#### National Advisory Committee for Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Hazardous Substances

#### NAC/AEGL-40 September 6-8, 2006

#### Hyatt Regency- Bethesda One Bethesda Metro Center (7400 Wisconsin Ave) Bethesda, MD 20814

#### Metro: Bethesda (Red Line)

#### AGENDA

#### Wednesday, September 6, 2006

10:00 am.	Introductory remarks and approval of NAC/AEGL-37 and NAC/AEGL-39 Highlights (George
	Rusch, Ernie Falke, and Paul Tobin)
10:15	Revised AEGL Chemical Priority List: Chemical Class Format (Paul Tobin)
10:45	Review of 1,2,3-Trimethyl benzene; 1,2,4-Trimethyl benzene, and Mesitylene (1,3,5-Trimethyl
	benzene) (John Hinz/Carol Wood)
12:30 p.m.	Lunch
1:30	Revisit of Ethylene Oxide- AEGL-2 (Susan Ripple/Kowetha Davidson)
3:30	Break
3:45	Review of Trifluorochloroethylene (George Rusch/Sylvia Talmage)
5:30	Adjourn for the day

#### Thursday, September 7, 2006

8:30 a.m.	Review of Hexafluoropropylene (George Rusch/Bob Young)
10:00	Break
10:15	Review of Tetrafluoroethylene (George Rusch/ Sylvia Talmage)
12:00 p.m.	Lunch
1:00	Review of Ethyl benzene (John Hinz/Carol Wood)
2:30	Review of Selected Chloroformates- Benzyl Chloroformate, Phenyl Chloroformate, 2-Ethylhexyl
	Chloroformate (Ernie Falke/Cheryl Bast)
4:00	Break
4:15	Revisit of Dibromoethane (Bob Benson/Kowetha Davidson)
5:30	Adjourn for the day

#### Friday, September 8, 2006

8:30 a.m.	Review of Propargyl Alcohol (George Cushmac/Bob Young)
10:00	Break
10:15	Review of Phenyl Mercaptan (Steve Barbee/Cheryl Bast)
11:45	Administrative matters
12:00 noon	Adjourn meeting

# NAC/AEGL Meeting 40: September 6-8, 2006

Chemical:

CAS Reg. No.:

**ATTACHMENT 2** 

Action: Proposed \_\_\_\_\_ Interim\_\_\_\_ Other\_\_\_\_\_

Chemical Manager:

Staff Scientist:

Chemical Ma	nager:				Stall Stich				
	GG	6/7	<u> </u>					<del></del>	
NAC Member	AEGLI	AEGL2	AEGL3	LOA	NAC Member	AEGL1	AEGL 2	AEGL3	LOA
Henry Anderson	12h				Warren Jederberg	ABSERT	X		
Steven Barbee	SVB	/			Elaine Krueger	SK	1		
Marc Baril	mo	1			Glenn Leach	ABSENT	x		
Lynn Beasley	hub	/			Richard Niemeier	ABSENT	×		
Alan Becker	672				Marinelle Payton	Boern	×		
Robert Benson	RB				Susan Ripple	X.K			
George Cushmac	JEC	1			George Rodgers	6B	1		
Ernest Falke	Int	1			Marc Ruijten	MR-			
Alfred Feldt	-> 1/	Ar FAI	my		George Rusch, Chair	and			
Roberta Grant	1233	1			Daniel Sudakin	ous	1		
Dieter Heinz	k,				Richard Thomas	BUT.	11		
John Hinz	AD/1	F			Calvin Willhite	CW	/		
Jim Holler	<b>NSR</b>	- /			George Woodall	au			
	0								
					TALLY	d			
					PASS/ FAII				

PPM, (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	10 N	1in	30 M	in	1 Hr		4 Hr		8 Hr	•
AEGL 1	,(	)	, (	)	,(	)	,(	_)	,(	)
AEGL 2	,(	)	,(	)	,(	)	,(	)	,(	)
AEGL 3	,(	)	,(	)	,(	)	,(	)	,(	)
LOA			_					_		
* = >10% LEL										
** = 50% LEL										
*** = 100% LEL										

\*Safety considerations against the hazard(s) of explosion(s) must be taken into account.

\*\* and \*\*\*Extreme safety considerations against the hazard(s) of explosion(s) must be taken into account.

NR= Not Recommended due to

AEGL 1	Motion by:	Second by:	
AEGL 2	Motion by:	Second by:	
AEGL 3	Motion by:	Second by:	
LOA	Motion by:	Second by;	
	-		1 (
Approved	by Chair:	DFO: [M/s /m	_ Date: _ <u>9/6/2006</u>

Paul S Volin, EPA (202) 56 4-8557 Femi Adeshina, EPA (202) 564-1539 MARQUEA D.KING, US EPA 2025643299 Jeis A. Camado, USEFA 202-564-1229 MARCY FONTON, LYONDER CHEMICL (L 1713 309 7192 BillGulledge, American Chemistry Council, 703-741-5613 13:11 Surllings Dow (203) 792-3588 202/365-1540 Joe HADLEY HADLEY & Mc Kenne Jennifer Magner, ORNL Sylvia Talmage, ORNL ROBERT Young ORNC CherylBast, ORNL Carol Wood, ORNL Kowetha Davidson, ORNL Gail D. Chapman ODR 937-904-9433

#### **ATTACHMENT 3**

2

4

USE OF INTENTIONAL DOSING HUMAN DATA FROM COMPLETED STUDIES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AEGL VALUES ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

USE OF INTENTIONAL DOSING HUMAN DATA FROM COMPLETED STUDIES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AEGL VALUES ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### PRESENTATION

**EPA FINAL RULE FEBRUARY 6, 2006** 

**CURRENT PROCEDURES FOR THE** AEGL PROGRAM

**USE OF INTENTIONAL DOSING** HUMAN DATA FROM COMPLETED STUDIES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF **AEGL VALUES -- ETHICAL** CONSIDERATIONS

EPA FINAL RULE FEBRUARY 6, 2006

ł

3

**EPA FINAL RULE FEBRUARY 6, 2006** 

· Extended Common Rule to prospective 3rd party research which is intended for submission for a FIFRA or FFDCA action

 Banned EPA and 3rd party (covered by above) testing of children and pregnant women

 Established a Human Studies Review Board (HSRB) to consider the ethics of prospective and retrospective studies for actions under FIFRA and FFDCA

EPA FINAL RULE FEBRUARY 6, 2006

#### **EPA FINAL RULE FEBRUARY 6, 2006**

• EPA will not consider retrospective studies if there is "clear and convincing evidence that the conduct of the research was fundamentally unethical,,," for actions under FIFRA and FFDCA.

#### **EPA FINAL RULE FEBRUARY 6, 2006**

### HSRB REVIEWS OF RETROSPECTIVE STUDIES FOR ACTIONS UNDER FIFRA OR FFDCA

• HSRB reviews to date have found documentation about ethics of studies is usually missing but have okayed their use because there was no clear and convincing evidence that the conduct of the research was fundamentally unethical.

#### CURRENT PROCEDURES FOR THE AEGL PROGRAM

#### CURRENT PROCEDURES FOR THE AEGL PROGRAM

CURRENT PROCEDURES FOR THE AEGL PROGRAM

# DRAFT DOCUMENTS – Intended for submission to NAC/AEGL Committee

• EPA and ORNL staff perform an ethics review of intentional dosing human studies used as key or supporting studies to develop Draft AEGL values.

• The outcome and contents of the ethics reviews completed on Draft AEGL documents are consistent with the ethics assessments that were performed by the Office of Pesticides Programs (OPP) for submittal to the Human Studies Review Board (HSRB).

• For the current set of chemicals the ethics reviews concluded that there was no clear and convincing evidence that the research was fundamentally unethical or significantly deficient relative to the ethical standards prevailing when the studies were conducted. CURRENT PROCEDURES FOR THE AEGL PROGRAM

6

8

#### PROPOSED, INTERIM AND NEW DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED BY NAC/AEGL COMMITTEE

• Ethics of any intentional dosing human studies added after Draft stage above will be considered by the NAC/AEGL Committee and documented in the minutes.

 Review will use SOP criteria (p53) and recommendation 5-7 of NAS Report

ATTACHMENT 4

# Acute Exposure Guideline Levels & Emergency Chemical Database System

Paul S. Tobin, Ph D tobin.paul@epa.gov U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



### **CHARACTERISTICS OF AEGLs**

#### HAZARD ASSESSMENT



# Results

Final, Interim and Proposed AEGL Chemicals

٠

# 2006 TARGET

2007 TARGET

- 31 Final
- 110 Interim
- 54 Proposed
- 13 Holding

Total: 208

- 46 Final
- 143 Interim
- 36 Proposed
- 13 Holding

Total: 238

# The AEGL Chemical Priority List

- 279 Chemicals
  - Almost all gases and volatile liquids
  - Mostly "toxic" but some high volume/less toxic
- 50 Chemical Classes
  - Arbitrary selection (e.g. Hydrogen Cyanide is assigned to nitriles chemical class rather than Inorganic Acids chemical class)

## **CHEMICAL CLASSES** 279 AEGL CHEMICALS IN 50 CHEMICAL CATEGORIES

ACID HALIDES (18) ALCOHOLS (2) ALDEHYDES (7) ALUMINUM COMPOUNDS (2) AMINES (15) ANILINES (1) ANTIMONY COMPOUNDS (2) **ARSENIC COMPOUNDS (12) BORON COMPOUNDS (5)** BROMINE COMPOUNDS (4) CHLORINE, INORGANIC (4) CHLOROFORMATES (11) CHLOROSILANES (21) CHROMIUM (1) EPOXIDES (5) ESTERS (6) ETHERS (6) FLUORINES, INORGANIC (4) GERMANIUM COMPOUNDS (1) HALOGENS, INORGANIC (22) HYDROCARBONS, ALIPHATIC (5) HYDROCARBONS, AROMATIC (11) IMINES (2) **INORGANIC ACIDS (7) ISOCYANATES (12)** 

**KETONES** (5) LEAD COMPOUNDS (1) **MERCAPTANS (4)** MERCURY (1) METAL CARBONYLS (2) **METAL PHOSPHIDES (7)** NITRILES (13) NITRO COMPOUNDS (3) NITROGEN COMPOUNDS, INORG (7) **ORGANIC ACIDS (3) ORGANOSULFATES** (1) **OSMIUM COMPOUNDS (1) OXYGEN COMPOUNDS, INORG (1)** PEROXIDES (1) PHENOLS (1) **PHOSPHONATE ESTERS (5)** PHOSPHORUS COMPDS, OTHER (12) PYRIDINES (1) **SELENIUM COMPOUNDS (1)** SILICON COMPOUNDS (5) SULFUR COMPOUNDS (14) **TELLURIUM COMPOUNDS (1) TITANIUM COMPOUNDS (1)** TUNGSTEN COMPOUNDS (1) ZINC COMPOUNDS (1)

### **AEGL EXPERT SYSTEM DATABASE: Nitriles**

11 Auture								
-j Ariai	- 12 - <b>B</b>	I U - 麝 春 君 - 公・ A・						
	<b>\?</b> `& 43 62. 4 %. 2↓	<u>計 ※词 Y あり ※ 論 適に</u>	<u> </u>					
emical Class	Nitriles			 	View All Classes	View Database for Class	<u> </u>	
erview:	The category consists of 1. Propionitrile (EINECS structure: CH3CH2C=N 2. Butyronitrile, CAS No CH3CH2CH2C=N	f the following members: Propiononitrile), CAS No. 107-12- 109-74-0, molecular structure:	0, molecular	· ·	Main Men	Open Database Close	] ]	
kicity Data:	The oral and inhalation isobutyronitrile in rats a three nitriles are within t Laboratories Incorporat 1961; Smyth et al., 1962	D50 values of propionitrile, n-but re similar. The oral LD50 values in he range of 40 – 270 mg/kg (Youn ed, 1979, 1980; Eastman/Kodak, 1 J. Inhalation LD50 values (for 1 or 4	yronitrile and ▲ rats for all ger 957, 1960, 4 hours of →					
Usage:	one site (Eastman Chen solely by Solutia Inc. at	nical Company). Propionitrile is ma one site. All three category metho	anufactured ers are used					•
GL Chemicals wit	as industrial chemicals, converted to other chem hin Chemical Class: Double-C	Infanty as intermediates that are nicals. Although they are sold and lick Chemical Name to View Chemical Data	s chemically shipped	i.		3 1 1 1		
51. Chemicals wit etonecyanohyd etonitrile nzonitrile loroacetonitrile anogen anogen chloride maldehyde cyan dronen cyanide	as industrial chemicals, converted to other chem hin Chemical Class: Double-C	Sinta and the second and and and second and	e cnemically shipped					
GL Chemicals wit etonitrile rylonitrile nzonitrile anogen chloride rmaldehyde cyar dranen cyanide emical L1sts	as industrial chemicals, converted to other chem hin Chemical Class: Double-C tion Double-Click Chemical Name contrile contrile contrile contrile contrile contrile	to View Chemical Data	e cnemically shipped Rrer: <u>Lriterie</u>	eccylonitric cyanogen ch hydragen cy isobutyronit methacrylor propionitrile	koride anide rile skrile			

### AEGL EXPERT SYSTEM DATABASE: ACROLEIN

filcrosoft Access - [AEGL Expert System] Elle Edit Yew Insert Format Becords Ioo 計 書記 書記	Window Help Adobe PDF		Type a question for help 🔹 🖕
Arial - 12 -	B」UI等要调。2、A、Z、[]、一。 At XIV / Y / Y / A) > > > / A、Z、[]、一。		
Main Search Display All Chemicale	AEGL Expert System Chemical Name acrolein	Values in ppin	
Chemical Class Aldehydes	Chemicel Clease Functional Gr	pup	
	<u>Physical Properties</u> 「Gas	Production Indicators Prod RMP/Y]	
	BP:  53 VP;  200	Chemical Lists Dot   FSM   F7	
andar Alian Alian ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang a	Green Chemistry Expert System	NHSRC 3 RMP 7 NU 8 31	
Acute Exposure Guideline Lev           10 min         30 min         60 min           AEGL-1         0.030         0.030         0.030           AEGL-2         0.44         0.18         0.10           AEGL-3         6.2         12.5         1.4	els       Status: Interim       Derivation of AEGL Values         4.br       B.br       AEGL1 ◆ AEGL2 ◆ AEG         0.030       0.030       POD Test Species       human         0.048       0.27       POD Duration       Not clear in         POD Effect       Rhreshold for	GL-3	
	in humans		
mergency Response Plannin RPG-1 60min (0.1 RPG-2 60min (0.5 RPG-3 60min (3	<u>: Guidelines (AIHA)</u> ERPG Basis: ◆ ERPG-1  ◆ ERPG-2  ◆ E	:HPG-3)	
emporary Emergency Exposu	re Limits (DOE)		•

#### ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS (AEGLs)

FOR

1,3,5-TRIMETHYLBENZENE (CAS Reg. No. 108-67-8)

1,2,4-TRIMETHYLBENZENE (CAS Reg. No. 95-63-6)

#### 1,2,3-TRIMETHYLBENZENE (CAS Reg. No. 526-73-8)

Draft 1: September/2006

1

#### TRIMETHYLBENZENE

- Three isomers
  - 1,3,5,-TMB or mesitylene
  - 1,2,4-TMB or pseudocumene
  - 1,2,3-TMB or hemimellitene
- Components of fuels and hydrocarbon solvents

· Regulatory standards apply to individual isomer or any mixture

2

Human data relevant to AGEL derivation

· No adverse effects in pharmacokinetic studies with all three isomers

- 25 ppm for 2 hrs (Jarnberg et al. 1996)

- 25 ppm for 4 hours (Jones et al. 2006)\*

- 30 ppm for 8 hours (Kostrzewski et al. 1997)

\*not in TSD

<del>بر</del> ا

	Summary of Rat Data Following Acute and Subchronic TMB exposure							
Species/sex	Conc. (ppm) [isomer]	Duration	Effects	Reference				
Rat/m,f	2240 [1,3,5-TMB]	24 hours	4/16 died	Cameron et al. 1938				
Rat/m,f	560 [1,3,5-TMB] 1800-2000 [1,2,4-TMB]	24 hours or 8 hrs/day for 14 days; 48 hours or 8 hrs/day for 14 days	none	Cameron et al. 1938				
Rat/m	768-1212 [all three]	4 hours	calculated EC <sub>50</sub> for mild neurotoxicity deficits	Korsak et al. 1995, Korsak and Rydzyński 1996				
Rat/m,f	1000 [1,2,4-TMB] 2000 [1,2,4-TMB]	6 hours for 15 times	eye and nose irritation; severe irritation, lethargy	Gage 1970				
Rats/m	25, 100, 250 [all three]	6 hr/day, 5 d/week, 28 days or 90 days	no clinical signs; mild neurotox. at 100 and 250 after 28 or 90 days	Gralewicz et al. 1997a, Wiaderna et al. 1998, Korsak and Rydzyński 1996, Korsak et al. 1997, Gralewicz and Wiaderna 2001				
Rats/m,f	25, 100, 250 [1,2,3-TMB]	6 hr/day, 5 d/week, 90 days	hematology and clinical chemistry changes at 250 ppm, lesions in respiratory tract at 100 and 250 ppm	Korsak et al. 2000				

.

Summary of Mouse Data Following TMB Exposure							
Species/sex	Conc. (ppm) [isomer]	Duration	Effects	Reference			
Mice/m	519-578 [all three]	6 minutes	RD <sub>50</sub>	Korsak et al. 1995, 1997			
Mice/m,f	560 [1,3,5-TMB] 1800-2000 [1,2,4-TMB]	24 hours or 8 hrs/day for 14 days; 12 hours	none	Cameron et al. 1938			
Mice	5000-8100 7000-9000 [1,2,4- or 1,3,5-TMB]	2 hours	lateral position; loss of reflexes	Lazarew 1929			

•, •

.

	Summary of Developmental and Reproductive Toxicity Data Following TMB Exposure							
Species/sex	Conc. (ppm) [isomer]	Duration	Effects	Reference				
Rat/f	100-1200 [1,3,5-TMB]	6 hr/d, GDs 6-20	Maternal: ≥300 ppm, dcr wt gain and food cons. Fetal: ≥600 ppm, dcr body wt	Saillenfait et al. 2005* *not in TSD				
Rat/f	100-900 [1,2,4-TMB]	6 hr/d, GDs 6-20	Maternal: ≥600 ppm, dcr wt gain and food cons. Fetal: ≥600 ppm, dcr body wt	Saillenfait et al. 2005* *not in TSD				
Mice/f	100-1500 [C-9 aromatics]	6 hr/d, GDs 6-15	Maternal: 1500 ppm, death, clinical signs; ≥500 ppm, dcr body wt gain Fetal: 1500 ppm, post-impl loss; ≥500 ppm, dcr body wt	IRDC 1988, 1989; McKee et al. 1990				
Rat/m,f	100-1500 [C-9 aromatics]	6 hr/d, 5 d/wk, 10 wk premating, three generations	Systemic: 1500 ppm, death; ≥500 ppm, dcr body wt; ≥100 ppm dcr body wt F2 Repro: 1500 ppm, incr precoital interval Offspring: 1500 ppm, dcr live birth, dcr body wt; 500 ppm dcr body wt F3	McKee et al. 1990				

•

Proposed AEGL-1 Values for Trimethylbenzene							
10-minute	30-minute	1-hour	4-hour	8-hour			
100 ppm (492 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	100 ppm (492 mg/m³)	100 ppm (492 mg/m³)	100 ppm (492 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	100 ppm (492 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			

Key Study: Gage 1970

. •

Exposure: rats; 1000 ppm, 6h, 15 times

- Effect: Threshold for AEGL 1 effects; slight eye and nose irritation in rats; multiple exposure
- Scaling: None

UFs: 10 (3 for intraspecies variability and 3 for interspecies variability)

7

Proposed AEGL-3 Values for Trimethylbenzene						
10-minute 30-minute 1-hour 4-hour 8-hour						
1100 ppm (5412 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	790 ppm (3887 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	630 ppm (3100 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	250 ppm (1230 mg/m³)	250 ppm (1230 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		

Key Study: Lazarew 1929

Exposure: mice; 5000 ppm, 2h

Effect: Threshold for AEGL 3 effects; no lethality; lateral position

Scaling:  $C^n x t = k$ , where n = 1 or 3

UFs: 10 (3 for intraspecies variability and 3 for interspecies variability)

Proposed AEGL-2 Values for Trimethylbenzene							
10-minute 30-minute 1-hour 4-hour 8-hour							
460 ppm (2263 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	460 ppm (2263 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	360 ppm (1771 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	230 ppm (1132 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	150 ppm (738 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )			

Key Study: Gage 1970

Exposure: rats; 2000 ppm, 6h, 12 times

Effect: Threshold for AEGL 2 effects; eye and nose irritation, respiratory difficulty, lethargy, tremors, decreased wt gain in rats; multiple exposure

Scaling:  $C^n x t = k$ , where n = 1 or 3 UFs: 10 (3 for intraspecies variability and 3 for interspecies variability)

	Summary of Proposed AEGL Values for TMB							
	Exposure Duration							
Classifica tion	10- minute	4-hour	8-hour					
AEGL-1 (Nondisabl ing)	100 ppm	100 ppm	100 ppm	100 ppm	100 ppm			
AEGL-2 (Disabling)	460 ppm	460 ppm	360 ppm	230 ppm	150 ppm			
AEGL-3 (Lethal)	1100 ppm	790 _ppm_	630 ppm	250 ppm	250 ppm			

•

.



Chemical Toxicity - TSD Animal Data Trimethylbenzene .

## **ETHYLENE OXIDE**

#### **AEGL-2 DERIVATION**

Kowetha Davidson, ORNL Susan Ripple, Chemical Manager

> NAC/AEGL Meeting September 6-8, 2006 Bethesda, MD

# INTRODUCTION WHY ARE WE STILL DISCUSSING ETHYLENE OXIDE AFTER 10 YEARS? Issue Derivation of AEGL-2 values using a developmental toxicity

- endpoint
- Almost always use multiple exposures by design
- Repeated exposures are not necessarily required for induction of developmental toxicity
- No developmental toxicity study in rats using a single exposure
- A mouse study was available, but the mouse is not the best surrogate for humans
- Solution
  - Acute neurotoxicity toxicity study in rats
  - Thanks George Rusch

#### **Neurotoxicity VS Developmental Toxicity Study**

#### Neurotoxicity

- Species: rat
- Strain: Sprague-Dawley
- Sex: Male & Female
- Exposure duration: 6 hours, one time
- Observation period: 14 days with evaluations on Days 1, 8, and 15
- Endpoints evaluated: neurotoxicity (FOB & motor activity)
- NOAEL 100 ppm

#### Developmental Toxicity

- Species: rat
- Strain:
- Sex: Females
- Exposure duration: 6 h/day, GD 6-15
- Observation period: 5 or 6 days (study terminated on GD 20 or 21)
- Endpoints evaluated: maternal and developmental toxicity
- NOAEL = 100 ppm

3

### ACUTE NEUROTOXICITY STUDY

- · Species/Strain/Sex: Rats/ Sprague-Dawley males and females
- Number/Group: 10 of each sex (20 /group)
- Exposure Protocol
  - Test Substance: ethylene oxide vapor
  - Exposure Concentrations: 0, 100, 300, 500 ppm
  - Exposure Duration: 6 hours
  - Observation period: 14 days
- Neurobehavioral assessment
  - Time of assessment: Day 1, 8, and 15 post-exposure
  - Standard functional observational battery (FOB) and motor activity
  - FOB: home cage, sensory, handling, physiological, open field, and neuromuscular observations
  - Neuropathologic examination: control and 500-ppm group

# **Results of Neurotoxicity Test**

- No exposure-related clinical signs
- · No deaths during exposure or observation period
- Small decrease in weight gain at 500 ppm during observation period
- Motor activity decreased in both sexes at 500 ppm and males at 300 ppm when assessed on Day 1

5

6

- Several FOB parameters affected on Day 1 (drooping/half closed eyelids, slightly impaired locomotion, low arousal, & no reaction to approach)
- Except for droopy eyelids, these effects may be indicative of slowed mobility
- Parameters were not affected on Days 8 or 15

Results of the acute neurotoxicity (FOB) study in rats Observation 0 ppm 100 ppm 300 ppm 500 ppm Males (n = 10) Drooping/half closed 1 (10%) 1 (10%) 0 5 (50%) evelids Slightly impaired 0 0 2 (20%) 1 (10%) locomotion Low arousal 0 2 (20%) 9\*\* (90%) 5\* (50%) Approach response -1 (10%) 2 (20%) 6\* (60%) 6\* (60%) no reaction \*p≤0.05

		(Cont.)	,	,
Observation	0 ppm	100 ppm	300 ppm	500 ppm
·	Fen	nales (n = 10)		
Drooping/half closed eyelids	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	5 (25%)	3 (30%)
Slightly impaired locomotion	0	0	0	2 (20%)
Low arousal	0	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	6* (60%)
No reaction to approach	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	1 (10%)	4 (20%)

(Cont.)								
Observation	0 ppm	100 ppm	300 ppm	500 ppm				
Males + Females (n = 20)								
Drooping/half closed eyelids	2 (10%)	2 (10%)	5 (25%)	8** (40%)				
Slightly impaired locomotion	0	0	2 (10%)	3 (15%)				
Low arousal	0	3 (15%)	6** (30%)	15** (75%)				
No reaction to approach	2 (10%)	3 (15%)	7* (35%)	10** (50%)				
*p≤0.05, **p≤0.01								
All effects showed	clear dose-re	lated trends						
300 ppm is a defin	ite LOAEL (ne	eurotoxicity)						
• 100 ppm is the NO	AFI							



		AEGL	-2 VALUES		
10 minutes	30 minutes	1	hour 4	hours	8 hours
80 ppm	80 ppm	45	ppm	l4 ppm	7.9 ppm
Test Species/Strain	/Number: Sprag	ue-Dawley	rats, 10/sex/gro	up	
Exposure Routered			100 ppm	300 pp	500 ppm
F ffects:			IOO ppm	300 ppm	Soo ppin
Effects: Droopy/half-closed	evelids	10%	10%	25%	40%
Effects: Droopy/half-closed Impaired locomotion	eyelids n	10% 0%	10% 0%	25% 10%	40%   15%
Effects: Droopy/half-closed Impaired locomotion Low arousal	eyelids n	10% 0% 0%	10% 0% 15%	25% 10% 30%	40%   15%   75%
Effects: Droopy/half-closed Impaired locomotion Low arousal	eyelids n	10% 0% 0%	10% 0% 15%	25% 10% 30%	40%   15%   75%





Prop	osed AEG	L Values	for Ethyle	ene Oxide	e [ ppm	(mg/m³]
Class.	10 min.	30 min.	1 h	4 h	8 h	Endpoint (Reference)
AEGL-1 (Nondisabling)	Not recor	nmendedª				
AEGL-2 <sup>b</sup> (Disabling)	80 (144)	80 (144)	45 (81)	14 (25)	7.9 (14)	Neurotoxicity (Mandella, 1997)
AEGL-3 (Lethal)	360 (648)	360 (648)	200 (360)	63 (113)	35 (63)	Lethality (Jacobson et al., 1956 )

<sup>a</sup>The absence of AEGL-1 values does not imply that exposure below the AEGL-2 level is without adverse effects.

<sup>b</sup>AEGL-2 values were derived from acute neurotoxicity data; ethylene oxide is a developmental toxicant; these values should be protective of the fetus.

**ATTACHMENT 7** 

# Considerations for Deriving Value of n for Ethylene Oxide

George Woodall U.S. EPA / ORD / NCEA

# Overview

- Concern that the value of n = 1.2 is not health protective enough
- Analysis performed using DoseResp software of ten Berge http://home.planet.nl/~wtberge/doseresp.html
- Species Sensitivity
  - Rats < Dogs < Mice (Jacobson et al., 1956)</p>
  - Relative sensitivity of humans is unknown

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

12-0-2006       11:34:33 AM $\frac{\text{EC}-\text{prom}}{1992.000}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1392.000       240:00 $10$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1392.000       240:00 $10$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1343.000       240:00 $10$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1343.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1343.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1343.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1343.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1443.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1443.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1443.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1443.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1421.000       240:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1422.000       60:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 1422.000       60:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 2420:00       60:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ 3609:00       60:00 $5$ $\frac{10}{10}$ Selection of trials from number 1       thro	Filename = EtO-RatLethality.nrd	
133:00       240:00       10:       2:         2026:00       240:00       5:       4:         1365:00       240:00       5:       0:         1365:00       240:00       5:       0:         1365:00       240:00       5:       0:         1365:00       240:00       5:       0:         1365:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         1433:00       240:00       5:       0:         143:00       60:00       5:       1:         143:00       60:00       5:       0:         140:00       60:00       5:       0:         140:00 <td< th=""><th>12-05-2006       11:34:43 AM         EtO-ppm       Minutes       N       Dead         2298.00       240.00       10.       10.         1992.00       240.00       10.       10.         1843.00       240.00       10.       9.         1648.00       240.00       10.       4.</th><th>Jacobson et al., 1956 – Male white rats</th></td<>	12-05-2006       11:34:43 AM         EtO-ppm       Minutes       N       Dead         2298.00       240.00       10.       10.         1992.00       240.00       10.       10.         1843.00       240.00       10.       9.         1648.00       240.00       10.       4.	Jacobson et al., 1956 – Male white rats
1333.00       240.00       5.       5.         1434.00       240.00       5.       0.         1431.00       240.00       5.       0.         1616.100       60.00       5.       0.         14827.00       60.00       5.       0.         4827.00       60.00       5.       1.         4042.00       60.00       5.       5.         4202.00       60.00       5.       5.         4202.00       60.00       5.       5.         4202.00       60.00       5.       5.         3366.00       60.00       5.       0.         Selection of trials from number 1 through 23       Transformed logaritimically!         Probit model used without background response correction!       Nachreiner, 1992 – Female Sprague Dawley rat         Variable 1 = ECo-ppm       1s transformed logaritimically!         Probit model used without background response correction!       Interim AEGL         Variable 2 = Minutes       1 = -3.287E+00         chi-square       60.1 = -3.287E+00         variance B 0 1 = -3.287E+00       Variance B 1 1 = 2.4658E-01         variance B 1 2 = 2.1268E-01       Value of n = 1.2         variance B 1 2 = 2.1268E-01       Variance B 1 2 = 2.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	— Nachreiner, 1991 – Male Sprague Dawley rats
Chill 10060.005.4. $\frac{5461.00}{54200}$ $60.00$ $5.$ $1.$ $\frac{4227.00}{4202.00}$ $60.00$ $5.$ $1.$ $\frac{4202.00}{4061.00}$ $60.00$ $5.$ $1.$ $\frac{4202.00}{4061.00}$ $60.00$ $5.$ $1.$ $\frac{4061.00}{360.00}$ $60.00$ $5.$ $1.$ $\frac{3966.00}{60.00}$ $60.00$ $5.$ $0.$ Selection of trials from number 1 through 23Nachreiner, 1992 – Female Sprague Dawley ratsSelection of variablesECO-ppmECO-ppmis transformed logaritmically!Minutesis transformed logaritmically!Minutesis transformed logaritmically!Variable 1= ECO-ppmVariable 2= MinutesChi-Square= 63.78Degrees of Preedom= 20B 0= 3.1378+01Covariance B 00southert for B 1= 5.72variance B 01 $0 = 2.2.658\pm00$ variance B 11 $2 = 2.1268\pm00$ Variance B 11 $2 = 2.1268\pm00$ Variance B 12 $2 = 2.1268\pm00$ Variance B 22 $2 = 1.2365\pm00$ variance B 1 $2 = 2.1268\pm00$ Variance B 2 $2 = 1.3058\pm00$ Covariance B 1 $2 = 2.1268\pm00$ Variance B 1 $2 = 2.1268\pm00$ Variance B 2 $2 = 1.3058\pm00$ Variance B 2 $2 = 1.3058\pm00$ Variance B 2 $2 = 1.2024\pm00$ Mide for for for for for for the model is not sufficient.	1850.00     240.00     5.     5.       1637.00     240.00     5.     4.       1443.00     240.00     5.     1.       1021.00     240.00     5.     0.	Nachreiner, 1991 – Female Sprague Dawley rats
4202:00       C0:00       5:       1:         4064:00       60:00       5:       2:         360:00       60:00       5:       2:         Selection of trials from number 1 through 23       Transformation of variables       Nachreiner, 1992 – Female Sprague Dawley rat         Probit model used without background response correction!       Variable 1 = EtO-ppm       Is transformed logarithmically!         Probit model used without background response correction!       Variable 2 = Minutes       Student t for B 0 = -5.05         B 0 = -3.137E+01       Student t for B 1 = 5.77       n = B1/B2 = 1.2369         Variable 2 = 0 = 5.05       n = B1/B2 = 1.2369         Variance B 0 0 = -3.955E+01       covariance B 0 1 = -3.2372*00         covariance B 0 1 = -3.2372*00       covariance B 1 2 = 2.665E+001         covariance B 1 2 = 2.365E+001       covariance B 1 2 = 2.365E+001         covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01       covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01         covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01       covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01         covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01       covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01         covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01       covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01         covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01       covariance B 1 2 = 2.1605E+01         covariance B 1 2 = 2.005E+01       covariance B 1 2 = 0.189         Covar	6161.00         60.00         5.         4.           5546.00         60.00         5.         1.           4827.00         60.00         5.         0.           4827.00         60.00         5.         5.	Nachreiner, 1992 – Male Sprague Dawley rats
Selection of trials from number 1 through 23 Transformation of variables EtO-ppm is transformed logaritmically! Probit model used without background response correction! Variable 1 = EtO-ppm Variable 2 = Minutes Chi-Square = 63.78 Degrees of Freedom = 20 B 0 = -3.137B+01 Student t for B 0 = -5.05 B 1 = 3.086E+00 Student t for B 1 = 5.77 n = B1/B2 = 1.2369 B 2 = 2.495E+00 Student t for B 2 = 5.72 variance B 0 1 = -3.237E+00 covariance B 0 1 = -3.237E+00 covariance B 1 1 = 2.865E+01 covariance B 1 2 = 2.126E+01 variance B 1 2 = 2.126E+01 variance B 1 2 = 2.126E+01 The prediction of the model is not sufficient. Use for estimation of the 95% confidence limits Student t with 20 degrees of Freedom Correction for variances Chi-Squares/Degrees of Freedom = 3.189 RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT	40202.00       60.00       5.       1.         4064.00       60.00       5.       5.         3966.00       60.00       5.       2.         3609.00       60.00       5.       0.	Nachreiner, 1992 – Female Sprague Dawley rats
Chi-Square = 63.78 Degrees of Freedom = 20 B 0 = -3.137E+01 Student t for B 0 = -5.05 B 1 = 3.086E+00 Student t for B 1 = 5.77 D 2 = 2.495E+00 Student t for B 2 = 5.72 variance B 0 0 = 3.855E+01 covariance B 0 1 = -3.287E+00 covariance B 1 1 = 2.865E+01 covariance B 1 2 = 2.126E+01 variance B 2 2 = 1.905E+01 reaction of the model is not sufficient. Use for estimation of the 95% confidence limits Student t with 20 degrees of freedom Correction for variances Chi-Squares/Degrees ot Freedom = 3.189 RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT	Transformation of variables EtO-ppm is transformed logaritmically! Minutes is transformed logaritmically! Probit model used without background response correction! Variable 1 = EtO-ppm Variable 2 = Minutes	
B 0 = -3.137E+01 Student t for B 0 = -5.05 B 1 = 3.086E+00 Student t for B 1 = 5.77 B 2 = 2.495E+00 Student t for B 2 = 5.72 n = B1/B2 = 1.2369 variance B 0 1 = -3.287E+00 covariance B 0 1 = -3.287E+00 covariance B 1 1 = 2.865E+01 covariance B 1 2 = 2.126E-01 variance B 2 2 = 1.905E-01 The prediction of the model is not sufficient. Use for estimation of the 95% confidence limits Student t with 20 degrees of freedom Correction for variances Chi-Squares/Degrees of Freedom = 3.189 RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT	Chi-Square = 63.78 Degrees of Freedom = 20	
<pre>variance B 0 0 = 3.855E+01 covariance B 0 1 = -3.287E+00 covariance B 0 2 = -2.605E+00 variance B 1 1 = 2.865E-01 covariance B 1 2 = 2.126E-01 variance B 2 2 = 1.905E-01 The prediction of the model is not sufficient. Use for estimation of the 95% confidence limits Student t with 20 degrees of freedom Correction for variances Chi-Squares/Degrees ot Freedom = 3.189 RESEARCH &amp; DEVELOPMENT</pre>	$ \begin{array}{c} B \ 0 &= -3.137E + 01 \\ B \ 1 &= 3.086E + 00 \\ B \ 2 &= 2.495E + 00 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Student \ t \ for \ B \ 1 &= 5.77 \\ Student \ t \ for \ B \ 2 &= 5.72 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} n &= B1/B2 \ = 1.23 \\ \end{array} $	Interim AEGL
The prediction of the model is not sufficient. Use for estimation of the 95% confidence limits Student t with 20 degrees of freedom Correction for variances Chi-Squares/Degrees of Freedom = 3.189 RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT	variance B 0 0 = 3.855E+01 covariance B 0 1 = -3.287E+00 covariance B 0 2 = -2.605E+00 variance B 1 1 = 2.865E-01 covariance B 1 2 = 2.126E-01 variance B 2 2 = 1.905E-01	Value of $n = 1.2$
Correction for variances Chi-Squares/Degrees of Freedom = 3.189 RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT	The prediction of the model is not sufficient. Use for estimation of t 95% confidence limits Student t with 20 degrees of freedom	che
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT	Correction for variances Chi-Squares/Degrees of Freedom = 3.189	
	RESEARCH & DEVELO	DPMENT

Filename = EtO-RatLethality.nrd 23-05-2006 3:34:09 PM

EtO-ppm Minutes Ν Dead 5. 2182.00 240.00 4. 2026.00 240.00 5. 4. 1850.00 240.00 5. 0. 1443.00 240.00 5. 0. 1021.00 240.00 5. 0. 1850.00 240.00 5. 5. 1637.00 240.00 5. 4. 1443.00 240.00 5. 1. 1021.00 240.00 5. 0. 5. 6161.00 60.00 4. 5546.00 60.00 5. 1. 4827.00 5. 60.00 0. 4827.00 60.00 5. 5. 60.00 4202.00 5. 1. 4064.00 60.00 5. 5. 3966.00 60.00 5. 2. 3609.00 60.00 5. 0. Selection of trials from number 7 through 23 Transformation of variables is transformed logaritmically! is transformed logaritmically! EtO-ppm Minutes is transformed logarithically! Study is transformed logaritmically! Sex Probit model used without background response correction! Variable 1 = EtO-ppm Variable 2 = Minutes Chi-Square = 44.93 Degrees of Freedom = 14 B 0 = -3.067E+01 Student t for B 0 = -3.37B 1 = 3.122E+00Student t for  $B_1 = 3.94$ n = B1/B2 = 1.3882B 2 = 2.249E+00Student t for  $B_2 = 3.66$ variance B = 0 = 8.288E+01covariance B 0 1 = -7.169E+00covariance B 0 2 = -5.437E+00 variance B = 1 = 6.281E-01covariance B 1 2 = 4.572E-01variance  $B_{2} = 3.785E-01$ The prediction of the model is not sufficient. Use for estimation of the 95% confidence limits Student t with 14 degrees of freedom Correction for variances Chi-Squares/Degrees of Freedom = 3.210

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Building a scientific foundation for sound environmental decisions

Only studies of Nachreiner (1991, 1992) Used in this analysis.

- Same strain rat
- Same laboratory
- Close Temporal spacing
  - Studies one year apart versus 35 years between 1956 and 1991

Value of n = 1.4

Filename = EtO-MiceLethality-c.nrd 12-05-2006 11:37:42 AM Minutes Ν Dead EtO-ppm 31. 1400.00 90.00 3. 1800.00 90.00 70. 41. 22. 1543.00 105.00 15. Weller et al. (1991) – Female C57BL/6J mice 120.00 69. 27. 1350.00 700.00 180.00 19. 0. More sensitive species 900.00 180.00 39. 1. Five durations instead of 2 350.00 360.00 14. 0. 450.00 360.00 21. 0. **Contemporary analysis** Selection of trials from number 17 through 24 Same lab Same study Transformation of variables is transformed logaritmically! EtO-ppm Same time is transformed logaritmically! Minutes Same strain animals Probit model used without background response correction! Variable 1 = EtO-ppm Variable 2 = Minutes Chi-Square = 6.33Degrees of Freedom = 5B 0 = -6.370E + 01 Student t for B 0 = -4.11Value of n = 1.7 $B_1 = 6.789E+00$  Student t for  $B_1 = 5.1$ n = B1/B2 = 1.6775 $B_2 = 4.047E+00$  Student t for  $B_2 = 3.14$ variance B 0 0 = 2.406E+02covariance B 0 1 = -2.045E+01 covariance B = 0 = -1.955E + 01variance B 1 1 = 1.769E+00covariance B 1 2 = 1.612E+00variance  $B_{2} = 1.664E+00$ The prediction of the model is sufficient. Use for estimation of the 95% confidence limits the Standard Normal Deviate No correction for variances required! **RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT** Building a scientific foundation for sound environmental decisions

Value of n (with 95% Confidence Intervals)



**RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT** 



Comparison of Value of n

# Proposal

- Value of n = 1.2 may not be health protective enough
- Value of n = 1.4 is more protective and based on more consistent data in rats (20 ppm reduction in AEGL-2 at 30 minutes)
  - Value of n = 1.7 is based on more data on the most sensitive species (36 ppm reduction in AEGL-2 at 30 minutes)

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

# Nachreiner Rat Data

٠

### Pros

- Same species as critical endpoint
- More concentrations tested at each duration

- Cons
  - Only two durations tested
  - Assays were not in the same study (1991 and 1992)

# Weller et al. Mouse Data

- Pros
  - Five durations tested
  - Contemporary assays
  - More sensitive species
  - More health protective results

### Cons

- Not same species as critical endpoint
- Only two concentrations per duration

#### RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

#### ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS for CHLOROTRIFLUOROETHYLENE

National Advisory Committee for AEGLs Meeting 40 September 6-8, 2006

**ORNL Staff Scientist:** Sylvia S. Talmage

Chemical Manager: George Rusch

Chemical Reviewers: Robert Benson Richard Niemeier

#### Chlorotrifluoroethylene Metabolism/Uncertainty Factors

Primary pathway of metabolism: conjugation with hepatic glutathione Glutathione conjugate broken down to cysteine metabolite in kidney Cysteine (thiol) metabolite considered the nephrotoxic species

Considerations for interspecies uncertainty factor Greater uptake in rodents than humans Higher respiratory rate and cardiac output Higher blood:air partition coefficient Iligher tissue concentrations of glutathione transferases (GST) Possible faster metabolism to the toxic metabolite

Considerations for intraspecies uncertainty factor: Humans differ in number of copies and classes of GST genes Some individuals are non-conjugators (theoretically would be at lower risk) Other individuals are "slow" or "fast" metabolizers Difference not greater than three-fold; Difference of questionable toxicological significance (Nolan et al. 1985)

3

#### CHLOROTRIFLUOROETHYLENE

Physical State: Colorless gas High vapor pressure

No human studies (monitoring data: no-effect TWA of ≤20 ppm) Sufficient animal studies, some very old

Mechanism of action:

Pulmonary congestion at lethal and near-lethal concentrations Kidney lesions mediated via metabolism (conjugation) with glutathione generally reversible

No information on time scaling

#### Chlorotrifluoroethylene Data

2

Lethality Values:

4-hour LC<sub>50</sub> values: Rat: 155

Rat: 1550 ppm (Sakharova and Tolgskaya 1977) Mouse: 1800 ppm (Sakharova and Tolgskaya 1977)

Non-Lethal Values and Effects:

Highest non-lethal value: Mouse: 1000 ppm for 8 hours (Walther and Fischer 1968) Potter et al. 1981, 4-hour studies with the rat:

102 ppm: mild diuresis
222 ppm: reversible kidney lesions (necrosis, clinical chemistry changes)
330 ppm: reversible kidney lesions (necrosis, clinical chemistry changes)
540 ppm: reversible kidney lesions (necrosis, clinical chemistry changes)

Buckley et al. 1982, 4-hour study with the rat:

395 ppm: reversible kidney lesions (necrosis, clinical chemistry changes)

#### Chlorotrifluoroethylene AEGL-1

Basis for AEGL-1:

Rat, 102 ppm for 4 hours (Potter et al. 1981)

NOAEL for reversible kidney necrosis

Uncertainty factors:

Interspecies: 3, uptake greater in the rodent; higher tissue GST Intraspecies: 3, difference among metabolizers not expected to vary greatly Time-scaling: n = 3, 1 for shorter and longer exposure durations, respectively

		Exposure Duration						
Classification	10-Minute	30-Minute	1-Hour	4-Hour	8-Hour			
AEGL-1	29 ppm	20 ppm	16 ppm	10 ppm	10 ppm			

Set 8-hour value equal to 4-hour value based on no-effect monitoring value of ≤20 ppm (Ryan 1991)

#### Chlorotrifluoroethylene AEGL-2

Basis for AEGL-2:

Rat, 540 ppm for 4 hours (Potter et al. 1981)

NOAEL for irreversible kidney necrosis

Uncertainty factors:

Interspecies: 3, uptake greater in the rodent; higher tissue GST

Intraspecies: 3, difference among metabolizers not expected to vary greatly Time-scaling: n = 3, 1 for shorter and longer exposure durations, respectively

	Exposure Duration						
Classification	10-Minute	30-Minute	1-Hour	4-Hour	8-Hour		
AEGL-2	160 ppm	110 ppm	86 ppm	54 ppm	54 ppm		

Set 8-hour value equal to 4 hour value based on no-effect monitoring value of <20 ppm (Ryan 1991)

#### Chlorotrifluoroethylene AEGL-3

5

Basis for AEGL-3:

Mouse: 1000 ppm for 4 hours (Walther and Fischer 1968) NOAEL for lethality

Uncertainty factors:

Interspecies: 3, uptake greater in the rodent; higher tissue GST Intraspecies: 3, difference among metabolizers not expected to vary greatly Time-scaling: n = 3, 1 for shorter and longer exposure durations, respectively

	Exposure Duration						
Classification	10-Minute	30-Minute	1-Hour	4-Hour	8-Hour		
AEGL-3	360 ppm	250 ppm	200 ppm	130 ppm	100 ppm		

The 8-hour value was time-scaled to the 10-minute value because there are short-term data, albeit old.

#### Chlorotrifluoroethylene AEGLs - Summary

	Exposure Duration						
Classification	10-Minute	30-Minute	1-Hour	4-Hour	8-Hour		
AEGL-1	29 ppm	20 ppm	16 ppm	10 ppm	10 ppm		
AEGL-2	160 ppm	110 ppm	86 ppm	54 ppm	54 ppm		
AEGL-3	360 ppm	250 ppm	200 ppm	130 ppm	100 ppm		

8

#### ATTACHMENT 8a

Chloro trifluoroethylene Walther & Fischer 1968

Nominal concentrations, dynamic chamber conditions animal groups ≥ 10 male mice

**<u>First test series</u>**, post-exposure observation period 6 days:

		immediately	6 day observation	
Conc ppm	Duration hr	% lethal	exposed	lethal
400	100	0	10?	0
1000	16	10	10	6
1000	24	50	10	10
3000	4	10	10	8
3000	7	50	10	10
8000	2	10	10	10
8000	3	50	10	10

Other exposure conditions NO post-exposure observation. 400 ppm: no behavioural changes
# Second test series

Same exposure concentrations, half the duration of LCT10 and LCT50 Post-exposure observation period 10 days.

.

		immediately	6 day o	bservation
Conc ppm	Duration hr	% lethal	exposed	lethal
1000	8	0	10?	0
1000	12	40	10	4
3000	2	10	10	1
3000	3,5	80	10	8
8000	1	75	12	9
8000	1,5	100	10	10

# Data used for calculations

.

conc ppm	minutes	exposed	responded
400.00	6000.00	10.	0.
1000.00	960.00	10.	6.
1000.00	1440.00	10.	10.
3000.00	240.00	10.	8.
3000.00	420.00	10.	10.
8000.00	120.00	10.	10.
8000.00	180.00	10.	10.
1000.00	480.00	10.	0.
1000.00	720.00	10.	4.
3000.00	120.00	10.	1.
3000.00	210.00	10.	8.
8000.00	60.00	12.	9.
8000.00	90.00	10.	10.

,

## Chloro trifluoro ethylene

This was the first day presentation, where all data > 8 hours were included. Following this presentation, the NAC request a re-calculation excluding the 400 ppm data (too uncertain) and excluding data from exposures > 8 hours. These are presented on the next page.

All columns represent proposed AEGL values (i.e. after application of assessment / uncertainty factors). The column 'current' provides AEGL-3 values as proposed by ORNL.

Including 400 ppm		Excluding 400 ppm		Current
duration	AEGL-3	duration	AEGL-3	
10 min	690	10 min	1532	360
30 min	370	30 min	690	250
60 min	253	60 min	420	200
4 hrs	120	4 hrs	150	130
8 hrs	79	8 hrs	91	100
n-value	1.79	n-value	1.37	

## Chloro trifluoro ethylene

This is the presentation on the second day. Data from exposure to 400 ppm are excluded. A comparison is made between calculation INcluding and calculations EXcluding data from exposures > 8 hours.

Excluding 400 ppm, only ≤ 8 hours		Excluding 400 ppm includes > 8 hrs		Current
duration	AEGL-3	duration	AEGL-3	
10 min	1586	10 min	1532	360
30 min	707	30 min	690	250
60 min	424	60 min	420	200
4 hrs	153	4 hrs	150	130
8 hrs	92	8 hrs	91	100
n-value	1.36	n-value	1.37	

#### ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS

#### HEXAFLUOROPROPYLENE (HFP)

NAC/AEGL-40

September, 2006 Bethesda, MD

.

No human data •

Animal data .

multiples species (rats, mice, rabbits, guinea pigs) 0

0 death generally occurs post exposure (hours to days later although in some instances concurrent with exposure)

0 pulmonary and renal involvement

ORNL Staff Scientist: Robert Young

Chemical Manager: George Rusch

**Chemical Reviewers: Robert Benson Richard Niemeier** 

HFP - LETHAL TOXICITY

	Lethal toxicity (LC <sub>50</sub>	) of HFP in laboratory species
Rat		
4-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	3060 ppm	Du Pont Co., 1960
30-min LC <sub>30</sub>	15,750 ppm	Paulet and Debrousses, 1965
2-hr LC <sub>30</sub>	4000 ppm	
4-hr LC <sub>30</sub>	2800 ppm	
6-hr LC <sub>90</sub>	2350 ppm	
8-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	2400 ppm	
Mouse		
4-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	1766 ppm	Du Pont Co., 1960
30-min LC <sub>36</sub>	3000 ppm	Paulet and Debrousses, 1965
. 2-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	1200 ppm	
4-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	750 ppm	
6-hr LC <sub>30</sub>	680 ppm	
8-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	600 ppm	
Guinea pig		
4-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	2114 ppm	Du Pont Co., 1960

Acute in	nhalation toxicity of HFP in 1	ats following a single 6-hour exposure
Concentration (ppm)	Mortality (dead/exposed)	Pathology flodings
1760	2/2	nephrosis, pulmonary congestion and edema
1250	2/2	nephrosis, pulmonary congestion and edema
880	0/2	nephrosis
735	1/2	nephrosis
600	0/2	nephrosis
Du Pont Co. (1960)		

Species	Exposure	Mortality ratio	Reference
Rabbit	<u>4-hr</u> 3440 ppm 2000 ppm 1500 ppm	<ul> <li>5/6 nephrosis, pulmonary edema</li> <li>½ nephrosis, pulmonary</li> <li>congestion/edema</li> <li>0/2 reversible nephrosis, tracheal</li> <li>congestion</li> </ul>	Du Pont Co., 1960
uinea pig	<u>4-hr</u> 3440 ppm 1500 ppm 1 <del>0</del> 00 ppm	8/10 nephrosis, pulmonary edema 2/4 nephrosis, pulmonary edema 0/4 reversible nephrosis	Du Pont Co., 1960

.

.

Lethal Toxicity of HFP in Laboratory Species			
Species	Exposure	Mortality ratio	Reference
Rat	<u>6-hr</u> 1760 ppm	2/2 renal toxicity in all exposure groups; pulmonary congestion and edema in 1760- and 1250-ppm groups	Du Pont, 1960
	735 ppm 600 ppm	1/2 nephrosis 0/2	
	<u>4-hr</u> 3440 ppm 2870 ppm 1980 ppm	6/10 nephrosis 8/10 nephrosis 0/10 reversible ("healing") nephrosis	
	0.5-8 hrs 15,750 ppm 4000 ppm 2800 ppm 2350 ppm 2400 ppm	30-min LC <sub>50</sub> 2-hr LC <sub>50</sub> 4-hr LC <sub>50</sub> 6-hr LC <sub>50</sub> 8-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	Paulet and Debrousses, 1965
	2 <u>-5 hrs</u> 5000 ppm 500 ppm 250 ppm	<ul> <li>4/4 2 or 5-hr exposure: 100% mortality</li> <li>1/4 2-hr exposure</li> <li>4/4 5-hr exposure</li> <li>0/4 no signs of toxicity</li> </ul>	Salveneschi, 1971

No human data

•

Animal data o multiples species (rats, mice, rabbits, guinea pigs) o qualitatively similar response among species o characterized by pulmonary and renal effects - reversible upon cessation of exposure

# **HFP - NONLETHAL TOXICITY**

Species	Exposure	Mortality ratio	Reference
Mouse	<u>4-hr</u> 3020 ppm	8/10 nephrosis, bronchopneumonia	Du Pont Co., 1960
	2600 ppm	9/10 nephrosis	
	1000 ppm 1000 ppm	0/10 reversible ("healing") nephrosis	
	<u>6-hr</u>		
	1900 ppm	4/4 deaths occurred 1-6 days postexposure	Du Pont Co., 1986a
	1000 ppm	0/4	
	<u>0.5-8 hrs</u>		
	3000 ppm	30-min LC <sub>50</sub>	Paulet and Debrousses
	750 ppm	2-nr LC <sub>50</sub>	1905
	680 ppm	6-hr LC	
	600 ppm	8-hr LC <sub>50</sub>	

#### **HFP - NONLETHAL TOXICITY**

Species	Exposure	Effect	Reference
Rat	140 ppm, 4 hrs 320-1980 ppm, 4 hrs	no signs of toxicity reversible nephrosis	Du Pont, Co., 1960
	250 ppm, 5 hrs 50 ppm, 8 hrs	no signs of toxicity no signs of toxicity	Salveneschi, 1971
	2600 ppm, 30 min	reversible nephrosis	Dilley et al., 1974
	380-1200 ppm, 4 hrs	reversible nephrosis	Potter et al., 1981
Mouse	1000 ppm, 4 hrs	labored respiration, reversible nephrosis	Du Pont Co., 1960
	1000 ppm, 6 hrs	lethargic/unresponsive	Du Pont Co., 1986a
Rabbit	1500 ppm, 4 hrs	reversible nephrosis, bronchitis, tracheal congestion	Du pont Co., 1960
Guinea pig	1000 ppm, 4 hrs	reversible nephrosis	Du Pont Co., 1960

0

No human data

- nimal data multiples species (rats, mice, rabbits, guinea pigs) qualitatively similar response among species

0

o

- characterized by pulmonary and renal effects

reversible upon cessation of exposure

**HFP AEGL-1** 

- Critical effect/POD: Absence of notable toxicity in rats exposed to 140 ppm for 4 hours (Du Pont Co., 1960)
- Uncertainty factors: Total uncertainty adjustment of 30. Interspecies: UF = 10; lack of corroborative data regarding absence of effects or minor effects in additional species

Intraspecies: UF=3; the continuum of HFP toxicity may vary slightly due to metabolism-mediated variability in production of reactive species resulting in nephrotoxicity. The variability in minor effects is not expected to vary greatly

**Time scaling:** n = 1.3 derived from rat lethality data; 10-min values equivalent to 30-min

		EGL-1 Values for I	HFP	
10-min	30-min	1-hr	4-hr	8-hr
22 ppm	22 ppm	13 ppm	4.7 ppm	2.8 ppm

#### **HFP - NONLETHAL TOXICITY**

Nonlethal toxicity of HFP in laboratory species following inhalation exposure				
Species	Exposure	Effect	Reference	
Rat	140 ppm, 4 hrs 320-1980 ppm, 4 hrs	no signs of toxicity reversible nephrosis	Du Pont, Co., 1960	
	250 ppm, 5 hrs 50 ppm, 8 hrs	no signs of toxicity no signs of toxicity	Salveneschi, 1971	
	2600 ppm, 30 min	reversible nephrosis	Dilley et al., 1974	
	380-1200 ppm, 4 hrs	reversible nephrosis	Potter et al., 1981	
Mouse	1000 ppm, 4 hrs	labored respiration, reversible nephrosis	Du Pont Co., 1960	
	1000 ppm, 6 hrs	lethargic/unresponsive	Du Pont Co., 1986a	
Rabbit	1500 ppm, 4 hrs	reversible nephrosis, bronchitis, tracheal congestion	Du pont Co., 1960	
Guinea pig	1000 ppm, 4 hrs	reversible nephrosis	Du Pont Co., 1960	

HFP AEGL-2

AEGL Values for Hexafluoropropylene							
Classification	10-minute	30-minute	1-hour	4-hour	8-hour		
AEGL-1 (Nondisabling)	22 ppm	22 ppm	13 ppm	4.7 ppm	2.8 ppm		
AEGL-2 (Disabling)	150 ppm	150 ppm	91 ppm	32 ppm	19 ppm		
AEGL-3 (Lethality)	800 ppm	800 ppm	480 ppm	170 ppm	100 ppm		

Critical effect/POD: Reversible nephrosis and resulting alterations in reual function in rats exposed to 320 ppm HFP for4 hours (Du Pont Co., 1960)

Uncertainty factors: Total uncertainty adjustment of 10. <u>Interspecies</u>: UF = 3; HFP toxicity was qualitatively similar among all the species tested, exposures causing nephrosis did not vary greatly across the species tested

**Intraspecies:** UF of 3 was considered sufficient to account for variability in metabolism and subsequent formation of reactive species involved in nephrotoxicity

Time scaling: n = 1.3 10-min values equivalent to 30-min

AEGL-2 Values for HFP					
10-min 30-min 1-hr 4-hr 8-hr					
150 թթա	150 ppm	91 ppm	32 ppm	19 ppm	

#### **HFP AEGL-3**

Critical effect/POD: Estimated lethality threshold in rats; 4-hr BMCL<sub>05</sub> (log probit model) of 1677 ppm (Du Pont Co., 1960)

Uncertainty factors: Total uncertainty adjustment of 10. <u>Interspecies</u>: UF = 3; HFP toxicity was qualitatively similar among all the species tested, lethality estimates varied by ~ 2 to 4-fold

> <u>Intraspecies</u>: UF of 3 was considered sufficient to account for variability in metabolism and subsequent formation of reactive species involved in nephrotoxicity

Time scaling: n = 1.3 10-min values equivalent to 30-min

AEGL-3 Values for HFP					
10-min 30-min 1-hr 4-hr 8-hr					
800 ppm	800 ppm	480 ppm	170 ppm	100 ppm	



Rat lethality (Du Pont & Co., 1960; Paulet and Debrousses, 1965)

Time	Conc.	Log Time	Log Conc.	Regression Output:
30	15750	1.4771	4,1973	Intercept 5.2435
80	9226	1.7782	3.9650	Slope -0.7494
120	4000	2.0792	3.8021	R Squared 0.8950
120	4466	2.0792	3.6499	Correlation -0.9460
240	2800	2.3802	3.4472	Degrees of Freedom 7
240	3060	2.3802	3.4857	Observations 9
240	1626	2.3802	3.2615	
360	2350	2.5563	3.3711	
460	2400	2.6812	3.3802	

1.33 9931315.06 n= k=



~

•

. -

### ATTACHMENT 10

#### TETRAFLUOROETHYLENE

Physical State: Colorless gas High vapor pressure

No human studies (monitoring data: no-effect TWA of < 6.5 ppm) Sufficient animal studies

Mechanism of action:

Pulmonary congestion at lethal and near-lethal concentrations Kidney lesions mediated via metabolism (conjugation) with glutathione generally reversible

No information on time scaling

#### ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS for TETRAFLUOROETHYLENE

National Advisory Committee for AEGLs Meeting 40 September 6-8, 2006

**ORNL Staff Scientist:** Sylvia S. Talmage

Chemical Manager: George Rusch

Chemical Reviewers: Robert Benson Richard Niemeier

#### Tetrafluoroethylene Metabolism/Uncertainty Factors

Primary pathway of metabolism: conjugation with hepatic glutathione Glutathione conjugate broken down to cysteine metabolite in kidney Cysteine (thiol) metabolite considered the nephrotoxic species

Considerations for interspecies uncertainty factor Greater uptake in rodents than humans Higher respiratory rate and cardiac output Higher blood:air partition coefficient Higher tissue concentrations of glutathione transferases (GST) Possible faster metabolism to the toxic metabolite

Considerations for intraspecies uncertainty factor:

Humans differ in number of copies and classes of GST genes Some individuals are non-conjugators (theoretically would be at lower risk) Other individuals are "slow" or "fast" metabolizers Difference of questionable toxicological significance (Nolan et al. 1985)

#### **Tetrafluoroethylene Data**

z

Lethality Values:

4-hour LC<sub>50</sub> values: All species (rat, mouse, guinea pig, hamster): 28,000-40,000 ppm

Non-Lethal Values and Effects:

Highest non-lethal value: Rat: 20,000 ppm for 4 hours (DuPont 1959) Hamster: 20,700 ppm for 4 hours (DuPont 1980)

#### Sublethal effects (rats):

3500 ppm for 30 minutes - reversible kidney lesions (Dilley et al. 1974)
3700 ppm for 4 hours - renal tubule fibrosis (DuPont 1977)
1200 ppm for 6 hours - no kidney cell proliferation (Keller et al. 2000)
1000 ppm for 6 hours - no effect (Odum and Green 1984)
2000 ppm for 6 hours - NOAEL for kidney lesions
3000 ppm for 6 hours - possible threshold for clinical chemistry changes
4000 ppm for 6 hours - clinical chemistry changes... due to kidney effects
6000 ppm for 6 hours - kidney necrosis (no recovery period)

4

3

#### **Tetrafluoroethylene AEGL-1**

Basis for AEGL-1 (Keller et al. 2000):

Rat, 1200 ppm for 6 hours

NOAEL for reversible kidney effects

Uncertainty factors:

Interspecies: 3, uptake greater in the rodent; higher tissue GST Intraspecies: 3, difference among metabolizers not expected to vary greatly Time-scaling: n = 3, 1 for shorter and longer exposure durations, respectively

	Exposure Duration				
Classification	10-Minute	30-Minute	1-Hour	4-Hour	8-Hour
AEGL-I	400 ppm	270 ppm	220 ppm	140 ppm	90 ppm

The 4-hour study was time-scaled to 10 minutes because a 30-minute study was available.

#### **Tetrafluoroethylene AEGL-2**

Basis for AEGL-2 (Odum and Green 1984): Rat, 3000 ppm for 6 hours NOAEL for irreversible kidney effects Uncertainty factors:

Interspecies: 3, uptake greater in the rodent; higher tissue GST

Intraspecies: 3, difference among metabolizers not expected to vary greatly

Time-scaling: n = 3, 1 for shorter and longer exposure durations, respectively

Exposure Duration					
Classification	10-Minute	30-Minute	1-Hour	4-Hour	8-Hour
AEGL-2	990 ppm	690 ppm	550 ppm	340 ppm	230 ppm

#### **Tetrafluoroethylene AEGL-3**

5

Basis for AEGL-3:

Rat: 20,000 ppm for 4 hours (DuPont 1959) NOAEL for lethality

Uncertainty factors:

Interspecies: 3, uptake greater in the rodent; higher tissue GST Intraspecies: 3, difference among metabolizers not expected to vary greatly Time-scaling: n = 3, 1 for shorter and longer exposure durations, respectively

	Exposure Duration				
Classification	10-Minute	30-Minute	1-Ilour	4-1Iour	8-Hour
AEGL-3	5800 ppm	4000 ppm	3200 ppm	2000 ppm	1000 ppm

7

#### **Tetrafluoroethylene AEGLs - Summary**

6

	Exposure Duration					
Classification	10-Minute	30-Minute	1-Hour	4-Hour	8-Hour	
AEGL-1	400 ppm	270 ppm	_220 ppm	140 ppm	90 ppm	
AEGL-2	990 ppm	690 ppm	550 ppm	340 ppm	230 ppm	
AEGL-3	5800 ppm	4000 ppm	3200 ppm	2000 ppm	1000 ppm	

8

AEGL-1: 1200 ppm for 6 hours (Keller et al. 2000) AEGL-2: 3000 ppm for 6 hours (Odum and Green 1984) AEGL-3: 20,000 ppm for 4 hours (DuPont 1959)

#### ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS (AEGLs) FOR

#### ETHYLBENZENE (CAS Reg. No. 100-41-4)

#### Draft 1: September/2006

#### **ETHYLBENZENE**

Almost exclusively used for production of styrene

~20% of mixed xylenes

2

4

• Human data relevant to AGEL derivation

1

• Six male volunteers (Yant et al. 1930)

- 1000 ppm: eye irritation with lacrimation which decreased to hardly noticeable after 1-2 min

- 2000 ppm: severe eye and throat irritation which decreased with continued exposure; vertigo at 5 min

– 5000 ppm: intolerable

• No adverse effects in pharmacokinetic studies

- 46 ppm for 8 hrs (Gromiec and Piotrowski 1984)

- 85 ppm for 8 hours (Bardodej and Bardodejova 1970)

- 150 ppm for 4 hours (Engström et al. 1984)

3

	Summa	ry of Animal Lethality Data Follov	ving Ethylbenzene exposure	
Species/sex	Conc. (ppm)	Duration	Effects	Reference
Guinea pig/f	2500	8 hours 6 hours	1/8 died no effects	Cappaert et al. 2002
Guinea pig/not stated	10,000	2 hours	2/6	Yant et al. 1930
Rat/m	2400 1200	6 hours/day; 4 days	5/5; one on day 1 lacrimation	Bio/dynamics 1986
Rat/not stated	4000	4 hours	LC <sub>50</sub>	Smyth et al. 1962; Mellon Institute 1949
Mouse/m	2400 1200	6 hours/day; 4 days	5/5; all on day 2 4/5; on day 3	Bio/dynamics 1986

•

5

	Summary of Nonlethal Animal Data Following Ethylbenzene Exposure					
Species/sex	Conc. (ppm)	Duration	Effects	Reference		
Guinea pig/not stated	1000-10,000	up to 480 min	<ul> <li>1000: irritation after 3-8 min disappeared after 30 min</li> <li>2000: immediate irritation, unsteadiness after 390 min, ataxia after 480 min</li> <li>5000: immediate irritation, unsteadiness and ataxia after 26-30 min, tremors, abnormal respiration</li> </ul>	Yant et al. 1930		
Rabbit/m	400-2400	6 hours/day for 4 days	lacrimation	Bio/dynamics 1986		
Rabbit/m,f	382-1610	6 hours/day, 5 days/week, 4 weeks	no clinical signs, decr wt gain at 1610 ppm	Cragg et al. 1989		
Rat/f	550 ppm	8 hours/day, 5 days	no effects	Cappaert et al. 2002		
Rat/m	400, 1200	6 hours/day for 4 days	400: lacrimation after 3 days 1200: lacrimation on 2/5 after 1 day	Bio/dynamics 1986		
Rat/m	400-2180	4 hours	400-1500: increased activity >1500: decreased activity 2180: minimum narcotic	Molnár et al. 1986		
Rat/m	2000 ppm	6 hours/day for 3 days	no death or clinical signs	Andersson et al. 1981		
Rat/m,f Mice/m,f	99-782	6 hours/day, 5 days/week, 4 weeks	no clinical signs, incr liver wt at 782 ppm	Cragg et al. 1989		
Mice/m	400	6 hours/day for 4 days	lacrimation after 3 days	Bio/dynamics 1986		

Proposed AEGL-1 Values for Ethylbenzene						
10-minute 30-minute 1-hour 4-hour 8-hour						
330 ppm (1440	330 ppm (1440	330 ppm (1440	330 ppm (1440	330 ppm (1440		
mg/m³)	$mg/m^3$	mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	mg/m³)		

Key Study: Yant et al. 1930

Exposure: humans and guinea pigs; 1000 ppm

Effect: Threshold for AEGL 1 effects; immediate irritation which diminished

7

Scaling: None

UFs: 3 (3 for intraspecies variability and 1 for interspecies variability)

Proposed AEGL-2 Values for Ethylbenzene						
10-minute 30-minute 1-hour 4-hour 8-hour						
1600 ppm (6960 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1600 ppm (6960 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1200 ppm (5220 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	780 ppm (3393 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	540 ppm (2349 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		

Key Study: Yant et al. 1930

Exposure: humans and guinea pigs; 2000 ppm

Effect: Threshold for AEGL 2 effects; unsteadiness in guinea pig after 6.5 hours; vertigo in human

Scaling:  $C^n x t = k$ , where n = 1 or 3 UFs: 3 (3 for intraspecies variability and 3 for interspecies variability)

8

. Proposed AEGL-3 Values for Ethylbenzene						
10-minute	10-minute 30-minute 1-hour 4-hour 8-hour					
1800 ppm (7830 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1800 ppm (7830 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1500 ppm (6525 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	920 ppm (4002 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	600 ppm (2610 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )		

Key Study: Bio/dynamics 1986

Exposure: rats; 2400 ppm, 6 hours

Effect: Threshold for AEGL 3 effects; approximate threshold for lethality

Scaling:  $C^n x t = k$ , where n = 1 or 3

UFs: 3 (3 for intraspecies variability and 1 for interspecies variability)

Summary of Proposed AEGL Values for Ethylbenzene						
	Exposure Duration					
Classification	1 10-minute 30-minute 1-hour 4-hour 8					
AEGL-1 (Nondisabling)	330 ppm	330 ppm	330 ppm	330 ppm	330 ppm	
AEGL-2 (Disabling)	1600 ppm	1600 ppm	1200 ppm	780 ppm	540 ppm	
AEGL-3 (Lethal)	1800 ppm	1800 ppm	1500 ppm	920 ppm	600 ppm	

.



ATTACHMENT 12

# ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS (AEGLs) FOR SELECTED CHLOROFORMATES

NAC/AEGL-40 September 6-8, 2006

**ORNL Staff Scientist: Cheryl Bast** 

**Chemical Manager: Ernest Falke** 

**Chemical Reviewers: Lynn Beasley and Paul Tobin** 

- Hydrolyze in water or moist air to produce the parent hydroxy compound, hydrogen chloride, carbon dioxide, and a carbonate.
- All title chloroformates are direct-acting contact irritants, and are corrosive to the eyes, skin, gastrointestinal and respiratory tracts.
- NAC-39: Values derived for nine chloroformates
- NAC-40: benzyl chloroformate phenyl chloroformate 2-ethylhexyl chloroformate
- In all cases the inter- and intra- species uncertainty factors were 3 and 3, respectively.
- Where an AEGL-2 was calculated it was determined by dividing the AEGL-3 by 3.

Justified by steep concentration-response curve (SOP Section 2.2.2.3)

• AEGL-1 values are Not Recommended for any chloroformates due to insufficient data

Chloroformate	1-hour Rat LC <sub>50</sub> Data	4-hour Rat LC <sub>50</sub> Data	Mouse RD <sub>50</sub>	1-hr AEGL-3
Phenyl		30 ppm (BASF, 1990; Hoechst, 1989)	19.5 ppm (Carpenter, 1982)	0.57 ppm
Allyl	65.1 ppm (Stillmeadow, 1987)	-	-	2.1 ppm
2-Ethylhexyl	-	33.9 ppm (BASF, 1985)	-	2.9 ррт
Benzyl	-	Approx. 85 ppm (BASF, 1990)	-	2.9 ppm
Ethyl	145-170 ppm (Vernot et al., 1977) 189-200 ppm (Fisher et al., 1981)	-	77.5 ppm (Carpenter, 1982)	4.8 ppm
Methyl	88-103 ppm (Vernot et al., 1977) 92-123 ppm (Fisher et al., 1981)	52 ppm (Hoechst, 1986)	52.4 ppm (Carpenter, 1982)	6.7 ppm
n–Butyl	Approx. 200 ppm: 4/10 rats dead (BASF, 1970)		-	6.7 ppm
Isobutyl				n-butyl
sec-Butyl				adopted as surrogate
Isopropyl	1-hr- 300 ppm (Bio-Test, 1970)	-	104 ppm (Carpenter, 1982)	10 ppm
Propyl	1-hr- 410 ppm (Bio-Test, 1970)	-	83.5 ppm (Carpenter, 1982)	11 ppm
Ethyl chlorothio	-	45 ppm (Stauffer,1983)	-	0.79 ppm

. .

AEGL-1 VALUES: BENZYL CHLOROFORMATE						
10 minute	10 minute 30 minute 1 hour 4 hour 8 hour					
NR NR NR NR NR						

.

Not Recommended due to insufficient data.

<b>AEGL-2 VALUES: BENZYL CHLOROFORMATE</b>						
10 minute	10 minute 30 minute 1 hour 4 hour 8 hour					
1.2 ppm         1.2 ppm         0.97 ppm         0.63 ppm         0.31 ppm						

Endpoint: <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> The AEGL-3 values

Endpoint is justified based on the steep concentration-response curve:

Rat 4-hr exposure (BASF, 1990): 0% Mortality at 18.6 ppm 50% mortality at 85 ppm

Clinical signs noted at 18.6 ppm resolved within 2 days post-exposure

AEGL-3 VALUES: BENZYL CHLOROFORMATE						
10 minute	10 minute 30 minute 1 hour 4 hour 8 hour					
3.7 ppm	3.7 ppm	2.9 ppm	1.9 ppm	0.93 ppm		

Species: Concentration:	Rat (5 sex/group) 18.6 ppm
Time:	4-hours
Endpoint:	No mortality (experimental concentration)
Reference:	BASF, 1990
Time Scaling:	$C^n x t = k$ , where $n=3$ for the 30-minute and 1-hour time periods, and $n=1$ for the 8-hour time period, to provide AEGL values that would be protective of human health (NRC, 2001). The 30-minute AEGL-3 value is adopted as the 10-minute AEGL-3 value.

**Uncertainty Factors:** 

Interspecies = 3 Intraspecies = 3

Highly reactive and clinical signs are likely caused by a direct chemical effect on the tissues; this type of portal-of-entry effect is not expected to vary greatly between species or among individuals.

Inter- and intraspecies uncertainty factors of 3 each were also applied when AEGL-3 values were calculated for the structural analogs, methyl chloroformate, isopropyl chloroformate, and n-butyl chloroformate.

These resulting AEGL values were considered protective when compared with chemical-specific, repeated-exposure data for these analogs.

# THERE ARE NO OTHER EXTANT STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES FOR BENZYL CHLOROFORMATE!

Summary of Proposed AEGL Values for Benzyl Chloroformate						
	Exposure Duration					
Guideline	10-minutes 30-minutes 1-hour 4-hours 8-hours					
AEGL-1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	
AEGL-2	1.2 ppm	1.2 ppm	0.97 ppm	0.63 ppm	0.31 ppm	
AEGL-3	<b>3.</b> 7 ppm	3.7 ppm	2.9 ppm	1.9 ppm	0.93 ppm	



•

-

1	AEGL-1 VALUES: PHENYL CHLOROFORMATE					
10 minute	10 minute 30 minute 1 hour 4 hour 8 hour					
NR	NR	NR	NR	NR		

1

•

Not Recommended due to insufficient data.

AEGL-2 VALUES: PHENYL CHLOROFORMATE						
10 minute	10 minute 30 minute 1 hour 4 hour 8 hour					
0.24 ppm	0.24 ppm	0.19 ppm	0.12 ppm	0.06 ppm		

Endpoint: <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> The AEGL-3 values

Endpoint is justified based on the steep concentration-response curve:

Rat 4-hr exposure (BASF, 1990; Hoechst, 1989): 20% Mortality at 15.6 ppm 70% Mortality at 44.5 ppm 90% Mortality at 74.9 ppm

Clinical signs noted at 15.6 ppm resolved within 3 days post-exposure

AE	GL-3 VALUES	: PHENYL CH	LOROFORMA	ТЕ	
10 minute	30 minute	1 hour	4 hour	8 hour	
0.72 ppm	0.72 ppm	0.57 ppm	0.36 ppm	0.18 ppm	
Species:	Rat (5 sex/group)				
Concentration:	<b>3.6 ppm</b>				
Time:	4-hour				
Endpoint:	Estimated	lethality thresh	old: BMCL <sub>05</sub>		
References:	<b>BASF, 199</b>	0; Hoechst, 198	9		
Time Scaling:	C <sup>n</sup> x t = k, where $n=3$ for the 30-minute and 1-hour time periods, and $n=1$ for the 8-hour time period, to provide AEGL values that would be protective of human health (NRC, 2001). The 30-minute AEGL-3 value is adopted as the 10-minute AEGL-3 value.				

**Uncertainty Factors:** 

Interspecies = 3 Intraspecies = 3

Highly reactive and clinical signs are likely caused by a direct chemical effect on the tissues; this type of portal-of-entry effect is not expected to vary greatly between species or among individuals.

Inter- and intraspecies uncertainty factors of 3 each were also applied when AEGL-3 values were calculated for the structural analogs, methyl chloroformate, isopropyl chloroformate, and n-butyl chloroformate.

These resulting AEGL values were considered protective when compared with chemical-specific, repeated-exposure data for these analogs.

Table IX-3. Mortality in Rats Exposed to Phenyl Chloroformate for 4 hours*				
	Males	Females	Combined Males and Females	Reference
1.76 ppm	0/5	0/5	0/10	Hoechst, 1989
15.6 ppm	0/5	2/5	2/10	BASF, 1990
44.5 ppm	4/5	3/5	7/10	Hoechst, 1989
74.9 ppm	4/5	5/5	9/10	BASF, 1990
97 ppm	5/5	4/5	9/10	Hoechst, 1989
156 ppm	5/5	5/5	10/10	Hoechst, 1989
159.3 ppm	5/5	5/5	10/10	BASF, 1990
311 ppm	5/5	5/5	10/10	Hoechst, 1989
LC <sub>50</sub>	37.6 ppm	24.2 ppm	30.0 ppm	
BMCL <sub>05</sub>	6.3 ppm	0.82 ppm	3.6 ppm	
BMC <sub>01</sub>	12.4 ppm	2.6 ppm	5.4 ppm	

Because mortality results are similar in both studies, the data sets were combined to provide a more complete concentration-response curve, especially at the lowerconcentration portion of the curve. Combination of the data sets is justified because both studies are nose-only exposures of Wistar rats and morality data are similar for both studies.

# THERE ARE NO OTHER EXTANT STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES FOR PHENYL CHLOROFORMATE!

Summary of Proposed AEGL Values for Phenyl Chloroformate					
Exposure Duratio				ion	
Guideline	10-minutes	30-minutes	<u>1-hour</u>	4-hours	8-hours
AEGL-1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
AEGL-2	0.24 ppm	0.24 ppm	0.19 ppm	0.12 ppm	0.06 ppm
AEGL-3	0.72 ppm	0.72 ppm	0.57 ppm	0.36 ppm	0.18 ppm



.

.

AEGL-1 VALUES: 2-ETHYL HEXYL CHLOROFORMATE					
10 minute	30 minute	1 hour	4 hour	8 hour	
NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	

Not Recommended due to insufficient data.

AEGL-2 VALUES: 2-ETHYL HEXYL CHLOROFORMATE					
10 minute	30 minute	1 hour	4 hour	8 hour	
1.2 ppm	1.2 ppm	0.97 ppm	0.60 ppm	0.30 ppm	

Endpoint: <sup>1</sup>/<sub>3</sub> The AEGL-3 values

Endpoint is justified based on the steep concentration-response curve:

Rat 4-hr exposure (BASF, 1985):

Mortality	Concentration		
0%	22.8 ppm		
25%	26.6 ppm		
45%	34.3 ppm		
100%	46.9 ppm		

AEGL-3 VALUES: 2-ETHYL HEXYL CHLOROFORMATE					
10 minute	30 minute	1 hour	4 hour	8 hour	
3.6 ppm	3.6 ppm	2.9 ppm	1.8 ppm	0.91 ppm	
Species: Concentration: Time: Endpoint: Reference:	Rat (10/sex/group) 18.1 ppm 4-hours Estimated lethality threshold: BMCL <sub>05</sub> BASF, 1985				
Time Scaling:	$C^n x t = k$ , where $n=3$ for the 30-minute and 1-hour time periods, and $n=1$ for the 8-hour time period, to provide AEGL values that would be protective of human health (NRC, 2001). The 30-minute AEGL-3 value is adopted as the 10-minute AEGL-3 value.				

**Uncertainty Factors:** 

Interspecies = 3 Intraspecies = 3

Highly reactive and clinical signs are likely caused by a direct chemical effect on the tissues; this type of portal-of-entry effect is not expected to vary greatly between species or among individuals.

Inter- and intraspecies uncertainty factors of 3 each were also applied when AEGL-3 values were calculated for the structural analogs, methyl chloroformate, isopropyl chloroformate, and n-butyl chloroformate.

These resulting AEGL values were considered protective when compared with chemical-specific, repeated-exposure data for these analogs.

# THERE ARE NO OTHER EXTANT STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES FOR 2-ETHYL HEXYL CHLOROFORMATE!

Summary of Proposed AEGL Values for 2-Ethyl Hexyl Chloroformate					
	Exposure Duration				
Guideline	10-minutes	30-minutes	1-hour	4-hours	8-hours
AEGL-1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
AEGL-2	1.2 ppm	1.2 ppm	0.97 ppm	0.60 ppm	0.30 ppm
AEGL-3	3.6 ppm	3.6 ppm	2.9 ppm	1.8 ppm	0.91 ppm



.

.

.

.

•

# ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS FOR DIBROMOETHANE (CAS NO. 106-93-4)

# PRESENTED BY KOWETHA DAVIDSON

# CHEMICAL MANAGER BOB BENSON

NAC/AEGL MEETING, BETHESDA, MD SEPTEMBER 6-8, 2006

# DIBROMOETHANE

**CAS NO.** 106-93-4

COMMON SYNONYMS: Ethylene dibromide; EDB

#### **PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS:**

- heavy colorless liquid
- Vapor pressure: 11 mm Hg at 25°C; 17.4 mm HG at 30°C
- Vapor density: 6.5 (air = 1)
- Soluble in ethanol and ethyl ether
- Conversion: 1 ppm = 0.13 mg/m<sup>3</sup>
### **OTHER INFORMATION**

- **Past use:** scavenger in leaded gasoline and as an agricultural fumigant
- **Current use:** chemical intermediate for pharmaceuticals, dyes, polymers, and other chemicals
- **ODOR:** chloroform-like, foul, pungent, or sweetish

ODOR DETECTION THRESHOLD: 10 ppm

## **HUMAN DATA**

- Effects at lethal concentrations
  - Irritating to eyes, throat and respiratory tract
  - Diarrhea
  - CNS effects: restlessness, nervousness, combativeness, lethargy
  - Pulmonary edema
  - Hepatomegaly, degenerative changes in the liver
  - Liver and renal failure
  - Congestion of the viscera and brain
  - Elevated blood and tissue bromine levels

# HUMAN DATA

#### Effects at Non-lethal Concentrations

- Irritation to the eyes (conjunctiva, eyelids)
- Respiratory tract irritation (75 ppm?)
- Fatigue, loss of appetite, headache, and depression
- Gastrointestinal discomfort and vomiting (100-200 ppm?)
- No clear exposure-response data
- Occupational exposure:
  - TWA, 2.9 ppm (range = 0.4-38 ppm)
  - TWA, 5.0 ppm (range = 1.9-96 ppm)
  - TWA, 3.5 to 4.0 ppm (range = 0-110 ppm)
  - Other occupational exposures: 13.4 ppm when filling drums, up to 71 ppm after a spill



#### **ANIMAL DATA (Cont.)**

Lethality Data (Single Inhalation Exposure) Clinical signs and gross and microscopic findings

- Guinea Pig: 2000-8000 ppm for 30-150 minutes
- Effects: upper respiratory tract irritation, generalized weakness, damage to the kidney, pancreas, spleen, heart, liver, and adrenals; swelling and interstitial edematous degeneration of the abdominal vascular system; death within 18 hours
- Rabbit: Unknown conc. That induced light anesthesia for 10 minutes
- Effects: evidence of respiratory tract irritation (rapid breathing and snuffling, enlarged lungs filled with frothy exudate), evidence of vascular congestion and cyanosis, liver damage (enlarged and mottled, fatty change, marked congestion); death within 18 hours

Similar effects were observed in animals exposed repeatedly to DBE

Concentration (ppm)	Duration of exposure	Mortality	% lethality	Lethal times* (LCt)
10,000	6.0 min 4.2 min 3.0 min 1.8 min 1.2 min	20/20 7/10 2/4 1/20 0/20	100 70 50 5 0	$\begin{array}{c c} LCt_{99.99} &= 9 \mbox{ min} \\ LCt_{50} &= 2.4 \mbox{ min} \\ LCt_{01} &= 0.6 \mbox{ min} \end{array}$
5000	8.4 min 6.0 min 4.2 min 3.0 min 2.4 min	20/20 9/10 5/15 3/30 0/20	, 100 90 33 10 0	$LCt_{99.99} = 21 \text{ min}$ $LCt_{50} = 5.4 \text{ min}$ $LCt_{01} = 1.8 \text{ min}$
3000	12 min 6 min	5/10 0/20	50 0	$\begin{array}{l} LCt_{99,99} = 36 \text{ min} \\ LCt_{50} = 10.8 \text{ min} \\ LCt_{01} = 3.6 \text{ min} \end{array}$
1600	30 min 24 min 18min 12 min	20/20 12/15 4/15 0/30	100 80 27 0	$\begin{array}{l} LCt_{99,99} = 66 \text{ min} \\ LCt_{50} = 18 \text{ min} \\ LCt_{01} = 6 \text{ min} \end{array}$
800	48 min 32.8 min 30 min 24 min	13/20 10/20 4/20 4/20	65 50 20 20	$\begin{array}{l} LCt_{99,99} = 132 \text{ min} \\ LCt_{50} = 45 \text{ min} \\ LCt_{01} = 16.8 \text{ min} \end{array}$

4

Acute	inhalation exposu	e to rats to 1,2-d	ibromoethane (C	ontinued)
Concentration (ppm)	Duration of Exposure	Mortality	% Lethality	Lethal times= (LCt)
400	5.0 h	20/20	200	LCt <sub>ee ee</sub> = 7.50 h
	3.0 h	17/20	85	LCt <sub>50</sub> = 2.00 h
	2.5 h	19/20	95	LCt <sub>01</sub> = 0.62 h
	2.0 h	16/25	64	
	1.4 h	5/25	25	
	1.0 h	2/20	10	
	48 min	1/20	5	
	36 mi <b>n</b>	0/20	0	
200	16.0 h	19/20	95	LCt 00 00 = 42 h
	12.0 h	10/20	50	LCt = 12 h
	8.5 h	9/20	45	$LCt_{n_1} = 2h$
	7.0 h	4/11	36	
	5.0 h	3/10	33	
	4.0 h	0/5	0	
	3.0 h	1/11	9	
	2.0 h	0/5	0	)
Ì	1.4 h	0/20	0	
100	8.5 h	0/20	0	
	12.0 h	0/20	0	NA
	16.0 h	0/20	0	

Source: Rowe et al., 1952 \*Calculated by NiOSH 1977a

.

hane	s to 1,2-dibromoet	ure to guinea pigs	te inhalation expos	Acu
Lethal times <sup>a</sup> (LCt)	% lethality	Mortality	Duration of exposure	Concentration (ppm)
Not calculated	100	20/20	7.0 h	400
by NIOSH	90	18/20	5.0 h	,
Dy NOSH	50	5/10	3.0 h	
		0/00	205	

Source: Rowe et al., 1952

\*Calculated by NIOSH 1977a

Non-Lethal Toxicity (Singl	e Inhalation Exposure)
Concentrations & times associate	ed with adverse effects († liver wt
& slight histopathological change	
Concentration (ppm)	Time (min)
<b>Concentration (ppm)</b> 800	<b>Time (min)</b> 9
<b>Concentration (ppm)</b> 800 200	<b>Time (min)</b> 9 60

ANIMAL	DATA
Non-Lethal Toxicity (Singl Exposure)	e Inhalation
Concentrations & times associate (Rowe et al., 1952	ed with no adverse effects
Concentration (ppm)	Time (min)
800	6
200	42
100	150
50	420



Effects f	o Repeated Exposu	re to Inhaled 1,2-dibromoethane Vapor in E Animals	xperimental
Species/ Strain/Sex	Expt. Protocol	Effects/Comments	Reference
Monkeys (M&F)	50 ppm, 7 h/d, 49 times in 70 days (10 weeks; 25 ppm, 7 h/d, 156 times in 220 days (~31 weeks)	<b>50 ppm</b> : 5% weight loss, ill, nervous, & unkempt appearance throughout study, ↑. liver and kidney wt, slight central fatty degen in liver <b>25 ppm</b> : no effects	Rowe et al., 1952
Rat/F344/ M&F	0, 3, 15, 75 ppm, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk, 13 wks	Systemic: 15 (M) & 75 ppm: ↓. wt. gain (M/F), adrenal cortical and thyroid follicular lesions (F) Nasal cavity: 3 ppm: no effect; 15 & 75 ppm: cytomegaly, hyperplasia, metaplasia, cilia loss	NTP 1982; Reznik et al. 1980,
Rat/F344/ M&F	0, 3, 10, 40 ppm, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk, 13 wks	Systemic: 40 ppm: mild liver lesions Nasal cavity: 3 ppm: no effect; 10 ppm: hyperplasia & single cell necrosis; 40 ppm: same as 10 ppm plus squamous metaplasia	Nitschke et al. 1980, 1981

Summary o	f Nonlethal Effects	s of Inhaled 1,2-dibromoethane Vapor in Animals	Experimenta
Mice/B6C3F <sub>1</sub> /M&F	0, 3, 15, 75 ppm, 6 h/d, 5 d/wk, 13 wks	Systemic: 3 (F), 15 & 75 ppm (M&F): wt. gain Nasal cavity: 3 & 15 ppm: no effect lesions 75 ppm: cytomegaly, hyperplasia, squamous metaplasia, cilia loss other effects: 75 ppm: eye irritation and megalocytes in bronchioles	NTP 1982; Reznik, 1980
Guinea pigs/M&F	200 ppm for 7 h	no effects observed	Rowe et al., 1952
Guinea pigs/M&F	50 ppm, 7 h/d. 57 times in 80 d	growth depression, inc. liver, lung, kidney wt., microscopic lesions in liver & kidney	Rowe et al 1952
Guinea pigs/M&F	25 ppm, 7 h/d, 13 times in 17 d & 145 times in 205 d	no effects observed	Rowe et al. 1952



# Metabolism & Disposition DBE is metabolized by two pathways Microsomal oxidation via cytochrome P450 pathway metabolites CYP2E1 most reactive isoenzyme Produces protein reactive Responsible for tissue toxicity Accounts for 15-27% of the metabolic activity in the rat Glutathione conjugation via glutathione-Stransferase pathway Produces DNA reactive metabolites Isoenzymes activity range from fast and slow activity Responsible for genotoxicity and carcinogenicity

· Accounts for about 85% of the metabolic activity in the rat









	AEGL-1 VAI	LUES for 1,2-Dibromoeth	nane	
10-minute	30-minute	1-hour	4-hour	8-hour
52 ppm (400 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	26 ppm (200 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	17 ppm (131 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	7.1 ppm (55 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	4.6 ppm (55 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Key Reference: Rowe	et al., 1952			·
Test Species/Strain/N	umber: rats/strain not spec	ified/10 females		
Exposure Route/Conc minutes, respectively	entrations/Durations: inh	alation/50, 100, 200, an	nd 800 ppm for 420, 1	50, 42, and 6
Effects: No adverse eff	ects			
Endpoint/Concentrati	ion/Rationale: No adverse	effects in rats at 50 ppr	n for a 420-minute (7	-hour) exposure
Uncertainty Factors/F	Rationale: Total uncertaint	y factor: 10		
Interspecies = 1: The a canine, rodents, non-hu significantly regarding than humans; effects au Intraspecies = 10: PBJ metabolites., and huma dibromoethane.	effects of exposure to 1,2-d iman primates, and humans pharmacokinetics. PBPK i e similar between animals PK modeling indicate that h n taking therapeutic doses	ibromoethane appear to s, and non-human prima modeling indicate that r and humans indicating numans vary by a factor of disulfiram could have	b be similar across speates and humans are n ats may be 4-80 time similar pharmacodyn, of about 10 in the pr e an increased sensiti	cetes including ot expected to vary s more sensitive amics oduction of reactiv vity to 1,2-
	= k, where n = 1.6 based or	n regression of exposure	e concentrations and	durations not
Time Scaling: $C^n \times t$ associated with adverse	effects			



10-minute	30-minute	1-hour	4-hour	8-hour
73 ppm (561 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	37 ppm (282 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	24 ppm (183 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	10 ppm (77 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	6.5 ppm (50 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Key Reference: Row	ve et al., 1952		•	
Test Species/Strain/	Number: Rat/unknown	strain/10 females/grou	ıр	
Exposure Route/Co	ncentrations/Duration	s: inhalation, 100, 200,	and 800 ppm for 240, 6	0, and 9 min.
Uncertainty Factors Interspecies = 1: Th canine, rodents, non- significantly regarding	Autonale: Slight his (Rationale: Total uncode effects of exposure to human primates, and human primates, and human primates, and human primates, and human primates and human primates are straight for the primate straig	ertainty factor: 10 1,2-dibromoethane app mans, and non-human BPK modeling indicate	bear to be similar across primates and humans at that rats may be 4-80 ti	species including e not expected to vary mes more sensitive
than humans; effects Intraspecies = 10: P reactive metabolites., dibromoethane.	are similar between ani BPK modeling indicate , and human taking ther	mals and humans indic. that humans vary by a apeutic doses of disulfi	ating similar pharmacoo factor of about 10 in the ram could have an incre	lynamics e production of ased sensitivity to 1,2-
Time Scaling: $C^n \times$ with adverse effects i	t = k, where $n = 1.6$ bas in rats increased liver w	ed on regression of exp eight and slight histopa	osure concentrations ar thological changes.	d durations associated



	AEGL-3	3 VALUES for 1,2-Dibro	moethane	
10-minute	30-minute	1-hour	4-hour	8-hour
170 ppm (1277 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	76 ppm (585 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	46 ppm (354 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	17 ppm (131 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	10 ppm (77 mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Key Reference: Rowe et	al. 1952			
Test Species/Strain/Num	ber: rat/strain was not repo	orted/4-20 animals/group		
Exposure Route/Concen	trations/Durations: inhalat	tion/100-10,000 ppm for 1.	2 minutes to 16 hours	
Endpoint/Concentration and 16 hours at 100 ppm,	<b>Rationale:</b> 100 ppm for 8.: the 8.5 hour duration was so	5 hours; no effect level for elected for the POD becaus	lethality. Although the no- e it approximates the expos	effect levels extended to 1 ure duration for the AEG
Uncertainty Factors/Rat Interspecies = 1: The effe human primates, and hum PBPK modeling indicate t indicating similar pharma	ionale: Total uncertainty fa acts of exposure to 1,2-dibro ans, and non-human primate hat rats may be 4-80 times to codynamics modeling indicate that hum	ctor: 10 smoethane appear to be sin es and humans are not exp more sensitive than human mans vary by a factor of abc	nilar across species includin ected to vary significantly r s; effects are similar betwee out 10 in the production of r ( to 1.2-dibromoethane.	g canine, rodents, non- egarding pharmacokinetic en animals and humans eactive metabolites., and
Intraspecies = 10: PBPK human taking therapeutic	doses of disulfiram could h	ave an increased sensitivity		6 120
Intraspecies = 10: PBPK, human taking therapeutic Time Scaling: $C^n \times t = k$ , concentrations ranging from	doses of disulfiram could h , where n = 1.4 based on reg m 1600 down to 200 ppm.	gression of LC <sub>01</sub> values for	exposure duration ranging	from 6-120 minutes at

r 8-hour Endpoint/Reference			and doin	Exposure Di	
	4-hour	1-hour	30-min.	10-min	Class.
4.6 No adverse effect	7.1	17	26	52	AEGL-1
[35] (Rowe et al., 1952)	[55]	[131]	[200]	[400]	(non-disabling)
6.5 Slight histopathological [50] changes in the liver, no-effect level for irreversible toxicity or impaired ability to escape (Rowe et al., 1952)	10 [77]	24 [185]	37 [285]	73 [562]	AEGL-2 (Disabling)
10     no effect level for lethality       [77]     [Rowe et al. 1952)	17	46	76	170	AEGL-3
	(131]	[354]	[585]	[1308]	(Lethal)
]	[77]	[185]	[285]	[562]	(Disabling)
	17	46	76	170	AEGL-3
	(131]	[354]	[585]	[1308]	(Lethal)



#### ACUTE EXPOSURE GUIDELINE LEVELS (AEGLs) FOR PHENYL MERCAPTAN

NAC/AEGL-40 September 6-8, 2006

**ORNL Staff Scientist: Cheryl Bast** 

**Chemical Manager: Steve Barbee** 

**Chemical Reviewers: Jim Holler and Paul Tobin** 

**Mechanism of Toxicity** 

Acts similarly to hydrogen sulfide, methyl mercaptan, ethyl mercaptan and cyanide

Interrupts electron transport through inhibition of cytochrome oxidase

ŝ

**Relative Toxicity (Rat Lethality Data)** 

Acute toxicity of phenyl mercaptan is much greater than that of

Ethyl mercaptan

Methyl mercaptan

Hydrogen sulfide

4-Hour Rat LC <sub>50</sub> Values (Tansy et al., 1981; Fairchild and Stokinger, 1958)			
Phenyl Mercaptan	33 ppm		
Ethyl Mercaptan	4740 ppm (140-fold)		
Methyl Mercaptan	675 ppm (20-fold)		
Hydrogen Sulfide	444 ppm (13-fold)		

AEGL-1 VALUES: PHENYL MERCAPTAN					
10 minute 30 minute 1 hour 4 hour 8 hour					
NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	

Not Recommended due to insufficient data.

•

AEGL-2 VALUES: PHENYL MERCAPTAN						
10 minute 30 minute 1 hour 4 hour 8 hour						
1.0 ppm	0.70 ppm	0.53 ppm	0.33 ppm	0.17 ppm		

# Endpoint: Three-fold reduction of AEGL-3 values. Estimated threshold for the inability to escape.

Endpoint is justified because of the steep concentration-response curve.

Rat 4-hour exposure 0% lethality at 20 ppm 100% lethality at 52 ppm

Mouse 4-hour exposure 0% lethality at 20 ppm 70% lethality at 41 ppm

AEGL-3 VALUES: PHENYL MERCAPTAN					
10 minute	8 hour				
3.0 ppm	2.1 ppm	1.6 ppm	1.0 ppm	0.52 ppm	

Species:	Rat
Concentration:	10.3 ррт
Time:	4 hours
Endpoint:	LC <sub>01</sub> (Estimated threshold for death. Used instead of BMCL <sub>05</sub>
Reference:	for consistency with $H_2S$ and methyl and ethyl mercaptans) Fairchild and Stokinger, 1958
Time Scaling:	$c^n x t = k$ , where the exponent, n, is the conservative default of 1 (8-hr) or 3 (10-min, 30-min, 1-hr).
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Time scaling from the 4-hour point-of-departure to the 10- minute AEGL-3 value is supported by 1-hour rat lethality data (Stauffer Chemical Company, 1969). The estimated 1-hour rat lethality threshold is 141 ppm ( $\frac{1}{3}$ of the LC <sub>50</sub> value; $\frac{1}{3}$ x 422 ppm = 141 ppm). Time scaling, to the 10-minute time period using an exponent of n= 3 and applying a total UF of 10, yields a 10-minute AEGL-3 value of 26 ppm, suggesting that the derived 10-minute value of 3.0 ppm is protective.

**Uncertainty Factors:** 

Intraspecies = 3 Considered sufficient due to the steepness of the lethal response curve which implies limited individual variability.

Rat 4-hour exposure	Mouse 4-hour exposure
0% lethality at 20 ppm	0% lethality at 20 ppm
100% lethality at 52 ppm	70% lethality at 41 ppm

Interspecies = 3 Use of the full factor of 10 yields AEGL values inconsistent with structural and mechanistic analogs with more robust data sets.

	Ratios of 4-hr Rat LC <sub>50</sub> Values	Total UF	Ratios of AEGL-3 values				
			10-min	30-min	1-hr	4-hr	8-hr
Phenyl mercaptan: H <sub>2</sub> S	13	10	25	28	31	37	58
		30	77	86	91	109	182
Phenyl mercaptan: Methyl mercaptan	n 20	10	40	40	29	43	42
		30	121	125	123	126	129
	·						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Phenyl mercaptan: Ethyl mercaptan	140	10	150	200	225	230	211
		30	450	652	654	676	647

•

Extant Standards and Guidelines for Phenyl Mercaptan					
Exposure Duration					
Guideline	10 minute	30 minute	1 hour	4 hour	8 hour
AEGL-1	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
AEGL-2	1.0 ppm	0.70 ppm	0.53 ppm	0.33 ppm	0.17 ppm
AEGL-3	3.0 ppm	2.1 ppm	1.6 ppm	1.0 ppm	0.52 ppm
NIOSH REL	0.1 ppm				
ACGIH-TLV TWA					0.1 ppm
MAC (Dutch)					0.5 ppm

