

---

## About the Editors and Contributors

### Editors

**Wim Wiewel** is the Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs at the University of Baltimore. Previously he served as Dean of the College of Business Administration and the College of Urban Planning and Public Affairs at the University of Illinois at Chicago. He is a past president of the Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning. His publications include *Suburban Sprawl: Private Decisions and Public Policy*, *When Corporations Leave Town: The Costs and Benefits of Metropolitan Job Sprawl*, and *Urban-Suburban Interdependencies*.

**Gerrit-Jan Knaap** is Professor of Urban Studies and Planning and Director of the National Center for Smart Growth Research and Education at the University of Maryland, College Park. He earned his BS from Willamette University, his MS and PhD from the University of Oregon, and received postdoctoral training at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, all in economics. Knaap is the coauthor or coeditor of four books: *Land Market Monitoring for Smart Urban Growth*, *The Regulated Landscape: Lessons on State Land Use Planning from Oregon*, *Spatial Development in Indonesia: Review and Prospects*, and *Environmental Program Evaluation: A Primer*.

### Contributors

**Thomas T. Ankersen** is a Legal Skills Professor and Director at the University of Florida Conservation Clinic and Codirector of the Summer Environmental Law Program at the University of Florida/University of Costa Rica Joint Program in Environmental Law, Gainesville.

**James R. Cohen** is Lecturer and Director of Graduate Studies in the Urban Studies and Planning Program at the University of Maryland, College Park.

**Christine Danis**, AICP, is Project Manager of the National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, at the Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick.

**Nancy Frank** is Associate Professor of Urban Planning at the University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee.

**Priscilla Geigis** is Director of Massachusetts State Parks and formerly the Director of Community Preservation for the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs, Massachusetts.

**Michael J. Greenberg**, PhD, is Professor and Associate Dean for Faculty at the Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, and Director of the National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, both at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick.

**Michael Greenwald** is Assistant Professor of Urban Planning at the University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee.

**David W. Gross**, PhD, is a conservation program development consultant in Ithaca, New York, and was formerly a member of the Department of Natural Resources, Cornell University.

**Elisabeth Hamin** is Assistant Professor in the Department of Landscape Architecture and Regional Planning, University of Massachusetts–Amherst.

**Richard W. Jelier**, PhD, is Associate Professor at the School of Public and Nonprofit Administration at Grand Valley State University in Grand Rapids, Michigan.

**Nicole C. Kibert** is an attorney at Carlton Fields in Tampa, Florida.

**Edward W. LeClear** is Special Projects Coordinator for the Pennsylvania Downtown Center in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

**Greg Lindsey** is Director of the Center for Urban Policy and the Environment and is the Duey-Murphy Professor of Rural Land Policy, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI).

**Henry Mayer**, PhD, is Executive Director of the National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick.

**Jeremy Morris** is at West Virginia University, Morgantown.

**Brian W. Ohm, JD**, is Associate Professor in the Department of Urban and Regional Planning, University of Wisconsin–Madison.

**John Ottensmann** is Associate Director of the Center for Urban Policy and the Environment and Professor of Public and Environmental Affairs at the School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI).

**Jamie Palmer** is Planner/Policy Analyst at the Center for Urban Policy and the Environment, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI); President of the Indiana Planning Association; and Chair of the Indiana Land Use Consortium.

**Robert Parker, AICP**, is Director of the Community Planning Workshop, Department of Planning, Public Policy and Management, University of Oregon, Eugene.

**Meredith Perry** is Community Outreach Specialist in the Office of Grants and Program Review, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga.

**L. Christopher Plein, PhD**, is Associate Professor of Public Administration at West Virginia University, Morgantown.

**John Schaerer** is Special Assistant for External Affairs at the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga.

**Linda Silka** is Director of the Center for Family, Work, and Community; Professor in the Department of Regional Economic and Social Development; and Special Assistant to the Provost for Community–University Partnerships at the University of Massachusetts–Lowell.

**Laura Solitare, PhD**, is Research Associate at the National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, and is also Assistant Professor of Urban Planning and Environmental Policy at the Barbara Jordan/Mickey Leland School of Public Affairs, Texas Southern University, Houston.

**Richard Thorsten** is a PhD candidate at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

**Carol L. Townsend** is Community and Economic Development Agent at the Michigan State University Extension and Urban Component Coordinator, United Growth for Kent County, Kent/Michigan State University Extension, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

**Joseph Tutterrow** is Director of the Indiana Land Resources Council and was formerly an Assistant State Forester at the Indiana Department of Natural Resources.

**Kendra C. Wills** is Land Use Extension Agent at the Michigan State University Extension and is Project and Rural Coordinator, United Growth for Kent County, Kent/Michigan State University Extension, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

**Jeffrey Wilson** is a scholar at the Center for Urban Policy and the Environment, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, and Assistant Professor in the Department of Geography, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI).

---

## About the Lincoln Institute of Land Policy

The Lincoln Institute of Land Policy is a nonprofit and tax-exempt educational institution established in 1974 to study and teach land policy, including land economics and land taxation. The Institute is supported primarily by the Lincoln Foundation, which was established in 1947 by Cleveland industrialist John C. Lincoln. He drew inspiration from the ideas of Henry George, the nineteenth-century American political economist, social philosopher and author of the book, *Progress and Poverty*.

The Institute's goals are to integrate theory and practice to better shape land policy decisions and to share understanding about the multidisciplinary forces that influence public policy in the United States and internationally. The Institute organizes its work in three departments: valuation and taxation, planning and development, and international studies, with special programs in Latin America and China.

The Lincoln Institute seeks to improve the quality of debate and disseminate knowledge of critical issues in land policy by bringing together scholars, policy makers, practitioners and citizens with diverse backgrounds and experience. We study, exchange insights and work toward a broader understanding of complex land and tax policies. The Institute does not take a particular point of view, but rather serves as a catalyst to facilitate analysis and discussion of these issues—to make a difference today and to help policy makers plan for tomorrow.

**L**INCOLN INSTITUTE  
OF LAND POLICY

113 Brattle Street  
Cambridge, MA 02138–3400 USA

Phone: 617–661–3016 x127 or 800–LAND–USE (800–526–3873)

Fax: 617–661–7235 or 800–LAND–944 (800–526–3944)

E-mail: [help@lincolninst.edu](mailto:help@lincolninst.edu)

Web: [www.lincolninst.edu](http://www.lincolninst.edu)

---

## Index

- Affordable housing, 222  
Agricultural land; *see* Farmland  
Air-quality program, 65  
Alexiou, George, 186  
American Bar Association (ABA), 65  
American Planning Association (APA),  
    47, 60, 219, 223  
Americana Foundation, 151  
Anderson, John, 208  
Ankersen, Thomas T., 7, 64  
Antisprawl initiatives, 22  
Arendt, Randall, 153, 159–160  
Avin, Uri, 18  
Ayers Saint Gross (ASG), 185
- Barney, John, 132, 146  
Bergeron, Arthur, 123  
Bloustein School of Planning and Public  
    Policy, 83–84  
*Board of County Commissioners of  
    Brevard County v. Snyder*, 71  
Bratina, John, 47  
“Broken Windows” (Wilson and  
    Kelling), 213  
Brown, Barbara, 27  
Brownfields, 5; *see also* National Center  
    for Neighborhood and Brownfields  
    Redevelopment  
Brownfields Redevelopment Act, 76  
Brownfields Voluntary Cleanup and  
    Revitalization Incentive Programs,  
    24  
Bugher, Mark, 223, 232  
*Building Great Neighborhoods*, 155  
Burden, Dan, 155  
Burke, Brian, 224, 226, 233
- Calthorpe, P., 43  
*Campus* (Turner), 184
- Central Indiana case study, 95–113  
    collaboration in, 108–110  
    implementation, 100–101  
    land cover change project, 101–104  
    land use in, 107–108  
    major collaborative projects, 102–103  
    outcomes of, 110–112  
    planning practices, 104–107  
    program activity planning and  
        collaboration, 96–100  
    university-stakeholder collaborations,  
        98–99  
Chesapeake Bay, 17–19, 22–23  
Citizen Planner Program, 159  
Clean Air Act, 65  
Clean and Green program, 140  
Clean Water Act, 65  
Client-driven projects, 38  
Clinical environmental law education,  
    overview of, 65–67  
Clinical legal education case study; *see*  
    Environmental law clinics;  
    University of Florida Conservation  
    Clinic  
Cohen, James R., 6, 13–14, 17–18  
Communities; *see also* University-  
    community partnerships  
    professional practice and, 57  
    universities and, 3  
Community design team; *see* West  
    Virginia Community Design Team  
    (CDT) case study  
Community Planning Workshop (CPW)  
    case study, 49–63  
    communities and professional practice,  
        57  
    dual mission of, 58–59  
    faculty and students, 58  
    funding, 55

- Community Planning Workshop (CPW)  
 case study (*continued*)  
 history of, 50–51  
 implementation of, 51–54  
 institutional structure, 55–56  
 lessons of, 61–63  
 leveraging limited resources, 56–58  
 mission of, 49–50  
 project selection and market areas, 52–53  
 as service-learning program, 58–59  
 smart growth and, 51  
 staffing and organizational structure,  
 53–54  
 state government and, 56–57  
 structure of, 51–54  
 student researchers, 59–60
- Community preservation; *see*  
 Massachusetts community  
 preservation case study
- Community service, 52, 55–56
- Congress for the New Urbanism, 24, 39,  
 47
- Conservation assessments, 65
- Consulting organizations, 57
- Curriculum  
 smart growth and, 6–7  
 “This Land is Your Land” learning  
 series, 157–159
- Cutler, R. W., 36
- Danis, Christine, 8, 83
- Defenders of Wildlife, 66
- Department of Education  
 Fund for Improvement of Post-  
 Secondary Education (FIPSE), 50  
 university-community partnership  
 program, 5
- Department of Housing and Urban  
 Development, Community Outreach  
 Partnership Center program  
 (COPC), 5
- Department of Transportation, 37
- Designated growth areas, 23, 84
- Development patterns, 4
- DiGiacomo, Anthony, 27, 30
- Dodge, David, 27–28
- Downtown redevelopment, 34, 73–76
- Duany Plater-Zyberk & Company, 229
- Eagle Point (Ore.), 51
- Earthjustice, 66
- Economic development, 50
- Economic Growth, Resource Protection  
 and Planning Act (Md.), 23
- Educational mission, 62
- Endangered Species Act, 65
- Enterprise zone, 23
- Environmental issues, 4, 142
- Environmental law clinics, 64–65, 70;  
*see also* University of Florida  
 Conservation Clinic  
 client-client relationships, 78–79  
 clients of, 66
- Environmental legislation, 65
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 5  
 Education Grants Program, 151  
 smart growth principles of, 12–13
- Environmental Systems Research  
 Institute (ESRI), 18–19
- Faculty commitment, 58, 61
- Farmland, 4, 131, 148–150, 219
- Fee structure, 61
- Ferchill Group, 41
- Florida Community Redevelopment Act  
 (CRA), 73
- Forest Conservation Act (Md.), 22, 28
- Frank, Nancy, 6, 34
- Freed, Debby, 195
- Freeway demolition; *see* Milwaukee  
 freeway demolition case study
- Frey Foundation, 10, 151, 160
- Funding streams, 62
- Garfield Park Neighborhoods Association  
 (GPNA) project, 156–157
- Geigis, Priscilla, 9, 117
- Gentrification study, 155
- Geographic information system (GIS), 8,  
 53, 73, 83, 103, 111, 118, 140, 186,  
 189, 227  
 examples of, 91–92

- Geographic information system (GIS)  
*(continued)*  
 role of, 88–91  
 use of, 92
- Glendening, Parris, 23
- Goal Oriented Zoning (GOZ), 90
- Godschalk, David, 186
- Graduate teaching fellows (GTFs), 50
- Grand Valley Metropolitan Council  
 (GVMC), 151
- Granholt, Jennifer, 161
- Grassroots activism, 93, 148, 222
- Green building, 77
- Greenbelt development, 28
- Greenberg, Michael, 8, 83
- Greenfield development, 5
- Greenwald, Michael J., 6, 34, 47
- Gross, David W., 10, 131–133
- Growing Smart* (APA), 223
- Growth management policies, 7, 49,  
 67
- Growth vs. no growth debate, 4
- Hamin, Elisabeth, 9, 117, 126
- Heritage area, 23
- Historic district designation, 175
- Home ownership incentives, 208–209
- Home-rule traditions, 117
- Hooker, Michael, 184–185
- Hoosier Farmland Preservation Task  
 Force, 101
- Housing stock, 40
- Indiana Land Resources Council (ILRC),  
 96–101, 103–106, 110, 112
- Indiana Land Use Consortium (ILUC), 9,  
 96–101, 103, 105, 110, 112
- Indiana Land Use on the Edge*, 97
- Indiana University-Purdue University,  
 Indianapolis (IUPUI), 96
- Infographics Lab, 53
- Inner-city neighborhoods, 4, 7
- Institutional support, 61
- Interdisciplinary studies, 67, 77–78
- Intergovernmental agreements, 55
- Jacobs, Alan, 40
- Jelier, Richard W., 10, 147
- Job Creation Tax Credit Program, 24
- Kelley, Margaret, 207
- Kelling, George L., 213
- Kellogg Foundation, 151
- Kent, T. J., 224
- Kibert, Nicole C., 7, 64
- LaFollette, Robert M., 217–218
- LaGro, James, 230–231
- Lake City Corp. v. City of Mequon*,  
 220–221
- Land use advocacy organization, 222
- Land Use in Central Indiana (LUCI)  
 model, 99, 101, 103, 107–111
- Land use constitution, 71
- Land use planning, 49–50, 88, 95
- Learning relationships, 59
- LeClear, Edward W., 10, 131–132
- Lindsey, Greg, 8, 95
- Live-Near-Your-Work Program, 24
- Local government comprehensive  
 planning, 70–72
- Luther King (MLK) district case study;  
*see* Martin Luther King (MLK)  
 district case study
- Lyndhurst Foundation, 203, 209
- Mandel Group, 41
- Marineland, 71, 76
- Martin, Alexiou and Bryson, 186
- Martin Luther King (MLK) district case  
 study, 199–214  
 background on, 201  
 campus expansion, 208  
 challenges of, 206–211  
 children's center, 209  
 elementary schools and, 205, 209  
 faculty, staff, and student engagement,  
 205–206  
 funding, 208–209  
 goals and guiding principles of, 202  
 home ownership incentives, 208–209  
 implementation overview, 203–204



- Martin Luther King (MLK) district case study (*continued*)  
 lack of infrastructure, 209–210  
 lessons of collaboration, 211–213  
 literature, research, and data, 201–202  
 new opportunities, 214  
 ongoing urban redevelopment, 200–201  
 program activity, planning, and collaboration, 201–202  
 program implementation, 202–206  
 property acquisition, 207  
 resident mistrust/suspicion of motives, 207–208  
 residential infill, 204, 208–209  
 sewer/storm-water run-off, 210  
 streetscaping, 210–211  
 traffic patterns, 210
- Maryland's Critical Area Act (1984), 22, 28
- Massachusetts community preservation case study, 117–130  
 Community Preservation Institute, 117–121  
 feedback, evaluation, and changes, 124–128  
 institutional challenges and outcomes, 128–129  
 program design and implementation, 120–124
- Mayer, Henry, 8, 83
- Michigan State University (MSU); *see* United Growth: West Michigan case study
- Milwaukee freeway demolition case study, 34  
 attracting development, 41  
 challenges of, 44–45  
 issues of, 35–37  
 plan objectives, 40  
 planning-learning feedback process, 39–40  
 program activity planning and collaboration, 37–39  
 smart growth outcomes, 40–44  
 smarter transportation, 42–44
- Milwaukee freeway demolition case study (*continued*)  
 university-community partnership, 38, 45–47
- Mini grants, 160
- Minnesota Design Team, 166
- Montrose Heritage Greenway, 137, 142
- Moore, T., 60, 62
- Morgan, Michael, 39
- Morgridge Center for Public Service, 218
- Morrill Act of 1862, 150
- Morris, Jeremy, 10, 165
- Morsicato, Eric, 27–30, 33
- Municipality, 23
- National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, 83  
 overview of, 83–84, 86–87
- National Congress for the New Urbanism, 129
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program, 186
- National Wildlife Federation, 66
- Natural areas, 4
- Nederveld Associates, 148
- New Jersey's smart growth case studies  
 designated centers, 84  
 future applications of, 93–94  
 GIS model, 89–91  
 project implementation, 87–89  
 reflections on, 92–93  
 smart growth strategy, 84–85  
 Somerset County area, 85–87  
 zoning and planning impacts, 89–91
- Norquist, John, 39, 219
- Ohm, Brian W., 12, 14, 216, 231  
 1000 Friends of Wisconsin, 222, 225, 229
- Open space preservation, 131, 159
- Orfield, Myron, 150–151
- Organizational partnerships, 57
- Ottensmann, John, 8, 95
- Palmer, Jamie, 8, 95
- Park, Joe, 13

- Park, Peter, 6, 34, 37–39, 44–47
- Parker, Robert, 7, 49
- Parkland, 65
- Partnerships, 52, 62
- Patton, C. V., 61
- Pedestrian environment, 40, 43, 93, 211
- Pennsylvania's rural landscape
  - conservation case study, 131–146
    - barriers and challenges of, 138
    - challenges of, 143
    - Clean and Green program, 140
    - community-university resources and roles, 135–137
    - conservation planning and, 138–140
    - issues for replication, 145
    - lessons of, 140–141
    - local impacts, 142–143
    - operational issues, 141–142
    - outcomes, 142–143
    - planning techniques, 137–138
    - process and goals, 134–135
    - program activity implementation, 135–138
    - program planning and collaboration, 131–134
    - results and assessment of, 144–145
    - smart growth and, 138–140
    - transferability, 145
    - university impacts, 143
- People and Land project, 151
- Perry, Meredith, 11, 199
- Perryville case study, 17–33
  - Community Planning Studio course, 18–21
  - findings and recommendations of, 25–26
  - lessons learned from, 32–33
  - main issues of, 20–21
  - report's utility, 29–30
  - sensitive areas and, 23
  - smart growth law and, 22–23
  - Start Growth Initiatives, 23–24
  - student reactions to, 30–32
  - students' tasks, 21–22
  - utilization of the report, 26–30
  - zoning ordinances, 28–29
- Planning decisions, 117
- Planning Wisconsin*, 219–220
- Plein, Christopher, 10, 165
- Political interference, 66
- Postindustrial rural setting, 166
- Problem-driven projects, 38
- Professional practice, 57
- Progressive Era, 217
- Project development phase, 52
- Property acquisition, 207–208
- Property rights, 95
- Purchase of Development Rights programs, 156, 160–161
- Quality control, 62
- Quality of life issues, 4
- Renewal plan, 41
- Research centers, smart growth at, 8–9
- Residential infill, 204, 208–209
- Richmond, Henry, 150
- Ridge Economic Agricultural Partners (REAP), 154
- Rogers Tavern, 20–21, 29
- Rose (Edward L.) Conservancy (ELRC), 131, 134–140, 142, 144
- Rozek, Allison, 47
- Rural communities, 52, 134, 140–141; *see also* Pennsylvania's rural landscape conservation case study
- Rural Legacy Act, 23
- Rusk, David, 150–151
- Ryan, Robert, 123
- Sawicki, D. S., 61
- Schaerer, John, 11, 199
- Service education, 65, 79
- Service-learning program, 58–59
- Sewer run-off, 210
- Shea, Cindy, 195
- Sierra Club, 66
- Silka, Linda, 9, 117
- Skilling, Mary Ann, 27
- Skole, David, 149
- Smart growth, 3, 216; *see also specific case studies*
  - at research centers, 8–9

- Smart growth (*continued*)  
 collaboration and, 9–11  
 community and, 11–12  
 the curriculum and, 6–7  
 defined, 22  
 EPA's principles of, 12–13  
 goals of, 131  
 smart growth dividend aid program,  
 231–232  
 traditional neighborhood development  
 ordinances, 229–232  
 universities and, 3, 216–217  
 zoning ordinances and, 222
- Smart Growth America, 6
- Smart Growth Network, 24
- Smart Growth for Perryville*, 25–26
- Smith-Lever Act, 150
- Social justice, 142
- Solitare, Laura, 8, 83
- Southeast Wisconsin Regional Planning  
 Commission (SEWRPC), 42
- Special zoning district, 193
- Sprawl issues, 4–5, 147  
 West Michigan case, 148–150
- Stacy, Bill, 208, 213
- State government, 56–57
- Storm-water retention/run-off, 191–192,  
 210
- Strawser, Chuck, 231
- Streetscaping, 210–211
- Student researchers, 59–60
- Suburban growth, 4
- Tanner, Mary, 203
- “This Land is Your Land” Learning  
 Series, 157–159
- Thompson, Tommy, 12, 219, 224
- Thorsten, Richard, 11, 183
- Time management, 62
- Townsend, Carol L., 10, 147
- Traditional neighborhood development  
 ordinances, 229–231
- Traffic patterns, 210
- Trail development, 177
- Transfer-of-development rights (TDR)  
 program, 28, 139
- Transportation, 189–190, 195
- Turner, Paul, 184
- Tutterrow, Joseph, 8, 95
- United Growth: West Michigan case  
 study, 10, 147–162  
 capacity building, 153–155  
 citizen planner program, 159  
 community leadership and policy  
 development, 155  
 Garfield Park Neighborhoods  
 Association (GPNA) project,  
 156–157  
 key projects, 156–161  
 mini grants, 160  
 open space preservation, 159  
 organizational history of, 150–152  
 primary goals of, 147–148  
 project partners, 162–164  
 public education, 152–153  
 Purchase of Development Rights  
 programs, 160–161  
 results of, 161–162  
 rural committee, 147  
 success of, 151  
 “This Land is Your Land” Learning  
 Series, 157–159  
 three-tier approach, 152–155  
 university partnering of, 151  
 urban committee, 147  
 urban sprawl, 148–150
- University-community partnerships, 3, 5,  
 11, 38; *see also* Community  
 Planning Workshop (CPW) case  
 study; Smart growth  
 comprehensive efforts of, 212  
 defining success, 46  
 dual mission of, 58–59  
 faculty and students, 58  
 funding of, 55  
 importance of, 213  
 as joint enterprise, 212  
 lessons of, 61–63  
 organizational partnerships, 57  
 power of, 56–59  
 role of, 4–12

- University-community partnerships
  - (*continued*)
  - service-learning program, 58–59
  - student researchers, 59–60
  - university as protected environment, 45–46
  - UWM-City of Milwaukee linkages, 45–47
- University of Florida Conservation Clinic, 64–69
  - clinic-client relationships, 78–79
  - downtown redevelopment, 73–76
  - green building, 77
  - interdisciplinary collaboration, problems of, 77–78
  - local government comprehensive planning, 70–72
  - methodology and pedagogy, 68–70
  - origins and development of, 57–70
  - small basin watershed planning, 72–73
  - smart growth projects, 70–79
  - urban wetland mitigation, 72–73
- University of Maryland, Community Planning Studio case study, 17–33
- University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill case study, 183–198
  - campus master plan, 183–184, 195–196
  - citizen and stakeholder participation, 192
  - community of, 183–185
  - development of master plan, 185–192
  - development ordinance, 192–195
  - distinctive university settings, 190–191
  - environmentally sensitive areas, 191–192
  - growth challenges, 183–187
  - mix land uses, 190
  - natural open space, 191
  - on-campus housing, 189
  - public consideration, 193
  - smart growth principles and objectives, 186–192
  - to promote campus infill, 187–189
  - transportation alternatives, 189–190
  - walkability on campus, 190
  - zoning ordinances, 194
- University of Oregon, Community Planning Workshop (CPW), 7, 49–63
- University outreach, 165
- University-stakeholder collaborations, 98–99
- University of Tennessee at Chattanooga case study; *see* King district case study
- University of Wisconsin-Madison, 217–218, 227
- University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee (UWM), School of Architecture and Urban Planning (SARUP), 34–47
  - Urban General Plan, The* (Kent), 224
- Urban growth; *see also* Smart growth
  - decisions and practices of, 3
  - development and, 4
  - growth vs. no growth debate, 4
  - Urban growth boundaries (UGBs), 51
  - Urban Land Institute, 24
  - Urban sprawl, in West Michigan, 148–150
  - Urban transit-oriented development node, 43
- Van Hise, Charles R., 218
- Vergennes Township (Mich.), 159
- Village green, 184
- Waldorf, Rosemary, 198
- Walkable Communities, 155
- Wastewater, 88
- Watershed planning, 65, 72–73, 88
- Weinstein, Janet, 69
- West Michigan Strategic Alliance, 151
- West Virginia Community Design Team (CDT) case study, 10–11, 165–179
  - community collaborative process, 170
  - intermediate goals, 169
  - long-term goals, 169
  - program activities, 171–172
  - program implementation, 168–171
  - program origins, approaches, and evolution, 166–168
  - results of, 177–178
  - short-term goals, 169
  - smart growth principles, 172–177

- West Virginia Community Design Team (CDT) case study (*continued*)
  - success and failures of, 171–172
- Wetlands, 17, 72–75
- Weygand, Sharon, 19, 27, 30
- Wiesing, James J., 153
- Wills, Kendra C., 10, 147
- Wilson, James Q., 213
- Wilson, Jeffrey, 8, 95
- Wisconsin Builders Association, 221, 223
- Wisconsin Idea, 217–221
- Wisconsin Land Council, 220
- Wisconsin Realtors Association (WRA), 221–223, 225–226
- Wisconsin smart growth case study, 216–233
  - challenges of, 228
  - comprehensive planning, 226–228
  - fourteen planning goals, 225
  - land use issue, 218–221
- Wisconsin smart growth case study (*continued*)
  - nature of collaboration, 226
  - outcomes, 226–232
  - program activity implementation, 223–226
  - program activity planning and collaboration, 221–223
  - smart growth dividend aid program, 231–232
  - traditional neighborhood development ordinances, 229–231
  - Wisconsin Idea context, 217–218
- Wispark LLC, 41
- Witzling, Larry, 37
- Yale University Clinic, 66
- Zoning, 25, 28, 41, 71, 89–92, 122, 222