# National Lake Assessment Survey Design: 2007 

## Contact:

Susan Holdsworth
Office of Water
OWOW Monitoring Branch
USEPA (4503T)
1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW
Washington, DC 20460
(202) 566-1187

Email: Holdsworth.Susan@epa.gov

## Description of Sample Design

Target population: All lakes, reservoirs, and ponds within the 48 contiguous United States greater than 1 hectare in surface area that are permanent waterbodies. Note that the minimum surface area actually implemented may be increased to either 4 hectares or 10 hectares. The word "lake" in the remainder of this document includes lakes, reservoirs and ponds. Lakes that are saline are excluded as are those used for aquaculture, disposal-tailings, sewage treatment, evaporation, or other unspecified disposal use.

Sample Frame: The sample frame was derived from the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD).

Survey Design: A Generalized Random Tessellation Stratified (GRTS) survey design for a finite resource is used. The design includes reverse hierarchical ordering of the selected lakes.

Multi-density categories: A complex unequal probability selection process was used to allocate number of lakes to be sampled to a number of lake categories. First, 791 lakes were identified as lakes sampled during the 1970s National Lake Eutrophication study (NES). Two lake area categories, $(1,4]$ and $(4,10]$ hectare ranges, were defined (excluding any NES lakes). All remaining lakes were categorized (1) by four lake area size categories, (10, 20], (20, 50], (50, 100], and >100 hectares; (2) by 48 states, and (3) by nine (9) aggregated Omernik Level 3 ecoregions. This resulted in 427 unique lake categories for the unequal probability design

Stratification: No stratification

Panels: Design uses a single panel with an over sample.
Expected sample size: Expected sample size 1000 lakes including all lakes/reservoirs greater than 1 hectare in surface area in the sample frame. Of the 1000
lakes, the expected sample size for NES lakes is 100 ; for lakes (1, 4] is 90 , for lakes (4, 10 ] is 90 , and 720 for the remaining 424 categories. The 720 lakes were allocated so that initially (1) 180 would occur in each of the four lake surface area categories of (10, 20], ( 20,50 ], $(50,100]$, and $>100$ hectares and (2) 15 would occur in each of the 48 states. Assuming independence of lake area and states resulted in an expected number of lakes for each state and lake area category combination. These were then adjusted to have an expected sample size of 80 lakes within each of the nine aggregated ecoregions.

Over sample: 4000 lakes were selected as potential replacement lakes. The large over sample size was done to accommodate those states who may want to increase the number of lakes sampled within their state for a state-level design.

Site Use: Each lake selected to be sampled is given unique site identification (siteID) that consists of two parts, NLA06608, and a number between 1 and 5000. It critical this siteID be used in its entirety to make sure that the lakes are correctly identified. Within each state, lakes evaluated for potential sampling must have all siteIDs from the largest to the lowest number evaluated. For example, if NLA06608-0235 is the largest siteID evaluated within a state, then all siteID that are lower than " 0235 " within the state must be evaluated. Even more critical is that if NLA06608-0235 is the largest siteID that is actually sampled in the field, then all lower siteIDs within the state that are evaluated to be a target lake and is accessible must be sampled in the field.

If lakes $(1,4]$ or $(4,10$ ] hectares in size are included in the target population, then only those lakes in the base sample of 1000 lakes (panel=Panel_1 lakes) will be evaluated. If one of these lakes can not be sampled, then it will not be replaced by another lake.

## Sample Frame Summary

Number of NES lakes by lake surface area category \# Lakes

| $(0,1]$ | 0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $(1,4]$ | 2 |
| $(4,10]$ | 8 |
| $(10,20]$ | 5 |
| $(20,50]$ | 44 |
| $(50,100]$ | 62 |
| $>100$ | 670 |
| Total | 791 |


Number of lakes by lake surface area and nine aggregated ecoregions

|  | $(0,1]$ | $(1,4]$ | $(4,10]$ | $(10,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | $(50,100]$ | $>100$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CPL | 0 | 45228 | 20781 | 7018 | 4199 | 1334 | 1475 | 80035 |
| NAP | 0 | 10847 | 5335 | 2421 | 1923 | 838 | 1047 | 22411 |
| NPL | 0 | 11363 | 5137 | 1438 | 764 | 286 | 235 | 19223 |
| SAP | 0 | 17309 | 4256 | 1275 | 672 | 247 | 365 | 24124 |
| SPL | 0 | 15536 | 6006 | 1863 | 881 | 252 | 351 | 24889 |
| TPL | 0 | 23213 | 9114 | 3041 | 2026 | 775 | 809 | 38978 |
| UMW | 0 | 17433 | 10979 | 5202 | 4339 | 1797 | 2016 | 41766 |
| WMT | 0 | 9537 | 4804 | 1763 | 1039 | 351 | 598 | 18092 |
| XER | 0 | 4735 | 2147 | 881 | 645 | 254 | 460 | 9122 |
| OTH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sum | 0 | 155201 | 68559 | 24902 | 16488 | 6134 | 7356 | 278640 |

Number of lakes by state and lake surface area

|  | $(0,1]$ | $(1,4]$ | $(4,10]$ | $(10,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | $(50,100]$ | $>100$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AL | 0 | 4781 | 1440 | 359 | 179 | 67 | 55 | 6881 |
| AR | 0 | 2768 | 1445 | 570 | 362 | 118 | 143 | 5406 |
| AZ | 0 | 276 | 124 | 56 | 45 | 22 | 39 | 562 |
| CA | 0 | 3051 | 1479 | 586 | 346 | 112 | 240 | 5814 |
| CO | 0 | 2305 | 1073 | 332 | 202 | 72 | 117 | 4101 |
| CT | 0 | 1071 | 376 | 152 | 128 | 51 | 38 | 1816 |
| DE | 0 | 209 | 62 | 45 | 32 | 10 | 3 | 361 |
| FL | 0 | 7691 | 5213 | 1926 | 1296 | 451 | 476 | 17053 |
| GA | 0 | 7290 | 2974 | 709 | 325 | 73 | 62 | 11433 |
| HI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IA | 0 | 3014 | 711 | 202 | 152 | 48 | 58 | 4185 |
| ID | 0 | 1094 | 472 | 177 | 105 | 46 | 80 | 1974 |
| IL | 0 | 4077 | 1635 | 524 | 285 | 95 | 102 | 6718 |
| IN | 0 | 3224 | 1184 | 371 | 200 | 72 | 63 | 5114 |
| KS | 0 | 6428 | 1239 | 272 | 115 | 33 | 50 | 8137 |
| KY | 0 | 1433 | 434 | 172 | 73 | 25 | 27 | 2164 |
| LA | 0 | 5881 | 3188 | 1180 | 720 | 280 | 386 | 11635 |
| MA | 0 | 1427 | 742 | 372 | 313 | 130 | 86 | 3070 |
| MD | 0 | 597 | 242 | 93 | 50 | 9 | 12 | 1003 |
| ME | 0 | 1519 | 921 | 547 | 468 | 256 | 482 | 4193 |
| MI | 0 | 5164 | 3132 | 1380 | 1133 | 429 | 450 | 11688 |
| MN | 0 | 9312 | 5831 | 2876 | 2552 | 1114 | 1311 | 22996 |
| MO | 0 | 3976 | 1514 | 378 | 165 | 51 | 47 | 6131 |
| MS | 0 | 6389 | 1792 | 535 | 308 | 64 | 79 | 9167 |
| MT | 0 | 5685 | 2284 | 599 | 301 | 127 | 162 | 9158 |
| NC | 0 | 4544 | 846 | 266 | 145 | 62 | 94 | 5957 |
| ND | 0 | 3925 | 3157 | 1314 | 882 | 355 | 258 | 9891 |
| NE | 0 | 2278 | 1261 | 478 | 320 | 103 | 73 | 4513 |
| NH | 0 | 622 | 434 | 234 | 161 | 98 | 77 | 1626 |
| NJ | 0 | 1077 | 526 | 230 | 130 | 26 | 35 | 2024 |
| NM | 0 | 521 | 167 | 68 | 50 | 25 | 39 | 870 |
| NV | 0 | 204 | 127 | 70 | 67 | 20 | 72 | 560 |
| NY | 0 | 3576 | 1860 | 783 | 546 | 201 | 255 | 7221 |
| OH | 0 | 3148 | 931 | 217 | 123 | 53 | 76 | 4548 |
| OK | 0 | 4550 | 1749 | 472 | 212 | 61 | 89 | 7133 |
| OR | 0 | 999 | 554 | 237 | 171 | 59 | 138 | 2158 |
| PA | 0 | 2182 | 835 | 324 | 239 | 80 | 71 | 3731 |
| PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RI | 0 | 226 | 115 | 53 | 49 | 25 | 20 | 488 |
| SC | 0 | 3755 | 1137 | 322 | 153 | 32 | 44 | 5443 |
| SD | 0 | 5093 | 2152 | 600 | 382 | 135 | 152 | 8514 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TN | 0 | 1030 | 467 | 175 | 114 | 33 | 49 | 1868 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TX | 0 | 14937 | 5880 | 1943 | 929 | 245 | 346 | 24280 |
| UT | 0 | 857 | 560 | 206 | 115 | 65 | 110 | 1913 |
| VA | 0 | 2912 | 801 | 263 | 146 | 39 | 45 | 4206 |
| VT | 0 | 389 | 205 | 76 | 75 | 38 | 39 | 822 |
| WA | 0 | 2237 | 1086 | 395 | 289 | 93 | 124 | 4224 |
| WI | 0 | 4200 | 2929 | 1312 | 1070 | 450 | 487 | 10448 |
| WV | 0 | 339 | 111 | 27 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 501 |
| WY | 0 | 2938 | 1162 | 424 | 257 | 77 | 83 | 4941 |
| Sum | 0 | 155201 | 68559 | 24902 | 16488 | 6134 | 7356 | 278640 |

## Site Selection Summary

Number of NES lakes by Lake surface area in sample

| Area Ca | Base |  | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(0,1]$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $(1,4]$ | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| $(4,10]$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| $(10,20]$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| $(20,50]$ | 11 | 16 | 27 |
| $(50,100]$ | 9 | 31 | 40 |
| $>100$ | 91 | 354 | 445 |
| Total | 113 | 406 | 519 |

Number of lakes by Aggregated Ecoregion and lake surface area
Base Sample:
Lake surface area category (hectares)

|  | $(0,1]$ | $(1,4]$ | $(4,10]$ | $(10,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | $(50,100]$ | $>100$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CPL | 0 | 25 | 39 | 19 | 23 | 19 | 19 | 144 |
| NAP | 0 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 21 | 26 | 25 | 98 |
| NPL | 0 | 9 | 7 | 30 | 22 | 22 | 11 | 101 |
| SAP | 0 | 16 | 5 | 22 | 16 | 12 | 29 | 100 |
| SPL | 0 | 5 | 12 | 28 | 19 | 13 | 30 | 107 |
| TPL | 0 | 13 | 10 | 31 | 28 | 16 | 31 | 129 |
| UMW | 0 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 19 | 20 | 35 | 113 |
| WMT | 0 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 19 | 25 | 44 | 118 |
| XER | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 19 | 40 | 90 |
| OTH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sum | 0 | 91 | 104 | 185 | 184 | 172 | 264 | 1000 |

Over Sample:

| $(0,1]$ |  | Lake surface area category |  |  |  | (hectares) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $(1,4]$ | $(4,10]$ | $(10,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | $(50,100]$ | >100 | Sum |
| CPL | 0 | 126 | 100 | 96 | 102 | 61 | 101 | 586 |
| NAP | 0 | 28 | 23 | 65 | 86 | 100 | 111 | 413 |
| NPL | 0 | 33 | 34 | 104 | 85 | 74 | 59 | 389 |
| SAP | 0 | 23 | 27 | 100 | 64 | 73 | 126 | 413 |
| SPL | 0 | 32 | 36 | 107 | 62 | 66 | 105 | 408 |
| TPL | 0 | 61 | 38 | 94 | 91 | 83 | 137 | 504 |
| UMW | 0 | 41 | 50 | 64 | 101 | 94 | 137 | 487 |
| WMT | 0 | 28 | 28 | 82 | 76 | 60 | 115 | 389 |
| XER | 0 | 14 | 12 | 62 | 68 | 71 | 135 | 362 |


| OTH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sum | 0 | 386 | 348 | 774 | 735 | 682 | 1026 | 3951 |

Total Sample:
Lake surface area category (hectares)

|  | $(0,1]$ | $(1,4]$ | $(4,10]$ | $(10,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | $(50,100]$ | $>100$ | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CPL | 0 | 151 | 139 | 115 | 125 | 80 | 120 | 730 |
| NAP | 0 | 32 | 30 | 80 | 107 | 126 | 136 | 511 |
| NPL | 0 | 42 | 41 | 134 | 107 | 96 | 70 | 490 |
| SAP | 0 | 39 | 32 | 122 | 80 | 85 | 155 | 513 |
| SPL | 0 | 37 | 48 | 135 | 81 | 79 | 135 | 515 |
| TPL | 0 | 74 | 48 | 125 | 119 | 99 | 168 | 633 |
| UMW | 0 | 53 | 66 | 75 | 120 | 114 | 172 | 600 |
| WMT | 0 | 32 | 36 | 100 | 95 | 85 | 159 | 507 |
| XER | 0 | 17 | 12 | 73 | 85 | 90 | 175 | 452 |
| OTH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sum | 0 | 477 | 452 | 959 | 919 | 854 | 1290 | 4951 |

Number of lakes by state and lake surface area

|  | OverSamp | Base | Sum |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AL | 62 | 18 | 80 |
| AR | 55 | 11 | 66 |
| AZ | 74 | 21 | 95 |
| CA | 90 | 22 | 112 |
| CO | 96 | 30 | 126 |
| CT | 49 | 14 | 63 |
| DE | 29 | 9 | 38 |
| FL | 107 | 23 | 130 |
| GA | 63 | 22 | 85 |
| IA | 72 | 19 | 91 |
| ID | 69 | 21 | 90 |
| IL | 87 | 20 | 107 |
| IN | 96 | 23 | 119 |
| KS | 97 | 20 | 117 |
| KY | 60 | 13 | 73 |
| LA | 75 | 17 | 92 |
| MA | 40 | 11 | 51 |
| MD | 40 | 5 | 45 |
| ME | 61 | 11 | 72 |
| MI | 139 | 31 | 170 |
| MN | 200 | 49 | 249 |
| MO | 79 | 20 | 99 |
| MS | 48 | 15 | 63 |
| MT | 180 | 44 | 224 |
| NC | 65 | 21 | 86 |
| ND | 179 | 43 | 222 |
| NE | 112 | 32 | 144 |
| NH | 48 | 13 | 61 |
| NJ | 48 | 10 | 58 |
| NM | 91 | 15 | 106 |
| NV | 82 | 19 | 101 |
| NY | 69 | 12 | 81 |
| OH | 67 | 20 | 87 |
| OK | 103 | 36 | 139 |
| OR | 73 | 30 | 103 |
| PA | 67 | 20 | 87 |
|  |  |  |  |


| RI | 44 | 12 | 56 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SC | 60 | 9 | 69 |
| SD | 139 | 43 | 182 |
| TN | 61 | 12 | 73 |
| TX | 143 | 31 | 174 |
| UT | 82 | 23 | 105 |
| VA | 60 | 16 | 76 |
| VT | 49 | 9 | 58 |
| WA | 78 | 20 | 98 |
| WI | 144 | 32 | 176 |
| WV | 36 | 8 | 44 |
| WY | 83 | 25 | 108 |
| Sum | 3951 | 1000 | 4951 |

Number of lakes by state and lake area category for base sample only

|  | $(0,1]$ | $(1,4]$ | $(4,10]$ | $(10,20]$ | $(20,50]$ | $(50,100]$ | >100 | Sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AL | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 18 |
| AR | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| AZ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 8 | 21 |
| CA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 22 |
| CO | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 30 |
| CT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 14 |
| DE | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 9 |
| FL | 0 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 23 |
| GA | 0 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 22 |
| HI | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| ID | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 21 |
| IL | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 20 |
| IN | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 23 |
| KS | 0 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 20 |
| KY | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 13 |
| LA | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 17 |
| MA | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 11 |
| MD | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| ME | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| MI | 0 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 31 |
| MN | 0 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 18 | 49 |
| MO | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 20 |
| MS | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| MT | 0 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 10 | 10 | 44 |
| NC | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 21 |
| ND | 0 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 43 |
| NE | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 32 |
| NH | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 13 |
| NJ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| NM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 15 |
| NV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 19 |
| NY | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 12 |
| OH | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 20 |
| OK | 0 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 36 |
| OR | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 30 |
| PA | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 20 |
| PR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RI | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 12 |


| SC | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SD | 0 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 43 |
| TN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 12 |
| TX | 0 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 31 |
| UT | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 13 | 23 |
| VA | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 16 |
| VT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| WA | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 20 |
| WI | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 32 |
| WV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| WY | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 25 |
| Sum | 0 | 91 | 104 | 185 | 184 | 172 | 264 | 1000 |

## Description of Sample Design Output:

The dbf file for the shapefile ("NLA Lake Sites Final") has the following variable definitions:

| Variable Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| siteID | Unique identification label for each lake in the sample |
| xcoord | x-coordinate of lake centroid (see Albers projection information below) |
| ycoord | y-coordinate of lake centroid (see Albers projection information below) |
| mdcaty | inclusion probability used for the unequal probability selection of the sample lake |
| wgt | Weight (number of lakes) to be used in the statistical analyses. It is the inverse of the inclusion probability |
| stratum | Strata used in design. All equal to NLALake |
| panel | Panel_1 identifies the 1000 lakes in the base design. Oversamp identifies lakes to be used as replacements as necessary. |
| EvalStatus | Placeholder to record the results of the lake recon evaluation (see below) |
| EvalReason | Placeholder to record reason for the evalution result. |
| oid | ID from original lake frame shapefile |
| rch_com__1 | From original NHD lake frame shapefile |
| com_id | From original NHD lake frame shapefile |
| ftype | NHD ftype field |
| frode | NHD fcode field |
| gnis_id | GNIS identification |
| name | Lake name |
| statecty | FIPS state and county code |
| st | State two-letter abbreviation |
| cntyname | County name |
| eco | Omernik level 3 ecoregion number (DO NOT USE) |
| level3 | Omernik level 3 ecoregion number (USE THIS for ecoregion) |
| level3_nam | Omernik level 3 ecoregion name (DO NOT USE; not all are present) |


| huc_12__14 | USGS hydrologic catalog code for 12-14 digit units |
| :--- | :--- |
| cu_name | USGS hydrologic catalog unit name |
| region | USGS hydrologic region number |
| storetassi | Storet number for NES lakes |
| area_ha | Area in hectares calculated from polygon lake frame in Albers projection |
| epa_reg | EPA region number |
| wsa_eco9 | Name of nine aggregated Omernik level 3 ecoregion |
| wsa_eco3 | Name of three aggregated Omernik level 3 ecoregion |
| area_cat | Detailed lake surface area categories in hectares |
| area_cat3 | Three category lake surface area categories in hectares |
| area_cat5 | Five category lake surface area categories in hectares |
| area_cat7 | Seven category lake surface area categories in hectares |
| dsgn_cat | Multi-density categories used in unequal probabilty survey design |
| inc_nw | Inclusion probability based on nationwide sample of (1, 10] hectare lakes |
| inc_st | Inclusion probability based on states |
| inc_cat7 | Inclusion probability based on seven area categories |
| inc_st7 | Inclusion probability based on combined state and seven area categories |
| inc_9st7 | Inclusion probability based on combined nine aggregated ecoregions, |
| inc_nes | Inclusion probability based on NES lakes |
| neslake | Identifies NES lake |

## Projection Information

PROJCS["USA_Contiguous_Albers_Equal_Area_Conic",
GEOGCS["GCS_North_American_1983",
DATUM["D_North_American_1983",
SPHEROID["GRS_1980",6378137.0,298.257222101]],
PRIMEM["Greenwich",0.0],
UNIT["Degree",0.0174532925199433]],PROJECTION["Albers"],PARAMETER["False _Easting",0.0],
PARAMETER["False_Northing",0.0], PARAMETER["Central_Meridian",-96.0],
PARAMETER["Standard_Parallel_1",29.5],
PARAMETER["Standard_Parallel_2",45.5],
PARAMETER["Latitude_Of_Origin",37.5],
UNIT["Meter",1.0]]

## Evaluation Process

The survey design weights that are given in the design file assume that the survey design is implemented as designed. Typically, users prefer to replace sites that can not be sampled with other sites to achieve the sample size planned. The site replacement
process is described above. When sites are replaced, the survey design weights are no longer correct and must be adjusted. The weight adjustment requires knowing what happened to each site in the base design and the over sample sites. EvalStatus is initially set to "NotEval" to indicate that the site has yet to be evaluated for sampling. When a site is evaluated for sampling, then the EvalStatus for the site must be changed.
Recommended codes are:

| EvalStatus <br> Code | Name | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TS | Target Sampled | site is a member of the target population and was <br> sampled |
| LD | Landowner Denial | landowner denied access to the site |
| PB | Physical Barrier | physical barrier prevented access to the site |
| NT | Non-Target | site is not a member of the target population |
| NN | Not Needed | site is a member of the over sample and was not <br> evaluated for sampling |
| Other <br> codes |  | Many times useful to have other codes. For <br> example, rather than use NT, may use specific codes <br> indicating why the site was non-target. |

## Statistical Analysis

Any statistical analysis of data must incorporate information about the monitoring survey design. In particular, when estimates of characteristics for the entire target population are computed, the statistical analysis must account for any stratification or unequal probability selection in the design. Procedures for doing this are available from the Aquatic Resource Monitoring web page given in the bibliography. A statistical analysis library of functions is available from the web page to do common population estimates in the statistical software environment R .

## For further information, contact

Anthony (Tony) R. Olsen
USEPA NHEERL
Western Ecology Division
200 S.W. 35th Street
Corvallis, OR 97333
Voice: (541) 754-4790
Fax: (541) 754-4716
email: Olsen.Tony@epa.gov
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