

MARPOL Annex VI and the North American Emission Control Area

Angela Bandemehr U.S. EPA Office of Global Affairs and Policy

> Mexico City, Mexico September 26, 2012

Currently 68 nations representing ~91% of ship tonnage a part of MARPOL VI



MARPOL

International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships

Annex VI Prevention of Air Pollution from Ships (19 May 2005)

Sets limits on sulphur oxide and nitrogen oxide emissions from ship exhausts and prohibits deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances; designated emission control areas set more stringent standards for SOx, NOx and particulate matter.

In 2011, after extensive work and debate, IMO adopted ground breaking mandatory technical and operational energy efficiency measures which will significantly reduce the amount of greenhouse gas emissions from ships; these measures were included in Annex VI and are expected to enter into force on 1 January 2013.

Source: International Maritime Organization http://www.imo.org

1 Antigua & Barbuda	18 Denmark	35 Liberia	52 St. Kitts & Nevis
2 Australia	19 Estonia	36 Lithuania	53 Samoa
3 Azerbaijan	20 Finland	37 Luxembourg	54 Saudi Arabia
4 Turks & Caicos Is.	21 France	38 Malaysia	55 Serbia
5 Bangladesh	22 Germany	39 Malta	56 Sierra Leone
6 Barbados	23 Ghana	40 Marshall Is.	57 Singapore
7 Belgium	24 Greece	41 Mongolia	58 Slovenia
8 Belize	25 India	42 Morocco	59 Spain
9 Benin	26 Iran	43 Netherlands	60 St. Vincent & the Grenadines
LO Brazil	27 Ireland	44 Norway	61 Sweden
L1 Bulgaria	28 Italy	45 Palau	62 Syria
L2 Canada	29 Jamaica	46 Panama	63 Tunisia
L3 Chile	30 Japan	47 Poland	64 Tuvalu
L4 China	31 Kenya	48 Portugal	65 Ukraine
L5 Cook Is.	32 Kiribati	49 South Korea	66 United Kingdom
l6 Croatia	33 Kuwait	50 Romania	67 United States
17 Cyprus	34 Latvia	51 Russia	68 Vanuatu

Benefits of U.S. Ratifying MARPOL Annex VI

- Growing ship emissions reducing air quality (most from non-U.S. ships)
- Consistent standards across all U.S. ports and internationally
- Benefits significantly outweigh costs
- Requires reduced fuel sulfur/PM levels and ship emissions controls
- Established North American ECA

North American ECA



Began on August 1, 2012

Caribbean ECA



Begins on January 1, 2014

North American ECA 2020 Potential PM_{2.5} Reductions



North American ECA **2020 Potential Ozone Reductions**

>= 5.00



North American ECA 2020 Potential Sulfur Deposition Reductions

Improvements in deposition for marine and terrestrial ecosystems

%



Cost-Benefit of the NA ECA

- Health Benefits (U.S. and Canada) by 2020
 - Annually 14,000 lives saved; respiratory relief for 5,000,000
 - U.S. monetized annual benefits \$47-110 billion
- Cost per tonne of emission reduction compare favorably with land-based emission control programs
- Total costs in 2020 estimated to be \$3.2 billion

MARPOL Annex VI – SOx and PM

- Global fuel sulfur standards
- ECA standards (27x less than global fuel sulfur average by 2015)
- Or other technological methods that achieve equivalent reductions
 - No commercially available methods identified to date
 - IMO guidelines for evaluating exhaust gas cleaning systems

MARPOL Annex VI Fuel Sulfur Standards



MARPOL Annex VI -- NOx

- Reduction requirements are defined by the IMO NOx Technical Code
 - Applies to diesel engines of 130kW
- NOx standards for ships built after 2000
 - Tier I, II, III (ECA)
 - Tier III met with selective catalytic reduction (SCR)
- Certificates required for Ships EIAPP
 - Certification of the engine on manufacture and checked on installation for settings

MARPOL Annex VI Nox Requirements

Tier	Ship build date	Emission limit (g/kWh) N=rpm		
		N < 130	N = 130 - 1999	N <u>></u> 2000
I	Jan 1, 2000	17	45.n ^{-0.2} e.g. 720 rpm – 21.1	9.8
II	Jan 1, 2011	14.4	44.n ^{-0.23} e.g. 720 rpm – 9.7	7.7
III (in ECA only)	Jan 1, 2016	3.4	9.n ^{-0.2} e.g. 720 rpm – 2.4	2.0

NA ECA Implementation

- EPA and U.S. Coast Guard implement
- Compliant fuel made available in U.S.
- Guidance available:
 - IMO: NOx technical code
 - Coast Guard www.homeport.uscg.mil
 - EPA
 - www.epa.gov/oms/oceanvessels
 - Fuel availability guidance
 - EIAPP

U.S. Deg Homela United Coast (Commandani Ind Socurity States Guard	2 100 2nd Xinad, 5 14 . Washington, DC 20103-000 i Xa'a Yundai, CC-VC Phone, (2020 9 C-125 i Fax, (2020 9 C-19 i cgCVC (Quarg mi		
	& Pur h	16711/ CG-CVC Policy Letter 12-04 July 25, 2012		
From:	K. P. McAVOY, CAPT COMDT – Commercial Vessel Compliance (CVC)			
To:	Distribution			
Subj:	GUIDELINES FOR COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE EMISSION CONTROL AREAS ESTABLISHED WITHIN THE UNITED STATES JURISDICTION AS DESIGNATED IN MARPOL ANNEX VIREGULATION 14			
Ref:	(a) CG-543 Policy Letter 09-01 chied February 4, 2009 (b) Resolution MEPC 176(58) – Revised MARPOL Am (c) Resolution MEPC 1776(58) – NOrt Technical Code 2 (d) Resolution MEPC 190(60) – North Am arican ECA (e) Resolution MEPC 200(62) – United States Carbon (f) EPA Intern Guidance conte Nora-Availability of C Amarican Elinistican Control Area (c) Cost Gward and PA Amarcandum of Understandi (h) US. Cosst Guard ECA Job Aid	uer VI 008 n Sea ECA am pliant Fael Oilfor the North ng (MOU) signed June 27, 201		
1. <u>Pur</u>	<u>pose.</u> This policy letter outlines the Coast. Guard's metho- pliance prife M & POU densey VI Resulation 14 (Suffer	ds and proce dures for verifying Oxides (SOV) and Particular		

- <u>Impose</u>. The policy lefter outlines the Cost Found's methods and procedures for werkying compliance with MARPOL Arnese VIR explainton 14 (Stuffw Gudes (Sto) and Particular Mether (PM(4)) and Regulation 18 (Puel Gil Availability & Quality), and how violations identified by the Cost Coundregarding these two regulations are documented and referred to the Bawtonm ental Protection Agency, EPA/for enforcement. The Cost Guard, pursuant to the Acto Prevent Pollimin from Shipe (APE) at 33 US C. § 1007(4), hose the unborthy and responsibility to conduct ship hape choice, examinations, investigations, and to undertake enforcements of MARP Alas the authority specified in 33 US C. § 1007(4), to enforce the requirements of MARPOL Armes VI Regulations 13, 14 & 18. In general, the Cost Guard is responsible for werifying compliance with all of MARPOL Armes VI and the EPA is responsible for the seriencement of violations pertaining to Regulations 13, 14 & 18.
- 2. <u>Directives Affected</u>. None.
- 3. <u>Action</u>. So ctor Commanders/Officers in Charge, Marine Inspection (OC ML) should direct their Marine Inspectors (ML) and Port State Control Officers (PSCOs) to utilize this policy for proceedimes perturbing to compliance verifix ation and efforcement with the provisions of MARPOL Artner VL regulation 14 (as an ended) and regulation 18. Reference (a)remains in effect and should be followed by MLS/SSCOs when verifying compliance with the other sources of the theory of the other sources of the source of the sou

Summary

- Many countries have ratified MARPOL Annex VI
- Increased awareness of ship emission control technologies and best practices
- MARPOL (e.g., NA ECA) delivers
 - Substantial health benefits
 - Extremely favorable cost-benefit ratio
- Requirements driving technology development