the spleen.

1 Dogs may develop anemia following acute exposure to NF₃. Dogs that inhaled 2000 ppm 2 for 60 minutes had Hb, hematocrit, and erythrocyte count reduced by approximately 16% (Vernot 3 et al. 1973). Dogs that survived a 60-minute exposure to 9600 ppm showed a 33% decrease in 4 these parameters, attaining maximum reductions at about 2 weeks. Hematologic changes then 5 recovered slowly, attaining normal values by 40 days post-exposure.

A one-minute intra-tracheal instillation of male Sprague-Dawley rats with 100% NF₃
caused a rapid, sharp drop in blood pressure and a decrease in heart rate (Foster 1970). Blood
pressure and heart rate recovered slowly, but were still below pre-exposure values at 60 minutes
after treatment.

- 11
- 12

TABLE 9. Signs and Symptoms Associated with Methemoglobin Concentrations in Humans		
Methemoglobin Concentration (%)	Signs and Symptoms	
1.1	Normal level	
1-15	None	
15-20	Clinical cyanosis (chocolate brown blood);	
	no hypoxic symptoms	
30	Fatigue; recovery without treatment	
20-45	Anxiety, exertional dyspnea, weakness, fatigue, dizziness, lethargy,	
	headache, syncope, tachycardia	
45-55	Decreased level of consciousness	
55-70	Hypoxic symptoms: semistupor, lethargy, seizures, coma, bradycardia,	
	cardiac arrhythmias	
>70	Heart failure from hypoxia;	
	high incidence of mortality	
>85	Lethal	

- 13 Sources: Kiese 1974; Seger 1992.
- 14
- 15

17

16 4.3. Structure-Activity Relationships

18 Other fluorides including chlorine trifluoride and bromine pentafluoride have been tested 19 (Dost et al. 1970b); however, the mode of action for non-nitrogen fluorides does not involve 20 formation of MetHb. Therefore, structure-activity relationships between these other fluorides 21 and NF₃ are not relevant to development of AEGL values. The related chemical, nitrogen 22 trichloride, is a thick, oily liquid (O'Neil et al. 2001). No toxicity information for nitrogen 23 trichloride was available in the open literature.

24

25 **4.4.** Other Relevant Information

- 26 **4.4.1. Species Variability**
- 27

Compared with the rat and mouse, the monkey and dog are more similar to humans regarding hematopoiesis and blood cell kinetics (Bloom and Brandt 2008). Results of some *in* vitro studies in which nitrates were incubated with erythrocytes from humans and the dog, cat, mouse, and rabbit, show that spontaneous methemoglobin reductase activity is similar in the dog, cat, and human (Stolk and Smith 1966; Smith 1996). *In vitro* studies with acetanilide show that

33 the rat, mouse, rabbit, guinea pig, and monkey are less sensitive to MetHb formation and

generally have a faster reduction of the induced MetHb than humans, dogs, and cats (Blom 1

- 2 2000). In vivo, differences in sensitivity are due to several species-specific factors including rate
- 3 of metabolism of the inhaled chemical to the methemoglobin-inducing form and activity of
- 4 methemoglobin reductase and other reducing components of the blood. Nitrogen trifluoride is
- 5 presumably a direct-acting chemical and metabolism is not involved in formation of MetHb. Humans, dogs, and cats are more sensitive than rodents or rabbits to chemically-induced 6
- 7 methemoglobinemia due in part to the reduced activity of erythrocytic methemoglobin reductase.
- In vitro studies show that the erythrocytes of beagle dogs are comparatively poor in 8
- 9 methemoglobin reductase (Srivastava et al. 2002). This differential sensitivity did not affect
- lethality values (Table 6), but was associated with reductions in hematology parameters in the 10
- 11 dog.
- 12

13 Based on 60-minute LC₅₀ values (Table 6; Vernot et al. 1973) monkeys, dogs, rats and mice showed similar sensitivity to the effects of inhaled NF₃. The 60-minute LC₅₀ values ranged 14 15 from to 6700 for the rat to 10,000 ppm for the monkey. At concentration-exposure duration 16 combinations that resulted in 15% MetHb formation, i.e., 120,000 ppm-minute, monkeys and 17 dogs showed similar sensitivity (Table 7). After beagle dogs inhaled 9600 ppm NF₃, preexposure MetHb values were attained in <10 hours (Vernot et al. 1973). However, hematology 18 19 values required longer to recover in dogs compared with monkeys. Exposure of dogs to 20 approximately 31,000 ppm-min (510 ppm for 60 minutes) resulted in no change in baseline 21 hematology values.

22

4.4.2. Susceptible Populations

23 24

25 There are individuals who are sensitive to chemicals that oxidize Hb to MetHb (Eaton 26 and Gilbert 2008). Individuals with an inherited deficiency of NADH-methemoglobin reductase 27 have 10-15% of their circulating blood pigment in the form of MetHb. This condition is 28 inherited as an autosomal recessive trait and is characterized by a deficiency in NADH-29 cytochrome b5 reductase activity. The effect is primarily cosmetic as these individuals have a 30 compensatory polycythemia, although symptoms may occur during exercise. Other individuals 31 may have a deficiency of erythrocyte NADPH-glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, an enzyme responsible, via the pentose phosphate shunt, for generating an alternate source of energy for the 32 33 cell; these individuals do not have elevated levels of MetHb as this is a minor MetHb reducing 34 system (Kiese 1974; Seger 1992). The Hb of individuals with defective Hb M or H is more 35 susceptible to autooxidation of the ferric iron (Seger 1992; Smith 1996). Individuals with Hb M maintain MetHb levels of 25-30% and are clinically cyanotic. Individuals with Hb H suffer from 36 37 chronic hemolytic anemia (thalassemia). Individuals with anemia may be more sensitive to 38 MetHb-forming chemicals than healthy individuals.

39

40 NADH-methemoglobin reductase is deficient in neonates making them especially 41 sensitive to chemicals that cause methemoglobinemia (Gregus and Klaassen 2001). NADH lacks 42 full activity until infants are four months of age.

- 44 4.4.3. Concentration-Exposure Duration Relationship
- 45

43

46 The concentration-time relationship for a single endpoint for many irritant and

47 systemically acting vapors and gases may be described by $C^n x t = k$. A computer program

developed by ten Berge (2006) and based on probit analysis integrates all concentration and time 1 2 information for a range of lethality data. Concentration, exposure duration, and response, 3 including the number of animals responding, are considered simultaneously in a linear regression equation, with the Maximum Likelihood statistical method used to find the closest estimates of 4 5 the regression coefficients for each parameter. The probit-analysis dose-response program of ten Berge was applied to the animal lethality data sets of Vernot et al. (1973) and Dost et al. (1970a) 6 7 to estimate the threshold for lethality at each AEGL exposure duration (with confidence limits of 8 95%). For the monkey, dog and rat (single and combined data sets), the calculated values of n in 9 $C^n x t = k$ were 1.5, 1.0, and 1.2, respectively. 10 11 4.4.4. Concurrent Exposure Issues 12 13 No information relevant to concurrent exposure issues was located. 14 15 **DATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-1** 5. 16 5.1. Summary of Human Data Relevant to AEGL-1 17 18 No clinical studies appropriate for the derivation of AEGL-1 values for NF₃ were 19 available. 20 21 5.2. **Summary of Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-1** 22 23 Methemoglobin formation under varying conditions of NF₃ exposure is summarized in Table 7 (Vernot et al. 1973). Hematology parameters in monkeys and dogs exposed to 30,000 24 25 ppm-minutes (presumably 2000 ppm for 15 minutes, 1000 ppm for 30 minutes, or 500 ppm for 60 minutes) remained unaffected, and MetHb (2.2%) in dogs was within the analytical limits of 26 27 the control value. Exposure to 64,500 ppm-minutes (1075 ppm for 60 minutes) oxidized 9.7% of 28 circulation Hb to MetHb in dogs. Exposure to NF₃ at 105,000 to 120,000 ppm-minutes (7000 29 ppm for 15 minutes, 3500 ppm for 30 minutes, or 2000 ppm for 60 minutes) induced 15% MetHb in monkeys and dogs (Vernot et al. 1973). There were no clinical signs of anoxia at these 30 31 levels. Hematology parameters were affected only in dogs (average 16% reduction in erythrocyte 32 count, Hb and hematocrit).

33

34 5.3. Derivation of AEGL-1

35

36 AEGL-1 values were based on an exposure of monkeys and dogs to 2000 ppm NF₃ for 60 minutes (total administered dose of 120,000 ppm-minutes) (Vernot et al. 1973). This 37 38 concentration-exposure duration resulted in 15% MetHb formation in dogs and 10% in monkeys. 39 A MetHb concentration of $\leq 15\%$ is without clinical signs or symptoms. Hematology changes (decreases in erythrocyte count, Hb, and hematocrit of approximately 16%), seen only in dogs, 40 were reversible. An interspecies uncertainty factor of 1 was applied because LC₅₀ values were 41 42 similar for all four species and because the dog is the most sensitive species for effects on 43 hematology parameters. Furthermore, compared with rodents, the dog is more hematologically 44 similar to humans regarding hematopoiesis and blood cell kinetics. An intraspecies uncertainty factor of 10 was applied because infants, lacking the NADH cofactor for methemoglobin 45 46 reductase, are especially sensitive to MetHb-forming chemicals. In addition, some humans may 47 be anemic, have hereditary methemoglobinemia, or have defective hemoglobins. The resulting

- 1 60-minute 200 ppm value was time-scaled using an n value of 1.0 from the dog lethality studies.
- 2 The same endpoint of methemoglobinemia was the basis for time-scaling in the lethality studies.
- 3 Calculations are in Appendix A and AEGL-1 values are summarized in Table 10. A category
- 4 graph of the AEGL values in relation to the toxicity data is in Appendix B.
- 5

TABLE 10. AEGL-1 Values for Nitrogen Trifluoride				
10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-hour
1200 ppm	400 ppm	200 ppm	50 ppm	25 ppm
(3500 mg/m^3)	(1200 mg/m^3)	(580 mg/m^3)	(150 mg/m^3)	(73 mg/m^3)

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

6. DATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-2

6.1. Summary of Human Data Relevant to AEGL-2

No human studies appropriate for the derivation of AEGL-2 values for NF_3 were available.

6.2. Summary of Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-2

16 No data on an NF₃ concentration that would result in effects consistent with the definition 17 of an AEGL-2 were available in the open literature. A MetHb concentration of approximately 18 45% is the threshold for loss of consciousness (Table 9). AEGL-values for aniline were also 19 based on MetHb formation (NRC 2000). A MetHb concentration of 41% in rats that inhaled 150 20 ppm aniline for 8 hours was the point of departure for the AEGL-2 values for aniline.

- 2122 6.3.
- 23

Derivation of AEGL-2

24 A MetHb concentration of 40-45% is predicted to induce weakness, fatigue, dizziness, 25 and lethargy in humans (Kiese 1974; Seger 1992). These symptoms are the threshold for an impaired ability to escape and meet the definition of an AEGL-2. Responses to MetHb-forming 26 chemicals are similar in dogs and humans. Data on an atmospheric concentration of NF₃ that 27 would result in a MetHb concentration of approximately 43% in the dog were unavailable. The 28 29 relationship between the atmospheric concentration of NF₃ and MetHb formation is linear 30 (Figure 1). Therefore, a concentration of NF₃ of 43% was estimated as the midpoint between the 31 15% MetHb concentration that defines the AEGL-1 and the 70% MetHb predicted threshold value for lethality as cited in several reviews (Kiese 1974; Seger 1992) and referenced in the key 32 33 and supporting studies (Dost et al. 1970a; Vernot et al. 1973). The AEGL-2 values for each 34 exposure duration were calculated as the midpoint concentration between each AEGL-1 and AEGL-3 value. Inter- and intraspecies uncertainty factors are already accounted for in derivation 35 36 of the AEGL-1 and AEGL-3 values. Calculations are in Appendix A and AEGL-2 values are summarized in Table 11. A category graph of the AEGL values in relation to the toxicity data is 37 38 in Appendix B.



FIGURE 1. Measured and projected methemoglobin levels in dogs exposed to NF_3 for 60 minutes. Measured (solid line); projected (dashed line). The projected value is based on a 60-minute threshold for lethality in dogs of 8646 ppm resulting in formation of 70% MetHb.

TABLE 11. AEGL-2 Values for Nitrogen Trifluoride				
10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h
3100 ppm	1100 ppm	530 ppm	140 ppm	68 ppm
(9000 mg/m^3)	(3200 mg/m^3)	(1500 mg/m^3)	(400 mg/m^3)	(200 mg/m^3)

9 10

7 8

11 12

14 15

16

17

19

7. DATA ANALYSIS FOR AEGL-3

13 7.1. Summary of Human Data Relevant to AEGL-3

No human studies appropriate for the derivation of AEGL-3 values for NF_3 were available.

18 7.2. Summary of Animal Data Relevant to AEGL-3

Several NF₃ studies addressed lethality. Mortality values for monkeys, dogs, rats, and mice were reported at various concentrations over exposure durations from 15 to 60 minutes (Dost et al. 1970a; Vernot et al. 1973; see Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5). Rats and mice were also exposed to a single concentration for 120 minutes. At the same exposure duration, LC_{50} values for the four species differed by no more than a factor of 2 (Table 6). Dost et al. (1970a) reported lethality values in rats following exposure to 4000 ppm for 180 or 225 minutes or exposure to 10,000 ppm for 63.5 minutes. Respective mortalities were 0/10, 10/10, and 30/35.

7.3. Derivation of AEGL-3

2 3 The AEGL-3 values were based on the threshold for lethality in the dog (Vernot et al. 4 1973). The dog was chosen because, compared with rodents, the dog is more similar to humans 5 regarding MetHb reductase activity and hematopoiesis. Furthermore, the dog was the most 6 sensitive species concerning post-exposure anemia. The threshold for lethality at each AEGL-3 7 exposure duration was calculated using the probit-based, dose-response program of ten Berge 8 (2006). The threshold for lethality was set at 1% (NRC 2001). This value is similar to the benchmark dose BMC₀₁. The data indicated a time-scaling value of 1.0 ($C^{1.0}$ x t = k). An 9 interspecies uncertainty factor of 1 was applied because mortality for four species exposed under 10 identical conditions differed by less no more than a factor of 2, and the dog was considered an 11 12 appropriate model for humans. An intraspecies uncertainty factor of 10 was applied because 13 infants, lacking the NADH cofactor for methemoglobin reductase, are especially sensitive to 14 MetHb-forming chemicals. Furthermore, humans who are anemic, deficient in MetHb reductase, 15 or have abnormal Hb are more sensitive to MetHb-generating chemicals. Output data from the 16 ten Berge program are in Appendix A and AEGL-3 values are summarized in Table 12. The 17 monkey and rat data were also analyzed and results are summarized in Appendix A. A category 18 graph of the AEGL values in relation to NF₃ toxicity data is in Appendix B.

19

1

TABLE 12. AEGL-3 Values for Nitrogen Trifluoride				
10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h
5000 ppm (15,000 mg/m ³)	1700 ppm (4900 mg/m ³)	860 ppm (2500 mg/m ³)	220 ppm (640 mg/m ³)	110 ppm (320 mg/m ³)

20

The 8-hour AEGL-3 value of 110 ppm may be considered conservative. Rats exposed to 100 ppm for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for two weeks showed marginal to moderate reductions in erythrocyte count, Hb, and hematocrit (Bamberger 1998), and rats exposed to 100 ppm for 7 hours/day, 5 days/week for 19 weeks showed no changes in hematology parameters (Torkelson et al. 1962).

2627 8. SUMMARY OF AEGLs

28 8.1. AEGL Values and Toxicity Endpoints

30 31

29

AEGL values are summarized in Table 13. Derivations are summarized in Appendix C.

TABLE 13. Summary of AEGL Values					
		Exposure Duration			
Classification	10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h
AEGL-1 (Nondisabling)	1200 ppm (3500 mg/m ³)	400 ppm (1200 mg/m ³)	200 ppm (580 mg/m ³)	50 ppm (150 mg/m ³)	25 ppm (73 mg/m ³)
AEGL-2 (Disabling)	3100 ppm (9000 mg/m ³)	1100 ppm (3200 mg/m ³)	530 ppm (1500 mg/m ³)	140 ppm (400 mg/m ³)	68 ppm (200 mg/m ³)
AEGL-3 (Lethal)	5000 ppm (15,000 mg/m ³)	1700 ppm (4900 mg/m ³)	860 ppm (2500 mg/m ³)	220 ppm (640 mg/m ³)	110 ppm (320 mg/m ³)

1 8.2. **Comparison with Other Standards and Guidelines** 2 3 Standards and guidelines for NF₃ are listed in Table 14. 4 5 Based on the absence of an odor warning property at concentrations that are toxic, the 6 American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) did not develop a level 1 Emergency Response 7 Planning Guideline (ERPG-1) for airborne NF₃ (AIHA 2005). The 1-hour ERPG-2 is based on a 8 1-hour exposure of mice, rats, dogs, and monkeys to 2000 ppm NF₃ (Vernot et al. 1973). 9 Monkeys experienced 10.3% MetHb at this exposure concentration-duration. A reduction to 400 10 ppm for 1-hour was expected to result in <10% MetHb formation. The ERPG-3 was based on the 1-hour LC₅₀ values of 10,000 ppm and 9600 ppm in monkeys and dogs, respectively (Vernot 11 12 et al. 1973). A reduction to 800 ppm for 1 hour was not expected to be fatal to most people. 13 14 The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Immediately 15 Dangerous to Life and Health (IDLH) 30-minute value of 1000 ppm is based on the 60-minute 16 lethality studies of Vernot et al. (1973) with four species of animals (NIOSH 1996). The one-17 LC₅₀ hour values (6700-9600 ppm) were adjusted to 30 minutes by multiplying by a factor of 18 1.25, averaged, and then reduced 10-fold. 19 20 The National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council Committee on Toxicology 21 recommended 10-, 30-, and 60-minute Emergency Exposure Limits (EELs) of 2250, 750, and 375 ppm (Dinman 1974). These values were intended for healthy adult military or space 22 23 personnel only. The values were based on the study of Vernot et al. (1973), but the basis was not 24 provided. 25 26 The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) Threshold 27 Limit Value – Time Weighted Average (TLV-TWA) of 10 ppm (ACGIH 2001) is a 10-fold 28 reduction of the 19-week 100 ppm value that resulted in mild to moderate hepatic and renal 29 changes in rats (Torkelson et al. 1962). The NIOSH REL, OSHA PEL, and Dutch MAC are 10 30 ppm. Germany has not set workplace values for NF₃. 31 32

TABLE 14. Standards and Guidelines for Nitrogen Trifluoride					
		Exposure Duration			
Guideline	10 min	30 min	1 h	4 h	8 h
AEGL-1	1200 ppm	400 ppm	200 ppm	50 ppm	25 ppm
AEGL-2	3100 ppm	1100 ppm	530 ppm	140 ppm	68 ppm
AEGL-3	5000 ppm	1700 ppm	860 ppm	220 ppm	110 ppm
ERPG-1 (AIHA) ^a			NA		
ERPG-2 (AIHA)			400 ppm		
ERPG-3 (AIHA)			800 ppm		
EEL (Dinman	2250 ppm	750 ppm	375 ppm	—	_
1974) ^b					
IDLH		1000 ppm			
(NIOSH) ^c					
REL-TWA					10 ppm
(NIOSH) ^d					
OSHA PEL					10 ppm
(NIOSH) ^e					
TLV-TWA					10 ppm
(ACGIH) ^t					
MAK (Germany) ^g					Not determined
MAC (The					10 ppm
Netherlands) ^h					

3 4 7

^aERPG (Emergency Response Planning Guidelines, American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA 2005)

The ERPG-1 is the maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing other than mild, transient adverse health effects or without perceiving a clearly defined objectionable odor.

The ERPG-2 is the maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing or developing irreversible or other serious health effects or symptoms that could impair an individual's ability to take protective action.

The ERPG-3 is the maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing or developing life-threatening health effects.

^b**EELs (Emergency Exposure Limits)** (Dinman 1974) are for the designated time periods and apply to emergency situations with military and space personnel only.

^cIDLH (Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health) (NIOSH 1996) represents the maximum concentration from which one could escape within 30 minutes without any escape-impairing symptoms, or any irreversible health effects.

^dNIOSH REL-TWA (National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health, Recommended Exposure Limits -Time Weighted Average) (NIOSH 2008) is defined analogous to the ACGIH-TLV-TWA.

^eOSHA PEL-TWA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Permissible Exposure Limits - Time Weighted Average) (NIOSH 2008) is defined analogous to the ACGIH-TLV-TWA, but is for exposures of no more than 10 hours/day, 40 hours/week.

⁷ ^fACGIH TLV-TWA (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Threshold Limit Value -

Time Weighted Average) (ACGIH 2001) is the time-weighted average concentration for a normal 8-hour workday and a 40-hour workweek, to which nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed, day after day, without adverse effect.

^gMAK (Maximale Arbeitsplatzkonzentration [Maximum Workplace Concentration]) (Deutsche

33 Forschungsgemeinschaft [German Research Association] 2007) is defined analogous to the ACGIH-TLV-TWA.

^hMAC (Maximaal Aanvaarde Concentratie [Maximal Accepted Concentration]) (SDU Uitgevers [under the auspices of the Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment], The Hague, The Netherlands 2000) is defined similar to the ACGIH TLV.

8.3. Data Adequacy and Research Needs

9. **REFERENCES**

- ACGIH (American Conference of Government and Industrial Hygienists). 2001. Nitrogen trifluoride. Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices. Cincinnati, OH: ACGIH.
- AIHA (American Industrial Hygiene Association). 2005. Emergency Response Planning Guidelines: Nitrogen Trifluoride. Fairfax, VA: AIHA.
- Air Products. 2002. Summary NF₃ Documents. Unpublished data, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Allentown, PA.
- Bamberger, J.R. 1998. Nitrogen Trifluoride; Two-week Inhalation Toxicity Study in Rats. HL-1998-01107; E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company, Haskell Laboratory for Toxicology and Industrial Medicine, Newark, DE.
- Blom, W.M. 2000. Methemoglobin/Heinz Bodies. Chapter 1 in R. Luttik and M.T.M. van Raaij (eds),
 Factsheets for the (Eco)toxicological Risk Assessment Strategy of the National Institute of Public
 Health and the Environment (RIVM). Bilthoven, The Netherlands: RIVM.
- Bloom, J.C. and J.T. Brandt. 2008. Chapter 11: Toxic Responses of the Blood. Pp. 455-484 in Casarett
 & Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons. New York: McGraw Hill Companies, Inc.
- Coppoc, G.L. and S.J. Leger. 1970. Effect of Nitrogen Trifluoride on Plasma Concentrations of Lactate,
 Methemoglobin, and Selected Enzymes. AD711044, available from National Technical Information
 Service, Springfield, VA.
- Dinman, B.D. 1974. Recommendation for revised Emergency Exposure Limits for spills of NF₃.
 Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 28:498-499.
- 38 Dost, F.N., D.J. Reed, and C.H. Wang. 1970a. Toxicology of nitrogen trifluoride. Toxicol. Appl.
 39 Pharmacol. 17:585-596.
 40
- 41 Dost, F.N., D.J. Reed, T.D. Cooper, and C.H. Wang. 1970b. Fluorine distribution in rats following acute
 42 intoxication with nitrogen and halogen fluorides and with sodium fluoride. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.
 43 17:573-584.
 44
- 45 Dost, F.N., D.J. Reed, D.E. Johnson, and C.H. Wang. 1971. Stoichiometry of the reaction of hemoglobin
 46 with nitrogen trifluoride *in vitro* and *in vivo*. J. Pharmacol Exp. Therap. 176:448-454.
 47
- Eaton, D.L. and S.G. Gilbert. 2008. Chapter 2: Principles of Toxicology. P. 16 in Casarett & Doull's
 Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons. New York: McGraw Hill Companies, Inc.

34

1 2 3	Foster, L.L. 1970. Effects of nitrogen trifluoride on cardiovascular system of rats. AD705045, available from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA.
4 5 6 7	German Research Association (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft). 2007. List of MAK and BAT Values 2007. Report No. 43, Commission for the Investigation of Health Hazards of Chemical Compounds in the Work Area. Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co.
, 8 9 10	Gregus, Z. and C.D. Klaassen. 2001. Chapter 3: Mechanisms of Toxicity. In Casarett & Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons. New York; McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., pp. 71.
11 12 13 14	Henderson, P.B. and A.J. Woytek. 1994. Fluorine Compounds, Inorganic, Nitrogen. Pp. 1-6 in Kirk- Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Available online: http://www.mrw.interscience.wiley.com/emrw/9780471238966/kirk/article/nitrhend.a01/cur
15 16	Kiese, M. 1974. Methemoglobinemia: A Comprehensive Treatise. Cleveland, OH: CRC Press.
17 18 19	MacEwen, J.D. and E.H. Vernot. 1969. Toxic Hazards Research Unit Annual Technical Report: 1969. AMRL-TR-69-84; Aerospace Medical Research Laboratory, Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.
20 21 22 23	Munley, S.M. 2003. H-25502: Inhalation Pilot Developmental Toxicity Study in Rats. DuPont-12037, E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Haskell Laboratory for Health and Environmental Sciences, Newark, DE.
24 25 26	NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health). 1996. Nitrogen Trifluoride: IDLH Documentation. Available online: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/idlh/7783542.html</u> .
27 28 29	NIOSH (National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health). 2008. NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards. Available online: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npgd0455.html</u> .
30 31 32	NRC (National Research Council). 2000. Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne Chemicals, Vol. 1. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
33 34 35	NRC (National Research Council). 2001. Standing Operating Procedures for Developing Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Chemicals. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
36 37 38	O'Neil, M.J., A. Smith, and P.E. Heckelman. 2001. Nitrogen trifluoride. The Merck Index. Whitehouse Station, NJ: Merck & Co., Inc., p. 1185.
39 40 41	O'Neill, A.J. 2003. H-25502: 90-Day Inhalation Toxicity Study in Rats. DuPont-11595; E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company, Haskell Laboratory for Toxicology and Industrial Medicine, Newark, DE.
42 43	Ruff, O. 1931. Zur Kenntnis des Stickstoff-3-fluorids. Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem. 197:273-286.
44 45 46	SDU Uitgevers. 2000. Nationale MAC List. Under the auspices of the Ministry of Social Affairs and Employment. The Netherlands: The Hague.
47 48 49 50	Seger, D.L. 1992. Methemoglobin-Forming Chemicals. Pp. 800-806 in: J.B. Sullivan and G.R. Krieger, Eds., Hazardous Materials Toxicology: Clinical Principles of Environmental Health. Baltimore, MD: Williams & Wilkins Co.
50 51 52	Smith, R.P. 1996. Toxic responses of the blood. Pp. 335-354 in Casarett and Doull's Toxicology: The Basic Science of Poisons. New York: McGraw-Hill.

1 2 3 4 5	Srivastava, S., A.S. Alhomida, N.J. Siddiqi, S.K. Puri, and V.C. Pandey. 2002. Methemoglobin reductase activity and in vitro sensitivity towards oxidant induced methemoglobinemia in Swiss mice and Beagle dogs erythrocytes. Mole. Cell. Biochem. 232:81-85.
6	Stolk, J.M. and R.P. Smith. 1966. Species differences in methemoglobin reductase activity. Biochem.
7	Pharmacol. 15:343-351.
8	
9	ten Berge. 2006. Online Excel Program: <u>http://home.wxs.nl/~wtberge/doseresp.html</u> .
10	
11	Torkelson, T.R., F. Oyen, S.E. Sadek, and V.K. Rowe. 1962. Preliminary toxicologic studies on nitrogen
12	trifluoride. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 4:7/0-781.
13	
14 15	Vernot, E.H., C.C. Haun, J.D. MacEwen, and G.F. Egan. 1973. Acute inhalation toxicology and
15	proposed emergency exposure limits of nitrogen trifluoride. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 26:1-13.
10	Winner DA EQ Divis DQ Dalleis QL Dall QM Hariber KQ Ol soulling and D
1/ 10	Winegar, R.A., E.S. Riccio, R.C. Baldwin, C.J. Rudd, C.M. Hamilton, K.G. O Lougnin, and B.
10	Mutagen 27 (Suppl 27):75
19 20	Mutagen. 27 (Suppl 27).75.
20	
21	

1	APPENDIX A: Derivation of Nitrogen Trifluoride AEGLs		
2 3 4		Derivation of AEGL-1 Values	
5 6 7 8	Key Study:	Vernot, E.H., C.C. Haun, J.D. MacEwen and G.F. Egan. 1973. Acute inhalation toxicology and proposed emergency exposure limits of nitrogen trifluoride. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 26:1-13.	
9 10 11	Toxicity endpoint:	Methemoglobin formation of $\leq 15\%$ in dogs and monkeys at a dose of 120,000 ppm-minutes (2000 ppm for 60 minutes) in the key study.	
12 13 14	Time scaling:	$C^n x t = k$ where $n = 1.0$ based on the threshold for lethality in dogs in the key study.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Uncertainty factors:	Total uncertainty factor: 10 Interspecies: 1 – Methemoglobin values were similar at identical NF ₃ atmospheric concentrations for four species (monkey, dog, rat, and mouse). Healthy humans are expected to react similarly. Humans and dogs have similar erythrocyte MetHb reductase activity. Dogs were more sensitive than rodents for reductions in hematology parameters. Intraspecies: 10 – Infants are more susceptible to methemoglobin-forming chemicals as they lack NADH, the cofactor for methemoglobin reductase until four months of age. Individuals with congenital methemoglobinemia including abnormal hemoglobins are more susceptible to methemoglobinemia	
26 27	Modifying factor:	None applied	
28 29 30	Calculations:	$(C/10)^n x t = k; n = 1.0$ (2000 ppm/UF of 10) ^{1.0} x 60 minutes = 12,000 ppm•min	
31 32 33	10-min AEGL-1:	$C^{1.0} = 12,000 \text{ ppm} \cdot \text{min}/10 \text{ min}$ C = 1200 ppm	
34 35 36	30-min AEGL-1:	C ^{1.0} = 12,000 ppm•min/30 min C = 400 ppm	
37 38	1-h AEGL-1:	C = 200 ppm	
39 40 41	4-h AEGL-1:	C ^{1.0} = 12,000 ppm•min/240 min C = 50 ppm	
42 43 44 45	8-h AEGL-1:	C ^{1.0} = 12,000 ppm•min/480 min C = 25 ppm	

$\frac{1}{2}$		Derivation of AEGL-2 Values
2 3 4 5 6	Key Study:	Vernot, E.H., C.C. Haun, J.D. MacEwen and G.F. Egan. 1973. Acute inhalation toxicology and proposed emergency exposure limits of nitrogen trifluoride. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 26:1-13.
7 8 9	Toxicity endpoint:	Estimated 43% MetHb in the dog calculated as the mid-point between AEGL-1 (15% MetHb) and AEGL-3 (70% MetHb).
10 11 12	Time scaling	$C^n x t = k$ where $n = 1.0$ on time scaling for the threshold for lethality in dogs in the key study.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Uncertainty factors:	Total uncertainty factor: 10 Interspecies: 1 – Methemoglobin values were similar at similar atmospheric concentrations for four species (monkey, dog, rat, and mouse). Healthy humans are expected to react similarly. The dog was the most sensitive species for changes in hematology parameters. Intraspecies: 10 – Infants are more susceptible to methemoglobin-forming chemicals as they lack NADH, the cofactor for methemoglobin reductase, until four months of age. Individuals with congenital methemoglobinemia including abnormal hemoglobins are more susceptible to methemoglobinemia.
23 24	Calculations:	Midpoint between respective AEGL-1 and AEGL-3 values
25 26 27	10-min AEGL-2:	C = (1200 ppm + 5000 ppm)/2 C = 3100 ppm
28 29 30	30-min AEGL-2:	C = (400 ppm + 1100 ppm)/2 C = 1100 ppm
31 32 33	1-h AEGL-2:	C = (200 ppm + 860 ppm)/2 C = 530 ppm
34 35 36	4-h AEGL-2:	C = 50 ppm + 220 ppm)/2 C = 140 ppm
37 38 39 40 41	8-h AEGL-2:	C = 25 ppm + 110 ppm/2 C = 68 ppm

1		
2		Derivation of AEGL-3 Values
5 4 5 6 7	Key Studies:	Vernot, E.H., C.C. Haun, J.D. MacEwen and G.F. Egan. 1973. Acute inhalation toxicology and proposed emergency exposure limits of nitrogen trifluoride. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol. 26:1-13.
8 9 10	Toxicity endpoint:	Threshold for lethality in dogs at the 1% response calculated with the regression-analysis dose-response program of ten Berge (2006).
10 11 12 13	Time scaling	Values automatically scaled by ten Berge (2006) program: $C^n x t = k$ where $n = 1.0$
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Uncertainty factors:	Total uncertainty factor: 10 Interspecies: 1 – Methemoglobin values were similar at similar atmospheric concentrations for four species (monkey, dog, rat, and mouse). Healthy humans are expected to react similarly. The dog was the most sensitive species for changes in hematology parameters. Intraspecies: 10 – Infants are more susceptible to methemoglobin-forming chemicals as they lack NADH, the cofactor for methemoglobin reductase, until four months of age. Individuals with congenital methemoglobinemia including abnormal hemoglobins are more susceptible to methemoglobinemia.
24 25	Modifying factor:	None applied
26 27	Program output (with total	uncertainty factor of 10 applied)

Exposure Duration	AEGL-3 Value
10 minutes	5000 ppm
30 minutes	1700 ppm
60 minutes	860 ppm
4 hours	220 ppm
8 hours	110 ppm

1 Summary Data – ten Berge Program.

2

3

4

Summary Data Output – ten Berge Program										
	Exposure Duration									
Species	10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h					
Monkey ^a	26,240 ppm	13,000 ppm	8350 ppm	3443 ppm	2211 ppm					
Dog ^a	50,200 ppm	17,070 ppm	8646 ppm	2217 ppm	1123 ppm					
Rat ^b	16,550 ppm	6714 ppm	3799 ppm	1217 ppm	689 ppm					
Rat ^c	17,880 ppm	7317 ppm	4164 ppm	1349 ppm	768 ppm					

Values are not rounded and no uncertainty factor has been applied.

^a Data from Vernot et al. 1973 (monkey: n = 1.5; dog: n = 1.0).

^b Combined data of Vernot et al. 1973 and Dost et al. 1970a (n = 1.2).

Filename: NF3: Monkey,	Log Probit Model (Data of Vernot et al. 19	973)
Date: 17 October 2008	Time: 10:09:03	

Seq.Nr	conc ppm	minutes	exposed	responded
1	21250	15	3	0
2	23490	15	2	1
3	25026	15	2	1
4	27150	15	3	3
5	13038	30	3	0
6	15170	30	3	3
7	8519	60	2	0
8	9390	60	3	1
9	9947	60	3	1
10	11273	60	3	3

Observations	1	through	10	considered!

5	^b Com	bined	data c	of Ve	ernot	et al.	י 1973 נ	and Do	ost et	al. 1970	a (n = 1.2	2).			
6	^c Com	bined	data c	of Ve	ernot	et al.	1973.	Dost e	et al.	1970a, ar	nd Torke	lson	et al. 196	52 (n =	: 1.2).
7							,			,				`	,
8	Filer	name	: NF	3:	Monk	cey,	Log	Prol	bit	Model	(Data	of	Verno	t et	al.
9	Date	: 17	Oct	obe	r 20	800	-	Time	e: 1	10:09:0	03				
10															
11	Seq.1	Jr		С	onc	ppm	r	ninut	tes		expos	ed		respo	onded
12	1				21	L250				15		3			0
13	2				23	3490				15		2			1
14	3				25	5026				15		2			1
15	4				27	7150				15		3			3
16	5				13	3038				30		3			0
17	6				15	5170				30		3			3
18	7				6	3519				60		2			0
19	8				9	9390				60		3			1
20	9				9	9947				60		3			1
21	10				11	273				60		3			3
22															
23	_					_									
24	Obser	rvat	ions	1	thr	rougl	n 10) coi	nsio	dered!					
25	-											-			
20	Seq.r	ır		C	onc	ppm	ľ	nınut	tes		expos	ed		respo	onded
21	1				0.1	0 - 0				1 -		2			0
$\frac{20}{20}$	1				21					15 15		3			0
29	2				23	5490				15		⊿ ว			⊥ 1
30	3				25	0UZ0				15		⊿ ว			⊥ 2
31	4 F				1 2	0 2 0				20		ว ว			0
32	5				10	170				20		ว ว			0
37	0 7				10	$D \perp / U$				50 60		ວ າ			0
35	0				c c	200				60		⊿ ว			1
36	0 0				2	770				60		2			⊥ 1
37	10				11	072				60 60		2			⊥ 3
38	ΤŪ				11					00		5			J
39	Ilged	Dro	hit	Fau	atio	n '	v – 1	30 +	в 1:	*v1 + 1	20*X0				
40	$x_1 =$	con		n m	ln_t	ran	sforr	ned	DT	22.1 1 1					
41	$X_{2} =$	min	utes	. 1	n_tr	ans	forme	-d							
42	112		aceb	/ ±				-u							
43	Chise	mar	e			=		5.0	67						
44	Degre	ees	of f	ree	dom	=		7							
45	Proba	abil	ity	Mod	el	=	5.'	79E-0	01						
46			- 1												
47	Ln(Li	ikel	ihoo	d)	=	= -(6.44								
48	-			-											
49	в 0	= -	1.72	65E	+02		Stu	dent	t	= -2	2.7869				
50	в 1	=	1.50	53E	+01		Stu	dent	t	= 2	2.8737				
51	в 2	=	9.62	11E	+00		Stu	dent	t	= 2	2.8086				

```
123456789
      variance B 0 0 = 3.8380E+03
    covariance B 0 1 = -3.2438E+02
covariance B 0 2 = -2.1052E+02
variance B 1 1 = 2.7440E+01
     covariance B 1 2 = 1.7725E+01
     variance B 2 2 = 1.1734E+01
     Estimation ratio between regression coefficients of ln(conc) and ln(minutes)
10
     Point estimate = 1.565
11
     Lower limit (95% CL) =
                              1.394
12
     Upper limit (95% CL) =
                            1.735
13
14
     Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
15
     minutes
                    = 10
16
     Point estimate
                         conc ppm = 2.624E+04 for response of 1
                                                                     %
     Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.803E+04 for response of
                                                                1
17
                                                                     %
     Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 2.899E+04 for response of
                                                                1
18
                                                                     %
19
20
     Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
21
     minutes
                    = 30
22
23
                       conc ppm = 1.300E+04 for response of 1
     Point estimate
                                                                     8
     Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 9.325E+03 for response of 1
                                                                     %
24
25
     Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.395E+04 for response of 1
                                                                     Ŷ
26
27
28
29
30
31
     Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
     minutes
                    = 60
     Point estimate
                       conc ppm = 8.350E+03 for response of 1
                                                                     %
                                                                1
     Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 5.992E+03 for response of
                                                                     °
     Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 9.020E+03 for response of
                                                                 1
                                                                     %
32
33
     Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
     minutes
                    = 120
34
                       conc ppm = 5.362E+03 for response of 1
     Point estimate
                                                                     Ŷ
35
     Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 3.774E+03 for response of
                                                                1
                                                                     ŝ
36
     Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 5.953E+03 for response of
                                                                 1
                                                                     Ŷ
37
38
     Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
39
     minutes
                    = 240
40
     Point estimate
                       conc ppm = 3.443E+03 for response of
                                                                 1
                                                                     °
41
     Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 2.342E+03 for response of
                                                                 1
                                                                     °
42
     Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 3.988E+03 for response of
                                                                 1
                                                                     %
43
44
     Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
45
     minutes
                    = 480
46
                       conc ppm = 2.211E+03 for response of 1
     Point estimate
                                                                     Ŷ
47
     Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.440E+03 for response of
                                                                1
                                                                     ŝ
     Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 2.696E+03 for response of
48
                                                                1
                                                                     ŝ
49
50
```

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SEd·WT	Conc ppm	Minutes	Exposed	Responded
2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Observations 1 through 11 considered! Seq.nr Conc ppm Minutes exposed responded 1 31816 15 3 0 2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 2 10 11450 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Used Probit Equation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2 K1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed K2 = Minutes, ln-transformed K2 = Minutes, ln-transformed ChiSquare = 3.65 Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = 8.88E-01 (89%) Can(Likelihood) = -7.40 8 0 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 3 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 Variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	1	31816	15	3	0
3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 20bservations 1 through 11 considered! Seq.nr Conc ppm Minutes exposed responded 1 31816 15 3 0 2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8650 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 86550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 11 9600 60 18 4 2 11 9600 60 18 4 2 11 9600 60 18 4 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 88550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 3 8 88550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 10 11450 60 2 2 10 11450 60 2 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 5 2 10 11450 60 2 2 10 11450 60 2 2 10 11450 60 2 2 10 11450 60 2 2 10 11450 60 2 10 11450 60 2 3 2 1.4481E-01 (89%) ChiSquare = 3.65 Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = 8.88E-01 (89%) ChiLikelihood) = -7.40 3 0 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 3 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 Variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	2	37600	15	5	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	41410	15	3	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	43460	15	3	3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	19434	30	3	0
7 24026 30 3 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Observations 1 through 11 considered! Seq.nr Conc ppm Minutes exposed responded 1 31816 15 3 0 2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Observation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2 61 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C3 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 3 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 Variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	6	20779	30	3	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	24026	30	3	3
9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Observations 1 through 11 considered! Seq.nr Conc ppm Minutes exposed responded 1 31816 15 3 0 2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Used Probit Equation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2 All = Conc ppm, In-transformed C1 = Conc ppm, In-transformed C2 = Minutes, In-transformed C2 = Minutes, In-transformed C3 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 3 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 Variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	8	8550	60	2	0
10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Observations 1 through 11 considered! Seq.nr Conc ppm Minutes exposed responded 1 31816 15 3 0 2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Used Probit Equation $Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2$ C1 = Conc ppm, In-transformed C2 = Minutes, In-transformed C2 = Minutes, In-transformed C2 = Minutes, In-transformed C3 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 3 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	9	9947	60	3	2
11 9600 60 18 4 Observations 1 through 11 considered! Seq.nr Conc ppm Minutes exposed responded 1 31816 15 3 0 2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Used Probit Equation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2 K1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C3 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 3 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	10	11450	60	2	2
Observations 1 through 11 considered! Seq.nr Conc ppm Minutes exposed responded 1 31816 15 3 0 2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Steed Probit Equation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2 K1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed K2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C3 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 Student t = 2.9610 S2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.9610 S2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	11	9600	60	18	4
Seq.nr Conc ppm Minutes exposed responded 1 31816 15 3 0 2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4)bservations	1 through 1	ll considered!		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Seq.nr	Conc ppm	Minutes	exposed	responded
2 37600 15 5 2 3 41410 15 3 2 4 43460 15 3 3 5 19434 30 3 0 6 20779 30 3 2 7 24026 30 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Used Probit Equation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2 K1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed ChiSquare = 3.65 Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = 8.88E-01 (89%) Ln(Likelihood) = -7.40 8 0 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 8 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 8 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	1	31816	15	3	0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	37600	15	5	2
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	3	41410	15	3	2
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	4	43460	15	3	3
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	5	19434	30	3	0
7 24026 30 3 3 3 8 8550 60 2 0 9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Used Probit Equation $Y = B0 + B1 + X1 + B2 + X2$ C1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed ChiSquare = 3.65 Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = 8.88E-01 (89%) cn(Likelihood) = -7.40 8 0 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 3 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	6	20779	30	3	2
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	7	24026	30	3	3
9 9947 60 3 2 10 11450 60 2 2 11 9600 60 18 4 Used Probit Equation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2 C1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed C2 = Minutes, ln-transformed ChiSquare = 3.65 Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = $8.88E-01$ (89%) cn(Likelihood) = -7.40 8 0 = $-1.9566E+02$ Student t = -2.8621 8 1 = $1.5159E+01$ Student t = 2.9610 8 2 = $1.4881E+01$ Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = $4.6736E+03$ covariance B 0 1 = $-3.4991E+02$	8	8550	60	2	0
<pre>10</pre>	9	9947	60	3	2
11 9600 60 18 4 Used Probit Equation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2 K1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed K2 = Minutes, ln-transformed ChiSquare = 3.65 Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = $8.88E-01$ (89%) Ln(Likelihood) = -7.40 8 0 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = $-2.86218 1 = 1.5159E+01$ Student t = $2.96108 2 = 1.4881E+01$ Student t = $2.8473variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02$	10	11450	60	2	2
Used Probit Equation $Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2$ X1 = Conc ppm, ln-transformed X2 = Minutes, ln-transformed ChiSquare = 3.65 Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = 8.88E-01 (89%) En(Likelihood) = -7.40 B = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 B = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 B = -1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	11	9600	60	18	4
ChiSquare = 3.65 Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = $8.88E-01$ (89%) Cn(Likelihood) = -7.40 B 0 = $-1.9566E+02$ Student t = -2.8621 B 1 = $1.5159E+01$ Student t = 2.9610 B 2 = $1.4881E+01$ Student t = 2.8473 Variance B 0 0 = $4.6736E+03$ Covariance B 0 1 = $-3.4991E+02$	Jsed Probit 1 Kl = Conc pp K2 = Minutes	Equation Y = m, ln-transfor , ln-transform	B0 + B1*X1 + rmed ned	B2*X2	
Degrees of freedom = 8 Probability Model = 8.88E-01 (89%) Ln(Likelihood) = -7.40 B 0 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 B 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 B 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	ChiSquare	=	3.65		
En(Likelihood) = -7.40 $B = -1.9566E+02$ $B = 1.5159E+01$ $B = 1.4881E+01$ $Student t = 2.9610$ $Student t = 2.8473$ $Student t = 2.8473$ $Student t = -3.4991E+02$	Degrees of f Probability I	reedom = Model = 8.	8 .88E-01 (89%)		
3 0 = -1.9566E+02 Student t = -2.8621 3 1 = 1.5159E+01 Student t = 2.9610 3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	Ln(Likelihood	d) = -7.40)		
$\begin{array}{rcl} 3 & 1 & = & 1.5159E+01 & \text{Student t} & = & 2.9610 \\ 3 & 2 & = & 1.4881E+01 & \text{Student t} & = & 2.8473 \\ \end{array}$ <pre>variance B 0 0 = & 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = & -3.4991E+02</pre>	3 0 = -1.95	66E+02 Stu	udent t = -	2.8621	
3 2 = 1.4881E+01 Student t = 2.8473 variance B 0 0 = 4.6736E+03 covariance B 0 1 = -3.4991E+02	31 = 1.51	59E+01 Stu	ident t =	2.9610	
variance B 0 0 = $4.6736E+03$ covariance B 0 1 = $-3.4991E+02$	3 2 = 1.48	81E+01 Sti	ident t =	2.8473	
covariance B 0 1 = $-3.4991E+02$	variance B	0 0 = 4.67	736E+03		
	covariance B	$0 \ 1 = -3.49$	991E+02		
covariance B 0 2 = $-3.5679E+02$	covariance B	$0 \ 2 = -3.56$	579E+02		
variance B 1 1 = $2.6209E+01$	variance B	$1 \ 1 = 2.62$	209E+01		
covariance B 1 2 = 2.6686E+01 variance B 2 2 = 2.7314E+01	variance B variance B	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	586E+01 314E+01		
Estimation ratio between regression coefficients of $ln(conc)$ and $ln(m)$		atio between r	regression coe	efficients of	ln(conc) and ln(mir
former = 1.019 (II = 1.0)	Stimation ra	· · · – – –		·)	
	Stimation ra Point estimation rational	(95% (T.) = (962		

12345678 Minutes = 10 Conc ppm = 5.020E+04 for response of 1 Point estimate % Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 3.442E+04 for response of 1 % Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 5.508E+04 for response of 1 ò Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 1 % Minutes = 30 9 Point estimate Conc ppm = 1.707E+04 for response of 1 Ŷ Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 1.285E+04 for response of 1 10 ŝ Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 1.816E+04 for response of 1 11 % 12 13 14 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 1 % 15 Minutes = 60 16 Point estimate Conc ppm = 8.646E+03 for response of 1 % 17 Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 6.816E+03 for response of 1 % Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 9.136E+03 for response of 1 18 % 19 20 21 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 1 % 22 Minutes = 120 23 Conc ppm = 4.378E+03 for response of 1 Point estimate Ŷ 24 Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 3.552E+03 for response of 1 % **2**5 Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 4.677E+03 for response of 1 % 26 27 28 29 30 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 1 % Minutes = 240 Conc ppm = 2.217E+03 for response of 1 Point estimate % 31 Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 1.813E+03 for response of 1 % 32 33 Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 2.444E+03 for response of 1 Ŷ 34 35 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 1 % 36 Minutes = 480 37 Point estimate Conc ppm = 1.123E+03 for response of 1 Ŷ Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 9.103E+02 for response of 1 38 % Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 1.298E+03 for response of 1 39 % 40 41 42 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 5 % 43 = 10 Minutes 44 Point estimate Conc ppm = 5.251E+04 for response of 5 Ŷ Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 3.909E+04 for response of 45 5 Ŷ Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 5.691E+04 for response of 46 5 Ŷ 47 48 49 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 5 % 50 Minutes = 30 51 Point estimate Conc ppm = 1.786E+04 for response of 5 % Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 1.465E+04 for response of 52 53 54 55 5 % Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 1.870E+04 for response of 5 % 56 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 5 % 57 Minutes = 60 58 Point estimate Conc ppm = 9.044E+03 for response of 5 Ŷ 59 Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 7.749E+03 for response of 5 % Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 9.430E+03 for response of 5 60 8 61 62 63 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 5 %

123456789 Minutes = 120 Point estimate Conc ppm = 4.580E+03 for response of 5 % Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 3.990E+03 for response of 5 % Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 4.886E+03 for response of 5 % Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 5 % Minutes = 240 Point estimate Conc ppm = 2.319E+03 for response of 5 % Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 2.003E+03 for response of 5 % Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 2.596E+03 for response of 5 % 10 11 12 13 14 Estimation of Conc ppm at response of 5 % 15 Minutes = 480 Point estimate Conc ppm = 1.174E+03 for response of 5 % Lower limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 9.928E+02 for response of 5 % Upper limit (95% CL) Conc ppm = 1.397E+03 for response of 5 % 16 17 18 19 20 21

Filename: NF3 Date: 17 Octo	3: rat, Log 1 ober 2008	Probit Model (Time: 11:08	Vernot et al :39	. 1973; Dost et al	. 19
Seq.Nr	conc ppm	minutes	exposed	responded	
1	19316	15	10	0	
2	21430	15	10	0	
3	25200	15	10	3	
4	28257	15	10	7	
5	28930	15	10	8	
б	30714	15	10	10	
7	8204	30	10	0	
8	10250	30	10	3	
9	11257	30	10	1	
10	12281	30	10	7	
11	13226	30	10	9	
12	4118	60	10	0	
13	5117	60	10	1	
14	6121	60	10	4	
15	7190	60	10	5	
16	8204	60	10	9	
17	4500	120	10	9	
18	4000	180	10	0	
19	4000	225	10	10	
20	10000	53	35	30	
Observations	1 through	20 considered	.!		
Seq.nr	conc ppm	minutes	exposed	responded	
1	19316	15	10	0	
2	21430	15	10	0	
3	25200	15	10	3	
4	28257	15	10	7	
5	28930	15	10	8	
б	30714	15	10	10	
7	8204	30	10	0	
8	10250	30	10	3	
9	11257	30	10	1	
10	12281	30	10	7	
11	13226	30	10	9	
12	4118	60	10	0	
13	5117	60	10	1	
14	6121	60	10	4	
15	7190	60	10	5	
16	8204	60	10	9	
17	4500	120	10	9	
18	4000	180	10	0	
19	4000	225	10	10	
20	10000	53	35	30	
Used Probit B X1 = conc ppm X2 = minutes,	Equation Y : n, ln-transfo , ln-transfo	= B0 + B1*X1 + ormed rmed	B2*X2		
ChiSquare	=	90.95			
Degrees of fr	reedom =	17			
Probability M	Model =	4.09E-12			
Ln(Likelihood	1) = -59	.66			
Ln(Likelihood B 0 = -3.750 B 1 = 3.461	1) = -59 03E+01 St L8E+00 St	.66 tudent t = tudent t =	-2.8589 3.2115		

```
123456789
      B 2 = 2.8434E+00 Student t = 3.2187
       variance B 0 0 = 1.7209E+02
      covariance B 0 1 = -1.4093E+01
covariance B 0 2 = -1.1211E+01
       variance B 1 1 = 1.1620E+00
      covariance B 1 2 = 8.9898E-01
       variance B 2 2 = 7.8036E-01
10
      Estimation ratio between regression coefficients of ln(conc) and ln(minutes)
11
      Point estimate = 1.218
12
      Lower limit (95% CL) = 0.950
13
      Upper limit (95% CL) = 1.485
14
15
       Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
16
      minutes = 10
      Point estimate conc ppm = 1.655E+04 for response of 1 %
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 4.536E+03 for response of 1 %
17
18
      Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 2.310E+04 for response of 1
19
                                                                                             2
20
21
       Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
\begin{array}{c} 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31 \end{array}
      minutes = 30
      Point estimate conc ppm = 6.714E+03 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.770E+03 for response of 1
                                                                                             Ŷ
                                                                                             %
       Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 8.996E+03 for response of 1
                                                                                             Ŷ
       Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
      minutes = 60
      Point estimate conc ppm = 3.799E+03 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 9.357E+02 for response of 1
                                                                                             %
                                                                                             %
      Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 5.183E+03 for response of 1
                                                                                             ò
32
33
       Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
34
      minutes = 120
      Point estimate conc ppm = 2.150E+03 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 4.806E+02 for response of 1
Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 3.073E+03 for response of 1
35
                                                                                             Ŷ
36
                                                                                             %
37
                                                                                             Ŷ
38
39
       Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
40
       minutes = 240
      Point estimate conc ppm = 1.217E+03 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 2.414E+02 for response of 1
Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.864E+03 for response of 1
41
                                                                                             %
42
                                                                                             %
43
                                                                                             %
44
45
       Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
46
       minutes = 480
      Point estimate conc ppm = 6.886E+02 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.191E+02 for response of 1
Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.150E+03 for response of 1
47
                                                                                             ŝ
48
                                                                                             %
49
                                                                                             %
50
51
```

1	Filename: NF	3: rat, Log P	robit Model		
2	Data of Vern	ot et al. 197	3; Dost et al.	1970a; Tork	celson et al. 1962
3	Date: 17 Octo	ober 2008	Time: 11:11:4	40	
4	(note: Torke)	lson used 4-8	rats/experiment	nt; average	of 6 was used)
5	Seq.Nr	conc ppm	minutes	exposed	responded
6	1	19316	15	10	0
7	2	21430	15	10	0
8	3	25200	15	10	3
9	4	28257	15	10	7
10	5	28930	15	10	8
11	5	30714	15	10	10
12	0	20714	20	10	10
12	7	10204	30	10	0
13	8	10250	30	10	3
14	9	1125/	30	10	1
15	10	12281	30	10	./
16	11	13226	30	10	9
17	12	4118	60	10	0
18	13	5117	60	10	1
19	14	6121	60	10	4
20	15	7190	60	10	5
21	16	8204	60	10	9
2.2	17	4500	120	10	9
$\bar{2}\bar{3}$	18	4000	180	10	0
$\frac{23}{24}$	10	4000	225	10	10
$\frac{2}{25}$	20	10000	225	10 2E	20
$\frac{25}{26}$	20	10000	55	35	30
20	21	20000	12	6	0
27	22	20000	30	6	6
28	23	10000	30	6	0
29	24	5000	60	б	0
30	25	2500	120	6	0
31	26	1000	420	6	0
32					
33	Observations	1 through 2	26 considered!		
34 25	0				
35	Seq.nr	conc ppm	minutes	exposed	responded
20	-	10010		1.0	0
21	L	19316	15	10	U
38	2	21430	15	10	0
39	3	25200	15	10	3
40	4	28257	15	10	7
41	5	28930	15	10	8
42	6	30714	15	10	10
43	7	8204	30	10	0
44	8	10250	30	10	3
45	9	11257	30	10	1
46	10	12281	30	10	7
47	11	13226	30	10	9
48	12	4118	60	10	0
49	13	5117	60	10	1
50	14	5101	60	10	1
51	1 -	7100	00	10	
51	15	7190	60	10	5
52	16	8204	60	10	9
23	17	4500	120	10	9
<u>24</u>	18	4000	180	10	0
55	19	4000	225	10	10
56	20	10000	53	35	30
57	21	20000	12	б	0
58	22	20000	30	б	б
59	23	10000	30	6	0
60	24	5000	60	6	0
61	25	2500	120	б б	ů N
62	26	1000	420	6	0 0
63	20	7000	120	0	U U

```
123456789
      Used Probit Equation Y = B0 + B1*X1 + B2*X2
      X1 = conc ppm, ln-transformed
      X2 = minutes, ln-transformed
      ChiSquare
                                          98.32
                              -
                                      23
      Degrees of freedom =
      Probability Model =
                                      2.74E-11
      Ln(Likelihood) = -62.64
10
      B 0 = -4.2892E+01 Student t = -3.9213
B 1 = 3.9065E+00 Student t = 4.3689
B 2 = 3.1769E+00 Student t = 4.2296
11
12
13
14
15
       variance B 0 0 = 1.1964E+02
      covariance B 0 1 = -9.7464E+00
16
      covariance B 0 2 = -7.9581E+00
17
      variance B 1 1 = 7.9951E-01
18
      covariance B 1 2 = 6.3498E-01
variance B 2 2 = 5.6416E-01
19
20
21
\begin{array}{c} 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31 \end{array}
      Estimation ratio between regression coefficients of ln(conc) and ln(minutes)
      Point estimate = 1.230
      Lower limit (95% CL) =
                                       1.034
      Upper limit (95% CL) = 1.425
      Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
      minutes = 10
      Point estimate conc ppm = 1.788E+04 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.005E+04 for response of 1
                                                                                         %
                                                                                         %
      Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 2.295E+04 for response of 1
                                                                                         %
32
33
      Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
34
      minutes = 30
      Point estimate conc ppm = 7.317E+03 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 4.234E+03 for response of 1
35
                                                                                         Ŷ
36
                                                                                         %
37
      Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 9.016E+03 for response of 1
                                                                                         Ŷ
38
39
      Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
40
      minutes = 60
      Point estimate conc ppm = 4.164E+03 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 2.372E+03 for response of 1
41
                                                                                         %
42
                                                                                         %
      Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 5.173E+03 for response of 1
43
                                                                                         %
44
45
      Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
46
      minutes = 120
      Point estimate conc ppm = 2.370E+03 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.296E+03 for response of 1
Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 3.043E+03 for response of 1
47
                                                                                         8
48
                                                                                         %
49
                                                                                         %
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
      Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
      minutes = 240
      Point estimate conc ppm = 1.349E+03 for response of 1
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 6.952E+02 for response of 1
Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.824E+03 for response of 1
                                                                                         %
                                                                                         %
                                                                                         %
57
      Estimation of conc ppm at response of 1 %
58
      minutes = 480
      Point estimate conc ppm = 7.675E+02 for response of 1 %
Lower limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 3.678E+02 for response of 1 %
59
60
                                                                                    1 %
61
               Upper limit (95% CL) conc ppm = 1.108E+03 for response of 1 %
62
```



Figure 2. Category Graph of AEGL Values and Animal Toxicity Data

7 Data:

For Category: 0 = No effect, 1 = Discomfort, 2 = Disabling, SL = Some Lethality, 3 = Lethal									
Source	Species	ppm	Minutes	Category					
NAC/AEGL-1		1200	10	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-1		400	30	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-1		200	60	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-1		50	240	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-1		25	480	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-2		3100	10	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-2		1100	30	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-2		530	60	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-2		140	240	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-2		68	480	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-3		5000	10	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-3		1700	30	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-3		860	60	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-3		220	240	AEGL					
NAC/AEGL-3		110	480	AEGL					

Vernot et al. 1973	rat	21,430	15	2: no mortality
	rat	25,200	15	SL: mortality 3/10
	rat	26,700	15	SL: calculated LC ₅₀
	rat	8204	30	2: no mortality
	rat	10,250	30	SL: mortality 3/10
	rat	11,700	30	SL: calculated LC ₅₀
	rat	4118	60	2: no mortality
	rat	5117	60	SL: mortality 1/10
	rat	6700	60	SL: calculated LC ₅₀
	dog, monkey	7000	15	1: 16.5-19.2% MetHb
	dog, monkey	3500	30	1: 10.3-15.0% MetHb
	dog, monkey	2000	60	1: 10.3-15.2% MetHb
	dog	1075	60	0: 9.7% MetHb
	dog	510	60	0: 2.2% MetHb
	dog	290	60	0: 0.0% MetHb
	mouse	17,515	15	SL: mortality 3/10
	mouse	19,300	15	SL: calculated LC ₅₀
	mouse	8212	30	2: no mortality
	mouse	10,222	30	SL: mortality 1/10
	mouse	4112	60	2: no mortality
	mouse	6469	60	SL: mortality 3/10
	mouse, rat	4500	120	SL: mortality 2/10 and
				9/10, respectively
	dog	31,816	15	2: no mortality
	dog	37,600	15	SL: mortality 2/5
	dog	19,434	30	2: no mortality
	dog	20,400	30	SL: calculated LC ₅₀
	dog	8550	60	2: no mortality
	dog	9600	60	SL: calculated LC ₅₀
	monkey	21,250	15	2: no mortality
	monkey	23,490	15	SL: mortality 1/2
	monkey	13,038	30	2: no mortality
	monkey	14,000	30	SL: calculated LC ₅₀
	monkey	8519	60	2: no mortality
	monkey	9390	60	SL: mortality 1/3
Dost et al. 1970a	rat	4000	180	2: no mortality
	rat	4000	225	3: mortality 10/10
	rat	10,000	63.5	SL: mortality 30/35
Torkelson et al. 1962	rat	20,000	12	2: no mortality
	rat	20.000	30	3: 100% mortality
	rat	10,000	30	2: no mortality
	rat	10,000	60	3: 100% mortality
	rat	5000	60	2: no mortality
	rat	2500	120	2: no mortality
	rat	1000	420	2: no mortality

Signs for all animals at Category 2 included eye irritation, tachypnea, gasping, and cyanosis.

APPENDIX C: Derivation Summary for Nitrogen Trifluoride AEGLs Acute Exposure Guideline Levels For Nitrogen Trifluoride (CAS Reg. No. 7783-54-2)

AEGL-1 VALUES										
10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-hour						
1200 ppm	400 ppm	200 ppm	50 ppm	25 ppm						
Key Reference: Ver	not, E.H., C.C. Haun, J.	D. MacEwen, and G.F.	Egan. 1973. Acute inh	alation toxicology						
and	and proposed emergency exposure limits of nitrogen trifluoride. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.									
26:	:1-13.									
Test Species/Strain/S	Sex/Number: Dogs/bea	agle/male and female/3;	monkey/rhesus/male ar	nd female/2-3						
Exposure Route/Con	ncentration/Duration:	Inhalation/2000 ppm/6	0 minutes							
Effects: Blood methe	emoglobin of ≤15%									
Endpoint/Concentra	ation/Rationale: 60-mir	nute exposure to 2000 p	pm – reversible methem	noglobinemia, no						
clinical signs	clinical signs									
Uncertainty Factors/Rationale:										
Total uncertainty factor: 10										
Interspecies: 1, For similar exposure durations and concentrations, methemoglobin and lethality values										
were similar among the monkey, dog, and rat. Healthy humans are expected to react similarly. The dog was										
the most sensitive species for changes in hematology parameters.										
Intraspecies: 10, infants lack the NADH cofactor for methemoglobin reductase until 4 months of age,										
making them more vulnerable than adults to methemoglobinemia. Some individuals may be anemic or have										
abnormal hemoglobins that are sensitive to oxidation.										
Modifying Factor: None applied										
Animal to Human Dosimetric Adjustment: Not applicable										
Time Scaling : C ⁿ x t	= k, where n $=$ 1.0 (base	ed on lethality studies w	ith the dog)							
Data Adequacy: Da	ta were available from s	everal laboratories. Da	ta addressed both methe	emoglobin formation						
in the dog and monke	y and lethality in the mo	onkey, dog, rat, and mou	ise.							

AEGL-2 VALUES							
10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h			
3100 ppm	1100 ppm	530 ppm	140 ppm	68 ppm			
Key Reference: Ver	not, E.H., C.C. Haun, J.	D. MacEwen, and G.F.	Egan. 1973. Acute inh	nalation toxicology			
and	l proposed emergency e	xposure limits of nitrog	en trifluoride. Toxicol.	Appl. Pharmacol.			
26:1-13.							
Test Species/Strain/	Number: Dogs/beagle/	male and female/3					
Exposure Route/Concentration/Duration: Inhalation/Concentrations that cause 43% methemoglobin in the dog							
were estimated as mid-point of AEGL-1 (15% methemoglobin) and AEGL-3 (70% methemoglobin) values.							
Effects: 43% methemoglobin formation in dogs, estimated as midpoint of AEGL-1 (15% methemoglobin) and							
AEGL-3 (70% methemoglobin) values.							
Endpoint/Concentration/Rationale: 43% methemoglobin formation in dogs, estimated as midpoint of AEGL-1							
(15% methemoglobin) and AEGL-3 (70% methemoglobin)							
Uncertainty Factors/Rationale:							
Total uncertainty	factor: 10						
Interspecies: 1,	For similar exposure du	irations and concentration	ons, methemoglobin and	d lethality values			
were similar among the monkey, dog, rat, and mouse. The human is expected to react similarly. The dog							
was the most sensitive species for changes in hematology parameters.							
Intraspecies: 10	, infants lack the NADE	1 cofactor for methemo	globin reductase until 4	months of age,			
making them more vulnerable than adults to methemoglobinemia. Some individuals may be anemic or have							
abiorman nemoglooms that are sensitive to oxidation.							
wooliying Factor: None applied							
Animal to Human Dosimetric Adjustment: Not applicable							
Time Scaling : $C^{*} x t = k$, where $n = 1.0$							
Data Adequacy : Data were available from several laboratories. Data addressed methemoglobin formation in							
the monkey and dog and lethality in the monkey, dog, rat, and mouse.							

	_

AEGL-3 VALUES							
10-min	30-min	1-h	4-h	8-h			
5000 ppm	1700 ppm	860 ppm	220 ppm	110 ppm			
Key References: (1)	Key References: (1) Vernot, E.H., C.C. Haun, J.D. MacEwen, and G.F. Egan. 1973. Acute inhalation						
toxicology an	toxicology and proposed emergency exposure limits of nitrogen trifluoride. Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.						
26:1-13.							
Test Species/Strain/Number: Dogs/beagle/male and female/3							
Exposure Route/Con	Exposure Route/Concentration/Duration: Inhalation/Concentrations were calculated using regression						
analysis of all dog lethality data over exposure durations of 15, 30, and 60 min.							
Effect: Lethality and non-lethality							
Endpoint/Concentra	tion/Rationale: ten Berge	e (2006) probit analysi	s, dose-response pr	ogram applied to the			
dog data set of the key study (see data summarized under category graph in Appendix B)							
Uncertainty Factors /	Rationale:						
Total uncertainty	factor: 10						
Interspecies: 1, 1	Interspecies: 1, For similar exposure durations and concentrations, methemoglobin and lethality values						
were similar amo	were similar among the monkey, dog, rat, and mouse. The human is expected to react similarly. The dog						
was the most sensitive species for changes in hematology parameters.							
Intraspecies: 10, infants lack the NADH cofactor for methemoglobin reductase until 4 months of age,							
making them more vulnerable than adults to methemoglobinemia. Some individuals may be anemic or have							
abnormal hemoglobins that are sensitive to oxidation.							
Modifying Factor: None applied							
Animal to Human Dosimetric Adjustment: Not applicable							
Time Scaling : Calculated using the ten Berge (2006) program (n in $C^n x t = 1.0$)							
Data Adequacy: Data addressed methemoglobin formation in the monkey and dog and lethality in the monkey,							
dog, rat, and mouse.							