REGION 2 RAC2 CONTRACT GENERIC QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN FOR SUPERFUND SITES

Select from list below the type of study being performed:

Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Remedial Design Remedial Action Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Oversight Remedial Design Oversight Remedial Action Oversight

[Site Location, State]

January 28, 2009

Prepared for: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 290 Broadway, New York, NY 10007-1866

Prepared by:

The material contained herein is not to be disclosed to, discussed with, or made available to any person or persons for any reason without the prior expressed approval of a responsible official of the U.S. EPA.

.

1 -

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acronym List

Section 1 - Introduction (not included herein)1.1Project Specific Site Overview1.2Site Background and Path Forward

Section 2 - Uniform Fee	deral Policy for Quality Assurance Project Plans (UFP-QAPP)
QAPP Worksheet #1.	Title and Approval Page
QAPP Worksheet #2.	QAPP Identifying Information/Crosswalk
QAPP Worksheet #3.	Distribution List
QAPP Worksheet #4.	Project Personnel Sign-Off Sheet
QAPP Worksheet #5.	Project Organizational Chart
QAPP Worksheet #6.	Communication Pathways
QAPP Worksheet #7.	Personnel Responsibilities and Qualification Table
QAPP Worksheet #8.	Special Personnel Training Requirements Table
QAPP Worksheet #9.	Project Scope Of Work
QAPP Worksheet #10.	Problem Definition
QAPP Worksheet #11.	Project Quality Objectives/Systematic Planning Process Statements15
QAPP Worksheet #12.	Measurement Performance Criteria Table
Gas/Air VOCs b	у ТО-15
Gas/Air VOCs b	y CLP SAV01.X
Aqueous TCL Ti	race VOCs
Aqueous TCL Lo	ow/Medium VOCs
Aqueous TCL Lo	ow/Medium SVOCs
Aqueous TCL Pe	esticides
Aqueous TCL A	roclors (PCBs)
Soil/Sediment T	'CL VOCs
Soil/Sediment T	'CL SVOCs
Soil/Sediment T	'CL Pesticides
Soil/Sediment T	CL Aroclors (PCBs)
Aqueous TAL M	letals and Hardness -ICP-AES
Aqueous TAL M	Ietals ICP-MS
Aqueous TAL Te	otal Mercury
Aqueous TAL T	otal Cyanide
Soil TAL Metals	-ICP-AES
Soil TAL Total N	/lercury
Soil TAL Total C	lyanide
Aqueous Wet Cl	nemistry-Ion Chromatography
Aqueous Wet Cl	hemistry- Colorimetry/ Spectrophotometry
Aqueous Wet Cl	nemistry-Titration/Potentimeter
Aqueous Wet Cl	hemistry- Gravimetry
Aqueous Biolog	ical Oxygen Demand (BOD)
Aqueous Total C)rganic Carbon (TOC)

Soil/Sediment Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	
Soil/Sediment pH	
Soil/Sediment grain size	
Soil/Sediment Hexavalent Chromium	
Soil/Sediment Sulfide	
Soil/Sediment Perchlorate	
Soil/Sediment Acid Volatile Sulfide-Simultaneous Extracted M	letals (AVS-SEM)
Soil/Sediment Specific Gravity, Bulk Density	
Soil/Sediment Cation Exchange Capacity	
Soil/Sediment Rigid Wall Permeability	
Aqueous In-Field Test Kits	
Aqueous In-Field Measurements	
QAPP Worksheet #13. Secondary Data Criteria and Limitations Tabl	e54
OAPP Worksheet #14. Summary of Project Tasks	
OAPP Worksheet #15. Reference Limits and Evaluation Table	
Groundwater – VOCs	
Groundwater – SVOCs	
Groundwater – Pesticides	
Groundwater – Aroclors	
Groundwater – Metals and Cyanide	
Soil - VOCs	
Soil - SVOCs	
Soil - Pesticides	
Soil - Aroclors (PCBs)	
Soil - Metals	
OAPP Worksheet #16 Project Schedule Timeline Table	81
OAPP Worksheet #17 Sampling Design and Rationale	82-95
Mohilization	
Site Reconnaiseance	
Monitoring Woll Abandonment and Well Ronair	
Hydrogeological Assessments	
Continuous Water level Massurements	
Sumantia Water Level Measurements	
Synoptic Water Level Measurements	
Soil Screening Sampling with Direct-rush Technology	
Soli Screening Sampling with Hallow Stern Augers	
Groundwater Screening with DP1	
Groundwater Sampling	
Air Sampling	
Monitoring Well Installation	
Decontamination Procedures	
QAPP Worksheet #18. Sampling Locations and Methods/SOP Requ	irements Table
QAPP Worksheet #19. Analytical SOP Requirements Table	
QAPP Worksheet #20. Field Quality Control Sample Summary Table	e103
QAPP Worksheet #21. Project Sampling SOP References Table	
QAPP Worksheet #22. Field Equipment Calibration, Maintenance,	
Testing, and Inspection Table	

,

OAPP Worksheet #23 Analytical SOP References Table	07
OAPP Worksheet #24 Analytical Instrument Calibration Table	11
OAPP Worksheet #25. Analytical Instrument and Equipment Maintenance.	
Testing, and Inspection Table 116	
OAPP Worksheet #26. Sample Handling System	17
OAPP Worksheet #27. Sample Custody Requirements	18
OAPP Worksheet #28. OC Samples Table	64
Gas/Air VOCs by TO-15	~~
Gas/Air VOCs by CLP SAV01.X	
Aqueous TCL Trace VOCs	
Aqueous TCL Low VOCs	
Aqueous TCL SVOCs	
Aqueous TCL Pesticides	
Aqueous TCL Aroclors (PCBs)	
Aqueous TAL Metals	
Aqueous TAL Total Mercury	
Agueous TAL Total Cyanide	
Soil/Sediment AVS-SEM VOCs	
Soil/Sediment TCL VOCs	
Soil/Sediment TCL SVOCs	
Soil/Sediment TCL Pesticides	
Soil/Sediment TCL Aroclors (PCBs)	
Soil TAL Metals	
Soil TAL Total Mercury	
Soil TAL Total Cyanide	
Aqueous Wet Chemistry-Ion Chromatograph	
Aqueous Wet Chemistry- MCAWW Methods	
Aqueous Wet Chemistry-Hexavalent Chromium	
Aqueous Wet Chemistry- Titration/Potentimeter	
Aqueous Wet Chemistry- Gravimetric	
Aqueous Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD)	
Aqueous Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	
Soil/Sediment Total Organic Carbon (TOC	
Soil/Sediment pH, Specific Gravity, Bulk Density	
Soil/Sediment grain size	
Soil/Sediment Hexavalent Chromium	
Soil/Sediment Sulfide	
Soil/Sediment Perchlorate	
Soil/Sediment Cation Exchange Capacity	
Soil/Sediment Acid Volatile Sulfide-Simultaneous Extracted Metals (AVS-SEM)	
Soil/Sediment Rigid Wall Permeability	
Aqueous In-Field Test Kits	
QAPP Worksheet #29. Project Documents and Records Table	.65
QAPP Worksheet #30. Analytical Services Table1	.66
QAPP Worksheet #31. Planned Project Assessments Table	.68 '
QAPP Worksheet #32. Assessment Findings and Corrective Action Responses	.69

.

.

QAPP Worksheet #33.	QA Management Reports Table	.170
QAPP Worksheet #34.	Verification (Step I) Process Table	.171
QAPP Worksheet #35.	Validation (Steps IIa and IIb) Process Table	.172
QAPP Worksheet #36.	Validation (Steps IIa and IIb) Summary Table	.173
QAPP Worksheet #37.	Usability Assessment	175

Literature Cited TBD

The following items are not included in this generic QAPP - To be included in Project-specific QAPPs

List of Appendices

Appendix A – Tables, Site Maps, and Figures and applicable excerpts from Work Plan

Figures	Site Location
Figures	Site Plan
Figures	Proposed Sampling Locations for each matrix -
Figures	Schedule

Appendix BProject-Specific Low Flow Groundwater Purging and SamplingAppendix CImage: Technical Standard Operating Procedures

- 1-1 Surface Water Sampling
- 1-2 Sample Custody*
- 1-3 Surface Soil Sampling*
- 1-4 Subsurface Soil Sampling*
- 1-6 Water Level Measurement
- 1-9 Tap Water Sampling*
- 1-10 Field Measurement of Organic Vapors
- 1-11 Sediment/Sludge Sampling*
- 2-1 Packaging and Shipping of Environmental Samples*
- 2-2 Guide to Handling of Investigation Derived Waste
- 3-1 Geoprobe® Sampling
- 3-2 Topographic Survey
- 3-4 Geophysical Logging, Calibration, and Quality Control
- 3-5 Lithologic Logging
- 4-1 Field Logbook Content and Control*
- 4-2 Photographic Documentation of Field Activities
- 4-3 Well Development and Purging*
- 4-4 Design and Installation of Monitoring Wells in Aquifers*
- 4-8 Environmental Data Management
- 4-10 Borehole and Well Decommissioning
- 5-1 Control of Measurement and Test Equipment*

v

* RAC II Contract-Specific Clarification (to be included in project-specific QAPPs)

Appendix C
Appendix DHACH Kit Test Procedures for Field Sampling
DV SOP 029A, Revision 0Appendix FField Forms (Examples):
Daily Quality Control Report
ANSETS Form
Cooler Return Form



Acronyms - Generic List

AA ABS A-E AES Ag ANSETS AOC	atomic absorption absolute difference architect -engineer atomic emission spectrophotometry silver Analytical Services Tracking System area of concern
ASC	analytical services coordinator
bgs	below ground surface
BOA	basic ordering agreement
BOD	biological oxygen demand
BS	Bachelor of Science
C	Celsius
CA	corrective action
CAS	Chemical Abstract Service
CD .	continuing calibration verification
	Compact disk
CERCLA CHMM CIH CLP	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Certified Hazardous Materials Manager certified industrial hygienist contract laboratory program
COC	chain of custody
COD	chemical oxygen demand
CQM	certified quality manager
CRQL	contract required quantitation limits
CSP	certified safety professional
DEE	Diplomate Environmental Engineer
DESA	Division of Environmental Science and Assessment
DO	dissolved oxygen
DQI	data quality mulcators
	doutorated monitoring compound
FDD	electronic data deliverable
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
EOL	estimated quantitation limit
eV	electron volt
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulations
FASTAC	Field and Analytical Services Teaming Advisory Committee
FID	flame ionization detector
FFS	focused feasibility study
FTL	field team leader

.

GC/ECD	gas chromatograph / electron capture detector			
GC/MS	gas chromatograph / mass spectroscopy			
GW	groundwater			
GWS	groundwater sampling event			
H&S	health and safety			
HSM	health and safety site manager			
HASP	Health and Safety Plan			
HDPE	high density polyethylene			
ICP	inductively coupled plasma			
ID	identification			
IR	infra-red			
L	liter			
LAN	local area network			
LCS	laboratory control samples			
LCSD	laboratory control sample duplicates			
MCAWW	Method for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes			
MDL	minimum detection limit			
$m\sigma/L$	milligrams ner liter			
mJ.	milliliter			
MMH	monomethyl hydrazine			
MPC.	measurement performance criteria			
MW	monitoring well			
MS	mass spectrophotometer			
MS/ MSD	matrix snikes /matrix snike dunlicates			
NA [·]	not applicable			
NEIC	National Enforcement Investigations Center			
NIDEP	New Jersey Department of Environmental Protoction			
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation			
O&M	operation and maintenance			
OU	operable unit			
%	percent			
%D	percent difference			
%R	percent recovery			
P	total phosphorus			
PAT.	project action limit			
PC	personal computer			
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyls			
PCE	tetrachloroethene			
PE	professional engineer			
PID	photo-ionization detector			
PM	project manager			
ppby	parts per billion by volume			
npm	parts per million			
POL	project quantitation limit			
POLG	project quantitation limit goal			
POO	project quality objective			
- × ~	Lealess Junited polyantes			

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

٠

ł.

.

PREOR	Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Broad	
PPP	notentially responsible party	
PTEE	polytetrafluoroethylene	
PVC	polyvinyl chloride	
	guality assurance	
QA	quality assurance coordinator	
QAC	quality assurance coordinator	
QArr	quality assurance project plan	
QC	quality control	
QL WD	quantitation limit	
%K	percent recovery	
RA	remedial action	
RAS	routine analytical services	
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	
RI/FS	remedial investigation/feasibility study	
RL	reporting limit	
ROD	record of decision	
RPD	relative percent difference	
RPM	remedial project manager	
RRF	relative response factor	
RSCC	Regional Sample Control Coordinator	
RSD	relative standard deviation	
SA	self assessment	
S&A	sampling and analytical	
Sb	antimony	
SEM	simultaneously extracted metals	
SIM	simultaneous ion monitoring	
SOP	standard operating procedure	
SOW	scope of work	
SSHO	site health and safety officer	
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound	
TAL	target analyte list	
TBD	to be determined	
TCE	trichloroethene	
TCL	target compound list	
TCLP	toxicity characterization leaching procedure	
TDS	total dissolved solids	
TSS	total suspended solids	
TICs	tentatively identified compounds	
TKN	total Kieldahl nitrogen	
TOC	total organic carbon	
трн	total netroleum hydrocarbon	
TSOP	Technical Standard Operating Procedure	
1001	microgram	
μα/kα	microgram per kilogram	
μg/ <u>⊾</u> g μα/Ι	microgram per kilogram	
	incrogram per mer	
	unsymmetrical aimetnyi nyarazine	

,

VOC	volatile organic compound
VTSR	verified time of sample receipt
°C	degrees Celsius
%D	percent difference

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF THIS RAC2 CONTRACT GENERIC QAPP:

The purpose of the QAPP is to document the scientific basis of the investigation including why the work is being done, what is planned, the objectives, the intended use of the data collected, and the tools for QA oversight and reconciliation of planned objectives and the investigation results. The QAPP should provide sufficient information and detail so the investigation can proceed without the planning team.

The Generic QAPP worksheets included herein provide general project procedures and requirements for field investigation, sampling, and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) for field investigations. It should be noted that project action limits for surface water and sediment samples have not been included in this version. Future updates will include this information. The official approved Generic QAPP will be maintained in the RAC II Document Files and an electronic copy will be kept on the New York office server.

Prior to commencing field investigation activities a project-specific QAPP shall be prepared using the Generic QAPP worksheets as a template. A complete document, including all worksheets, is to be submitted for each project. Text in italics is intended to draw the attention of the QAPP preparer and must be amended to create a project-specific document.

Project-specific QAPP worksheets shall provide information on project stakeholders, contacts, background and history, problem summary, objectives, sampling design and rationale, sampling methods and locations (including maps and figures), analytical methods and other site-specific information unique to each work assignment.

The user is instructed to read the QAPP in entirety to ensure that the information in the projectspecific QAPP is applicable to the objectives of the work assignment.

For example, Worksheets #12, #15, and #28 will need to include only those pages or columns for which a particular method or method option and matrix is needed. Information irrelevant to the project should be removed. Users must pay attention to formulas in worksheet #15 and check that the standards and criteria being referenced is the most current.

Also, some analytical worksheets have a range of measurement performance criteria. The project chemist or QAPP preparer must select the criteria suitable for the purpose and objectives of the project task.

Information on project geology or site investigation history and associated standard operating procedures (SOPs), field forms, figures and tables shall be appended to project-specific QAPPs.

The project-specific QAPP preparer in consultation with the project team will be responsible for determing the information to be included in the QAPP and to ensure that the document is accurate and complete.

1.0 Introduction

Include here information on receipt of the work assignment and contract under which the work is being performed. Describe the task/and nature of the assignment, i.e., Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS), Remedial Design or Remedial action and whether this is an oversight assignment. Describe the operable unit and a brief summary of the site from the scope of work.

This generic/project-specific QAPP has been prepared in accordance with the UFP-QAPP manual (EPA 2005) and is compliant with EPA's QAPP guidance document EPA QA/R-5 (EPA 2002). This project will be implemented in accordance with the quality procedures in **Complete Sector** QA Manual **Complete Sector** and this QAPP. This QAPP, *and any other applicable documents*, are the governing documents for execution of this work.

1.1 Site Overview

[Describe physical features and why this investigation is being performed]

1.2 Site Background and Path Forward

Describe relevant information, such as the nature of contamination at the site, if known, brief history, media in question, potential stakeholders, and actions that led to the investigation.



QAPP Worksheet #1 Title and Approval Page

RAC2 CONTRACT GENERIC QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN (QAPP) for [Site Name] [Site Location]

US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 2

Prepared by:		
Date: []		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Project Manager:		[Project Manager]
	Signature	
QA Manager:	I	
	Signature	,
EPA Project Manager:		[EPA RPM]
	Signature	·
RAC 2 Program Manager:		
	Signature	
EPA Region 2 Hazardous Waste Support Secti	on:	
	Signature	. <u></u>



QAPP Worksheet #2 **QAPP Identifying Information**

Site Name/Project:

Site Location:

Operable Unit:

Contract Title:

[Site Name]

[Site Location]

[Insert project-specific information]

Contractor Name:

Contractor Number:

Regulatory Program:

Response Action Contract (RAC) 2, EPA Region 2

N/A [Update for Project-Specific QAPP]

Work Assignment Number:

CERCLA

Approval Entity: EPA Region 2

Is QAPP Generic or Project Specific: Generic [Update for Project-Specific QAPP]

Dates of scoping sessions: [Date]

Dates and Titles of QAPP Documents Written for Previous Site Work, if Applicable: [Insert titles of existing project QAPPs and dates]

Organizational Partners (stakeholders) and Connection with Lead Organization:

INew Jersey Department of Environmental Protection/ New York State Department of Environmental Conservation/US Virgin Island Department of Planning and Natural Resources/Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board], EPA

Data Users:

CDM, EPA Region 2, [New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection/ New York State Department of Environmental Conservation/US Virgin Island Department of Planning and Natural Resources/ Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board]

Required QAPP elements and required information that are not applicable to the project, and an explanation for their exclusions:

N/A

QAPP Worksheet #2 QAPP Identifying Information (continued)

CROSSWALK

The following table provides a "cross-walk" between the QAPP elements outlined in the Uniform Federal Policy for Quality Assurance Project Plans (UFP-QAPP Manual), the necessary information, and the location of the information within the text document and corresponding QAPP Worksheet. Any QAPP elements and required information that are applicable/not applicable to the project will be noted in the project-specific QAPPs.

QAPP Element(s) and Corresponding Section(s) of UFP-QAPP Manual		Required Information	Crosswalk to QAPP Worksheet No.
	Project Man	agement and Objectives	1 <u> </u>
2.1	Title and Approval Page	- Title and Approval Page	1
2.2	Document Format and Table of Contents2.2.1Document Control Format2.2.2Document Control Numbering System2.2.3Table of Contents2.2.4QAPP Identifying Information	- Table of Contents - QAPP Identifying Information	2
2.3 Sheet	Distribution List and Project Personnel Sign-Off Sheet 2.3.1 Distribution List 2.3.2 Project Personnel Sign-Off	- Distribution List - Project Personnel Sign- Off Sheet	3 4
2.4	 Project Organization 2.4.1 Project Organizational Chart 2.4.2 Communication Pathways 2.4.3 Personnel Responsibilities and Qualifications 2.4.4 Special Training Requirements and Certification 	 Project Organizational Chart Communication Pathways Personnel Responsibilities and Qualifications Special Personnel Training Requirements 	5 6 7 8
2.5	Project Planning/Problem Definition 2.5.1 Project Planning (Scoping) 2.5.2 Problem Definition, Site History and Background	 Project Planning Session Documentation (including Data Needs tables) Project Scoping Session Participants Sheet Problem Definition, Site History, and Background Site Maps (historical and present) 	9 10
2.6	Project Quality Objectives and Measurement Performance Criteria 2.6.1 Development of Project Quality Objectives Using the Systemat Planning Process 2.6.2 Measurement Performance Criteria	- Site-Specific PQOs - Measurement Performance Criteria ic	11 12

QAPP Element(s) and Corresponding Section(s) of UFP-QAPP Manual		nt(s) and Corresponding of UFP-QAPP Manual	Required Information	Crosswalk to QAPP Worksheet No.
		Project Manag	ement and Objectives	
2.7	Seconda	ry Data Evaluation	 Sources of Secondary Data and Information Secondary Data Criteria and Limitations 	13
2.8	Project C 2.8.1 2.8.2	Dverview and Schedule Project Overview Project Schedule	Summary of Project Tasks Reference Limits and	14 15
			- Project Schedule/Timeline	16 [<i>Figure X-X</i>]
		Measurem	ent/Data Acquisition	
3.1	Sampling 3.1.1	g Tasks Sampling Process Design and Rationale	- Sampling Design and Rationale - Sample Location Map	17 [Figure]
	3.1.2 3.1.2.1	Sampling Procedures and Requirements	- Sampling Locations and Methods/SOP	18
-	3.1.2.2	Sample Containers, Volume, and Preservation	- Analytical Methods/SOP Requirements	19
	3.1.2.3	Equipment/Sample Containers Cleaning and Decontamination	Field Quality Control Sample Summary Sampling SOPs	20
	3.1.2.4	Field Equipment Calibration, Maintenance, Testing, and	- Project Sampling SOP References	21
	3.1.2.5 3.1.2.6	Supply Inspection and Acceptance Procedures Field Documentation	- Field Equipment Calibration, Maintenance, Testing, and Inspection	22
2.0	Analytics	Procedures	Analytical CODe	
3.2	3.2.1 3.2.2	Analytical SOPs Analytical Instrument Calibration	- Analytical SOPs - Analytical SOP References	23
	3.2.3	Procedures Analytical Instrument and Equipment Maintenance,	Analytical Instrument Calibration Analytical Instrument and Environment Anisteneous	24 25
	3.2.4	Procedures Analytical Supply Inspection and Acceptance Procedures	Testing, and Inspection	
3.3	Sample Handling Procedu 3.3.1	Collection Documentation, a, Tracking, and Custody res Sample Collection Documentation	- Sample Collection Documentation Handling, Tracking, and Custody SOPs	27
	3.3.2	Sample Handling and Tracking System	- Sample Container Identification - Sample Handling Flow	26
	3.3.3	Sample Custody	Diagram - Example Chain-of- Custody Form and Seal	
3.4	Quality (3.4.1 3.4.2	Control Samples Sampling Quality Control Samples Analytical Quality Control Samples	QC Samples Screening/Confirmatory Analysis Decision Tree	28

QAPP Element(s) and Corresponding Section(s) of UFP-QAPP Manual			Required Information	Crosswalk to QAPP Worksheet No.
		Project Manag	ement and Objectives	
3.5	Data Ma 3.5.1	nagement Tasks Project Documentation and	Project Documents and Records	29
	3.5.2 3.5.3 3.5.4 3.5.5	Records Data Package Deliverables Data Reporting Formats Data Handling and Management Data Tracking and Control	 Analytical Services Data Management SOPs 	30
		Asses	sment/Oversight	
4.1	Assessn 4.1.1	nents and Response Actions Planned Assessments	- Assessments and Response	31
	4.1.2	Assessment Findings and Corrective Action Responses	Actions - Planned Project - Assessments - Audit Checklists - Assessment Findings and	32
			- Action Responses	33
4.2	QA Man	agement Reports	- QA Management Reports	33
4.3	Final Pro	oject Report	- Final Report(s)	
L		D	ata Review	·······
5.1	Overviev	N		NA
5.2	Data Re 5.2.1	view Steps Step I: Verification	- Verification (Step I) Process	34
	5.2.2	Step II: Validation 5.2.2.1 Step IIa Validation	- Validation (Steps IIa and IIb) Process	35
		Activities 5.2.2.2 Step IIb Validation	- Validation (Steps IIa and Ib) Summary	36
	5.2.3	Activities Step III: Usability Assessment 5.2.3.1 Data Limitations and Actions from Usability Assessment 5.2.3.2 Activities	- Usability Assessment	37
5.3	Stream	ining Data Review		
	5.3.1	Data review steps to be streamlined		
	5.3.2	Criteria for streamlining data Review		
	5.3.3	Amounts and Types of Data appropriate for Streamlining		

QAPP Worksheet #3 Distribution List

[List those to whom copies of the QAPP and amendments will be sent]

QAPP Recipients	Title	Organization	Telephone Number	Fax Number	E-mail Address
	Project Officer	EPA			
[Name]	Remedial Project Manager (RPM)	EPA			
	Hazardous Waste Support Section Chief	EPA			
	QA Officer	EPA			
[Name]	Stakeholder Agency Program Manager	Name of organization			
[Name]	Project Manager				
[Name]	Project Engineer				
assigned QAC	Regional QA Coordinator (RQAC)/ Project QA Officer				
	RAC 2 Program Manager				
[Name]	Task Manager				
[Name]	Field Team Leader				
	Health and Safety Officer			-	

QAPP Worksheet #4 Project Personnel Sign-Off Sheet

[Have copies of this form signed by key project personnel from each organization to indicate that they have read the applicable sections of the QAPP and will perform the tasks as described; add additional sheets as required. Ask each organization to forward signed sheets to the central project file.]

Organization: CDM

Project Personnel	Title	Telephone Number	Signature	Date QAPP Read
[Name]	Project Manager		· · · · ·	
	Analytical Services Coordination (ASC)			
	QA Manger			
-	Regional QAC (RQAC)			
[Name]	Project Engineer			
[Name]	Staff Engineer		······································	
[Name]	Field Team Leader	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
[Name]	Task Manager			
[Name]	Field Support			
[Name]	Hydrogeologist			
[Name]	Risk Assessor			

QAPP Worksheet #5 Project Organizational Chart



د

QAPP Worksheet #6 Communication Pathways

Communication Drivers	Responsible Entity	Name	Phone Number	Procedure (Timing, Pathways, etc.)
Point of Contact with EPA RPM	Project Manager (PM)	[Name]	[Phone number]	All information about the project will be sent to [insert EPA remedial project manager name (RPM) here] by the PM. Field changes will be discussed with the EPA Project Manager (RPM) prior to implementation
Manage Field Tasks	[<i>RI/RD/RA</i>] Task Manager	[Name]	[Phone number]	Act as liaison to PM concerning investigation activities. Daily communication with project team and PM. Communicate implementation issues to Field Team Leader.
Facilitate Database Setup and Data Management Planning	Field Team Leader (FTL)	[Name]	[Phone number]	Provide sample location, sample ID, and analysis information prior to sample collection. Provide information on sample and analytical reporting groups, and types of report tables required for project.
QAPP Changes in the Field	FTL	[Name]	[Phone number]	Notify Task Manager immediately and complete a Field Change Request (FCR) form and/or corrected worksheets. Send FCR forms to QAC.
	Task Manager	[Name]	[Phone number]	Notify EPA RPM, Control PM and Analytical Services Coordinator (ASC) of delays or changes to field work.
Completion of Daily Summary Reports	FTL	[Name]	[Phone number]	Complete on a daily basis and submit to PM and FTM. PM will forward to EPA RPM upon request.
	FTL	[Name]	[Phone number]	Submit request to ASC before the timeframe below.
Booking of Analytical Services	Analytical Services Coordinator (ASC)			Book Division of Environmental Science and Assessment (DESA) and Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) analytical services through Regional Sample Control Center (RSCC) 3 weeks prior to sampling.

...

Communication Drivers	Responsible Entity	Name	Phone Number	Procedure (Timing, Pathways, etc.)
Notification of Analytical Issues	ASC			Notify FTL of any sample collection/ shipment issues. Notify RSCC, DESA lab or subcontract labs to initiate corrective action.
Field Corrective Action	RQAC, auditor, Task Manager, FTL, and Field Team	[Name]	[Phone number]	PM, Task Manager, FTL, per QA manual requirement corrective actions may also be identified by the field team. FTL initiates corrective action on identified field issues immediately or within QAM recommended timeframe.
Analytical Services Support	ASC			Act as liaison with RSCC for CLP laboratories, with Sectors for DESA, and with subcontract laboratory (ies).
Facilitate Data Management	FTL.	[Name]	[Phone number]	Provide electronic survey data, sample ID, locations and analyses. Transmit completed sample tracking information to data manager by the completion of each sampling case.
Reporting of Issues Relating to Analytical Data Quality	ASC			Communicate to PM as appropriate
(including ability to meet reporting limits, and usability of data)	Data Assessor	[Name]	[Phone number]	Communicate to PM as appropriate. Document situation and effect in a data quality report prepared prior to evaluation of remedial design report.
Release of Analytical Data	ASC			Receive and review data packages before data is used. Initiate data validation of subcontract laboratory data.
Site Health and Safety Issues	Site Health and Safety Officer	[Name]	[Phone number]	Conduct Daily Health and Safety Meetings, make decisions regarding health and safety issues and upgrading PPE. Communicate to PM, Task Manager, Health and Safety Manager, and field staff as appropriate

QAPP Worksheet #6 Communication Pathways

.

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

QAPP Worksheet #7 Personnel Responsibilities and Qualification Table

Name	Title	Organizational Affiliation	Responsibilities	Education and Experience Qualifications
[Name]	PM		Oversee project and responds to EPA RPM. Manages subcontractors.	
[Name]	Task Manager		Oversees Remedial Investigation Tasks Provide guidance on the drilling program and analyze the geologic data, responsible for implementing the field activities	
[Name]	QA Coordinator/ Project Chemist		Oversee adherence to QA requirements	
[Name]	FS Task Manager		Oversees Feasibility Study Tasks	
	Health and Safety Manager		Oversees adherence to Health and Safety requirements	
	ASC, Database Manager		Communicate with EPA RSCC, DESA laboratory and subcontract laboratories; oversee data management, validation and data packages.	
[Name]	Project Hydrogeologist		Oversee and provide guidance on the drilling program and analyze the geologic data	
[Name]	FTL		Oversee all field investigation activities	· ·
[Name]	Project Ecologist		Performs ecological risk assessment	
[Name]	Project Human Health Risk Assessor		Performs human health risk assessment	
[Name]	Field Geologist		Performs field investigations	
[Name]	Field Sampler		Performs field investigations	
[Name]	Staff Scientist/Engineer		Performs feasibility study	
[Name]	Staff Engineer		Performs feasibility study	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Note:

1. An individual can fill as many roles as he or she is qualified.

Project Function	Specialized Training	Training Provider	Training Date	Personnel/Groups Receiving Training	Personnel Titles/ Organizational Affiliation	Location of Training Records/Certificates
All Field Activities	40-hour OSHA Training and Annual 8 hour refresher	40 hour - EPA or vendor;	various	All subcontractor personnel that will be onsite	staff, subcontractors	H&S database and on site
All Field Activities	Site Supervisor Training	H&S Manager	various	Site H&S officer	Site H&S officer	H&S database and on site
Sample Collection	Trained in EPA CERCLA sampling methods, and field testing procedures	On-site training	various	All personnel that performs sample collection	All personnel that performs sample collection	and Onsite
`Sample Analysis	Trained in EPA analytical methods	Laboratory on-site and vendor training	various	Subcontract laboratory personnel - TBD	Laboratory personnel	Laboratory
Data Validation	Data validation RAS and non-RAS data	EPA	various	Data validators	DESA/EPA/ BESA Data Validators	DV staff files
Data Review/ Assessment	None, performed by experienced chemists	N/A	various	chemists	All personnel used for project data review	
QA Audits	EPA G-7 auditor training		various	auditors	[Name], QAC and designated field auditors	
Self Assessments (SA)	SA training	Quality Assurance Coordinators (QACs)	various	project personnel	project personnel	

QAPP Worksheet #8 Special Personnel Training Requirements Table

Other tasks requiring specialized skills and training will be performed by appropriate subcontractors such as drilling, surveying, and well installing. Training, certification, and permit requirements will be outlined in separate scopes of work for each task and project.

QAPP Worksheet #9 Project Scoping Session Participants Sheet

Projected Date(s Project Manager) of Sampling: [date : [Name]] Site Name: Site Locatio Operable U	Site Name: [Site Name] Site Location: [Site Location] Operable Unit: [OU##]				
Date of Session: [Date] Scoping Session Purpose: [Purpose]							
Name	Affiliation	Phone #	E-mail Address	Project Role			

Comments/Decisions:

Action Items:

Consensus Decisions:

*Site -specific information to be added

QAPP Worksheet #10 Problem Definition

Problem Summary

[Purpose or reason for this particular sampling event. Problem to be addressed. Environmental questions being asked]

Site Description

[Brief description of the site and sampling locations and how they were chosen]

Site History

[Brief description of the site history, including contaminants of concern, environmental indicators, historic results and any actions at the site]

Project Description

Project Decision Conditions

- 1. [If, then statement for general purpose of sampling]
- 2. [If...., then... statement for specific sampling type]
- 3. [If...., then.... statement for result and action level]
- 4. [If...., then... statement for other necessary statements]

QAPP Worksheet #11 Project Quality Objectives /Systematic Planning Process Statements

Site specific information to include:

Overall project objectives include:

[Objective of sampling event] [Contaminants and matrix of event] [Receptors] [Remedies]

Who Will Use the Data? Data will be used by:

EPA, [New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection/ New York State Department of Environmental Conservation/ US Virgin Island Department of Planning and Natural Resources/ Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board], and protect-specific QAPP will describe the data use.]

What Will the Data be Used For?

[Explain the ultimate use of the data at each phase of the project]

What Type of Data is Needed?

[Site specific sample type and matrix] [Field screening or parameters] [Type of sampling] [Access agreements, if applicable] [Sampling Locations]

How "good" do the data need to be in order to support the environmental decision?

The project-specific action limits and quantification limits for each sampled media are specified on Worksheet #15 for all contaminants of concern (COCs). The data will be used in order to meet [project action limits/clean up criteria/risk criteria/permit discharge criteria/monitor remedial progress/site operations]. EPA's Field and Analytical Services Teaming Advisory Committee (FASTAC) policy for obtaining laboratory resources will be utilized for sampling events [include project-specific information here]. Data must meet the data quality objectives (DQOs) that have been specified for the site [refer to Worksheets #12, 18 and 28]. [The work plan, table XX describes the data quality for each type of data to be collected]

Where, when, and how should the data be collected?

[Site specific sample locations and time frame]

Who will collect and generate the data?

[Include Site specific information here]

QAPP Worksheet #11 Project Quality Objectives /Systematic Planning Process Statements

How will the data be reported?

[Insert site specific information here: DESA/EPA Contractor will be responsible for data validation of [site-specific] samples analyzed by [site-specific] laboratory. Samples analyzed by CLP will be validated by a contractor of the EPA or by EPA staff; EPA DESA staff will validate samples analyzed by the DESA laboratory; and will validate sample analyzed by its subcontract laboratories. DESA, CLP and subcontract validated analytical data will be forwarded to for evaluation and use in the [project-specific] reports. Analytical data will be received in electronic and hard copy. Following completion of all laboratory analysis and data validation the data will be reported in the project-specific] reports prepared by analytical data will be uploaded to the Environmental Quality Information Systems (EQuIS) database, version [5.3.2]. The database query and reporting tools will be used to create a project data management system as specified by the project team. The reports will be submitted to EPA for review. Will use Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and other graphics software to facilitate spatial analysis of data and to generate figures for reports and presentations.

How will the data be archived?

- Preliminary data (Form 1s) will be faxed or e-mailed to within the specified turnaround time
- Data from subcontract laboratories will be received in electronic format specified in the contract and validated by subcontractor personnel
- Final CLP and subcontracted validated data will be submitted to and the subcontractor in electronic format and hard copy consistent with CLP deliverables
- · Electronic data will be input into the project's EQuIS database
- EPA will archive CLP laboratory raw data in its document control system.
- Hard copies of field data including field logs will be archived in the project files
- Hard copies of analytical data received by will be archived in the project files for 10 years after contract expiration

QAPP Worksheet #12 Measurement Performance Criteria Table General Instructions and Notes:

(UFP-QAPP Manual Section 2.6.2)

Complete this worksheet for each matrix, analytical group, and concentration level. Identify the data quality indicators (DQI), measurement performance criteria (MPC) and QC sample and/or activity used to assess the measurement performance for both the sampling and analytical measurement systems. Use additional worksheets if necessary. If MPC for specific DQI vary within an analytical parameter, i.e., MPC are analyte-specific, then provide analyte-specific MPC on an additional worksheet.

The concentration levels for each matrix and analyte are project-specific.

Matrix	Matrix Gas/air Analytical Group Volatile Organics				
Analytical Group					
Concentration Level	Low (ppbv)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ² Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)		Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
¹ Refer to QAPP	TO-15	Precision	<u>+ 25 % D*</u>	Field Duplicate	S & A
Worksheet #21	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*, **	Field Blank	S&A
		Precision	<u>+</u> 25 % D*	Laboratory Replicate Sample	A
		Accuracy	70-130 %R*	Laboratory Audit Standard (LCS)	A
		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Laboratory Method Blank	A

QAPP Worksheet #12 Measurement Performance Criteria Table

*Reference Compendium Method TO-15 Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Air Collected in Specially-Prepared Canisters and Analyzed by GC/MS, 2nd Edition, January 1999; Table 3 "Summary of Internal Quality Control Procedures for VOCs by EPA method TO-15, Revision 01/21/2000.

Matrix	ix Gas/ air				·
Analytical Group	Volatile Organics				
Concentration Level	Low (ppbv)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Analytical Data Quality Measuremen Method/SOP ² Indicators Performance (DQIs) Criteria		QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	CLP SAV01.X	Precision	<u><</u> 25 % RPD*	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*, **	Field Blank	S&A
		Precision	<u>+</u> 25% RPD*	Laboratory Replicate /CCV	A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet	Accuracy	No analyte > ½ CRQL*	Method Blank	A
	#23	Accuracy	<u>+</u> 30%R*	Laboratory Control Sample	A

*Reference EPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Volatile Organic Analysis in Air, SAV01.X, Draft, February 2007

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	TCL Volatile Orga	nics			
Concentration Level	Trace (µg/L)		-		
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ² Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)		Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
#21	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD;	Field Duplicate;	S&A
			List compound specific RPD	MS/MSD**	
		Accuracy	<i>List compound specific value;</i> range is 28-155%R	***DMCs; MS/MSD**	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 34 for Trace VOA - Blank Type Criteria Table **Optional MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 6 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2 ***Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 5 for Criteria

Matrix	Aqueous		ר ו		
Analytical Group	TCL Volatile Organic	\$			
Concentration Level	Low/Medium (µg/L)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S & A
Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S & A
-		Precision	Project-Specific %RPD; List compound specific RPD	Field Duplicate; MS/MSD**	S & A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	List compound specific %R	***DMCs; MS/MSD**	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 33 for Low/Medium VOA - Blank Type Criteria Table **Optional MS/MSD -- Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 6 for Criteria -- Not typically required for Region 2 ***Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) -- Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 5 for Criteria

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	TCL Semivolatiles				
Concentration Level	Low/Medium (µg/L)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (ĎQls)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S&A
		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
		Precision	Project-Specific %RPD; List compound specific RPD	Field Duplicate; MS/MSD**	S&A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	List compound specific %R	***DMCs; MS/MSD**	A .

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 35/Low/Medium Semivolatile - Blank Type Criteria Table **Optional MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 6 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2 ***Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 5 for Criteria

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	TCL Pesticides				
Concentration Level	Low/Medium (µg/L)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S&A
		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
		Precision	Project-Specific %RPD; List compound specific RPD	Field Duplicate; MS/MSD**	S&A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	List compound specific %R	***LCS; MS/MSD**	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 36/Low/Medium Pesticide - Blank Type Criteria Table **MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 3 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2

MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 3 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2 *Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 2 for Criteria

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	TCL Aroclors (PCBs)				
Concentration Level	Low/Medium (µg/L)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S & A
		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
		Precision	Project-Specific %RPD; List compound specific RPD	Field Duplicate; MS/MSD**	\$&A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	List compound specific %R	***LCS; MS/MSD**	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 37/Low/Medium Aroclor - Blank Type Criteria Table **MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 1 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2

***Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) - Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 2 for Criteria
Matrix	Soil/ sediment				
Analytical Group	TCL Volatiles		1		
Concentration Level	Low/Medium (µg/kg))			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
1	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S & A
Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S & A
		Precision	Project-Specific %RPD; List compound specific RPD	Field Duplicate; MS/MSD**	\$ & A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	List compound specific %R	***DMCs; MS/MSD**	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 33/ VOCs (SIM/ Low/ Medium) - Blank Type Criteria Table **Optional MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 6 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2 ***Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 5 for Criteria

Matrix	Soil/ sediment				
Analytical Group	TCL Semivolatiles				
Concentration Level	Low/Medium (µg/kg)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
#21		Precision	Project-Specific %RPD; List compound specific RPD	Field Duplicate; MS/MSD**	S&A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	List compound specific %R	***DMCs; MS/MSD**	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 35/SVOCs - Blank Type Criteria Table **Optional MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 6 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2 ***Deuterated Monitoring Compounds (DMCs) – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 5 for Criteria

Matrix	Soil/ sediment				
Analytical Group	TCL Pesticides				
Concentration Level	Low/Medium (µg/k	g)			
Sampling Procedure ^{1,}	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
#21	* *	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD; List compound specific RPD	Field Duplicate; MS/MSD**	S & A
	² Also refer to QAPP - Worksheet #23	Accuracy	List compound specific %R	***LCS; MS/MSD**	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 36/Low/Medium Pesticide - Blank Type Criteria Table **MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 3 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2 ***Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 2 for Criteria

Matrix	Soil/ sediment				
Analytical Group	TCL Aroclors (PCBs)				
Concentration Level	Low/Medium (µg/kg)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	SOM01.2	Precision	Project-Specific %RPD	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
#21		Precision	Project-Specific %RPD; List compound specific RPD	Field Duplicate; MS/MSD**	S&A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	List compound specific %R	. ***LCS; MS/MSD**	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. 36/Low/Medium Pesticide - Blank Type Criteria Table **MS/MSD – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 3 for Criteria – Not typically required for Region 2 ***Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) – Reference CLP SOM01.2, Exhibit D, Table 2 for Criteria

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	TAL Metals, Hardness	5			
Concentration Level	ICP-AES (µg/L)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	ILM05.4	Precision	<u><</u> 20% RPD*	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
#21		Precision	<_20% RPD*	Duplicate Sample **	A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	75–125%; 80–120%	*** Matrix Spike; LCSW****	AAA

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria) **Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Duplicate Sample Criteria - (include absolute difference criteria) ***Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Spike Sample Criteria

****Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for aqueous Laboratory Control Sample (LCSW) Criteria w/exception of silver (Ag) and antimony (Sb)

*Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

10

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	TAL Metals				
Concentration Level	ICP-MS (µg/L)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	ILM05.4	Precision	<u><</u> 20% RPD*	Field Duplicate	S & A
¹ Refer to QAPP		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
Worksheet #21		Precision	<u><</u> 20% RPD*	Duplicate Sample **	A
		Accuracy	75–125%;	*** Matrix Spike; LCSW****	A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23		80–120%		A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria) **Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-MS for Duplicate Sample Criteria - - (include absolute difference criteria) ***Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-MS for Spike Sample Criteria ****Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-MS for LCSW Criteria

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	TAL-Total Mercu	ry			
Concentration Level	Cold Vapor Atomic	Absorption (CVAA)			
Sampling Procedure ¹	re ¹ Analytical Data Quality Measuremer Method/SOP ² Indicators (DQIs) Performance Criteria	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)	
	ILM05.4	Precision	< 20% RPD*	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
		Precision	<_20% RPD*	Duplicate Sample **	A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	75–125%	*** Matrix Spike;	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria) **Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-MS for Duplicate Sample Criteria - - (include absolute difference criteria) ***Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-MS for Spike Sample Criteria

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	TAL-Total Cyanide				
Concentration Level	Colorimeter or Spectro	ophotometer			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	ILM05.4	Precision	<u><</u> 20% RPD*	Field Duplicate	S & A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
-		Precision	<u><</u> 20% RPD*	Duplicate Sample **	A
		Accuracy	75–125%	*** Matrix Spike	A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23				

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP- (include absolute difference criteria) **Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-MS for Duplicate Sample Criteria - (include absolute difference criteria) ***Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-MS for Spike Sample Criteria

Analytical Group	TAL Metals				
Concentration Level	ICP-AES (mg/kg)				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	ILM05.4	Precision	≤ 35% RPD*	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
Worksheet #21		Precision	≤ 35% RPD*	Duplicate Sample **	A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	75–125%	*** Matrix Spike; LCS****	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria)

**Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Duplicate Sample Criteria

***Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Spike Sample Criteria

****Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for solid Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Note: Control Limits established by EPA for LCS

*Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Matrix

Matrix	Soil/ sediment				
Analytical Group	TAL -Total Mercury				
Concentration Level	Cold Vapor Atomic Abso	orption (CVAA)			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	ILM05.4	Precision	<u><</u> 35% RPD*	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S & A
		Precision	≤ 35% RPD*	Duplicate Sample **	A
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	75–125%	*** Matrix Spike; LCS****	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP – (include absolute difference criteria) **Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Duplicate Sample Criteria

Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Spike Sample Criteria*Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for solid Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Note: Control Limits established by EPA for LCS

Matrix	Soil/ sediment				
Analytical Group	TAL Total Cyani	de			
Concentration Level	Colorimeter or Spe	ctrophotometer			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	ILM05.4	Precision	<35% RPD*	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	Field Blank	S&A
		Precision	<u><</u> 35% RPD*	Duplicate Sample **	A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	75–125%	*** Matrix Spike; LCS****	A

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria)

Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Duplicate Sample Criteria *Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Spike Sample Criteria ****Reference EPA CLP ILM05.4, Exhibit D of ICP-AES for Spike Sample Criteria Note: Control Limits established by EPA for LCS

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	Wet Chemistry				
Concentration Level	Ion Chromatography	•]		
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
¹ Refer to OAPP	Bromide	Precision	≤ 25-50% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S&A
Worksheet #21	Fluoride	Accuracy	No analyte > QL*	Field Blank	S & A
	Nitrate	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
	Nitrite	Precision	≤ 40% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
	Nitrate/nitrite	Sensitivity	No analyte > QL*	Method Blank	A
Orthophosp Sulfate b EPA 300 Perchlorate – 314.0	Orthophosphate Sulfate by EPA 300	Accuracy	80–120%; 75–125%; 90-110% recovery	Laboratory Fortified Blank; Matrix Spike; Calibration Standard Verification	A
	Perchlorate – EPA	Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A
	314.0	Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S&A
	² Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23		Detection limits meet project goals		

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL).

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	Wet Chemistry				
Concentration Level	Colorimetry; Spectrophotom	etry			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	Hardness 130.1	Precision	≤ 25-50% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	Ammonia – 350.1/.2	Accuracy	No analyte > QL*	Field Blank	S&A
	Chloride - 325.1/ 325.2	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
	COD – 410.4	Precision	≤ 40% RPD*	Duplicate Sample	A
	Fluoride - 340.1/ 340.3	Sensitivity	No analyte > QL*	Method Blank	A
	Nitrate - 352.1	Accuracy	75–125%;	Matrix Spike;	A
	Nitrite – 354.1		80-120% recovery	LCS	
	Nitrate/Nitrite – 353.1/353.2/353.3	Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S & A
	Phosphorus – 365.1/365.2/365.3/365.4	Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S&A
	Sulfate 375.1/375.2/375.4		project goals		
	Sulfide - 376.2				
	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) – 351.1/351.2/351.3				
	Hexavalent Chromium-7196A				
	Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23				

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL).

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	Wet Chemistry				
Concentration Level	Titration or potentiometer				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	Hardness 130.2	Precision	≤ 25-50% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	Alkalinity - 310.1	Accuracy	No analyte > QL*	Field Blank	S&A
	Ammonio 350 2/350 3	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
	Ammonia - 550.2/550.5	Precision	≤ 40% RPD*	Duplicate Sample	A
	Bromide - 320.1	Sensitivity	No analyte > QL*	Method Blank	A
		Accuracy	80-120 % recovery	LCS	A
	Chloride - 325.3	Completeness	> 90%	Data Accessment	S&A
	Fluoride - 340.2	Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S&A
	Sulfide – 376.1		project goals		
	TKN – 351.4				
	COD - 410.1/410.2/410.3				
	Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23				

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement. ³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL).

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	Wet Chemistry	Wet Chemistry			
Concentration Level	Gravimetry				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	Sulfate 375.3	Precision	≤ 25-50% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S&A
Worksheet #21	Total Dissolved Solids	Accuracy	No analyte > QL*	Field Blank	S&A
	Total Suspended Solids – 160 1/160 2	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
		Precision	≤ 40% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
	100.17100.2	Sensitivity	No analyte > QL*	Method Blank	A
		Accuracy	80-120% recovery	LCS	A
	Also refer to QAPP	Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A
	Worksneet #25	Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S&A
			Detection limits meet project goals		

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL).

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	Wet Chemistry	:			
Concentration Level	Dissolved Oxygen m	eter			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) –	Precision	≤ 25-50% RPD³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S & A
Worksheet #21	405.1	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
		Precision	≤ 40% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
		Accuracy	DO uptake 0.6-1 mg/L	Seeded Water Blank	A
	Also refer to QAPP	Accuracy	BOD < 0.2 mg/l	Water Control Blank	A
Worksheet #23	vvorksneet #23	Accuracy	Within laboratory control limits (mean ± 3 standard deviations)	Glucose glutamic acid check	A
		Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A
		Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S & A
			Detection limits meet project goals		

QAPP Worksheet #12 Measurement Performance Criteria Table

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL).

*Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

40

Matrix	Aqueous				
Analytical Group	p Wet Chemistry				
Concentration Level	Carbon Converter + Flame Ionization De	Infra-red or tector	;		
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQls)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	Total Organic Carbon (TOC) -	Precision	≤ 25-50% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S&A
Worksheet #21	415.1/415.2	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
	or method 9060	Precision	≤ 20% RPD for samples >5x QL;	Duplicate Sample	A
			± QL for samples <5xQL*		
		Accuracy	75–125%;	Matrix Spike;	A
			80-120 % recovery	LCS	
		Sensitivity	≤ QL	Method Blank	A
		Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A
		Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S&A
	Worksheet #23		Detection limits meet project goals		

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

Matrix	Soil/ sediment Total Organic Carbon				
Analytical Group					
Concentration Level	Organic Carbon A	nalyzer			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria*	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
		Precision	≤ 35-100% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL *	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	Lloyd Kahn or Walkley Black	Accuracy	80–120% 75–125%	Mid Range calibration verification standard;	
				Near detection Limit Standard	
	Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Precision	<u><</u> 35% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
		Accuracy	< 3xStandard deviation	Quadruplicate analysis	A
		Accuracy	4± 6 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
		Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement. ³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment				
Analytical Group	pН				
Concentration Level	0-12				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs) Measurement Performance Criteria*	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	SW-846, 9045D	Precision	≤ 35-100% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL *	Field Duplicate	S&A
	Also refer to	Precision	<u><</u> 35% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
	QAPP Worksheet #23	Accuracy	± 0.05 pH units	Standard buffer solution	A
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

N

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment				
Analytical Group	Grain size				
Concentration Level	Hydrometer				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria*	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
¹ Refer to OAPP	ASTM D421-85 & D422-63	Precision	≤35-100% RPD³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S & A
Worksheet #21	Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Precision	<u><</u> 35% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement. ³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

44

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment				
Analytical Group	Hexavalent Chromium				
Concentration Level	Colorimeter or Spe	ectrophotometer			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria*	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
10.6.4.0400	0.4/ 0.40 00004	Precision	≤35-100% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S&A
Worksheet #21	SW-846 3060A and 7196A	Accuracy	No analyte > QL*	Field Blank	S&A
		Precision	≤35-100% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
		Accuracy	75–125%;	Matrix Spike;	A
			80-120% recovery	LCS	
		Sensitivity	No analyte > QL*	Method Blank	A
		Accuracy	4± 6 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
	Also refer to	Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A
	QAPP Worksheet #23	Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S & A
			Detection limits meet project goals		

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment				
Analytical Group	Sulfide		÷		
Concentration Level	Colorimeter/ titratio	on [.]			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria*	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	EPA 376	Precision	≤35-100% RPD³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S & A
Worksheet #21		Precision	≤35-100% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
		Accuracy	50–150%;	Matrix Spike;	А
			70-130% recovery	LCS	
	Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Sensitivity	No analyte > QL*	Method Blank	A
		Accuracy	4± 6 degrees Celsius	Temperature Blank	S
		Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A
		Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S&A
			Detection limits meet project goals		

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment				
Analytical Group	Perchlorate				
Concentration Level	Ion chromatograph	ıy			
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria*	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
10-10-0000		Precision	≤35-100% RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S&A
Worksheet #21	EPA 314.0	Accuracy	No analyte > QL	Method Blank	S&A
		Precision	≤40% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
		Accuracy	80–120%; 75–125%	Laboratory Fortified Blank; Matrix Spike	A
	Also refer to	Accuracy/Representativeness	Holding times	Laboratory report/DV	A
	QAPP	Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A
	WURSHEEL#20	Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L) Detection limits meet project goals	Data Review	S&A

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

47

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment					
Analytical Group	AVS-SEM					
Concentration Level	Colorimeter/ titrati	on-ICP AES/MS				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)	
¹ Refer to QAPP	Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23		Refer to soil TAL metals worksheet for SEM criteria			
Worksheet #21			Refer to soil su	Ifide worksheet for AVS criteri	a	

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

• •

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment				
Analytical Group	Specific Gravity/Bu	ulk Density			
Concentration Level	NA		·		
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria*	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
	Specific Gravity	Precision	<u><</u> 35-100% RPD	Field Duplicate	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP	ASTM D854	Precision	<u><</u> 35% RPD	Duplicate Sample	A
Worksheet #21		Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A
	Bulk Density - ASTM D2937	Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S&A
			Detection limits meet project goals		·

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment					
Analytical Group	Cation Exchange C	apacity				
Concentration Level	AA - AES					
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Data Quality Method/SOP ² Indicators (DQIs)		Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)	
		Precision	<u><35-100%</u> RPD ³ ABS ≤ 5xQL	Field Duplicate	S & A	
Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	SW-846 9080 or	Accuracy	No analyte > QL*	Field Blank	S&A	
VV01101100(# 2]	9081 or SW-846 6010B modified	Precision	<u><</u> 35% RPD*	Duplicate Sample	A	
		Accuracy	75–125%;	Matrix Spike; LCS	A	

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Matrix	Soil/ Sediment				
Analytical Group	Rigid Wall Permea	bility			
Concentration Level	NA				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP ²	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	ASTM D2434	Precision	<u><</u> 35-100% RPD ³	Field Duplicate	S&A
	Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23	Precision	<u><</u> 35% RPD*	Duplicate Sample	A

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. The absolute difference (ABS) will be calculated for all results failing the RPD; where only one result is detected; or one or both results are reported below the quantitation limit (QL). Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

QAPP Worksheet #12
Measurement Performance Criteria Table

Matrix	Aqueous		· · · · ·	,		
Analytical Group	Wet Chemistry Field T	est Kits				
Concentration Level	HACH Test Kits					
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Method/SOP	Data Quality Indicators (DQIs)	Measurement Performance Criteria*	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)	
	Ferrous Iron	Precision	≤ 35-100% RPD ³	S&A		
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	HACH Method 8146	Precision	≤ 50% RPD for samples	Confirmatory versus Field Screening Sample	A	
	Hexavalent	Accuracy	80-120% recovery	LCS	A	
	HACH Method 8023	Sensitivity	≤ QL (To be noted in project-specific QAPPs)	Method Blank	A	
	Sulfate	Completeness	≥ 90%	Data Assessment	S&A	
	HACH Method 8051	Comparability	Similar Units (mg/L)	Data Review	S&A	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Also refer to QAPP Worksheet #23		Detection limits meet project goals	•		

² If a subcontract laboratory is utilized, the laboratory will provide the SOP as part of the procurement.
³ RPDs (relative percent difference) will be determined for all detected results. Criteria are subject to change in project-specific QAPPs.

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values. *Refer to Worksheet 15 for the required quantitation limits; project specific QAPPs will provide quantitation limit goals.

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Matrix	Aqueous		·		
Analytical Group	In-field Measurem	ents			
Concentration Level	Low				
Sampling Procedure ¹	Analytical Data Quality Method/SOP ² Indicators (DQIs)		Measurement Performance Criteria	QC Sample and/or Activity Used to Assess Measurement Performance	QC Sample Assesses Error for Sampling (S), Analytical (A) or both (S&A)
			± 0.1	pH (standard units)	S&A
¹ Refer to QAPP Worksheet #21	Manufacturer's	Representativeness	± 3%	Conductivity (uSiemens)	S&A
	Instruction manuals		± 10 mV	Redox potential (Eh) (millivolts)	S&A
			± 10%	Turbidity	S&A
]	± 10%	Dissolved Oxygen	S&A
			± 10% or ± 15% of the calibration gas concentration	Photoionization detector	S&A
			Flow rate	Field monitored – rate as determined in project-specific QAPP	S&A

*Measurement Performance Criteria are project specific. The MPC is expected to be between these values.

QAPP Worksheet # 13 Secondary Data Criteria and Limitations Table

Secondary Data	Data Source (Originating Organization, Report Title, and Date)	Data Generator(s) (Originating Org., Data Types, Data Generation/ Collection Dates)	How Data Will Be Used	Limitations on Data Use
[Previous investigation sampling results]	[Document with results, i.e. RI/FS, Pre-design investigation, ROD]	[Who collected data and when]	[Indicate purpose of sampling]	[Reason for additional sampling, i.e., data gaps, and discussions on comparability issues, incomplete data sets as well as quality data]

QAPP Worksheet #14 Summary of Project Tasks

•

 \sim

Project Tasks:
[Description of tasks that will be performed]
O we live Teches lively could to be called a grad or where call a service from and A from 0.0 inches, etc.)
Sampling Tasks: [include samles to be collected. e.g. 10 surface soil samples from area A from 0-6 inches, etc.]
Analysis Tasks: Lanalysis requested by mediaj
Quality Control Tasks: Unclude information on OA/OC samples to be collected. For example, field duplicate, performance evaluation samples
matrix spikes, etc.1
Secondary Data:
Secondary data listed in Worksheet #13 will be reviewed and used to plan sample locations, but will not be added to the project database.
Data Management Tasks:
Analytical data will be imported into the database after validation. Field measurements will also be added to the database.
Analytical data will be loaded into a second database.
Form I preliminary data will be e-mailed or faxed to within the specified turn-around-time.
All final laboratory data will be submitted to analytical data.
Hard copies of analytical data received by will be archived in project file.
Electronic data will be uploaded into the Database system.
Electronic data will be consistent with EPA Region 2 requirements for electronic data deliverable (EDDs).
Electronic analytical data will be archived on CDs and copies of CDs will be forwarded to the EPA
- Electronic analytical data will be alchived on obs and copies of obs will be forwarded to the Er A.
s [field team leader/RI Task Manager/project engineer] is responsible for tracking samples from the point of field collection to submittal for
aboratory analysis and the subsequent data validation and data management efforts. The sample handling and custody requirements, including field
logs and generation of sample paperwork, sample labels and custody seals (TSOP 1-2) discussed in Worksheets #26 and #27, will be followed. The
laboratory QA requirements including laboratory audits and contract compliance screening will be followed according to procedures described below
and in Worksheet #23. The ASC will receive non-RAS data from the finsert selected laboratory(s) selected using FASTAC procedure] and will track
it through the data validation process. For non-routine analytical services (non-RAS) data, the ASC will submit the electronic "ANSETS Data
Requirement" form (Appendix C) to the RSCC by the first day of each month for the previous month's sampling. RAS data will be validated by DESA
or the EPA; EPA will be responsible for tracking and maintaining custody of the laboratory data packages through the data validation process. When
non-RAS data packages are received from the laboratory, the ASC will initiate a non-RAS Data Package Chain-of-Custody Form. All transfers of the
data package from one individual to the next must be recorded on the custody record. The data package itself must remain under lock and key when
not undergoing processing. Data validation performed by the subcontractor will be in accordance with the procedures described in Worksheets #35

,

QAPP Worksheet #14 Summary of Project Tasks

and #36 of this QAPP. Once the data is validated, it will be input into and a database.	
FORMS II Lite, a project-specific electronic spreadsheet will be developed for sample tracking purposes prior to field activities. The f will be initiated in the field during sample collection and will be updated during the sample analysis and data validation phases. The entered by project staff and then checked by the ASC for accuracy. This tracking system will ensure that no data is lost during the dat process.	tracking system data will be ta management

The following information is recorded in the tracking system:

Sample Number

- I. Area of Concern
- II. Sample Matrix
- III. SDG Number
- IV. CLP Case No.
- V. CLP No.
- VI. Analytical Parameter
- VII. Collection Date
- VIII. Shipment Date
- IX. Date Received from Lab
- X. Date Submitted for Data Validation
- VI. Name of Data Validator
- VII. Date of Data Validation Completion
- VIII. Database Entry Date
- IX. Database QC Date
- X. Comments (i.e., MS/D designation, duplicate samples).

Analytical data collected during the field effort will be entered into an EQuIS database management system. This management system will include both location and environmental data. The database management system will provide data storage, retrieval, and analytical capabilities. The system will be able to meet a full range of site and media sampling requirements since it will be able to interface with a variety of spreadsheet, word processing, statistical, and graphics software packages.

To facilitate the use of the database, will provide the laboratories with a detailed format specification for the delivery of analytical data in an EDD. Once it is uploaded into the database, validated analytical data will be organized, formatted, and input into the database for use in the data evaluation phase. A 100 percent quality control check will be performed to ensure accuracy on all hand-entered data (i.e., data qualifiers added by validators on subcontract laboratory data, sample field notations).

QAPP Worksheet #14 Summary of Project Tasks

Data tables that compare the results of the various phases of sampling efforts will be prepared and evaluated. Data will be evaluated to [insert project specific information]. Analytical data results will interface with graphics packages to illustrate contaminants detected. As a quality control check, reports, tables, and graphical figures will be compared to the sample tracking system for errors and omissions. A data usability assessment will be prepared prior to use and reporting of the data in measurement reports.

Data management will utilize personal computers (PC), local area networks (LAN), and electronic communications (ex: the World Wide Web) to support the database management system software. Will set up PC stations on which the database management system and commercial software will run in compliance with those software licensing requirements. Will take reasonable care to protect the data and will perform periodic backups to prevent wholesale loss of project data. Control of the computer hardware and software will be as per will procedure (QP) 4.1. A backup system has been installed for facility hard drives to prevent loss of PLC data due to hardware failure, which can occur due to frequent power outages/fluctuations that occur onsite.

After the CLP data has been validated, the package is returned to the EPA RPM. CLP data packages forwarded to the **second** ASC will then have copies made of the Region 2 chain-of-custody/data transfer log, validated Form Is, data validation assessment and data validation checklist for distribution to the project manager. The original CLP data package with all associated forms is retained by EPA for archival. Non-CLP data packages received from the Laboratory Subcontractor will be validated by ASC. These packages are copied and distributed to the project manager and document control. Copies of the non-CLP data packages will be submitted to EPA during project close-out.

Documentation and Records:

Information regarding samples will be recorded in site field logs. Any changes that are made to the field logs shall be initialed and dated. Documents will be maintained in the project files and/or the RAC 2 document control system. Monitoring well purge water data forms will be completed for each sample collected. Chain-of-Custody (COC) and airbills will also be completed for each sampling event.

Field Change Requests:

In the event that anticipated conditions are different from those encountered once the field work is under way, it may be necessary to implement a deviation from the approved QAPP. When such changes are required, the proposed change will be documented on a FCR Form by the **proposed** engineer and approved by **provide** PM. An e-mail copy of the FCR form will be sent to the EPA RPM and will serve as documentation of communication with EPA. A copy of the FCR Form is included in Appendix C. A copy of the FCR will be kept on site along with the approved QAPP. A copy of the FCR form will be distributed to the authorizing parties, the field staff, and the **provide** QAC in order to keep all staff informed of the change and to allow QAC oversight of any changes.

When significant field changes occur, the QAPP will be revised. Modifications will be carried out via revised pages to the QAPP. Minor changes will be made through formal memoranda from the **proceeding** PM to the EPA RPM and will be included as addenda to the QAPP. The complete sign-off procedure will be followed if, in the judgment of the **procedure** PM, major revisions to the QAPP are required. All revisions to the QAPP will be subject to **procedure** proceeds. All such changes will be approved by EPA prior to their implementation.

QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater VOCs

Project-specific QAPPs will use the appropriate criteria and approach to select the project action limits (PAL) and the analytical methods best suited to achieve the desired project-specific action and quantitation limits.

		Project Action Limit (PAL)							Analytical Method					Achievable Laboratory	
		Federal	New Ye	ork	New Jers	sey	Puerto Rico	1		1	CRQL] Limi	ts***
Volatile Organic Compounds (All units: μg/L)	CAS Number	EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (1)	NYSDEC Standards and Guidance Values for Class GA Groundwater (2)	NYSDOH Drinking Water Quality Standards (3,4)	NJDEP Groundwater Quality Standards Class IIA Water (5)	NJDEP Drinking Water Standards (6)	PREQB Groundwater Quality Standards (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Trace Water by SIM	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Trace Water	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Water	Project Selected Option	MDLs	QLs
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	200	5	5	30	30	200		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	NL	5	5	1	1	NL		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	76-13-1	NL	5	50	NL	NL	NL		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	5	1	5	3	3	5]	N/A	NL.	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	NL	5	5	50	50	NL		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,1-Dicholoroethene	75-35-4	7	55	5	1	2	7		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	87-61-6	NL	5	5	NL	NL	NL	}	N/A	NL.	0.5	5]	N/A	N/A
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	70	5	5	9	9	9		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	96-12-8	0.20	0.04	0.2	0.02	NL	0.2]	N/A	0.05	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,2-Dibromoethane	106-93-4	0.05	0.0006	0.05	0.0004	NL	NL_]	N/A	0.05	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95-50-1	600	33	5	600	600	600	1	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	5	0.6	5	0.3	2	5		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	5	1	5	0.5	5	5	As per project-	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	541-73-1	NL	3	5	600	600	NL	specific	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	75	3	5	75	75	75	QAPPs. Should	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
1,4-Dioxane	123-91-1	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL	be 1/3 to 1/5 of	N/A	NL	NL	100		N/A	N/A
2-Butanone	78-93-3	NL	50	50	300	NL	NL	the PAL or	N/A	NL	5	10		N/A	N/A
2-Hexanone	591-78-6	NL	50	50	100	NL	NL	equal to the	N/A	NL	5	10		N/A	N/A
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL	CRQL where	N/A	NL	5	10		N/A	N/A
Acetone	67-64-1	NL	50	50	6000	NL	NL NL	method limits	N/A	NL	5	10		N/A	N/A
Benzene	71-43-2	5	1	5	0.2	1	5	cannot achieve	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Bromochloromethane	74-97-5	NL	5	5	NL.	· NL	NL	the PQLG.	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	80	50	80	0.6	80	5]	N/A	• NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Bromoform	75-25-2	80	50	80	4	80	NL]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Bromomethane	74-83-9	NL	5	5	10	NL	NL]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	NL	60	50	700	NL	NL]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5	5	5	5	0.4	2	5]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	100	5	5	50	50	100]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Chloroethane	75-00-3	NL	5	5	100	NL	NL		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Chloroform	67-66-3	80	7	80	70	80	80]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Chloromethane	74-87-3	NL	5	5	NL	NL	NL]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	70	5	5	70	70	70]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-01-5	NL	0.4	5	0.4	NL.	NL]	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Cyclohexane	110-82-7	NL	NL	50	100	NL	NL.		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater VOCs

Project-specific QAPPs will use the appropriate criteria and approach to select the project action limits (PAL) and the analytical methods best suited to achieve the desired project-specific action and quantitation limits.

	CAS Number		Project Action Limit (PAL)							Analytical Method				Achievable Laboratory	
И. П. C.		Federal	New Y	ork	New Jers	sey	Puerto Rico]			CRQL			Limi	ts***
Volatile Organic Compounds (All units: μg/L)		EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (1)	NYSDEC Standards and Guidance Values for Class GA Groundwater (2)	NYSDOH Drinking Water Quality Standards (3,4)	NJDEP Groundwater Quality Standards Class IIA Water (5)	NJDEP Drinking Water Standards (6)	PREQB Groundwater Quality Standards (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Trace Water by SIM	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Trace Water	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Water	Project Selected Option	MDLs	QLs
Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	80	50	80	0.4	80	NL		N/A	NL.	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Dichlorodifluoromethane	75-71-8	NL	5	5	1,000	NL	NL		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	5	5	700	700	700		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Isopropylbenzene	98-82-8	NL	5	5	700	NL .	NL]	N/A	NL.	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
m, p-Xylene *	1330-20-7	10,000	5	5	1,000	1,000	NL	As per proiect-	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Methyl acetate	79-20-9	NL	NL	50	7,000	NL	NL	specific	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Methyl tert-butyl ether	1634-04-4	NL	10	10	70	70	NL	QAPPs. Should	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Methylcyclohexane	108-87-2	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL	be 1/3 to 1/5 of	N/A	NL	0.5	_5		N/A	N/A
Methylene chloride	75-09-2	5	5	5	3	3	5	the PAL or	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
o-Xylene **	1330-20-7	10,000	5	5	1,000	1,000	NL	equal to the	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Styrene	100-42-5	100	5	5	100	100	100	CRQL where	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	5	5	5	0.4	1	5	method limits	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Toluene	108-88-3	1,000	5	5	600	1,000	1,000	cannot achieve	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	100	5	5	100	100	100	the PQLG.	N/A	NL NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-02-6	NL	0.4	5	0.4	NL	NL		N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Trichloroethene	79-01-6	5	5	5	1	1	5	7	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A
Trichlorofluoromethane	75-69-4	NL	5	5	2,000	NL	NL	7	N/A	NL	0.5	5	1	N/A	N/A
Vinyl Chloride	75-01-4	2	2	2	0.08	2	2	1	N/A	NL	0.5	5		N/A	N/A

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #15 **Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater SVOCs**

Project-specific QAPPs will use th	t-specific QAPPs will use the appropriate criteria and approach to select the project action limits (PAL) and the analytical methods be								esired proje	ct-specific acti	on and quantita	ation limits.		
	ľ	L		Project A	ction Limit					Analytic	al Method		Achievable	Laboratory
		Federal	New Yo	ork	New Je	rsey	Puerto Rico			CR	QL		Limi	ts***
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (All units: µg/L)	CAS Number	EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (1)	NYSDEC Standards and Guidance Values for Class GA Groundwater (2)	NYSDOH Drinking Water Quality Standards (3,4)	Groundwater Quality Standards Class IIA Water (5)	Drinking Water Standards (6)	Puerto Rico Groundater Quality Standards (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Water by SIM	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Water	Project Selected Option	MDLs	QLs
1,1'-Biphenyl	92-52-4	NL	5	50	400	NL.	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	95-94-3	NL.	5	50	NL	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2,2'-Oxybis (1-chloropropane)	108-60-1	NL	5	50	300	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	58-90-2	NL	NL	50	200	NL	NL.		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4	NL	NL.	50	700	NL	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	88-06-2	NL	NL	50	1	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2,4-Dichlorophenol	120-83-2	NL	5	50	20	NL	NL.	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2,4-Dimethylphenol	105-67-9	NL	50	50	100	NL	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2,4-Dinitrophenol	51-28-5	NL	10	50	10	NL	NL		N/A	NL	10		N/A	N/A
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	NL	5	50	0.05	NL.	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	606-20-2	NL	5	50	NL	NL	NL.		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2-Chloronapthalene	91-58-7	NL	NL	50	600	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2-Chlorophenol	95-57-8	NL	NL	50	40	NL NL	NL	As per project-	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
2-Methylnapthalene	91-57-6	NL	NL	50	NL.	NL	NL	specific QAPPs.	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL.	Should be 1/3 to	N/A	NL.	5		N/A	N/A
2-Nitroaniline	88-74-4	NL.	5	50	NL	NL	NL	or orginal to the	N/A	NL	10		N/A	N/A
2-Nitrophenol	88-75-5	NL	NL.	50	NL	NL	NL	CPOL where	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	91-94-1	NL	5	50	0.08	NL	NL	method limits	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
3-Nitroaniline	99-09-2	NL	5	50	NL	NL	NL	cannot achieve	N/A	NL	10		N/A	N/A
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	534-52-1	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL	the POLG.	N/A	NL	10		N/A	N/A
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	101-55-3	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL		N/A	NL.	5		N/A	N/A
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	59-50-7	NL	NL.	50	NL	NL	NL	7	N/A	NL	5	·	N/A	N/A
4-Chloroaniline	106-47-8	NL	5	50	30	NL.	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	7005-72-3	NL.	NL	50	NL	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
4-Methylphenol	106-44-5	NL	NL.	50	NL	NL	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
4-Nitroaniline	100-01-6	NL	5	50	NL.	NL	NL		N/A	NL	10		N/A	N/A
4-Nitrophenol	100-02-7	NL.	NL	50	NL	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	10		N/A	N/A
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	NL	NL.	50	400	NL	NL]	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL]	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Acetophenone	98-86-2	NL	NL	50	700	NL	NL]	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Anthracene	120-12-7	NL	50	50	2,000	NL	NL]	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Atrazine	1912-24-9	3	7.5	3	3	3	3	7	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision 0 January 2009 Page of
QAPP Worksheet #15 **Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater SVOCs**

Project-specific QAPPs will use the	e appropriate d	criteria and app	proach to select the	project action	limits (PAL) and th	e analytical m	ethods best suite	d to achieve the de	esired proje	ct-specific actie	on and quantita	ation limits.		
				Project A	ction Limit]		Analytic	al Method		Achievable	Laboratory
		Federal	New Yo	ork	New Je	rsey	Puerto Rico] [CR	QL		Limi	ts***
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (All units: μg/L)	CAS Number	EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (1)	NYSDEC Standards and Guidance Values for Class GA Groundwater (2)	NYSDOH Drinking Water Quality Standards (3,4)	Groundwater Quality Standards Class IIA Water (5)	Drinking Water Standards (6)	Puerto Rico Groundater Quality Standards (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Water by SIM	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Water	Project Selected Option	MDLs	QLs
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	NI.	NL	50	NL	NL.	NL	j	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Benzo (a) anthracene	56-55-3	NL	0.002	50	0.05	NL	NL		N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Benzo (a) pyrene	50-32-8	0.2	ND	0.2	0.005	0.2	0.2	1	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Benzo (b) fluoroanthene	205-99-2	NL	0.002	50	0.05	NL	NL		N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	191-24-2	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL	1	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Benzo (k) fluoroanthene	207-08-9	NL	0.002	50	0.5	NL	NL	1	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Bis (2-chloroethoxy) methane	111-91-1	NL	5	50	NL.	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7	6	5	6	2	6	6		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
bis-(2-chloroethyl) ether	111-44-4	NL	1	50	0.03	NL	NL.		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Butylbenzylphthalate	85-68-7	NL	50	50	100	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Caprolactam	105-60-2	NL.	NL	50	NL	NL	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Carbazole	86-74-8	NL	NL	50	NL	NL	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Chrysene	218-01-9	NL	0.002	50	5	NL NL	ŇĹ		N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Dibenzo (a,h)-anthracene	53-70-3	NL	NL	50	0.005	NL	NL	As per project-	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	NL	NL	50	NL.	NL	NL	specific QAPPs.	N/A	NL	5		N/Ā	N/A
Diethylphthalate	84-66-2	NL	50	50	6,000	NL	NL	Should be 1/3 to	N/A	NL	5_		N/A	N/A
Dimethylphthalate	131-11-3	NL	50	50	NL	NL	NL.	1/5 of the PAL	N/A	NL.	5		N/A	N/A
Di-n-butylphthalate	84-74-2	NL	50	50	700	NL	NL	or equal to the	N/A	NL	5		<u>N/A</u>	N/A
Di-n-octylphthalate	117-84-0	NL	50	50	100	NL	NL	CRQL where	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	NL	50	50	300	NL.	NL	method limits	N/A	0.1	5		N/A	N/A
Fluorene	86-73-7	NL	50	50	300	NL	NL	cannot achleve	N/A	0.1	5		<u>N/Å</u>	N/A
Hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1	1	0.04	1	0.02	1	1	the PQLG.	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	NL.	0.5	5	0.4	NL	NL]	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Hexachlorocyclo-pentadiene	77-47-4	50	5	50	40	50	50]	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Hexachloroethane	67-72-1	NL	5	50	2	NL	NL.		N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Indeno (1,2,3-cd)-pyrene	193-39-5	NL	0.002	50	0.05	NL	NL		N/A	0.1	5		N/A	<u>N/A</u>
Isophorone	78-59-1	NL	50	50	40	NL	NL.]	N/A	NL	5		N/A	N/A
Napthalene	91-20-3	NL NL	NL	50	300		NL]	N/A	0.1	5		<u>N/A</u>	N/A
Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	NL	0.4	50	4	NL.	NL		N/A	<u>NL</u>	5		N/A	N/A
N-Nitroso-di-n propylamine	621-64-7	NL.	NL	50	0.005	NL	NL		N/A	NL	5		N/A	<u>N/A</u>
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	86-30-6	NL	50	50	7	NL	NL	1	N/A	NL	5		N/A	<u>N/A</u>
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	1	NL	1	0.3	1	1	1	N/A	0.2	10	<u> </u>	N/A	N/A
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	NL	50	50	NL	NL	NL	1	N/A	0.1	5	ļ	N/A	<u>N/A</u>
Phenol	108-95-2	NL	NL	50	2,000	NL	NL	1	N/A		5	ļ	<u>N/A</u>	N/A
Pyrene	129-00-0	NL NL	50	50	200	NL	NL	l	N/A	0.1	5	L	<u>N/A</u>	N/A

÷

QAPP Worksheet #15 **Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater Pesticides**

		[Project Action Lin	nit			<u> </u>	Analytical	Method	Achievable	Laboratory
	l.	Federal	New	York	New Je	rsey	Puerto Rico	1 1		CRQL	Limi	ts***
Pesticides (All units: µg/L)	CAS Number	EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (1)	NYSDEC Standards and Guidance Values for Class GA Groundwater (2)	NYSDOH Drinking Water Quality Standards (3,4)	NJDEP Groundwater Quality Standards Class IIA Water (5)	NJDEP Drinking Water Standards (6)	PREQB Groundwater Quality Standards (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Water	MDLs	QLs
4,4'-DDD	72-54-8	NL	0.3	50	0.1	NL	NL		N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
4,4'-DDE	72-55-9	NL	0.2	50	0.1	NL	NL		N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
4,4'-DDT	50-29-3	NL	0.2	50	0.1	NL	NL		N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
Aldrin	309-00-2	NL	ND	50	0.002	NL	NL	1 1	N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
alpha-BHC	319-84-6	NL	0.01	50	0.006	NL	NL		N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
alpha-Chlordane	5103-71-9	2	0.05	2	0.01	0,5	0.2	1	N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
beta-BHC	319-85-7	NL	0.04	50	0.02	NL	NL	As per proiect-	N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
delta-BHC	319-86-8	NL.	0.04	50	NL	NL	NL	specific QAPPs.	N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
Dieldrin	60-57-1	NL	0.004	50	0.002	NL	NL	Should be 1/3 to	N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
Endosulfan I	959-98-8	NL	NL	50	40	NL	0.056	1/5 of the PAL or	N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
Endosulfan II	33213-65-9	NL	NL	50	40	NL	0.056	equal to the	N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	NL.	NL	50	40	NL	NL	CRQL where	N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
Endrin	72-20-8	2	ND	2	2	2	0.0023	method limits	N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
Endrin aldehyde	7421-93-4	NL	5	50	NL:	NL	NL	cannot achieve	N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
Endrin ketone	53494-70-5	NL	5	50	NL	NL.	NL	the PQLG.	N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58-89-9	0.2	0.05	0.2	0.03	0.2	0.2		N/A	0.05	`N/A	N/A
gamma-Chlordane	5103-74-2	2	0.05	2	0.01	0.5	0.2		N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
Heptachlor	76-44-8	0.4	0.04	0.4	0.008	0.4	0.4]	N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	0.2	0.03	0.2	0.004	0.2	0.2	1	N/A	0.05	N/A	N/A
Methoxychlor	72-43-5	40	35	40	40	40	3		N/A	0.5	N/A	N/A
Toxaphene	8001-35-2	3	0.06	3	0.03	3	3	1	N/A	5	N/A	N/A

Project-specific QAPPs will use the appropriate criteria and approach to select the project action limits (PAL) and the analytical methods best suited to achieve the desired project-specific action and quantitation limits.

QAPP Worksheet #15 **Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater Aroclors**

Project-specific QAPPs will use the appropriate criteria and approach to select the project action limits (PAL) and the analytical methods best suited to achieve the desired project-specific action and quantitation limits.

				Project Ac	tion Limit				Analytic	al Method	Achievable	Laboratory
		Federal	New Yo	ork	New Je	ersey	Puerto Rico			CRQL	Limi	ts***
Aroclors (All units: µg/L)	CAS Number	EPA National Prímary Drinking Water Standards (1)	NYSDEC Standards and Guidance Values for Class GA Groundwater (2)	NYSDOH Drinking Water Quality Standards (3,4)	NJDEP Groundwater Quality Standards Class IIA Water (5)	NJDEP Drinking Water Standards (6)	PREQB Groundwater Quality Standards (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Water	MDLs	QLs
Aroclor-1016	12674-11-2	0.5	0.09	0.5	0.02	0.5	0.5		N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1221	11104-28-2	0.5	0.09	0.5	0.02	0.5	0.5	As per project-	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1232	11141-16-5	0.5	0.09	0,5	0.02	0.5	0.5	QAPPs. Should	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1242	53469-21-9	0.5	0.09	0.5	0.02	0.5	0.5	be 1/3 to 1/5 of	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1248	12672-29-6	0.5	0.09	0.5	0.02	0.5	0.5	the PAL or equal to the	N/A	1 1	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1254	11097-69-1	0.5	0.09	0.5	0.02	0.5	0.5	CRQL where	N/A	· 1	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1260	11096-82-5	0.5	0.09	0.5	0.02	0.5	0.5	method limits	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1262	37324-23-5	0.5	0.09	0.5	0.02	0.5	0.5	the PQLG.	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1268	11100-14-4	0.5	0.09	0.5	0.02	0.5	0,5		N/A	1	N/A	N/A

.

QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater Inorganics (Metals and Cyanide)

			······································	<u> </u>	Project Actio	n Limit	······································				Analytical	Method		Achievable	Laboratory
			Federal	New Yo	ork	New .	Jersey	Puerto Rico	Γ		CR	QL		Limi	ts***
	Inorganics (All units: μg/L)	CAS Number	EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (1)	NYSDEC Standards and Guidance Values for Class GA Groundwater (2)	NYSDOH Drinking Water Quality Standards (3,4)	NJDEP Groundwater Quality Standards Class IIA Water (5)	NJDEP Drinking Water Standards (6)	PREQB Groundwater Quality Standards (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - ILM05.4 ICP- AES	Analytical Method - ILM05.4 ICP- MS	Project Selected Option	MDLs	QLs
:	Aluminum	7429-90-5	NL	NL	NL	200	200	NL		N/A	200	NL		N/A	N/A
	Antimony	7440-36-0	6	3	6	6	6	5] [N/A	60	2		N/A	N/A
	Arsenic	7440-38-2	10	25	50	0.02	5	10		N/A	10	1		N/A	<u>N/A</u>
	Barium	7440-39-3	2000	1,000	2,000	6,000	2,000	2,000] [N/A	200	10		N/A	N/A
	Beryllium	7440-41-7	4	3	4	1	4	4		N/A	5	1		N/A	N/A
	Cadmium	7440-43-9	5	5	5	4	5	5		N/A	5	1		N/A	N/A
	Calcium	7440-70-2	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL		N/A	5000	NL		N/A	N/A
	Chromium	7440-47-3	100	50	100	70	100	100	As per project-	N/A	10	2		N/A	<u>N/A</u>
	Cobalt	7440-48-4	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL.	NL	specific	N/A	50	1		N/A	N/A
	Copper	7440-50-8	1300	200	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	QAPPs. Should	N/A	25	2		<u>N/A</u>	N/A
	Cyanide	57-12-5	200	200	200	100	200	200	be 1/3 to 1/5 of	N/A	. 10	NL	_	N/A	N/A
	Iron	7439-89-6	NL	NL2	300	300	300	NL	the PAL or	N/A	100	NL		<u>N/A</u>	N/A
	Lead	7439-92-1	15	25	15	5	15	15	equal to the	N/A	10	1		N/A	N/A
	Magnesium	7439-95-4	NL	35,000 *	NL	NL	NL_	NL	CRQL where	N/A	5000	NL		N/A	N/A
	Manganese	7439-96-5	NL	NL	300	50	50	NL	method limits	N/A	15	1		N/A	N/A
	Mercury	7439-97-6	2	1	2	2	2	2	cannot achieve	N/A	0.2	NL		N/A	N/A
	Nickel	7440-02-0	NL	100	NL	100	NL	NL	the PQLG.	N/A	40	1		N/A	N/A
	Potassium	7440-09-7	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL] [N/A	5000	NL		N/A	N/A
	Selenium	7782-49-2	50	10	50	40	50	50		N/A	35	5		N/A	N/A
	Silver	7440-22-4	NL	50	100	40	100	NL] [N/A	10	1		N/A	N/A
	Sodium	7440-23-5	NL	20,000	NL	50,000	50,000	NL] [N/A	5000	NL		N/A	N/A
	Thallium	7440-28-0	2	1	2	1	2	2] [N/A	25	1		N/A	N/Ā
	Vanadium	7440-62-2	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL] [N/A	50	5		N/A	N/A
	Zinc	7440-66-6	NL	2,000	5	2,000	5,000	NL]	N/A	60	2		N/A	N/A

Project-specific QAPPs will use the appropriate criteria and approach to select the project action limits (PAL) and the analytical methods best suited to achieve the desired project-specific action and quantitation limits.

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater VOCs

- EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (web page http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/index.html), EPA 816-F-03-016, June 2003. last updated November 28, 2006.
- NYSDEC. June 1998. TOGS 1.1.1. Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent Limitations. Includes April 2000 and June 2004 Addendum values. (http://www.dec.ny.gov/regulations/2652.html)
- 3. New York State Department of Health Drinking Water Standards, NYCRR Title 10, Part 5, Subpart 5-1 Public Water Systems, Effective November 23, 2005 (Statutory authority: Public Health Law 225, Effective May 26, 2004). (http://www.health.state.ny.us/environmental/water/drinking/part5/subpart5.htm)
- 4. The maximum contaminant level determination for the sum of principal organic contaminants (POC) and unspecified organic contaminants (UOC) is 100 ug/L. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection:
- 5. New Jersey Ground Water Quality Standards Class IIA (NJAC 7:9C), July 7, 2008, downloaded November 13, 2008
- 6. New Jersey Drinking Water Standards, February 2005 (http://www.state.nj.us/dep/watersupply/standard.htm), downloaded November 13, 2008 Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board:
- 7. Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards Regulation, As Amended. March 28, 2003. Received from Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board (PREQB). (http://www.epa.gov/ost/standards/wqslibrary/pr/pr.html). The criteria used for Puerto Rico is the lower value of (1) and (7)
- * m-xylene and p-xylene reported as one compound under S0M01.2. Xylene (total) was used for m.p-xylene criteria.
- ** Xylene (total) was used for o-xylene criteria.
- *** Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).
- *** Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency CAS = Chemical abstract service CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit MDL = method detection limit N/A = Not Applicable NL = Not Listed or chemical name listed but no value available PAL= Project Action Limit µg/L = micrograms per liter NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NYSDOH = New York State Department of Health NYCRR = New York Codes, Rules and Regulations PRGQS = Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards PREQB = Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board SIM = selective ion monitoring TOGS = Technical and Operational Guidance Series

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater SVOCs

- EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (web page http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/index.html), EPA 816-F-03-016, June 2003. last updated November 28, 2006.
- NYSDEC. June 1998. TOGS 1.1.1. Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent Limitations. Includes April 2000 and June 2004 Addendum values. (http://www.dec.ny.gov/regulations/2652.html)
- New York State Department of Health Drinking Water Standards, NYCRR Title 10, Part 5, Subpart 5-1 Public Water Systems, Effective November 23, 2005 (Statutory authority: Public Health Law 225, Effective May 26, 2004). (http://www.health.state.ny.us/environmental/water/drinking/part5/subpart5.htm)
- 4. The maximum contaminant level determination for the sum of principal organic contaminants (POC) and unspecified organic contaminants (UOC) is 100 ug/L.
- 5. New Jersey Ground Water Quality Standards Class IIA (NJAC 7:9C), July 7, 2008, downloaded November 13, 2008
- 6. New Jersey Drinking Water Standards, February 2005 (http://www.state.nj.us/dep/watersupply/standard.htm), downloaded November 13, 2008
- 7. Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards Regulation, As Amended. March 28, 2003. Received from Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board (PREQB). (http://www.epa.gov/ost/standards/wqslibrary/pr/pr.html). The criteria used for Puerto Rico is the lower value of (1) and (7)

*** Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).

*** Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency CAS = Chemical abstract service CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit MDL = method detection limit N/A = Not Applicable NL = Not Listed or chemical name listed but no value available PAL= Project Action Limit µg/L = micrograms per liter NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NYSDOH = New York State Department of Health NYCRR = New York Codes, Rules and Regulations PRGQS = Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards PREQB = Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board SIM = selective ion monitoring TOGS = Technical and Operational Guidance Series



Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater Pesticides

- 1. EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (web page http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/index.html), EPA 816-F-03-016, June 2003. last updated November 28, 2006.
- 2. NYSDEC. June 1998. TOGS 1.1.1. Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent Limitations. Includes April 2000 and June 2004 Addendum values. (http://www.dec.ny.gov/regulations/2652.html)
- New York State Department of Health Drinking Water Standards, NYCRR Title 10, Part 5, Subpart 5-1 Public Water Systems, Effective November 23, 2005 (Statutory authority: Public Health Law 225, Effective May 26, 2004). (http://www.health.state.ny.us/environmental/water/drinking/part5/subpart5.htm)
- 4. The maximum contaminant level determination for the sum of principal organic contaminants (POC) and unspecified organic contaminants (UOC) is 100 ug/L.
- 5. New Jersey Ground Water Quality Standards Class IIA (NJAC 7:9C), July 7, 2008, downloaded November 13, 2008
- 6. New Jersey Drinking Water Standards, February 2005 (http://www.state.nj.us/dep/watersupply/standard.htm), downloaded November 13, 2008

(http://www.epa.gov/ost/standards/wqslibrary/pr/pr.html). The criteria used for Puerto Rico is the lower value of (1) and (7)

*** Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).

*** Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

CAS = Chemical abstract service

- CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit
- MDL = method detection limit
- N/A = Not Applicable
- NL = Not Listed or chemical name listed but no value available
- PAL= Project Action Limit
- µg/L = micrograms per liter

NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NYSDOH = New York State Department of Health NYCRR = New York Codes, Rules and Regulations PRGQS = Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards PREQB = Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board TOGS = Technical and Operational Guidance Series



67

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater Aroclors (PCBs)

- 1. EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (web page http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/index.html), EPA 816-F-03-016, June 2003, last updated November 28, 2006.
- NYSDEC. June 1998. TOGS 1.1.1. Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent Limitations. Includes April 2000, and June 2004 Addendum values. (http://www.dec.ny.gov/regulations/2652.html)
- New York State Department of Health Drinking Water Standards, NYCRR Title 10, Part 5, Subpart 5-1 Public Water Systems, Effective November 23, 2005 (Statutory authority: Public Health Law 225, Effective May 26, 2004). (http://www.health.state.ny.us/environmental/water/drinking/part5/subpart5.htm)
- 4. The maximum contaminant level determination for the sum of principal organic contaminants (POC) and unspecified organic contaminants (UOC) is 100 ug/L.
- 5. New Jersey Ground Water Quality Standards Class IIA (NJAC 7:9C), July 7, 2008, downloaded November 13, 2008
- 6. New Jersey Drinking Water Standards, February 2005 (http://www.state.nj.us/dep/watersupply/standard.htm), downloaded November 13, 2008
- 7. Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards Regulation, As Amended. March 28, 2003. Received from Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board (PREQB). (http://www.epa.gov/ost/standards/wqslibrary/pr/ntml). The criteria used for Puerto Rico is the lower value of (1) and (7)

*** Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).

- *** Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.
- EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency
- CAS = Chemical abstract service
- CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit
- MDL = method detection limit
- N/A = Not Applicable
- NL = Not Listed or chemical name listed but no value available
- µg/L = micrograms per liter

NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NYSDOH = New York State Department of Health NYCRR = New York Codes, Rules and Regulations PAL= Project Action Limit PRGQS = Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards PREQB = Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board TOGS = Technical and Operational Guidance Series

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Groundwater Inorganics (Metals and Cyanide)

- 1. EPA National Primary Drinking Water Standards (web page http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/index.html), EPA 816-F-03-016, June 2003. last updated November 28, 2006.
- 2. NYSDEC. June 1998. TOGS 1.1.1. Ambient Water Quality Standards and Guidance Values and Groundwater Effluent Limitations. Includes April 2000 and June 2004 Addendum values. (http://www.dec.ny.gov/regulations/2652.html)
- New York State Department of Health Drinking Water Standards, NYCRR Title 10, Part 5, Subpart 5-1 Public Water Systems, Effective November 23, 2005 (Statutory authority: Public Health Law 225, Effective May 26, 2004). (http://www.health.state.ny.us/environmental/water/drinking/part5/subpart5.htm)
- 4. The maximum contaminant level determination for the sum of principal organic contaminants (POC) and unspecified organic contaminants (UOC) is 100 ug/L.
- 5. New Jersey Ground Water Quality Standards Class IIA (NJAC 7:9C), July 7, 2008, downloaded November 13, 2008
- 6. New Jersey Drinking Water Standards, February 2005 (http://www.state.nj.us/dep/watersupply/standard.htm), downloaded November 13, 2008
- 7. Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards Regulation, As Amended. March 28, 2003. Received from Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board (PREQB). (http://www.epa.gov/ost/standards/wqslibrary/pr/pr.html). The criteria used for Puerto Rico is the lower value of (1) and (7)

*** Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).

*** Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

AES = atomic emission spectroscopy CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency MDL = method detection limit MS = mass spectroscopy N/A = Not Applicable NL = Not Listed or chemical name listed but no value available PAL= Project Action Limit NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NYSDOH = New York State Department of Health NYCRR = New York Codes, Rules and Regulations PRGQS = Puerto Rico Groundwater Quality Standards PREQB = Puerto Rico Environmental Quality Board TOGS = Technical and Operational Guidance Series µg/L = micrograms per liter



_	1				Project Action			— <u>—</u> —		Analytical	Method				
			I		FT0ject Action				ŀ	·	Analytical			Achievable	Laboratory
		Federal (P	uerto Rico)	New Y	′ork		New Jersey				L CF			Limit	ts***
Volatile Organic Compounds (All units: μg/kg)	CAS Number	EPA Soll Screening Levels for Migration to Ground Water (1)	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Direct Contact (2)	NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (3)	NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Ground Water (4)	NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (5)	NJDEP Non- Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (6)	NJDEP Default Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standard (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Soll	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Medium Soll	Project- Specific Option	MDLs	QLs
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	100	NL	800	760	290,000	4,200,000	200	· · ·	N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	0.2	3,000	600	600	1,000	3,000	5		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	76-13-1	NL	NL	6,000	6,000	NL	NL	NL		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	0.9	11,000	10,000	NL	2,000	6,000	10		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	1000	7,800,000	200	200	8,000	24,000	200		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,1-Dicholoroethene	75-35-4	3	3,900,000	400	400	11,000	150,000	5	((N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	87-61-6	NL	NL	10,000	NL	NL	NL	NL		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	120-82-1	300	610,000	3,400	3,400	73,000	820,000	400		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	96-12-8	NL	NL	10,000	NL NL	80	200	5		N/A	5	250		<u>N/A</u>	N/A
1,2-Dibromoethane	106-93-4	NL	NL C COD COO	10,000	NL 7 ccc	8	40	5		N/A	5	250			N/A
1,2-Dichloropenzene	95-50-1	900	5,500,000	100	100	5,300,000	59,000,000	11,000		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1,2-Dichloropropage	78 97 5		7,000	10.000	NI	3000	5,000	5		N/A		200		N/A	N/A
1.2-Dichloropanzana	541-72-1	NI		1 600	1 550	5 300 000	59,000,000	12 000		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1 4-Dichlorobenzene	106-46-7	100	20.000	8 500	8 500	5,000	13,000,000	1 000		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
1 4-Diovane	123-91-1		NI	0,000	NI	0,000	NI	NI	}		100	5,000		N/A	N/A
2-Butanone	78-93-3	NL NL	NL	300	300	3.100.000	44.000.000	600	ľ	N/A	10	500		N/A	N/A
2-Hexanone	591-78-6	NL	NL	10,000	NL	NL	NL	NL		N/A	10	500		N/A	N/A
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	108-10-1	NL	NL	1,000	1,000	NL	NL	NL	As per	N/A	10	500		N/A	N/A
Acetone	67-64-1	800	7,800,000	200	110	70,000,000	NL	12,000	project-	N/A	10	500		N/A	N/A
Benzene	71-43-2	2	12,000	60	60	2,000	5,000	5	enecific	N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Bromochloromethane	74-97-5	NL	NL.	10,000	NL.	NL	ŇL	NL		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	30	10,000	10,000	NL	1,000	3,000	5	Chauld ha	N/A	5	250	Fill ín	N/A	N/A
Bromoform	75-25-2	40	81,000	10,000	NL	81,000	280,000	20	Should be	N/A	5	250	selected	N/A	N/A
Bromomethane	74-83-9	10	110,000	10,000	NL	25,000	59,000	30	1/3 to 1/5 of	N/A	5	250	EPA	N/A	N/A
Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	2000	7,800,000	2,700	2,700	7,800,000	110,000,000	4,000	the PAL or	N/A	5	250	method	N/A	N/A
Carbon tetrachloride	56-23-5	3	5,000	600	600	600	2,000	5	equal to the	N/A	5	250	option for	N/A	<u>N/A</u>
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	70	1,600,000	1,700	1,700	510,000	7,400,000	400	CRQL	N/A	5	250	option to	<u>N/A</u>	N/A
Chloroethane	75-00-3		NL 700.000	1,900	1,900	220,000	1,100,000	NL	where	N/A	5	250	each	N/A	N/A
Chlorotorm	67-66-3	30	780,000	300	300	600	2,000	200	method	<u> </u>	5	250	analyte.	N/A	
chioromethane	14-01-3	20	790.000	10,000		4,000	560,000	NL 200	limits cannot	N/A	5 	250		N/A	
cis-1.3 Dichloropropena	10061-01-5		780,000 NI	10,000	NI	230,000	500,000	200	achieve the	N/A	5	250			N/A
Cyclohexane	110-82-7	NI	NI	10 000		NI NI	NI		POLG	N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Dibromochloromethane	124-48-1	20	8,000	10.000		3,000	8,000	5		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Dichlorodifluoromethane	75-71-8	NL	NL	10,000	NL NL	490.000	230.000.000	25.000	1	N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	700	7,800,000	5,500	5,500	7,800,000	110,000,000	8,000	1	N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Isopropylbenzene	98-82-8	NL	NL	10,000	NL	NL	NL	NL	1	N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
m, p-Xylene *	1330-20-7	10000	160,000,000	1,200	1,200	12,000,000	170,000,000	12,000	}	N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Methyl acetate	79-20-9	NL	NL	10,000	NL	78,000,000	NL.	14,000		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Methyl tert-butyl ether	1634-04-4	NL	NL	10,000	NL	110,000	320,000	200		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Methylcyclohexane	108-87-2	NL	NL	10,000	NL	NL	NL	NL		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Methylene chloride	75-09-2	1	85,000	100	100	34,000	97,000	7		N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
o-Xylene **	1330-20-7	10000	160,000,000	1,200	1,200	12,000,000	170,000,000	12,000	4	N/A	5	250	Į	N/A	N/A
Styrene	100-42-5	200	16,000,000	10,000	I NL	90,000	260,000	2,000	4	N/A	5	250]	<u>N/A</u>	
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	3	1,000	1,400	1,400	2,000	5,000	5	4 !	N/A	5	250		N/A	N/A
Toluene	108-88-3	600	16,000,000	1,500	1,500	6,300,000	91,000,000	4,000	4	N/A	5	250			
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	30	1,600,000	300	300	300,000	/20,000	400	-	N/A	<u> 5</u>	250			
Trichlerosthene	70.04.0		1NL 2 000	700		NL 7 000	NL 20.000	5	4	N/A N/A		250			
Trichlorofluoromethene	75-60-4		2,000 NI	10.000	/UU	1,000	340,000 000	22 000	4	N/A		250	1	N/A	N/A
Vinvi Chloride	75-01-4	0.7	400	200	120	700	2 000	5	1	N/A		250	1	N/A	N/A
This only only			-700	<u> </u>	1	L	1 -1000		1	11/1					1

QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil VOCs

.

				l	Reference	Limits and E	valuation Tal	ole - Soil SV	OCs						
					Project Action	n Limit					Analytica	al Method		Achievable	Laboratory
		Federal (P	uerto Rico)	New Y	rork		New Jersey		Γ		CR	RQL.		Lin	nits*
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (All units: µg/kg)	CAS Number	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Migration to Ground Water (1)	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Direct Contact (2)	NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (3)	NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Ground Water (4)	NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (5)	NJDEP Non- Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (6)	NJDEP Default Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standard (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Soll	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Med. Soil	Project- Specific Option	MDLs	QLs
1,1'-Biphenyl	92-52-4	NL	NL	50,000	NL.	3,100,000	34,000,000	90,000		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	95-94-3	NL	NL	50,000	NL	NL	NL	NL	1 1	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
2,2'-Oxybis (1-chloropropane)	108-60-1	NL	NL.	50,000	NL.	23,000	67,000	NL NL	1 F	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	58-90-2	NL NL	NL	50,000	NL	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	1 1	N/A	170	5,000	1 1	N/A	N/A
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4	14000	6,100,000	100	100	6,100,000	68,000,000	44,000		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	88-06-2	8	44,000	50,000	NL	19,000	74,000	200		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
2,4-Dichlorophenol	120-83-2	50	180,000	400	400	180,000	2,100,000	200	1	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
2,4-Dimethylphenol	105-67-9	400	1,200,000	50,000	NL	1,200,000	14,000,000	700	1 1	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
2,4-Dinitrophenol	51-28-5	8	120,000	200	NL	120,000	1,400,000	300		N/A	330	10,000		N/A	N/A
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	0.04	700	50,000	NL	700	3,000	200	t r	N/A	170	5,000			N/A
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	606-20-2	0.03	700	1,000	1,000	700	3,000	200		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
2-Chloronapthalene	91-58-7	NL	NL	50,000	NL	NL	NL	NL	As ner project-	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
2-Chlorophenol	95-57-8	200	310,000	800	800	310,000	2,200,000	500	snecific	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
2-Methylnapthalene	91-57-6	NL	NL	36,400	36,400	230,000	2,400,000	5,000	QAPPs. Should	N/A	170	5,000	Fill in	N/A	N/A
2-Methylphenol	95-48-7	800	3,100,000	100	100	310,000	3,400,000	NL	be 1/3 to 1/5 of	N/A	170	5,000	selected	N/A	N/A
2-Nitroaniline	88-74-4	NL	NL	430	430	39,000	23,000,000	NL	the PAL or	N/A	330	10,000	EPA method	N/A	N/A
2-Nitrophenol	88-75-5	NL	NL	330	330	NL	NL	NL	equal to the	N/A	170	5,000	option for	N/A	N/A
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	91-94-1	0.3	1,000	50,000	NL	1,000	4,000	200	CRQL where	N/A	170	5,000	each	N/A	N/A
3-Nitroaniline	99-09-2	NL	NL	500	500	NL	NL	NL	method limits	N/A	330	10,000	analyte.	N/A	N/A
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	534-52-1	NL	NL	50,000	NL	6,000	68,000	300	cannot achieve	N/A	330	10,000	1	N/A	N/A
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether	101-55-3	NL.	NL	50,000	NL	NL	NL	NL	the PQLG.	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	59-50-7	NL	NL	240	240	NL	NL	NL	1 1	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
4-Chloroaniline	106-47-8	30	240,000	220	220	NL	NL	NL.	1 1	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	7005-72-3	NL	NL	50,000	NL	NL	NL	NL	1 1	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
4-Methylphenol	106-44-5	NL	NL.	900	900	31,000	340,000	NL	1 1	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
4-Nitroaniline	100-01-6	NL.	ŇĿ	50,000	NL	NL	NL	NL	1 1	N/A	330	10,000		N/A	N/A
4-Nitrophenol	100-02-7	NL	NL	100	100	NL.	NL	NL	1	N/A	330	10,000	1	N/A	N/A
Acenaphthene	83-32-9	29000	3,400,000	50,000	90,000	3,400,000	37,000,000	74,000	1	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
Acenaphthylene	208-96-8	NL	NL	41,000	41,000	NL	300,000,000	NL	1	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
Acetophenone	98-86-2	NL	NL	50,000	NL	2,000	5,000	2,000	1	N/A	170	5,000	7	N/A	N/A
Anthracene	120-12-7	590000	17,000,000	50,000	700,000	17,000,000	30,000,000	NL	1	N/A	170	5,000	7	N/A	N/A
Atrazine	1912-24-9	NL	NL	50,000	NL	210,000	2,400,000	200	1	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A

QAPP Worksheet #15

QAPP Worksheet #15

Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil SVOCs

					Project Action	n Limit					Analytica	al Method		Achievable	Laboratory
		Federal (P	uerto Rico)	New '	/ork		New Jersey		1		CF	RQL		Lin	nits*
Semi-Volatile Organic Compounds (All units: µg/kg)	CAS Number	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Migration to Ground Water (1)	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Direct Contact (2)	NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (3)	NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Ground Water (4)	NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (5)	NJDEP Non- Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (6)	NJDEP Default Impact to Groundwater Soll Remediation Standard (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Low Soil	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Med. Soil	Project- Specific Option	MDLs	QLs
Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	NL	NL	50,000	NL	6,100,000	68,000,000	NL		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Benzo (a) anthracene	56-55-3	80	600	224	3,000	600	2,000	NL		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Benzo (a) pyrene	50-32-8	400	60	61	11,000	200	200	NL		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Benzo (b) fluoroanthene	205-99-2	200	600	1,100	1,100	600	2,000	NL		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Benzo (g,h,i) perylene	191-24-2	NL	NL.	50,000	800,000	380,000,000	30,000,000	NL		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Benzo (k) fluoroanthene	207-08-9	2000	6,000	1,100	1,100	6,000	23,000	NL		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Bis (2-chloroethoxy) methane	111-91-1	NL	NL	50,000	NL	NL	NL	NL.] [N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	117-81-7	180000	35,000	50,000	435,000	35,000	140,000	NL]	N/A	170	5,000]	N/A	N/A
bis-(2-chloroethyl) ether	111-44-4	0.02	400	50,000	NL	400	2,000	200		N/A	170	5,000	3	N/A	N/A
Butylbenzylphthalate	85-68-7	810000	12,000,000	50,000	122,000	1,200,000	14,000,000	NL		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Caprolactam	105-60-2	NL	NL	50,000	NL	31,000,000	340,000,000	8,000		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Carbazole	86-74-8	30	24,000	50,000	NL	24,000	96,000	NL]	N/A	170	5,000]	N/A	N/A
Chrysene	218-01-9	8000	62,000	400	400	62,000	230,000	NL		N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
Dibenzo (a,h)-anthracene	53-70-3	80	60	14	165,000,000	200	200	NL	As per project-	N/A	170 ·	5,000		N/A	N/A
Dibenzofuran	132-64-9	NL	NL	6,200	6,200	NL.	NL	NL.	specific	N/A	170	5,000	E in is	N/A	N/A
Diethylphthalate	84-66-2	23000	49,000,000	7,100	7,100	49,000,000	550,000,000	57,000	QAPPS. Should	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Dimethylphthalate	131-11-3	NL	NL	2,000	2,000	NL	NL	NL	be 1/3 to 1/5 of	N/A	170	5,000	Selected	N/A	N/A
Di-n-butylphthalate	84-74-2	270000	6,100,000	8,100	8,100	6,100,000	68,000,000	NL	ine PAL or	N/A	170	5,000	EPA memou	N/A	N/A
Di-n-octylphthalate	117-84-0	10000000	1,200,000	50,000	120,000	2,400,000	27,000,000	NL	CPOI whore	N/A	170	5,000	option to	N/A	N/A
Fluoranthene	206-44-0	210000	2,300,000	50,000	1,900,000	2,300,000	24,000,000	NL	method limits	N/A	170	5,000	analute	N/A	N/A
Fluorene	86-73-7	28000	2,300,000	50,000	350,000	2,300,000	24,000,000	110,000	cannot achieve	N/A	170	5,000	analyto.	N/A	N/A
Hexachlorobenzene	118-74-1	100	300	410	1,400	300	1,000	NL	the PQLG.	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
Hexachlorobutadiene	87-68-3	100	6,000	50,000	NL	6,000	25,000	NL		N/A	170	5,000]	N/A	N/A
Hexachlorocyclo-pentadiene	77-47-4	20000	370,000	50,000	NL	45,000	110,000	NL.		N/A	170	5,000]	N/A	N/A
Hexachloroethane	67-72-1	20	35,000	50,000	NL	35,000	140,000	200]	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Indeno (1,2,3-cd)-pyrene	193-39-5	700	600	3,200	3,200	600	2,000	NL]	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Isophorone	78-59-1	30	510,000	4,400	4,400	510,000	2,000,000	200		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Napthalene	91-20-3	4000	1,100,000	13,000	13,000	6,000	17,000	16,000		N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
Nitrobenzene	98-95-3	7	31,000	200	200	31,000	340,000	200]	N/A	170	5,000		N/A	N/A
N-Nitroso-di-n propylamine	621-64-7	0.002	70	50,000	NL	200	300	200]	N/A	170	5,000]	N/A	N/A
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	86-30-6	60	99,000	50,000	NL	99,000	390,000	200		N/A	170	5,000]	N/A	N/A
Pentachlorophenol	87-86-5	1	3,000	1,000	1,000	3,000	10,000	300]	N/A	330	10,000]	N/A	N/A
Phenanthrene	85-01-8	NL	NL	50,000	220,000	NL	300,000,000	NL]	N/A	170	5,000]	N/A	N/A
Phenol	108-95-2	5000	18,000,000	30	30	18,000,000	210,000,000	5,000]	N/A	170	5,000	1	N/A	N/A
Pyrene	129-00-0	210000	1,700,000	50,000	665,000	1,700,000	18,000,000	NL	1	N/A	170	5,000	7	N/A	N/A

.

							0011 0000	<u></u>	·				
				F	Project Action Limit					Analytical	Method	Achievable	Laboratory
	}	Federal (P	uerto Rico)	New	York		New Jersey				CRQL	Lin	its*
Pesticides (All units: µg/kg)	CAS Number	EPA Soll Screening Levels for Migration to Ground Water (1)	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Direct Contact (2)	NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (3)	NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Ground Water (4)	NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (5)	NJDEP Non- Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (6)	NJDEP Default Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standard (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Soil	MDLs	QLs
4,4'-DDD	72-54-8	800	3,000	2,900	7,700	3,000	13,000	NL		<u>N/A</u>	3.3	N/A	N/A
4,4'-DDE	72-55-9	3000	2,000	2,100	4,400	2,000	9,000	NL		N/A	3.3	N/A	N/A
4,4'-DDT	50-29-3	2000	2,000	2,100	2,500	2,000	8,000	NL		N/A	3.3	N/A	N/A
Aldrin	309-00-2	20	40	41	500	40	200	NL		N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
alpha-BHC	319-84-6	0.03	100	110	200	100	500	2		N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
alpha-Chlordane	5103-71-9	NL	NL	540	2,000	200	1,000	NL		N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
beta-BHC	319-85-7	0.1	400	200	200	400	2,000	2	As per project-	N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
delta-BHC	319-86-8	NL	NL	300	300	400	2,000	2	specific QAPPs.	N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
Dieldrin	60-57-1	0.2	40	44	100	40	200	NL	Should be 1/3 to	N/A	3.3	N/A	N/A
Endosulfan I	959-98-8	900	NL	900	900	470,000	6,800,000	2,000	1/5 of the PAL	N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
Endosulfan II	33213-65-9	NL.	NL.	900	900	470,000	6,800,000	2,000	or equal to the	N/A	3.3	N/A	N/A
Endosulfan sulfate	1031-07-8	NL	NL	1,000	1,000	470,000	6,800,000	1,000	CRQL where	N/A	3.3	N/A	N/A
Endrin	72-20-8	50	23,000	100	100	23,000	340,000	600	method limits	N/A	3.3	N/A	N/A
Endrin aldehyde	7421-93-4	NL	NL	NL	NL	23,000	340,000	600	cannot achieve	N/A	3.3	N/A	N/A
Endrin ketone	53494-70-5	NL	NL	NL	NL.	23,000	340,000	600	the PQLG.	N/A	3.3	N/A	N/A
gamma-BHC (Lindane)	58-89-9	0.5	400	60	60	400	2,000	2		N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
gamma-Chlordane	5103-74-2	NL	NL	540	14,000	200	1,000	NL	}	N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
Heptachlor	76-44-8	1000	100	100	100	100	700	NL]	N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
Heptachlor epoxide	1024-57-3	30	70	20	20	70	300	NL]	N/A	1.7	N/A	N/A
Methoxychlor	72-43-5	8000	390,000	NL.	900,000	390,000	5,700,000	NL]	N/A	17	N/A	N/A
Toxaphene	8001-35-2	2000	600	NL	NL	600	3,000	NL]	N/A	170	N/A	N/A

.

QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil Pesticides

					Project Action L	imit				Analytic	al Method	Achievable	Laboratory
l	 	Federal (P	uerto Rico)	New Y	ork		New Jersey				CRQL.	Lin	nits*
Aroclors (All units: μg/kg)	CAS Number	EPA Soll Screening Levels for Migration to Ground Water (1)	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Direct Contact (2)	NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (3)	NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Ground Water (4)	NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (5)	NJDEP Non- Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (6)	NJDEP Default Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standard (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - SOM01.2 Soil	MDLs	QLs
Aroclor-1016	12674-11-2	NL	NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL.	As per proiect-	N/A	33	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1221	11104-28-2	NL	NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL.	specific QAPPs.	N/A	33	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1232	11141-16-5	NL.	NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL	Should be 1/3 to	N/A	33	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1242	53469-21-9	NL	· NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL	1/5 of the PAL	N/A	33	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1248	12672-29-6	NL	NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL	or equal to the	N/A	33	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1254	11097-69-1	NL	NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL	CRQL where	N/A	33	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1260	11096-82-5	NL	NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL	method limits	N/A	33	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1262	37324-23-5	NL	NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL	cannot achieve	N/A	33	N/A	N/A
Aroclor-1268	11100-14-4	NL	NL	1,000	10,000	200	1,000	NL	the PQLG.	N/A	33	N/A	N/A

QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil Aroclors (PCBs)

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

.

		<u> </u>		Pr	oject Action Lim	nit		<u> </u>	,	Analytical	Method	Achievable	Laboratory
]	Federal (P	uerto Rico)	New Y	fork	<u> </u>	New Jersey				CRQL	Lim	its*
Inorganics (All units: mg/kg)	CAS Number	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Migration to Ground Water (DAF = 1) (1)	EPA Soil Screening Levels for Direct Contact (2)	NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (3)	NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Ground Water (4)	NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (5)	NJDEP Non- Residential Direct Contact Soil Remediation Standard (6)	NJDEP Default Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standard (7)	Project Quantitation Limit Goal (PQLG)	MDLs	Analytical Method - ILM05.4 ICP AES for Soil	MDLs	QLs
Aluminum	7429-90-5	NL	NL	NL	NL	78,000	NL	NL		N/A	20	N/A	N/A
Antimony	7440-36-0	0.3	31	NL	NL	31	450	NL	}	N/A	6	N/A	N/A
Arsenic	7440-38-2	1	0.4	7.5	NL	19	19	NL		N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Barium	7440-39-3	82	5,500	300	NL	16,000	59,000	NL.		N/A	20	N/A	N/A
Beryllium	7440-41-7	3	160	0.16	NL	16	140	NL		N/A	0.5	N/A	N/A
Cadmium	7440-43-9	0.4	70	1	NL	78	78	NL		N/A	0.5	N/A	N/A
Calcium	7440-70-2	NL.	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL]	N/A	500	N/A	N/A
Chromium	7440-47-3	2	230	10	NL	NL	NL	NL	As per proiect-	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Cobalt	7440-48-4	NL	NL	30	NL	1,600	590	NL.	specific	N/A	5	N/A	N/A
Copper	7440-50-8	NL	NL	25	NL	3,100	45,000	NL	QAPPs. Should	N/A	2.5	N/A	N/A
Cyanide	57-12-5	2	1,600	NL	NL	1,600	23,000	NL	be 1/3 to 1/5 of	N/A	2.5	N/A	N/A
Iron	7439-89-6	· NL	NL	2,000	NL	NL	NL	NL	the PAL or	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
Lead	7439-92-1	NL	NL	NL	NL	400	800	NL	equal to the	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Magnesium	7439-95-4	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	CRQL where	N/A	500	N/A	N/A
Manganese	7439-96-5	NL	NL.	NL	NL	11,000	5,900	ŃL	method limits	N/A	1.5	N/A	N/A
Mercury	7439-97-6	0.1	23	0.1	NL	23	65	NL	cannot achieve	N/A	0.1	N/A	N/A
Nickel	7440-02-0	7	1,600	13	NL	1,600	23,000	NL	the PQLG.	N/A	4	N/A	N/A
Potassium	7440-09-7	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL		N/A	500	N/A	N/A
Selenium	7782-49-2	0.3	390	2	NL	390	5,700	NL	7	N/A	3.5	N/A	N/A
Silver	7440-22-4	2	390	NL	NL	390	5,700	NL	1	N/A	1	N/A	N/A
Sodium	7440-23-5	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	NL	1	N/A	500	N/A	N/A
Thallium	7440-28-0	0.04	6	NL	NL	5	79	NL		N/A	2.5	N/A	N/A
Vanadium	7440-62-2	300	550	150	NL	78	1,100	NL		N/A	5	N/A	N/A
Zinc	7440-66-6	620	23,000	20	NL	23,000	110,000	NL	7	N/A	6	N/A	N/A

QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table -Soil Inorganics (Metals)

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil VOCs

- 1. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Migration to Groundwater (DAF = 1)
- 2. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Ingestion-Dermal
- 3. NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (TAGM #4046, January 1994)
- 4. NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Groundwater (TAGM #4046, January 1994)
- NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/regs/rs/, downloaded November 14, 2008
- NJDEP Non-Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/, downloaded November 14, 2008
- 7. NJDEP Guidance Document, Development of Site-Specific Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standards Using the Soil-Water Partition Equation;

http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/igw intro.htm, downloaded November 14, 2008

* m-xylene and p-xylene reported as one compound under S0M01.2.

- ** Xylene (total) was used for o-xylene criteria when the criteria is not listed.
- * Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).
- * Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

CAS = Chemical abstract service

- CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit
- EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency
- MDL = method detection limit
- N/A = Not Applicable

NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

NL = Not Listed or chemical name listed but no value available

NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

PAL= Project Action Limit

µg/L = micrograms per liter

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil SVOCs

1. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Migration to Groundwater (DAF = 1)

2. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Ingestion-Dermal

- 3. NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (TAGM #4046, January 1994)
- 4. NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Groundwater (TAGM #4046, January 1994)

5. NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/, downloaded November 14, 2008

6. NJDEP Non-Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/, downloaded November 14, 2008

 NJDEP Guidance Document, Development of Site-Specific Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standards Using the Soil-Water Partition Equation; http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/igw_intro.htm, downloaded November 14, 2008

* Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).

* Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

CAS = Chemical abstract service CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency MDL = method detection limit N/A = Not Applicable NA = Chemical name listed but no value available NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NL = Not Listed NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation PAL= Project Action Limit µg/L = micrograms per liter

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil Pesticides

1. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Migration to Groundwater (DAF = 1)

2. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Ingestion-Dermal

3. NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (TAGM #4046, January 1994)

4. NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Groundwater (TAGM #4046, January 1994)

5. NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/

6. NJDEP Non-Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/,

7. NJDEP Guidance Document, Development of Site-Specific Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standards Using the Soil-Water Partition Equation; http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/igw_intro.htm, downloaded November 14, 2008 All NJDEP standards downloaded November 14, 2008

* Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).

* Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

CAS = Chemical abstract service CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency MDL = method detection limit N/A = Not Applicable NA = Chemical name listed but no value available NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NL = Not Listed NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation PAL= Project Action Limit µg/L = micrograms per liter

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil Aroclors (PCBs)

1. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Migration to Groundwater (DAF = 1)

2. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Ingestion-Dermat

3. NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (TAGM #4046, January 1994)

4. NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Groundwater (TAGM #4046, January 1994)

5. NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/

6. NJDEP Non-Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/

7. NJDEP Guidance Document, Development of Site-Specific Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standards Using the Soil-Water Partition Equation; http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/igw_intro.htm, downloaded November 14, 2008 All NJDEP standards downloaded November 14, 2008

* Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).

* Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

CAS = Chemical abstract service EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit MDL = method detection limit NA = Chemical name listed but no value available PAL= Project Action Limit µg/L = micrograms per liter NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NL = Not Listed

Notes for QAPP Worksheet #15 Reference Limits and Evaluation Table - Soil Inorganics (Metals)

1. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Migration to Groundwater (DAF = 1)

2. EPA Soil Screening Guidance: Technical Background Document (EPA/540/R95/128, May 1996). Table A-1. Generic SSLs for Residential Scenarios Ingestion-Dermal

3. NYSDEC Recommended Soil Cleanup Objectives (TAGM #4046, January 1994)

4. NYSDEC Soil Cleanup Objectives to Protect Groundwater (TAGM #4046, January 1994)

5. NJDEP Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/

6. NJDEP Non-Residential Direct Contact Health Based Criteria and Soil Remediation Standards (Last Revised 6/2008); http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/

7. NJDEP Guidance Document, Development of Site-Specific Impact to Groundwater Soil Remediation Standards Using the Soil-Water Partition Equation;

http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/guidance/rs/igw_intro.htm, downloaded November 14, 2008

All NJDEP standards downloaded November 14, 2008

* Project-specific QAPPs will provide the MDLs and CRQLs after a subcontract laboratory is selected (if required).

* Steps will be taken to obtain analytical limits that meet project requirements.

AES = atomic emission spectroscopy CAS = Chemical abstract service

CRQL = Contract Required Quantitation Limit

DAF = dilution attenuation factor

EPA = United States Environmental Protection Agency

MDL = method detection limit

MS = mass spectroscopy

NA = Chemical name listed but no value available NJDEP = New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection NYSDEC = New York State Department of Environmental Conservation PAL= Project Action Limit SSL = soil screening levels µg/L = micrograms per liter

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

80

QAPP Worksheet #16 Project Schedule Timeline Table

[Insert Project-Specific Schedule or Figure here or as an attachment]

QAPP Worksheet # 17 Sampling Design and Rationale

[Summary of sampling program]

The field program will include:

η.

- Mobilization (Worksheet 17a)
 - Site Reconnaissance (Worksheet 17b)
- Monitoring Well Abandonment and Well Repair (Worksheet 17c)
- Hydrogeological Assessments
 - Continuous Water Level Measurements (Worksheet 17d)
 - Synoptic Water Level Measurements (Worksheet 17e)
- Soil Screening Sampling with Direct-Push Technology (DPT) (Worksheet 17f)
- Soil Screening Sampling with Hollow Stem Augers (Worksheet 17g)
- Groundwater Screening with DPT (Worksheet 17h)
- Groundwater Sampling (Worksheet 17i)
- Air Sampling (Worksheet 17j)
- Monitoring Well Installation (Worksheet 17k)
- Decontamination Procedures (Worksheet 17I)

QAPP Worksheet # 17a Sampling Design and Rationale Mobilization

Site Preparation

All site workers will become familiar with the groundwater treatment facility operations, the locations of the extraction wells, monitoring wells, and supply wells at the Site.

Access Support

Access to public areas and private property will be needed to execute the field investigation. EPA will be responsible for obtaining site access. **We will assist EPA** with site access. Access support is anticipated for the [*tasks where access support is needed*]. **We** will provide a list of property owners (public and private) to be accessed during the field activities. The list will include the mailing address and telephone number of the property owners. Once EPA has established that access has been granted, field work can begin. **We** will contact and coordinate with property owners and local officials (for work in public areas) to schedule sampling activities. Per EPA direction, **We** will give one week (seven days) advance verbal notice to the facility/property owners before field activities on their properties are initiated.

Field Planning Meetings

Prior to field activities, each field team member will review all project plans and participate in a field planning meeting, conducted by the **second**^DM, to become familiar with the history of the Site, health and safety requirements, field procedures, and related QC requirements. Field personnel will also attend an onsite tailgate kick-off meeting immediately prior to the commencement of each stage or step of field activities. All new field personnel will receive comparable briefing if they were not at the initial field planning meeting and/or the tailgate kick-off meeting. Local authorities such as the police and fire departments will be notified prior to the start of field activities.

Field Equipment and Supplies

Equipment and field supply mobilization, governed by Quality Procedures (QP) section 2.1, *Procuring Measurement and Test Equipment* and section 5.3, *Inspection of Items*, will entail ordering, renting, and purchasing all equipment and supplies needed for each part of the RI field investigation. This will also include staging and transferring all equipment and supplies to and from the site. Measurement and Test Equipment forms will be completed for rental or purchase of equipment (instruments) that will be utilized to collect field measurements. The field equipment will be inspected for acceptability, and instruments calibrated as required prior to use. This task also involves the construction of a decontamination area for sampling equipment and personnel. A separate decontamination pad will be constructed by the drilling subcontractor for drilling equipment.

Investigation Derived Waste (IDW)

[Description of how IDW will be handled and disposed]

Field Procedures for these Activities are detailed in:

- TSOP 2-2 Guide to Handling Investigation Derived Waste
- TSOP 5-1 Control of Measurement and Test Equipment



QAPP Worksheet # 17b Sampling Design and Rationale Site Reconnaissance

Site Reconnaissance

[Activities of the site reconnaissance]

The following reconnaissance activities are also required to support the field activities:

- Aerial photography and analysis
- Reconnaissance of the main trunk sanitary and storm sewer lines
- Ecological resource reconnaissance
- Topographical survey
- Cultural resources survey
- Photographic documentation

Review of Aerial Photography

[If applicable]

Ecological Resource Reconnaissance

[If applicable]

<u>Topographical Mapping, Monitoring Well Survey, and Sampling Point Location</u> [*If applicable*]

Cultural Resources Survey

[If applicable]

Photographic Documentation

[If applicable]

Monthly Field Activity Report

CDM will submit a monthly field activity report to the EPA RPM electronically using email and in hard copy.

Field Procedures for these Activities are detailed in:

- TSOP 3-2 Topographic Survey
- TSOP 4-1 Field Logbook Content and Control, with a RAC 2 clarification
- TSOP 4-2 Photographic Documentation of Field Activities, Sections 5.2.2 General Guidelines for Still Photography and 5.2.4 Photographic Documentation

QAPP Worksheet # 17c Sampling Design and Rationale Monitoring Well Abandonment and Well Repair

Monitoring Wells	
Well Abandonment	
[If applicable]	
Well Repair	
[If applicable]	
Field Procedures for We	ell Abandonment are detailed in:
■ TSOP 2-2	Guide to Handling of Investigation-Derived Waste
■ TSOP 4-10	Borehole and Well Decommissioning, except Sections 5.3 (Well Overdrilling) and 5.4 (Borehole or Well Plugging)
Worksheet 17I	Decontamination Procedures

85

QAPP Worksheet # 17d Sampling Design and Rationale Continuous Water Level Measurements

Continuous water level measurements will be collected. Water level and barometric pressure readings will be measured using In-situ TROLL[®] data loggers, and will be operated according to manufacturer's instructions. [description of where water levels will be collected]

Field Procedures for these Activities are detailed in:

- TSOP 1-6 Water Level Measurement, Section 5.3.4 Continuous Recording Method
- TSOP 1-10 Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Section 5.1 Direct Reading Measurement, if required by Health and Safety Plan
- TSOP 4-1 Field Logbook Content and Control, with a RAC 2 clarification
- TSOP 5-1 Control of Measurement and Test Equipment
- Worksheet 17I Decontamination Procedures

QAPP Worksheet # 17e Sampling Design and Rationale Synoptic Water Level Measurements

Groundwater levels will be used to monitor site-wide groundwater elevations. Synoptic water level elevation measurements will be collected from [names of monitoring wells].

Water level measurements will be collected from conventional monitoring wells using an electronic water level indicator, at the surveyors mark on the inner casing. [Other ancillary site specific information for project]

Field Procedures for these Activities are detailed in:

TSOP 1-6 Water Level Measurement, Section 5.2 Water Level Measurement Using Electronic Water Level Indicators (and manufacturer's instructions)

TSOP 1-10 Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Section 5.1 Direct Reading Measurement, if required by Health and Safety Plan

TSOP 4-1 Field Logbook Content and Control, with a RAC 2 clarification

Worksheet 171 Decontamination Procedures

87

QAPP Worksheet # 17f Sampling Design and Rationale Soil Screening Sampling with Direct-Push Technology (DPT)

[Description of soil so	creening sampling with DPT with location, number of samples, analysis, procedures]
Field Procedures fo	r these Activities are detailed in:
TSOP 1-2	Sample Custody
■ TSOP 1-4	Subsurface Soil Sampling, Section 5.2. Direct Push Rig Sampling
■ TSOP 1-6	Water Level Measurement, Section 5.2 Water Level Measurement Using Electronic Water Level Indicators (In-Situ Level TROLL or equivalent)
TSOP 1-10	Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Section 5.1 Direct Reading Measurement
TSOP 2-1	Packaging and Shipping Environmental Samples
TSOP 2-2	Guide to Handling Investigation Derived Waste
TSOP 4-1	Field Logbook Content and Control, with a RAC 2 clarification
Worksheet 17I	Decontamination Procedures
Worksheet 18	Sampling Locations and Methods/ SOP Requirements

QAPP Worksheet # 17g Sampling Design and Rationale Soil Screening Sampling with Hollow Stem Augers

[Description of soil screening sampling with hollow stem augers with location, number of samples, analysis, procedures]						
Field procedures for these activities are detailed in:						
Appendix A	ppendix A Site-Specific Low Flow Groundwater Purging and Sampling Procedure					
TSOP 1-2	Sample Custody					
TSOP 1-4	Subsurface Soil Sampling, Section 5.2.3 Split-Barrel (or Split-Spoon) Sampling					
TSOP 1-6	Water Level Measurement, 5.2 Water Level Measurement Using Electronic Water Level Indicators					
TSOP 1-10	Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Section 5.1 Direct Reading Measurement					
TSOP 2-1	Packaging and Shipping Environmental Samples					
TSOP 2-2	Guide to Handling Investigation Derived Waste					
TSOP 3-5	Lithologic Logging					
TSOP 4-1	Field Logbook Content and Control, with a RAC 2 clarification					
Worksheet 17I	Decontamination Procedures					
Worksheet 18	Sampling Locations and Methods/ SOP Requirements					
ASTM D 1586-99	Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils					

QAPP Worksheet # 17h Sampling Design and Rationale Groundwater Screening with DPT

Groundwater Screening Sampling							
[Description of groundwater screening sampling with DPT with location, number of samples, analysis, procedures]							
Field Procedures for these Activities are detailed in:							
E Appendix A	Site-Specific Low Flow Groundwater Purging and Sampling Procedure						
TSOP 1-2	Sample Custody						
■ TSOP 1-6	Water Level Measurement, Section 5.2.3 Water Level Measurement Using Electronic Water Level Indicators (and manufacturer's instructions)						
TSOP 1-10	Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Section 5.1 Direct Reading Measurement						
TSOP 2-1	Packaging and Shipping Environmental Samples						
TSOP 2-2	Guide to Handling Investigation Derived Waste						
■ TSOP 3-1	Geoprobe® Sampling						
TSOP 4-1	Field Logbook Content and Control, with a RAC 2 clarification						
Worksheet 17I	Decontamination Procedures						
Worksheet 18	Sampling Locations and Methods/ SOP Requirements						

90

QAPP Worksheet # 17i Sampling Design and Rationale Groundwater Sampling

Field Procedures	s for these Activities are detailed in:
Appendix A	Site-Specific Low Flow Groundwater Purging and Sampling Procedure
TSOP 1-2	Sample Custody
TSOP 1-6	Water Level Measurement, Section 5.2.3 Water Level Measurement Using Electronic Water Level Indicators (and manufacturer's instructions)
■ TSOP 1-9	Tap Water Sampling, with a RAC 2 clarification
■ TSOP 1-10	Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Section 5.1 Direct Reading Measurement
TSOP 2-1	Packaging and Shipping Environmental Samples
TSOP 2-2	Guide to Handling Investigation Derived Waste
■ TSOP 4-1	Field Logbook Content and Control, with a RAC 2 clarification
Worksheet 17I	Decontamination Procedures
Worksheet 18	Sampling Locations and Methods/ SOP Requirements

QAPP Worksheet # 17j Sampling Design and Rationale Air Sampling

٠

[Description of air sampling, procedures, locations, and quantity]					
Field Procedures for these Activities are detailed in:					
TSOP 1-2	Sample Custody				
■ TSOP 1-10	Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Section 5.1 Direct Reading Measurement, if required by Health and Safety Plan				
TSOP 1-8	Volatile Organic Compound Air Sampling Using EPA Method TO-15 with SUMMA® Canister				
TSOP 2-1	Packaging and Shipping Environmental Samples				
I TSOP 2-2	Guide to Handling Investigation Derived Waste				
Worksheet 171	Decontamination Procedures				
Worksheet 18	Sampling Locations and Methods/ SOP Requirements				

92

QAPP Worksheet # 17k Sampling Design and Rationale Monitoring Well Installation

Monitoring Well Installation will prepare a technical memorandum summarizing the results of the soil and groundwater investigation. If determined monitoring wells are required, will provide rationale in the technical memorandum for the total number of monitoring wells, locations, and depths. The following procedures will be refined with a Field Change Request form after the technical memorandum is submitted.							
[Description of the mo	[Description of the monitoring well installation]						
Development [How monitoring wells will be developed]							
Geophysical Loggin [How geophysical log	g ging will be performed, if applicable]						
Field Procedures fo	r these Activities are detailed in:						
Appendix A	Site-Specific Low Flow Groundwater Purging and Sampling Procedure						
■ TSOP 1-2	Sample Custody						
■ TSOP 1-6	Water Level Measurement, Section 5.2 Water Level Measurement Using Electronic Water Level Indicators						
■ TSOP 1-10	Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Section 5.1 Direct Reading Measurement						
■ TSOP 2-1	Packaging and Shipping Environmental Samples						
🖬 TSOP 2-2	Guide to Handling Investigation Derived Waste						
∎ TSOP 3-4	Geophysical Logging, Calibration, and Quality Control						
∎ TSOP 4-1	Field Logbook Content and Control, with a RAC 2 clarification						
■ TSOP 4-2	Photographic Documentation of Field Activities, Sections 5.2.2 General Guidelines for Still Photography and 5.2.4 Photographic						
	Documentation						
	Design and Installation of Manitoring Walls in Aquifers (Mud Rotany Drilling)						
= 100F 4-4	Decontamination Procedures						
Worksheet 18	Sampling Locations and Methods/ SOP Requirements						
ASTM D 1586-99	Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils [<i>If applicable</i>]						

QAPP Worksheet # 17I Sampling Design and Rationale Decontamination Procedures

Field decontamination will be performed on all personnel and equipment that enters the exclusion zone. Personnel decontamination procedures will be implemented to prevent worker exposure to site contaminants. Equipment decontamination procedures will be implemented to prevent cross-contamination of environmental samples and prevent off-site migration of contaminants as a result of site investigation activities.

Personal Protective Equipment

- Non-residual detergent (Alconox) and tap water rinse
- Respirator sanitizer (for respirator or self contained breathing apparatus [SCBA] face piece)
- Thorough rinse with potable water
- Air dry

Field Monitoring and Geophysical Logging Equipment

Instruments should be cleaned per manufacturer's instructions. The electronic water level indicators, geophysical logging equipment, and water quality parameter probes cannot be rinsed with solvents or acids. The electronic water level indicators will be decontaminated with a non-phosphate detergent, tap water rinse, and a final distilled/deionized water rinse prior to use at each well. The water quality parameter probes will be rinsed prior to and after each use with deionized/distilled water only.

Well Components

Well components must be steam cleaned prior to installation to ensure that all oils, greases, and waxes have been removed. The components should be stored using clean polyethylene sheeting to keep the possibility of contamination to a minimum.

Drilling Equipment and Other Large Pieces of Equipment

All drilling equipment that comes in contact with the soil must be steam cleaned before use, and after drilling each borehole. This includes drill rods, bits and augers, dredges, or any other large piece of equipment. Sampling devices such as split-spoons must be decontaminated, after each use, by the procedure listed below.

Sampling Apparatus, General Considerations

All sampling apparatus must be properly decontaminated prior to its use in the field to prevent cross-contamination. Equipment should be decontaminated after usage (once a day or on an as needed basis). Decontamination will be performed in an area outside the contamination zone. Enough equipment will be available to be dedicated to the sampling points planned each day.

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

QAPP Worksheet # 17I Sampling Design and Rationale Decontamination Procedures

Decontamination Procedure:								
The required decontamination procedure for all sampling equipment is:								
*	a. wash and scrub with low phosphate detergent							
	b.	tap water rinse						
**	C.	10 percent nitric acid rinse (for metals analysis only), laboratory grade (one percent solution will be used when carbon steel equipments, such as						
	split-spoons, are used)							
	d.	d. demonstrated analyte-free water rinse						
***	e.	isopropanol rinse (all solvents must be pesticide-grade or better)						
****	f.	demonstrated analyte-free water rinse (amount of water must be at least five times that of the solvents used)						
	g.	a air dry						
	ĥ.	wrap in aluminum foil, shiny side out, for transport						
		······································						
*	Tap wat	er must be from a municipal water treatment system. The use	of an unt	reated potable water supply is not an acceptable substitute.				
**	Nitric ad	id rinse will only be used when samples are collected for inorga	anics	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
***	Solvent	rinse required only when sampling for organics.						
****	A samp	ie of the demonstrated analyte-free water will be collected and	submitte	d for chemical analysis. Analytical results will be kept on-site				
	Determi	nation of analyte-free water will be according to the EPA Regio	n II CER	CLA QA Manual (EPA 1989) (see page 59)				
	Determ	nation of analyte-free water will be according to the El refreque						
While pe	erforming	decontamination activities, phthalate-free gloves should be us	ed to pre	event phthalate contamination of the sampling equipment that could result				
from the	interacti	on of the gloves with the organic solvents.						
Decont	aminatio	n Equipment						
•	Steam o	leaner	•	Power source (e.g., generator), if required				
	Distilled	/deionized water		Demonstrated analyte-free water				
	Potable	water		Polyethylene sheeting				
	Deen ha			r orygen yrghe sheelling Hitility knifa				
· ·	Bruch			Non phosphate datergent (i.e. Alconov)				
-	Acetone	ar isopropanal (posticida grada)		Aluminum foil				
	Doroonr	vol protoctivo orguinmont		Automation of a sector of a sector of the se				
•	10 norm	ner protective equipment	•	Air monitoring equipment and calibration gas				
•	to here	sit mult acid (one percent when needed), uita pure grade						
Field Dependunce for these Activities are detailed in								
= TSOP 4.5 Field Equipment Decontamination at Nonradioactive Sites								
■ 130P	4-0	Field Equipment Decontamination at Nonradioactive Sites.						

Sampling Location ID Number	Matrix	Depth	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Number of Samples (identify field duplicates) ⁴	Sampling SOP Reference	Rationale for Sampling Location
Gas							
Soil							
Sediment							
Groundwater							
Potable Water							
Surface Water							

QAPP Worksheet #18 Sampling Locations and Methods/SOP Requirements Table

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan
Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Analytical and Preparation Method/ SOP Reference	Sample Volume	Containers (number, size, and type)	Preservation Requirements	Maximum Holding Time (preparation/ analysis)
Air	Soil Gas	Low	TO-15 scan	6 L	SUMMA canister	NA	30 days
	Indoor Air	Low	TO-15 SIM	6 L	SUMMA canister	NA	30 days
Soil or Sediment for DESA or CLP	VOC	Low	5035A/SOM01.2	15 grams total	(3) 40 mL glass vials with magnetic stir bars and PTFE lined septa/open top screw caps	Cool to 4°C	10 days VTSR; Technical-14 days (Technical is from time of sample collection)
					(3) EnCore Samplers (with pre-notification of RSCC coordinator and approval of EPA)		Technical-48 hours
	Percent Moisture (include with VOC vials)	NA	SOM01.2	50 grams	(1) 4 oz. jar w/Teflon lined cap	No preservation No headspace in sample jar	Technical-48 hours
	TCL SVOC	SIM	SOM01.2	Fill to capacity	(1) 8 oz. glass jar w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	10 days extract-VTSR; 40 days analyze
	TCL SVOCs	Low	SOM01.2	100 grams	(1) 8 oz. glass jar w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	10 days extract-VTSR; 40 days analyze
	TCL Pesticide	Low	SOM01.2	100 grams	(1) 8 oz. glass jar w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	10 days extract-VTSR;
	TCL PCB	Low	SOM01.2	100 grams	Included with Pesticides	Cool to 4°C	40 days analyze Technical 14/40
	TAL Metals	Low	ILM05.4	250 grams	(1) 8 oz. glass jar w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	Technical-180 days (Hg-28 days and cyanide 14 days)
							VTSR - Subtract 2 days – this allowance for sample receipt by laboratory

.

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Analytical and Preparation Method/ SOP Reference	Sample Volume	Containers (number, size, and type)	Preservation Requirements	Maximum Holding Time (preparation/ analysis)
Soil or sediment for	тос	Low	Lloyd Kahn	10 g	(1) 8-oz glass jar	Cool to 4°C	14 days
DESA or	Grain size Low		ASTM D421-85 ASTM D422-63	500 g	(1) 8-oz glass jar	Cool to 4°C	None
laboratory	рН	Low	SW-846, 9045D	10 g	(1) 8-oz glass jar	Cool to 4°C	48 hours
	Hexavalent Chromium	Low	SW-846 3060A/7196A	Fill to capacity	(1) 8 oz glass jar	Cool to 4°C	30 days: extraction; 7 days: analysis
	Cation exchange Capacity	Low	EPA 9080, 9081, ILM05.4 Modified	10 g	(1) 4 oz glass jar	None	None
	Rigid Wall permeability		ASTM D2434	TBD	(1) 4 oz glass jar	None	None
	In-situ Porosity (Determined from specific gravity & dry bulk density)	In-situ Porosity Low (Determined from specific gravity & dry bulk density)		TBD	Shelby tube	None	None
	Sulfide	Low	EPA 376 – after extraction	TBD	(1) 4 oz glass jar	Cool to 4°C	7 days
	Perchlorate	Low	EPA 314.0 – after extraction	TBD	(1) 4 oz glass jar w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	28 days
-	Acid Volatile Sulfide-Simultaneously Extracted Metals (AVS-SEM)	Low .	SW-9030/9034/ 3010/6010B or 1LM05.4	TBD	(1) 4 oz glass jar w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	14 days

Technical holding time is referenced unless otherwise noted.

MS/MSD is not required for TCL VOC and SVOC.

The field team is encouraged to consolidate the sample volumes in consultation with DESA, RSCC and the subcontract laboratories as appropriate. Additional sample volumes may be required when more than one option of a method is requested, for example Low plus SIM SVOC analysis. Consult the CLP Guidance for Field Samplers and include this information in the project-specific QAPPs.

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Analytical and Preparation Method/ SOP Reference	Sample Volume	Containers (number, size, and type)	Preservation Requirements	Maximum Holding Time (preparation/ analysis)
Aqueous	TCL VOCs	Trace plus SIM	SOM01.2	200 mL	(5) 40 ml VOA vials w/Teflon lined septum	1:1 HCl to pH<2; no headspace; no bubbles.	Technical 14 days 10 days VTSR
	TCL VOCs	Trace or Low	SOM01.2	120 mL	(3) 40 ml VOA vials w/Teflon lined septum	Do not preserve if effervescence	Preserved; Unpreserved 7 days
	Methane, ethane and ethene	Low	RSK 175	40 mL	(3) 40 ml VOA vials w/Teflon lined septum	occurs. Cool to 4°C	14 days
	TCL SVOCs	Low or Low plus SIM	SOM01.2	1000 mL	(2) 1L amber glass bottles w/Teflon lined cap. No additional volume required for SIM	Cool to 4°C	5 days extract, 40 days analyze for VTSR; 7/40 Technical
	TCL Pesticide Compounds	Low	SOM01.2	1000 mL	(2) 1L amber glass bottle w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	5 days extract, 40 days analyze; 7/40 Technical
	TCL PCBs	Low	SOM01.2	1000 mL	(2) 1L amber glass bottle w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	5 days extract, 40 days analyze; 7/40 Technical
	TAL Metals, Mercury	Low (AES)	ILM05.4	250 mL each	(2) 1 L high density polyethylene bottle (HDPE)	HNO₃ to pH<2; Cool to 4°C	6 months (Hg-26 days VTSR or 28 days Technical)
	TAL Metals	Trace (MS)		250 mL	(1) 1 L HDPE [extra bottle is for MS analysis]		
	Cyanide	Low		250 mL	(1) 1 L HDPE	NaOH to pH >12; cool to 4°C	12 days VTSR; 14 days Technical
	Alkalinity	Low	EPA 310.1/310.2	100 mL	(1) 250 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	14 days
	Ammonia	Low	EPA 350.1/350.2, 350.2/.350.3	400 mL	(1) 1 L HDPE	H₂SO₄ to pH <2; Cool to 4°C	28 days

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Analytical and Preparation Method/ SOP Reference	Sample Volume	Containers (number, size, and type)	Preservation Requirements	Maximum Holding Time (preparation/ analysis)
Aqueous	Bromide	Low	EPA 300, 320.1	100 mL	(1) 250 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	28 days
	Chloride	Low	EPA 300, 325.1/325.2, 325.3	50 mL	(1) 125 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	28 days
	Ferrous Iron (field test)	Low	HACH 8146	25 mL	1 HDPE	Cool to 4°C	Analyze on day collected
	Fluoride	Low	EPA 300, 340.1/340.3, 340.2	50 mL 300 mL for 340.1	(1) 500 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	28 days
	Hardness	Low	EPA 130.1, 130.2 or ILM05.4 + calculation	100 mL	(1) 250 mL HDPE	HNO₃ to pH<2; Cool to 4°C	6 months
	Hexavalent Chromium	Low	SW-846 7196A	500 mL	0.5 liter HDPEs	Cool to 4°C	24 hours
	Hexavalent Chromium (field test)	Low	HACH 8023	25 mL	HDPEs	Cool to 4°C	Analyze on day collected
	Nitrate	Low	EPA 300, 352.1	100 mL	(1) 250 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	48 hours
	Nitrite	Low	EPA 300, 354.1	100 mL	(1) 250 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	48 hours
	Nitrate/Nitrite	Low	EPA 353 or 300	100 mL	250 mL polyethylene bottle	H₂SO₄ to pH <2; Cool to 4°C	28 days
	Chemical Oxygen Demand	Low	EPA 410.4, 410.1/410.2/410.3	50 mL	(1) 125 mL HDPE	H₂SO₄ to pH <2; Cool to 4°C	28 days
	Biological Oxygen Demand	Low	EPA 405.1	1L	(1) 2 L HDPE	Cool to 4°C	48 hours
	Dissolved Organic Carbon	Low	EPA 415.1 or 9060	50 mL	(1) 250 mL amber glass bottle or protect from light	Filter, H₂SO₄ to pH <2; Cool to 4°C	28 days
	Total Organic Carbon	Low	EPA 415.1/415.2 or 9060	50 mL	(1) 250 mL amber glass bottle or protect from light	H₂SO₄ to pH <2; Cool to 4°C	28 days
	Orthophosphorus	Low	EPA 300, 365.1/365.2/365.3	50 mL	(1) 125 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	48 hours

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #19
Analytical SOP Requirements Table

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Analytical and Preparation Method/ SOP Reference	Sample Volume	Containers (number, size, and type)	Preservation Requirements	Maximum Holding Time (preparation/ analysis)
Aqueous	Phosphorus (all forms)	Low	EPA 365.1/365.2/ 365.3/365.4	50 mL; 25 mL for 365.4	(1) 125 mL HDPE	H₂SO₄ to pH <2; Cool to 4°C	28 days
1	Perchlorate	Low	EPA 314.0	250 mL	(1) 125 mL HDPE	None; can Cool to 4ºC	28 days
	TKN	Low	EPA 351.4, 351.1/351.2/351.3	500 mL	(1) 1 L HDPE	H₂SO₄ to pH <2; Cool to 4°C	28 days
	TDS (Filterable residue)	Low	EPA 160.1	100 mL	(1) 250 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	7 days
	TSS (Non-filterable residue)	Low	EPA 160.2	100 mL	(1) 250 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	7 days
	Sulfate	Low	EPA 300, 375.3, 375.1/375.2/375.4	50-100 mL	(1) 250 mL HDPE	Cool to 4°C	28 days
	Sulfate	Low	HACH 8051	10 mL	Test kit bottle	Cool to 4°C	Analyze on day collected
	Sulfide	Low	EPA 376.1, 376.2	200 mL	(1) 1 L HDPE	Sodium acetate and NaOH to pH>9; Cool to 4°C	7 days; Unpreserved 48 hours
	Sulfide	Low	HACH 8131	25 mL	Test kit bottle	Cool to 4°C	Analyze on day collected

Technical holding time is referenced unless otherwise noted.

MS/MSD is not required for TCL VOC and SVOC.

The field team is encouraged to consolidate the sample volumes in consultation with DESA, RSCC and the subcontract laboratories as appropriate. Additional sample volumes may be required when more than one option of a method is requested, for example Low plus SIM SVOC analysis. Consult the CLP Guidance for Field Samplers and include this information in the project-specific QAPPs.

Matrix	Analytical Group [Lab Assignment]	Concentration Level	Analytical and Preparation Method/SOP Reference	Sample Volume	Containers (number, size, and type)	Preservation Requirements	Maximum Holding Time (preparation/ analysis)
Aqueous (Equipment Blanks)	Trace VOCs	Trace	SOM01.2	120 ml	(3) 40 ml VOA vials w/Teflon lined septum	1:1 HCl to pH<2; cool to 4°C	10 days
	TCL SVOCs	Low	SOM01.2	1000 ml	(2) 1L amber glass bottles w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	5 days extract, 40 days analyze
	TCL Pesticides	Low	SOM01.2	1000 ml	(2) 1L amber glass bottle w/Teflon lined cap	Cool to 4°C	5 days extract, 40 days analyze
	TCL Aroclors/PCBs	Low	SOM01.2	1000 ml	Included with Pesticides	Cool to 4°C	5 days extract, 40 days analyze
	TAL Metals	Low	ILM05.4	250 ml	(1) 1 L polyethylene	HNO₃ to pH<2;	6 months
						cool to 4°C	(Hg-26 days)
Aqueous (Trip Blanks)	Trace VOCs	Trace	SOM01.2	120 ml	(4) 40 ml VOA vials w/Teflon lined septum	1:1 HCl to pH<2; cool to 4°C	10 days

Verified time of sample receipt (VTSR) holding time is referenced above. MS/MSD is not required for QC samples.

The field team is encouraged to consolidate the sample volumes in consultation with DESA, RSCC and the subcontract laboratories as appropriate.

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Analytical and Preparation SOP Reference	No. of Sampling Locations ²	No. of Field Duplicate Pairs	No. of Extra Volume Laboratory QC (e.g., MS/MSD) Samples	No. of Equipment Blanks	No. of Trip. Blanks	No of PE Samples ²
Air	Soil Gas	Low	TO-15 scan		1/20	NA	NA	NA	TBD
	Indoor Air	Low	TO-15 SIM		1/20	NA	NA	NA	TBD
Soil/ Sediment	TCL VOC	Low	SOM01.2		1/20	NA	1 per decontamination event	NA	TBD
	Percent Moisture	NA	SOM01.2		1/20	NA	NA	NA	TBD
	TCL SVOC	Low	SOM01.2		1/20	NA		NA	TBD
	TCL Pesticides	Low	SOM01.2		1/20	See the CLP	1 per decontamination	NA	TBD
TCL Aroclors Compour	TCL Aroclors (PCB) Compounds	Low	SOM01.2		1/20	Guidance for Field Samplers	per day (see project-specific QAPP)	NA	TBD
	TAL Metals	Low	ILM05.4		· 1/20	1 per SDG		NA	TBD
	Wet Chemistry	Low	Varies		1/20	As required by laboratory	NA	NA	TBD
	Geotechnical parameters	NA	Varies		1/20	NA	NA	NA	NA
Aqueous	Trace Concentration Volatile Organics	Low	SOM01.2		1/20	NA		1 per cooler	TBD
	Methane, ethane, ethene	Low	RSK 175		1/20	NA	1 per decontamination	1 per cooler	TBD
ĺ	Semi-Volatile Organics	Low	SOM01.2		1/20	NA	event not to exceed 1	NA	TBD
	Pesticide Compounds	Low	SOM01.2	· <u> </u>	1/20	See the CLP Guidance for Field	per day (see project-specific QAPP)	NA	TBD
	PCB Compounds .	Low	SOM01.2		1/20	Samplers		NA	TBD
	TAL Metals	Low	ILM05.4 1/20 1 per SDG N/	NA	TBD				
	Wet Chemistry	Low	As selected by project team		1/20	As required by laboratory	NA	NA	TBD

QAPP Worksheet #20 Field Quality Control Sample Summary Table¹

The frequency of QC samples are outlined above, the exact number of QC samples will be detailed in the project-specific QAPPs.
Project-specific information. PE samples may be requested by EPA Region 2.

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Analytical and Preparation SOP Reference	No. of Sampling Locations	No. of Field Duplicate Pairs	No. of Extra Volume Laboratory QC (e.g., MS/MSD) Samples	No. of Equipment Blanks	No. of Trip. Blanks	No of PE Samples
Aqueous	pH; conductivity; dissolved oxygen; turbidity; temperature	NA	See equipment manual		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

QAPP Worksheet #20 Field Quality Control Sample Summary Table

٠

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Reference Number	Title, Revision Date and/or Number	Originating Organization	Equipment Type*	Modified for Project Work? (Y/N)*	Comments
1-2	Sample Custody, Rev. 5, 3/31/07		NA	Y	Contract clarification applies
1-4	Subsurface Sampling, Rev. 6, 3/31/07		TSOP	Y	Contract clarification applies
1-6	Water Level Measurement, Rev. 6, 3/31/07		TSOP	N	
1-10	Field Measurement of Organic Vapors, Rev. 4, 3/31/07		TSOP/ Mini-RAE/Multi-RAE	N	
2-1	Packaging and Shipping Environmental Samples, Rev. 3, 3/31/07		TSOP	Y	Contract clarification applies
2-2	Guide to Handling of Investigation-Derived Waste, Rev. 5, 3/31/07		NA	N	
3-2	Topographic Survey, Rev. 6, 3/31/07		NA	N	
3-4	Geophysical Logging, Calibration, and Quality Control		TSOP	N	
3-5	Lithologic Logging				
4-1	Field Logbook Content and Control, Rev. 6, 3/31/07		NA	Y	Contract clarification applies
4-2	Photographic Documentation of Field Activities, Rev. 7, 3/31/07		Camera	N	
4-3	Well Development and Purging, Rev. 5, 3/31/07		TSOP YSI	Y	Contract clarification applies
4-4	Design and Installation of Monitoring Wells in Aquifers, Rev. 6, 3/31/07			Y	Contract clarification applies
4-5	Field Equipment Decontamination at Nonradioactive Sites, Rev. 7, 3/31/07		TSOP	Y	Contract clarification applies
4-9	Aquifer Performance Tests, Rev. 0, 5/06/05		TSOP	N	
5-1	Control of Measurement and Test Equipment, Rev. 8, 3/31/07		NA	Y	Contract clarification applies
N/A	Site-Specific Low Flow Groundwater Purging and Sampling Procedure		SOP	N	
D1586	Standard Test Method for Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils	ASTM	SOP	N	

QAPP Worksheet #21 Project Sampling SOP References Table

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Modify for each project –specific QAPP. To be updated- project specific QAPP will specify the appropriate sections of TSOP that apply

QAPP Worksheet #22 Field Equipment Calibration, Maintenance, Testing, and Inspection Table

Field Equipment	Calibration Activity	Maintenance Activity	Testing Activity	Inspection Activity	Frequency	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action	Responsi- ble Person	SOP Reference
Mini RAE plus Classic (PGM-76) Toxic Gas Monitor - 11.7 electron volt (eV) lamp	Calibration checked at the beginning and end of day	As needed in field; semi-annually by supplier	Measure Isobutylene 100 parts per million (ppm) (calibration gas)	Upon receipt, Successful operation	Calibrate am, check pm	± 10% of the calibrated value	Manually zero meter or service as necessary and recalibrate	FTL	Manufacturers specifications
Multi-RAE plus photoionization detector (PID) Toxic Gas Monitor - 11.7 eV lamp	Calibration checked at the beginning and end of day	As needed in field; semi- annually by supplier	Measure known concentration of Isobutylene 100 ppm (calibration gas); plus O_{2} , CO, H ₂ S, LEL	Upon receipt, Successful operation	Calibrate am, check pm	± 10% of the calibrated value	Manually zero meter or service as necessary and recalibrate	FTL	Manufacturers specifications
YSI-600XL Flow through cell	Calibrate: beginning of day and check calibration at the end of the day	Performed before shipment and as needed	Measure with known National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) traceable buffers and conductivity calibration solutions	Upon receipt, Successful operation	Daily, before each use	pH: \pm 0.05 Specific Conductivity: \pm 5 micro Siemens (μ S) DO \pm 0.02 ppm Temp.: \pm 0.3°C	Recalibrate or service as necessary	FTL	Manufacturers specifications
In-Situ Mini TROLL [©] 30 psig level transducer with HP <i>IPAQ 2215</i> <i>PDA</i> and Pocket-Situ	Manufacture Calibration only	Performed by manufacture or prior to shipping	Manufacture Calibration only	Check instrument is in working order	Performed by manufacture or prior to shipping	Pass/Fail	Return to rental company for replacement	FTL	Manufacturers specifications
3001 LT Level Logger Gold <i>M10/F30</i> part #108081 with Level Loader Gold	Manufacture Calibration only	Performed by manufacture or prior to shipping	Manufacture Calibration only	Check instrument is in working order	Performed by manufacture or prior to shipping	Pass/Fail	Return to rental company for replacement	Sub- contractor	Manufacturers specifications
Water Level Meter	N/A	None	Check daily, before each use	Check instruments are working	Check daily before each use	Pass/Fail	Return to rental company for replacement	Sub- contractor	Manufacturers specifications
LaMotte Turbidity Meter [insert model #]	Accuracy/calib ration check at the beginning and end of the day	Return for replacement	Measure with standard solution	Upon receipt, Successful operation	Calibrate before use and whenever anomaly suspected	Pass /fail	Replace battery or bulb or return for replacement	FTL	Manufacturers specifications

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Reference Number	Title, Revision Date, and/or Number	Definitive or Screening Data	Analytical Group	Instrument	Organization Performing Analysis	Modified for Project Work? (Y/N)*
TO-15	Determination Of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) In Air Collected In Specially-Prepared Canisters And Analyzed By Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)	Definitive	VOC Gases	GC/MS	EPA Headquarters National Contract Laboratory	
SAV01.X	Volatile Organics Analysis in Air (Draft) June 2008	Definitive	VOC Gases	GC/MS	DESA or CLP Laboratory	
SOM01.2	EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Statement of Work (SOW) for Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration Organic Analysis; April 2007 amendment	Definitive	TCL VOCs	GC/MS	DESA or CLP Laboratory	
SOM01.2	CLP SOW for Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration Organic Analysis; April 2007 amendment	Definitive	Trace SVOCs	GC/MS	DESA or CLP Laboratory	
SOM01.2	CLP SOW for Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration Organic Analysis; April 2007 amendment	Definitive	TCL SVOCs	GC/MS	DESA or CLP Laboratory	
SOM01.2	CLP SOW for Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration Organic Analysis; April 2007 amendment	Definitive	TCL Pesticides	GC/ECD	DESA or CLP Laboratory	
SOM01.2	CLP SOW for Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration Organic Analysis; April 2007 amendment	Definitive	TCL Aroclors (PCBs)	GC/ECD	DESA or CLP Laboratory	

[* If yes, explain the modification]

Reference Number	Title, Revision Date, and/or Number	Definitive or Screening Data	Analytical Group	Instrument	Organization Performing Analysis	Modified for Project Work? (Y/N)*
RSK 175/ AM20Gax or other SOP using QC procedures in RSK 175	Analysis of Dissolved Methane, Ethane, and Ethene in Groundwater -Robert S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory Standard Operating Procedures. May 1998.	Definitive	Methane, Ethane, Ethene	GC / FID	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
ILM05.4	CLP SOW for Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration Inorganic	Definitive	TAL Metals	ICP-AES / ICP-MS	DESA or CLP Laboratory	
	Analysis; December 2006	Definitive	Mercury	Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption	DESA or CLP Laboratory	
		Definitive	Cyanide	Distiller - Colorimeter	DESA or CLP Laboratory	
EPA 9080, 9081 or ILM05.4 Modified	Cation exchange capacity of soils. September 1986	Definitive	Cation Exchange Capacity	AES or Atomic Absorption	DESA or subcontract Laboratory	
SW-846 7196A	Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846, 3rd Edition (SW-846).	Definitive	Hexavalent Chromium	Colorimeter/ photometer	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
SW-846 9060	Determination of "Total Organic Carbon"	Definitive	тос	Carbon analyzer/ FID	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
130.1, 130.2	Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (MCAWW): EPA-600/4-79-029, revised March 1983.	Definitive	Hardness	Colorimeter, automated or titrator	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
160.1, 160.2	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	TDS and TSS	Balance, oven	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
300.0	Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography	Definitive	Bromide, Chloride, Fluoride, Nitrate, Nitrite, Nitrate/Nitrite, Orthophosphate	Ion chromatograph	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
310.1	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Alkalinity	pH meter or electronic titrator	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
320.1	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Bromide	Titrimetric	DESA or subcontract laboratory	

Reference Number	Title, Revision Date, and/or Number	Definitive or Screening Data	Analytical Group	Instrument	Organization Performing Analysis	Modified for Project Work? (Y/N)*
1-325.1, 325.2 , 2-325.3	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Chloride	1-Colorimetric, 2-Titrimetric		
1-340.1, 340.3, 2-340.2,	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Fluoride	1-Colorimeter, 2-Titrimetric		
1-350.1, 350.2, 2-350.2, 350.3	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Ammonia	Colorimeter-automated, Titrimetric, Potentiometric		
1-351.1, 351.2, 351.3 2-351.4	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	TKN	1- Colorimeter 2- Potentiometric		
352.1	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Nitrate	Colorimeter		
353.1, 353.2, 353.3	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Nitrate/Nitrite	Colorimeter		
354.1	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Nitrite	Colorimeter		
365.2	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Ortho-phosphate	Colorimeter		
365.1, 365.2, 365.3, 365.4	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Phosphate	Colorimeter-automated block digester AA	Iaboratory	
375.1, 375.2, 375.4	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Sulfate	Colorimeter		
375.3, 375.4	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Sulfate	Gravimetric (balance/oven) Spectrophotometer		
1-376.1 2-376.2	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	Sulfide	1-Titrimetry 2-Colorimeter		-
405.1	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	BOD	DO meter		
1-410.1, 410.2, 410.3 2-410.4	MCAWW. Revised 1983	Definitive	COD	1-Titrimetry 2-Colorimeter		
415.1, 9060	MCAWW. Rev. 1983	Definitive	DOC	Carbon analyzer/IR/FID		
415.1, 415.2, 9060	MCAWW. Rev. 1983	Definitive	тос	Carbon analyzer/IR/FID		
Lloyd Kahn	Determination of TOC in Sediment, July 1998 and Attachment B, Supplemental Technical Direction and Additional QC Procedures.	Definitive	TOC - soil	Carbon analyzer	DESA or subcontract laboratory	

Reference Number	Title, Revision Date, and/or Number	Definitive or Screening Data	Analytical Group	Instrument	Organization Performing Analysis	Modified for Project Work? (Y/N)*
SW-846 3060A/7196A	Alkaline digestion for Hexavalent Chromium; Determination of Dissolved Hexavalent Chromium, July 1992, Revision 1	Definitive	Hexavalent Chromium – soil	Extraction by alkaline digestion; colorimeter	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
ASTM D421-85	Standard Practice for Dry Preparation of Soil Samples. 2002	Definitive	Grain Size	Sieves, hydrometer	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
ASTM D422-63	Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils. 2002	Definitive	Grain Size	Sieves, hydrometer	DESA or subcontract laboratory	
ASTM D2937	Standard Test Method for Density of Soil in Place by the Drive-Cylinder Method	Definitive	Dry bulk Density	thin-walled cylinder	subcontract laboratory	
ASTM D854	Standard Test Methods for Specific Gravity of Soil Solids by Water Pycnometer	Definitive	Specific Gravity	Water Pycnometer	laboratory	
HACH 8146	HACH Test Kit - Phenanthroline Method (adapted from PM for Water and Wastewater)	Screening	Ferrous Iron	Colorimeter or Spectrophotometer model DR/890, 850 or 820 or as per project requirement	field personnel	
HACH 8023	HACH Test Kit – 1,5-Diphenylcarbohydrazide Method	Screening	Hexavalent Chromium	Spectrophotometer model DR/890, 850 or 820 or as per project requirement	field personnel	
NA	Manufacturer's Manual	Screening	Water Quality Parameters	YSI Water quality Checker, Model 600XL or current version as defined by project-specific QAPP	field personnel	
NA	Manufacturer's Manual	Screening	Turbidity	La Motte Turbidity Meter, Model 2020	field personnel	

[* If yes, explain the modification]

1. CLP laboratories SOPs are reviewed through EPA. DESA laboratory specific SOPs will apply and not these generic SOPs whenever the DESA laboratory is able to perform the analyses. **The second secon**

2. For non-RAS data, the ASC will submit the electronic "Analytical Services Tracking System (ANSETS) Data Requirement" form to the Regional Sample Control Coordinator (RSCC) by the first day of each month for the previous month's sampling.

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Instrument	Calibration Procedure	Frequency of Calibration	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action (CA)	Person Responsible for CA	SOP Reference
	Instru	ments used for TO-15 follow the ca	alibration frequencies outlined in	the selected laboratory's method	SOP.	
GC/MS	See TO-15 Initial calibration: Continuing calibration:	Upon award of the contract, whenever the laboratory takes corrective action which may change or affect the initial calibration criteria (e.g., ion source cleaning or repair, column replacement, etc.), or if the continuing calibration acceptance criteria have not been met. Following initial calibration verification, once every 12 hours, end of run.	Initial calibration/ Continuing calibration: relative response factor (RRF) ≥ minimum acceptable response factor listed in Table 5 of procedure; %RSD must be ≤ value listed in Table 5 of procedure.	Inspect system for problems (e.g., clean ion source, change the column, service the purge and trap device), correct problem, re-calibrate.	EPA National Air Contract Laboratory GC/MS Technician; or Subcontractor Laboratory GC/MS Technician	TO-15
	GC/MS Tuning: Retention Time Evaluation:	Tune with 4-Bromoflurobenzene (BFB): Beginning of each 12 hour period during which standards and samples are analyzed. Each analysis.	See ion abundance table in TO-15. +/- 0.50 minute of the internal standard retention time in the associated calibration check verification	Inspect the system, identify problem. MS tune criteria must be met before calibration Re-calibrate and verify, re-analyze samples back to the last good calibration check verification		

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Instrument	Calibration Procedure	Frequency of Calibration	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action (CA)	Person Responsible for CA	SOP Reference
GC/MS See SOM01.2	Initial calibration: 5 points standards	Upon award of the contract, whenever the laboratory takes corrective action which may change or affect the initial calibration criteria (e.g., ion source cleaning or repair, column replacement, etc.), or if the continuing calibration acceptance criteria have not been met.	on award of the contract, enever the laboratory takes rective action which may unge or affect the initial bration criteria (e.g., ion source aning or repair, column lacement, etc.), or if the tinuing calibration acceptance eria have not been met.relative response factor (RRF) ≥ minimum acceptable RRF listed in Table 5 of procedure; All target compounds, initial relative standard deviation (RSD) ≤ 10% or 20% and correlation coefficient > 0.995. %RSD ≤ value listed in Table 5 of procedure.Inspect system for problems (e.g., clean ion source, change the column, service the purge and trap device), correct problem, re-calibrate.		EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	SOM01.2
GC/MS	Continuing calibration (CCV)	Once every 12 hours	%D ≤15% or <30% as required	Inspect system; correct problem; recalibrate the instrument, reanalyze samples and standards.	- -	
GC/MS	Calibration Standards Verification	Each lot of standards	As per lab established control limits	Inspect system; correct problem; re-run standard and affected samples		
GC/MS	Tuning	Daily: every 12 hours	Response factors and RRF as method specified	Inspect system; correct problem; re-run standard and affected samples		
GC/ECD See SOM01.2	Initial calibration	Upon award of the contract, whenever major instrument maintenance or modification is performed or if the calibration verification technical acceptance criteria have not been met.	Initial calibration/ Calibration verification: resolution between two adjacent peaks ≥60.0%, single components ≥90.0% resolved, RTs within the RT window,	Inspect the system (e.g., change the column, bake out the detector, clean the injection port); correct problem, re-calibrate.	EPA CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician	SOM01.2
	Calibration verification	Once every 12 hours	%D must be greater than or equal to -25 percent and less than or equal to 25 percent, %RSD must be less than or equal to 20.0 percent.	Inspect system, recalibrate the instrument, and reanalyze samples.		

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Instrument	Calibration Procedure	Frequency of Calibration	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action (CA)	Person Responsible for CA	SOP Reference
ICP-AES / ICP-MS	See ILM05.4; as per instrument manufacturer's recommended procedures	Initial calibration: daily or once every 24 hours and each time the instrument is set up.	ICP-AES: As per instrument manufacturer's recommended procedures, with at least 2 standards.	Inspect the system, correct problem, re-calibrate, and re-analyze samples.	TBD EPA CLP Laboratory ICP-AES / ICP-MS	ILM05.4
	Initial calibration	Daily; after tuning and optimizing instrument	Correlation coefficient >0.995 with a minimum of 3 standards and a blank	Repeat analysis; re-prepare calibration standards and reanalyze	Technician or	
		Before sample analysis	90-110% recovery; source of standard separate from calibration standards	Re-calibrate instrument; prepare fresh ICV standards; do not analyze samples until problem is corrected	analyst / QA officer -	
	Reporting Limit Standard	After initial calibration verification standard	80-120% recovery or concentration ≤ 30% difference (from true value)	Re-analyze failed standard		
	CCV	Every 10 samples and at end of analytical sequence	90-110% recovery; source of standard separate from calibration standards	Re-check; re-calibrate and rerun all samples analyzed after last valid CCV	·	
ICP-MS	Continuing calibration	Beginning and end of run; 10% frequency or every 2 hours during an analysis run	As per instrument manufacture with at least 2 standards. A mir integrations are required for da	r's recommended procedures, nimum of three replicate ta acquisition.		ILM05.4
CV-GAS	Calibration; 3 point standards	After instrument set up	R ³ ≥ 0.995	Inspect system; correct problem	Laboratory analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
	Initial Calibration Verification (ICV)	Before sample analysis	80-120% recovery; source of standard separate from calibration standards	Do not analyze samples until problem is corrected	Laboratory analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
	Continuing Calibration Verification	10% or every 2 hours, whichever is more frequent	80-120% recovery	Inspect system, re-calibrate and rerun associated samples	Laboratory analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD

		1 <u> </u>	ſ 			
Instrument	Procedure	Frequency of Calibration	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action (CA)	Person Responsible for CA	SOP Reference
Total Organic Carbon Analyzer (soil)	Calibration and con calibration exceed	rrective action as per Manufacturer' s the acceptance criteria.	's instruction. No samples shall	be analyzed if instrument	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
Colorimeter ⁴	Initial Calibration; 4 - 9 point standards	Every 3 months; every 6 months for method 300. or as per lab SOP	90-110 % recovery	Re-check; re-calibrate	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
	Calibration check (Cal Check)	Every 10 samples and at end of analytical run	80-120 % recovery	Re-check; re-calibrate and rerun all samples analyzed after last valid Cal Check	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
Infra red or UltraViolet Spectrophotometer	Initial Calibration; 5 point standards	Every 3 months or when other unresolved QC failure occurs	90-110 % recovery	Re-check, re-calibrate	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
	Calibration check	Every 10 samples and at end of analytical run	80-120 % recovery	Re-check; re-calibrate and rerun all samples analyzed after last valid cal check		
lon Chromatography	Initial Calibration; 5 point standards	Initial Every 12 hours of operation 90-110 % recovery Calibration; 5 point standards		Find the problem and re-calibrate	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
Ion Chromatography	Calibration check	Every 10 samples and at end of analytical run	90-110 % recovery	Re-check; re-calibrate and rerun all samples analyzed after last valid cal check	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
Spectrophotometer model – per project specific QAPP	1 point standard	Daily	All target compounds, initial relative standard deviation (RSD) ≤ 20%	Inspect system; correct problem; re-run standard and affected samples	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
Thermometer	Calibration	Quarterly; serviced annually	See instrument manual	Replace defective thermometer	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
Balance	Calibration verification	Daily - before use	See instrument manual	Troubleshoot as per equipment manual/call for renair	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
	Mass check	Daily - before use	See instrument manual	Troubleshoot as per equipment manual/call for	Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	
	Temperature check	Annually	± 2℃	repair		
Oven	Serviced annually	as per Manufacturer's instruction			Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Instrument	Calibration Procedure	Frequency of Calibration	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action (CA)	Person Responsible for CA	SOP Reference
pH meter	Daily buffer checks (2 point bracketing sample pH)	Before use/per batch; other checks as per rental company and manufacturer's recommendations	± 0.1 pH units or ± 0.05 pH units	Recheck; replace buffer solutions and recheck. If still fails perform instrument check or place out of service	– FTL Lab analyst / QA officer - TBD	TBD
YSI	Calibrate with standard solutions; as per instrument manufacturer's recommended procedures	Prior to day's activities; end of day's activities; anytime anomaly suspected	+/- 0.1 units	Clean probe, replace battery, replace membrane, replace probe	FTL	Manufacturer's Instructions
LaMotte Turbidity Meter	Calibrate with standard solutions; as per instrument manufacturer's recommended procedures	Prior to day's activities; end of day's activities; anytime anomaly suspected	See worksheet #12	Replace battery, replace standards, replace bottle, replace lightbulb	FTL	Manufacturer's Instructions

1. The FASTAC decision process will be used for procuring laboratory services. CLP, DESA and **services** subcontract laboratory's calibration and/or method SOPs will be utilized to meet calibration criteria. Specific instrument information (Manufacturer and model) is not available at this time.

2. To be determined (TBD) - Reference SOP depends on the laboratory assignment. EPA maintains the CLP laboratory SOP information. If a subcontract laboratory is needed, will submit their SOP as a field change request.

3. R represents the correlation coefficient

4. For field screening use the HACH test kit SOPs 8023 for hexavalent chromium and 8146 for ferrous iron located in Appendix C. Field instrument are calibrated by the supplier.

QAPP Worksheet #25 Analytical Instrument and Equipment Maintenance, Testing, and Inspection Table

Instrument/ Equipment	Maintenance Activity	Testing/Inspection Activity	Frequency	Acceptance Criteria	Corrective Action	Responsible Person	SOP Reference ¹				
Analytical instrument n	naintenance, testing and	inspection information ar	nd availability of spare pa	arts are not available sinc	e the FASTAC decision	process will be utilized for a	analytical services.				
Information is provided inspection frequencies	Information is provided in a second provided in the second laboratories' QA Manuals. A laboratory to be utilized (if DESA is not available) not determined at this time. Maintenance, testing and inspection frequencies are documented in the second laboratories SOPs.										
GC/MS	See TO-15; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	See TO-15; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	See TO-15; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	Acceptable re-calibration; see TO-15	Inspect the system, correct problem, re-calibrate and/or reanalyze samples.	EPA National Air Contract Laboratory GC/MS Technician	TO-15				
GC/MS	See SOM01.2; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	See SOM01.2; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	See SOM01.2; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	Acceptable re-calibration; see SOM01.2	Inspect the system, correct problem, re-calibrate and/or reanalyze samples.	EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	SOM01.2				
ĠC/ECD	See SOM01.2; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	See SOM01.2; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	See SOM01.2; as per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	Acceptable re-calibration; see SOM01.2	Inspect the system, correct problem, re-calibrate and/or reanalyze samples.	EPA CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician	SOM01.2				
ICP-AES / ICP-MS	As per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	As per instrument manufacturer's recommendations; check connections	As per instrument manufacturer's recommendations	Acceptable re-calibration; see ILM05.4	Inspect the system, correct problem, re-calibrate and/or reanalyze samples.	EPA CLP Laboratory ICP-AES / ICP-MS Technician	ILM05.4				
YSI Multi-parameter meter	Check/replace battery	Visual inspection	Prior to day's activities; anytime anomaly suspected	No visual defects; +/- 0.1 units	Replace battery; replace probe	TL	Manufacturer's Instructions				
LaMotte Turbidity Meter	Check/replace battery	Visual inspection	Prior to day's activities; anytime anomaly suspected	See worksheet #12	Replace battery; replace light bulb	FTL	Manufacturer's Instructions				

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

QAPP Worksheet #26 Sample Handling System

SAMPLE COLLECTION, PACKAGING, AND SHIPMENT

Sample Collection: The [**Staff** and subcontractors] will collect all samples. Sample numbers will be assigned as described below. A coding system will be used to identify each sample collected during the duration of the project. This coding system will provide a tracking record to allow retrieval of information about a particular sample and ensure that each sample is uniquely identified. Each sample is identified by a unique code which indicates the sample type, sample number, and, in some cases, sample depth. A sample numbering system is described below which provides a unique identifier for all samples that will be collected during the site field investigation. The total number and types of samples collected are detailed in Worksheet #18.

[Include here a description of how samples will be identified and named] [Soil/Groundwater Well/Surface Water/Surface Water]

Sample Packaging: (Personnel/Organization): Qualified personnel will perform the sample packaging. Sample packaging will follow TSOP 1-2 and TSOP 2-1; their RAC 2 clarifications; and the CLP Guidance for Field Samplers, January 2007, with the exception that: sample tags and vermiculite will not be used. Forms II Lite is mandatory and will be assigned to experienced field personnel. [Please note that due to elevated temperatures [in the summer/region], extra ice should be placed in the cooler to ensure that the temperature requirements are met].

Coordination of Shipment (Personnel/Organization): FTL,

ASC CLP coordinator

Type of Shipment/Carrier: Priority Overnight Shipping/TBD. Samples for Saturday delivery will have the airbills checked for Saturday delivery.

SAMPLE RECEIPT AND ANALYSIS

Sample Receipt (Personnel/Organization): Laboratory Sample Custodian - TBD as per FASTAC. The CLP Laboratory assignment sheet will indicate the laboratory sample custodian, and if a subcontract laboratory is required. The laboratory project officer will notify the field team of the laboratory sample custodian. Sample Custody and Storage (Personnel/Organization): TBD as per FASTAC [project-specific information here] Sample Preparation (Personnel/Organization): TBD as per FASTAC [project-specific information here] Sample Determinative Analysis (Personnel/Organization): TBD as per FASTAC [project-specific information here]

SAMPLE ARCHIVING

Field Sample Storage (No. of days from sample collection): All samples will be shipped to a CLP laboratory, DESA or a subcontract laboratory on the day of collection via priority overnight (FedEx). Samples may be hand delivered/courier depending on laboratory location.

Sample Extract/ Digestate Storage (No. of days from extraction/digestion): Refer to Worksheet #19 for holding time requirements

Biological Sample Storage (No. of days from sample collection): As applicable

SAMPLE DISPOSAL

Personnel/Organization: Laboratory responsible for analysis will dispose of samples in accordance with the applicable regulations.

Number of Days from Analysis: 90 days

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

QAPP Worksheet #27 Sample Custody Requirements

Sample Identification Procedures: Each sample will be labeled with the site identification code [] and sample type letter code and number that depicts a specific location. Each sample will also be labeled with a CLP or Non- CLP assigned number. Depending on the type of sample, additional information such as depth, sampling round, date, etc. will be added. Examples are provided in the QAPP.

Field Sample Custody Procedures (sample collection, packaging, shipment, and delivery to laboratory):

Packaging for all shipments will be performed according to the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Guidance for Field Samplers, Final (EPA 2007) and TSOP 2-1. To maintain a record of sample collection transfer between field personnel, shipment, and receipt by the laboratory, the applicable sample chain-of-custody paperwork (TSOP 1-2) is completed for each shipment (i.e., cooler) of packed sample bottles or summa cannisters. The team member actually performing the sampling is personally responsible for the care and custody of the samples collected until they are transferred properly. The field technician will review all field sampling activities to confirm that proper custody procedures were followed during the field work. Subcontractor personel relinquishing the sample to the courier will sign the chain of custody record.

All courier receipts and/or paperwork associated with the shipment of samples will serve as a custody record for the samples while they are in transit from the field to the laboratory. Custody seals should remain intact during this transfer.

Coolers are secured with nylon fiber tape and at least two custody seals are placed across cooler openings. Since custody forms are sealed inside the sample cooler and custody seals remain intact, commercial carriers are not required to sign the chain-of-custody form. [For summa cannister shipments, the summa cannister will be shipped in a box secured with nylon fiber tape and at least two custody seals placed across the box openings. No custody seals are required on the summa cannister itself]. Examples of custody seals are included in TSOP 1-2.

Laboratory Sample Custody Procedures (receipt of samples, archiving, disposal): A sample custodian at the laboratory will accept custody of shipped samples, and check them for discrepancies, proper preservation, integrity, etc. If noted, issues will be forwarded to the laboratory manager for corrective action. The sample custodian will relinquish custody to the appropriate department for analysis. [*Project specific QAPPs will indicate whether samples will be archived at the laboratory*]. Disposal of the samples will occur only after analyses and QA/QC checks are completed. This will complete sample transfer.

It will be each laboratory's responsibility to maintain internal logbooks and records that provide a custody record throughout sample preparation and analysis. To track field samples through data handling, the subcontractors responsible for sampling will maintain photocopies of all chain-of-custody forms.

(UFP-QAPP Manual Section 3.4)

Complete a separate worksheet for each sampling technique, analytical method/SOP, matrix, analytical group, and concentration level. If method/SOP QC acceptance limit exceed the measurement performance criteria, the data obtained may be unusable for making project decisions.

Duplicates

Field duplicate samples are collected and analyzed to assess the overall precision of the field sampling technique. Duplicate samples, of a similar matrix, will be collected at a rate of five percent or at least one per every 20 samples. These duplicates will be submitted "blind" to the laboratories by using sample numbers that differ from their associated environmental samples. For groundwater samples collected during the annual site-wide groundwater event, duplicate samples will be collected on a per event basis. For process samples, duplicate samples will be collected based on an ongoing sample count basis.

Duplicate samples will be collected by alternately filling bottles for the same analysis. Duplicate air samples will be co-located.

Trip Blanks

A trip blank will be prepared by the LTRA subcontract team at the start of each day on which aqueous samples will be collected for analysis of VOCs and ethane/ethene. Trip blanks are used to determine whether on site atmospheric contaminants are seeping into the sample vials, or if any cross-contamination of samples is occurring during shipment or storage of sample containers. A trip blank consists of demonstrated analyte-free water sealed in 40-ml Teflon septum vials with no headspace (including bubbles) in the vials. Trip blank water will be considered analyte-free when analysis results for VOC analysis are below Contract Required Quantitation Limits (CRQL). Certification of blank water quality will be kept on site and will be filed in the RAC 2 project files once field work is completed. A sample of the blank water lot used in the field will be submitted for confirmatory analysis.

Trip blanks are to be kept in close proximity to the samples being collected and will be maintained at 4degrees Celsius (°C) and handled in the same manner as the other VOC or ethane/ethene aqueous samples. Preservation of trip blanks is presented on Worksheet # 19. One trip blank will be included with each daily shipment that contains aqueous samples collected for VOC analysis and one trip blank will be included with each daily shipment that contains aqueous samples collected for VOC analysis and one trip blank will be included with each daily shipment that contains aqueous samples collected for ethane/ethene analysis. Trip blanks will be analyzed by the same VOC method as the associated set of VOC samples. Trip blanks will be analyzed by the same ethane/ethene method as the associated set of ethane/ethane samples.

Field Blanks

One field blank will be collected for each equipment type per decontamination event and will be analyzed for the same constituents as the environmental samples. Field blanks, also known as "rinsate blanks" or "equipment blanks," are used to assess the effectiveness of equipment decontamination. Field blanks will be collected before the use of the decontaminated equipment for sampling. The frequency for field blanks is one per decontamination event, not to exceed one per day, for each equipment type and for each sample matrix. Field blanks are generated by pouring demonstrated analyte-free water over or through the decontaminated sampling tool. The definition of demonstrated analyte-free water is discussed in the previous section. Field blanks will be collected in a way that will minimize potential contamination from the ambient air. The use of the same aliquot of water on all equipment associated with a particular matrix for the required analyses is permissible. However, a separate field rinse blank must be collected for each piece of equipment associated with a particular sample matrix that will be analyzed for VOCs. Preservation of field blanks is specified on Worksheet # 19. Field blanks will accompany the set of samples collected by the decontaminated sampling equipment and will be kept at 4°C.

Cooler Temperature Indicators

One cooler temperature indicator or "temperature blank" will be placed in each cooler containing samples (solid and aqueous) being sent to the laboratory for analysis. The temperature blank will consist of a sample container filled with non-preserved water (potable or distilled). The container will be labeled "COOLER TEMPERATURE INDICATOR" and dated.

Matrix Spikes

Matrix spikes (MS) are laboratory QC samples drawn from excess volumes of existing samples to demonstrate the accuracy of laboratory analysis. In accordance with EPA Region 2, matrix spikes will be designated on environmental samples at a rate of one per sample delivery group (SDG). This designation will be noted on the sample container labels and the sample paperwork. An SDG is defined as one of the following:

- 1. All samples of an analytical case if the sample number is less than 20 (including environmental duplicates and QC blanks) and if sampling is completed within 7 calendar days.
- 2. Each group of 20 samples within an analytical case (including environmental duplicates, but excluding QC blanks) if the number is greater than 20.
- 3. Each 7-day calendar day period during which samples within an analytical case are received. This period begins with the receipt of the first sample in the SDG.

Triple volume may be required for aqueous VOC matrix MS/MSD if a subcontract laboratory is being used and are not required for CLP method SOM01.2. The water quality parameters do not require extra volume unless identified on Worksheet #19 and confirmed with a non-CLP laboratory.



Matrix		Gas/ Air					
Analytical Group		VOCs					
Concentration Level		Low (ppbv)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See Worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/SC	OP Reference	TO-15					
Sampler's Name		TBD	BD				
Field Sampling Orga	nization						
Analytical Organizati	on	As per FASTAC	······································				
No. of Sample Locati	ons	See Worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	ective Action Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Notify PM and flag field duplicate results	ASC a	nd FTL	Precision	25 -50% RPD as determined by project-specific QAPP
Laboratory Method Blank	1 per 20 samples	No analyte >CRQL	Suspend analysis unit source recertified	National Air Technician	Contract Laboratory	Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL
Laboratory Replicate Sample	1 per 20 samples	± 25%D	± 25%D	National Air Contract Laboratory Technician		Precision	± 25%RPD
Laboratory Control Sample	1 per 20 samples	<u>+</u> 30% R	Flag outliers	National Air Technician	Contract Laboratory	Accuracy	<u>+</u> 30% R

Matrix		Gas/ Air					
Analytical Group		VOCs					
Concentration Level		Low (ppbv)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See Worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/SOP Reference		CLP SAV01.X	· · · · ·				
Sampler's Name		TBD					
Field Sampling Organization	n						
Analytical Organization		As per FASTAC					
No. of Sample Locations		See Worksheet #20	See Worksheet #20				
QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) for Correc	Responsible ctive Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Notify PM and flag field duplicate results	ASC :	and FTL	Precision	25 -50% RPD as determined by project-specific QAPP
Laboratory Method Blank	1 per 20 samples	No analyte > ½ CRQL	Suspend analysis unit source recertified	National Air Laboratory	Contract Technician	Accuracy	No analyte > ½ CRQL
Laboratory Replicate Sample	1 per 20 samples	± 25%RPD	± 25%RPD	National Air Laboratory	r Contract Technician	Precision	± 25%RPD
Laboratory Control Sample	1 per 20 samples	<u>+</u> 30%R	Flag outliers	National Air Laboratory	· Contract Technician	Accuracy	<u>+</u> 30%R

QAPP Worksheet #28 Continued

QC Samples Table

for

Routine Analytical Services

Aqueous TCL and TAL Analyses

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

Matrix	-		Aqueous							
Analytical Gro	oup ~		TCL Trace	Concentration	VOCs					
Concentration	n Level		Trace (µg/L	.)		j				
Sampling SO	P(s)		See Worksh	neet #21						
Analytical Me	thod/SOP Reference	;e	SOM01.2	<u> </u>	······					
Sampler's Na	me		TBD							
Field Samplin	n Organization									
Analytical Or	anization	_ _	As per EAS	TAC IDESA or						
No. of Comple			Con Warkel						•	
No. of Sample			See worksr				 .	Data Quality	· ·	······
QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method	d/SOP QC A Limits	Acceptance	Corrective Action	Responsible	for tion	Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Pe Criteria	rformance a
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	······································		Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC and	FTL	Precision	25 -50% RPD as determined by project-specific QAPP	
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degre	es Celsius		Increase coolant	FTL		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	
Trip Blank	1 per cooler	≤ CRQL			Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers	Laboratory ana	alyst	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL	
Field Blank	1 per decontamination event not to exceed 1 per day	≤ CRQL			Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decon procedures.	Laboratory ana /	alyst	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL	
Method Blank	1 every 12 hours	No analyt	te > CRQL*		Suspend analysis unit source recertified			Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	
		1,1-Dichlo	oroethene	61-145 %R			Γ		1,1-Dichloroethene	61-145 %R
Matrix Spike	1 per 20	Benzene		76-127 %R					Benzene	76-127 %R
(Not	samples; if	Trichloroe	ethene	71-120 %R	Flag outliers	_		Accuracy	Trichloroethene	71-120 %R
Required)	requested	Toluene		76-125 %R		DESA			Toluene	76-125 %R
		Chlorober	nzene	75-13 <u>0</u> %R		or EDA CLD	Ĺ		Chlorobenzene	75-130 %R
		1,1-Dichlo	oroethene	0-14 %RPD		Laboratory GC/	/MS		1,1-Dichloroethene	0-14_%RPD
Natrix Spike	1 per 20	Benzene		0-11 %RPD		Technician			Benzene	0-11 %RPD
(Not	samples; if	Trichloroe	ethene	0-14 %RPD	Flag outliers			Precision	Trichloroethene	0-14 %RPD
Required)	ouired) requested Toluene 0-13 %		0-13 %RPD			1		Toluene	0-13 %RPD	
		Chlorober	nzene	0-13 %RPD					Chlorobenzene	0-13 %RPD
Deuterated Monitoring	all samples	Vinyl chlo	oride-d3	65 -1 31 %R	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze			Accuracy	Vinyl chloride-d3	65-131 %R
Compounds		Chloroeth	ane-d5	71-131 %R	affected samples				Chloroethane-d5	71-131 %R

*with the exception of methylene chloride, 2-butanone and acetone which can be up to 2 times the CRQL, or in some situations may require these compounds be up to 4 times the CRQL.

QAPP Worksheet #28	
QC Samples Table	

QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits		Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria	
			TCL Trace	Concentration VOCs	Continued			
		1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	55-104 %R				1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	55-104 %R
		2-Butanone-d5	49-155 %R		DESA or EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	2-Butanone-d5	49-155 %R
Deuterated Monitoring all same		Chloroform-d	78-121 %R	Check			Chloroform-d	78-121 %R
		1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	78-129 %R	calculations and			1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	78-129 %R
		Benzene-d6	77-124 %R	instruments, reanalyze affected samples; up to 3 DMCs per sample may fail			Benzene-d6	77-124 %R
		1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	79-124 %R				1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	79-124 %R
	all samples	Toluene-d8	77-121 %R				Toluene-d8	77-121 %R
Compounds		trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	73-121 %R				trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	73-121 %R
[cont a]		2-Hexanone-d5	28-135 %R				2-Hexanone-d5	28-135 %R
		1,4-Dioxane-d8	50-150 %R				1,4-Dioxane-d8	50-150 %R
		1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	73-125 %R	recovery limits			1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	73-125 %R
		1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80-131 %R				1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80-131 %R
Internal Standards	all samples	60-140%		Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples		Accuracy	<u>+</u> 40 % of response area, <u>+</u> 20 s time shift	sec retention

λ

.

QAPP Worksheet #28

					ac campics ra				
Matrix Aqueous									
Analytical Grou	up		TCL VOCs						
Concentration	Level		Low (µg/L)		······································				
Sampling SOP	(s)		See Works	heet #21	····				
Analytical Meth	nod/SOP Reference	•	SOM01.2						
Sampler's Name			TBD		<u> </u>				
Field Sampling	Organization				······				
Analytical Orga	anization		As per FAS	STAC [DESA or	CLP1				
No. of Sample	Locations		See Works	heet #20	•				
QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Metho	d/SOP QC / Limits	Acceptance	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Pe Criteria	rformance a
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None			Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC and PM	Precision	25 -50% RPD as det project-specific QAP	ermined by P
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degr	ees Celsius		Increase coolant	FTL	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	
Trip Blank	1 per cooler	≤ CRQL			Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers	Laboratory analyst	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL	
Field Blank	1 per decontamination event not to exceed 1 per day	≤ CRQL			Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decon procedures.	Laboratory analyst /	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL	
Method Blank	1 every 12 hours	No analy	/te > CRQL*	_	Suspend analysis unit source recertified	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*	
MS (Not Required)	1 per 20 samples, if requested	1,1-Dich Benzene Trichloro Toluene Chlorobe	loroethene	61-145 %R 76-127 %R 71-120 %R 76-125 %R 75-130 %R	Flag outliers	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	1,1-Dichloroethene Benzene Trichloroethene Toluene Chlorobenzene	61-145 %R 76-127 %R 71-120 %R 76-125 %R 75-130 %R
MSD (Not Required)	1 per 20 samples; if requested	1,1-Dich Benzene Trichloro Toluene Chlorobe	enzene 75-130 %R loroethene 0-14 %RPD e 0-11 %RPD bethene 0-14 %RPD 0-13 %RPD 0-13 %RPD		Flag outliers	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Precision	1,1-Dichloroethene Benzene Trichloroethene Toluene Chlorobenzene	0-14 %RPD 0-11 %RPD 0-14 %RPD 0-13 %RPD 0-13 %RPD
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds	all samples	Vinyl chl Chloroet	oride-d3 hane-d5	65-131 <u>%R</u> 71-131 %R	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples; see asterisk below	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	Vinyl chloride-d3 Chloroethane-d5	<u>65-131 %R</u> 71-131 %R

*with the exception of methylene chloride, 2-butanone and acetone which can be up to 2 times the CRQL.

QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits		Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criter	
			TCI	VOCs (Low) Cont	inued			
		1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	55-104 %R				1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	55-104 %R
-	ļ	2-Butanone-d5	49-155 %R	Check			2-Butanone-d5	49-155 %R
Deuterated Monitoring Compounds all samples		Chloroform-d	78-121 %R	calculations	DESA or EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	Chloroform-d	78-121 %R
		1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	78-129 %R	and			1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	78-129 %R
	all samples	Benzene-d6	<u>77-124 %R</u>	affected samples; *up to			Benzene-d6	77-124 %R
		1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	79-124 %R				1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	79-124 %R
		Toluene-d8	77-121 %R				Toluene-d8	77-121 %R
[cont'd]		trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	73-12 <u>1 %R</u>				trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	73-121 %R
	Í	2-Hexanone-d5	<u>28-135 %R</u>	sample may fail			2-Hexanone-d5	28-135 %R
		1,4-Dioxane-d8	<u>50</u> -150 <u>%</u> R	to meet			1,4-Dioxane-d8	50-150 %R
		1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	73-125 %R	recovery limits			1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	73-125 %R
		1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80-131 %R				1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	80-131 %R
Internal Standards	all samples	1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 80-131 %R		Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	DESA or EPA CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	<u>+</u> 40 % of response area, <u>+</u> 20 time shift	sec retention

Matrix		<u></u>	Aqueous	Aqueous							
Analytical Gro	oup		TCL SVOCs	TCL SVOCs							
Concentratio	n Level		Low/Medium (µg	g/L)							
Sampling SO	 P(s)		See Worksheet	#21			ę.				
Analytical Method/SOP Reference SOM01.2								· •			
Sampler's No	mo	<u> </u>	TRD								
Sampler S Na											
Field Samplin	g Organization										
Analytical Org	ganization		As per FASTAC	[DESA or CLP]	 						
No. of Sample	e Locations		See Worksheet	#20							
QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method	I/SOP QC Accept	ance Limits	Corrective Action	Perso Responsi Corrective	n(s) ible for e Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performa	nce Criteria	
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None			Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC	and PM	Precision	25 -50% RPD as determined project-specific QAPP	l by	
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degree	es Celsius		Increase coolant	FTL		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius		
Field Blank	1 per decontamination event not to exceed 1 per day	≤ CRQL	-		Verify results. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory / PM	analyst	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL		
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples or batch	No analyte	e > CRQL*		Stop analysis unit source recertified	DESA or C Laboratory Technician	LP GC/MS	Accuracy	≤ CRQL		
		Phenol		12-110 %R					Phenol	12-110 %R	
	}	2-Chlorop	henol	27-123 %R	4	}		}	2-Chlorophenol	27-123 %R	
Markin Online	1	N-Nitroso-	di-n-propylamine	41-116 %R		DE04 0			N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	41-116 %K	
Natrix Spike	1 per 20	4-Unioro-	s-methylphenol	23-97 %R	Elag outliere	DESA or C	COME	Acouracy	4-Chioro-3-methylphenol	1 23-97 %R	
Required)	requested	4-Nitrophe		29-94 %R		Technician	GONNO	Acculacy	A-Nitrophenol	29-94 %P	
r (oquirou)	/oquobiou	2 4-Dinitro	toluene	24-96 %R	-				2 4-Dinitrotoluene	24-96 %R	
		Pentachlo	rophenol	9-103 %R	-				Pentachlorophenol	9-103 %R	
		Pyrene		26-127 %R	1				Pyrene	26-127 %R	
7		Phenol	·····	0-42 %RPD					Phenol	0-42 %RPD	
		2-Chlorop	henol	0-40 %RPD					2-Chlorophenol	0-40 %RPD	
Matrix Calles		N-Nitroso-	di-n-propylamine	0-38 %RPD]				N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0-38 %RPD	
Matrix Spike	1 per 20	4-Chloro-3	-methylphenol	0-42 %RPD		DESA or C	LP		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0-42 %RPD	
(Not	samples; if	Acenaphti	nene	0-31 %RPD	Flag outliers	Laboratory	GC/MS	Precision	Acenaphthene	0-31 %RPD	
Required)	requested	4-Nitrophe	nol	0-50 %RPD		Technician			4-Nitrophenol	0-50 %RPD	
		2,4-Dinitro	toluene	0-38 %RPD	4				2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0-38 %RPD	
		Pentachlo	rophenol	0-50 %RPD	4				Pentachlorophenol	0-50 %RPD	
		Pyrene		<u>0-31 %RPD</u>					Pyrene	0-31 %RPD	

*with the exception of bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate which can be up to 5 times the CRQL. (EPA CLP National Functional Guidelines, Final, July 2007)

-

QAPP Worksheet #28 QC Samples Table

Lab QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Accepta	nce Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Perfo	rmance Criteria		
					TCL SVOCs [cont'd]					
	1	Phenol-d5	39-106 %R]			Phenol-d5	39-106 %R		
		Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether-d8	40-105 %R			Accuracy	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether- d8	40-105 %R		
		2-Chlorophenol-d4	41-106 %R				2-Chlorophenol-d4	41-106 %R		
		4-Methylphenol-d8	25-111 %R		DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician		4-Methylphenol-d8	25-111 %R		
Deuterated		Nitrobenzene-d5	43-108 %R	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples; up to 4 DMCs may fail to meet recovery		Accuracy	Nitrobenzene-d5	43-108 %R		
		2-Nitrophenol-d4	40-108 %R				2-Nitrophenol-d4	40-108 %R		
		2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	37-105 %R				2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	37-105 %R		
		4-Chloroaniline-d4	1-145 %R				4-Chloroaniline-d4	1-145 %R		
Compounds	all samples	Dimethylphthalate-d6	47-114 %R				Dimethylphthalate-d6	47-114 %R		
Compounds		Acenaphthylene-d8	41-107 %R				Acenaphthylene-d8	41-107 %R		
		4-Nitrophenol-d4	33-116 %R				4-Nitrophenol-d4	33-116 %R		
		Fluorene-d10	42-111 %R	limits			Fluorene-d10	42-111 %R		
		4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	22-104 %R				4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphe nol-d2	22-104 %R		
		Anthracene-d10	44-110 %R			ł	Anthracene-d10	44-110 %R		
		Pyrene-d10	52-119 %R				Pyrene-d10	52-119 %R		
		Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	32-121 %R			Accuracy	Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	32-121 %R		
Internal Standards	all samples	50-100% of area, <u>+</u> 20 second retention time shift		Check calculations/instru ments reanalyze affected samples	DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	50-100% of area, <u>+</u> 20 second retention shift			

.

Matrix	,,, <u></u> _,,,,,,,,, _	Aqueous		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Analytical Group)	TCL Pesticides							
Concentration L	evel	Low/Medium (µg]/L)						
Sampling SOP(s)	See Worksheet	#21						
Analytical Metho	d/SOP Reference	SOM01.2							
Sampler's Name		TBD							
Field Sampling (Organization			· · · ·					
Analytical Organ	ization	As per FASTAC	[DESA or CLP]						
No. of Sample Lo	ocations	See Worksheet	#20						
QC Sample:	Frequency/Number	Method/SOP C	C Acceptance	Corrective Action	Person for Co	(s) Responsible rrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement I Crite	Performance ria
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None		Notify PM and flag duplicate results	As	C and PM	Precision	25 -50% RPD as project-specific C	determined by APP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Cels	sius	Increase coolant	FTI		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	
Field Blank	1 per decon event not to exceed 1 per day	≤ CRQL		Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst / CDM PM		Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL	
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples or whenever samples extracted	No analyte > CR	QL	Suspend analysis unit source recertified	DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician		Accuracy	Analyte ≤ CRQL	
Matrix Spike	1 per 20 samples; if requested	gamma-BHC (Lindane) Heptachlor Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDT	56-123 %R 40-131 %R 40-120 %R 52-126 %R 56-121 %R 38-127 %R	Flag outliers	DESA or GC/ECD	CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	gamma-BHC (Lindane) Heptachlor Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDT	56-123 %R 40-131 %R 40-120 %R 52-126 %R 56-121 %R 38-127 %R
Matrix Spike Duplicate	1 per 20 samples; if requested	gamma-BHC Heptachlor Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDT	0-15 % RPD 0-20 % RPD 0-22 % RPD 0-18 % RPD 0-21 % RPD 0-27 % RPD	Flag outliers DES GC/I		CLP Laboratory Technician	Precision	gamma-BHC Helptachlor Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin 4,4'-DDT	0-15 %RPD 0-20 %RPD 0-22 %RPD 0-18 %RPD 0-21 %RPD 0-27 %RPD
Laboratory Control Sample	1 per 20 samples	gamma-BHC	50-120 %R	instruments, reanalyze affected samples	DESA or GC/ECD	CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	gamma-BHC	50-120 %R

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

.

Matrix	Aqueous							
Analytical Group		TCL Pesticides [cont'd]						
Concentration Level Low/Medium (µg/L)								
Sampling SOP(s) See Worksheet #21						·		
Analytical Method/SOP Reference SOM01.2								
Sampler's Name TBD								
Field Sampling Org	anization							
Analytical Organiza	tion	As per FASTAC [DESA or (As per FASTAC [DESA or CLP]					
No. of Sample Locations		See Worksheet #20						
		per Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits						
QC Sample:	Frequency/Numb	er Method/SOP QC Acc	ceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Pe Criteria	erformance a
QC Sample:	Frequency/Numb	er Method/SOP QC Acc Heptachlor epoxide	50-150 %R	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Pe Criteria Helpachlor epoxide	erformance a 50-150 %R
QC Sample:	Frequency/Numb	er Method/SOP QC Acc Heptachlor epoxide Dieldrin	50-150 %R 30-130 %R	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Pe Criteria Helpachlor epoxide Dieldrin	rformance a 50-150 %R 30-130 %R
QC Sample: Laboratory Control Sample	Frequency/Numb	Heptachlor epoxide Dieldrin 4.4'-DDE	50-150 %R 30-130 %R 50-150 %R	Corrective Action Check calculations and instruments,	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Pe Criteria Helpachlor epoxide Dieldrin 4,4'-DDE	formance 50-150 %R 30-130 %R 50-150 %R
QC Sample: Laboratory Control Sample [cont'd]	Frequency/Numb	er Method/SOP QC Acc Heptachlor epoxide Dieldrin 4,4'-DDE Endrin	50-150 %R 30-130 %R 50-150 %R 50-150 %R 50-120 %R	Corrective Action Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician	Data Quality Indicator (DQI) Accuracy	Measurement Pe Criteria Helpachlor epoxide Dieldrin 4,4'-DDE Endrin	50-150 %R 30-130 %R 50-150 %R 50-150 %R 50-120 %R
QC Sample: Laboratory Control Sample [cont'd]	Frequency/Numb	er Method/SOP QC Acc Heptachlor epoxide Dieldrin 4,4'-DDE Endrin Endosulfan sulfate	50-150 %R 30-130 %R 50-150 %R 50-150 %R 50-120 %R 50-120 %R	Corrective Action Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician	Data Quality Indicator (DQI) Accuracy	Measurement Pe Criteria Helpachlor epoxide Dieldrin 4,4'-DDE Endrin Endosulfan sulfate	50-150 %R 30-130 %R 50-150 %R 50-150 %R 50-120 %R 50-120 %R
QC Sample: Laboratory Control Sample [cont'd]	Frequency/Numb	er Method/SOP QC Acc Heptachlor epoxide Dieldrin 4,4'-DDE Endrin Endosulfan sulfate gamma-Chlordane	50-150 %R 30-130 %R 50-150 %R 50-150 %R 50-120 %R 50-120 %R 30-130 %R	Corrective Action Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician	Data Quality Indicator (DQI) Accuracy	Measurement Pe Criteria Helpachlor epoxide Dieldrin 4,4'-DDE Endrin Endosulfan sulfate gamma-Chlordane	50-150 %R 30-130 %R 50-150 %R 50-150 %R 50-120 %R 50-120 %R 30-130 %R

-

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #28 QC Samples Table

Matrix		Aqueous								
Analytical Group		TCL PCBs								
Concentration Leve	el	Low/Medium (µg/L)								
Sampling SOP(s) See Worksheet #21										
Analytical Method/SOP Reference SOM01.2										
Sampler's Name		TBD	<u> </u>	····						
Field Sampling Org	anization									
Analytical Organiza	ation	As per FASTAC [DE	SA or CLP]							
No. of Sample Loca	ations	See Worksheet #20								
QC Sample:	Frequency/Numb	er Method/SOP	QC Acceptance nits	Corrective Action	Perso	n(s) Responsible for orrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measureme C	nt Performance riteria	
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None		Notify PM and flag duplicate results	A	SC and PM	Precision	25 -50% RPD as determined by project-specific QAPP		
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Ce	sius	Increase coolant	F		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius		
Field Blank	1 per decon event r to exceed 1 per day	^{ot} ≤ CRQL	-	Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check Laborat decontamination procedures.		ory analyst / CDM PM	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL		
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples of whenever samples extracted	No analyte > CF	RQL	Suspend analysis unit source recertified	DESA o GC/ECI	r CLP Laboratory D Technician	Accuracy	No analyte > C	RQL	
Matrix Spike	1 per 20 samples; if requested	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1260	29-135 %R 29-135 %R	Flag outliers	DESA o GC/ECI	r CLP Laboratory) Technician	Accuracy	Aroclor-1016 Aroclor-1260	29-135 %R 29-135 %R	
Matrix Spike	1 per 20 samples; if	Aroclor-1016	0-15 %RPD	Elag outliers	DESA o	r CLP Laboratory	Drecision	Aroclor-1016	0-15 %RPD	
Dupnoate	requested	Aroclor-1260	0-20 %RPD	r lag outliers	GC/EC) Technician		Aroclor-1260	0-20 %RPD	
Laboratory Control		Aroclor-1016	50-150 %R	Check calculations	DECA			Aroclor-1016	50-150 %R	
Sample	1 per 20 samples	Aroclor-1260	50-150 %R	reanalyze affected samples	GC/ECI	r CLP Laboratory) Technician	Accuracy	Aroclor-1260	50-150 %R	
Surrogate	all samples	30-150 %R		Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	DESA o GC/ECI	r CLP Laboratory) Technician	Accuracy	30-150 %R		
Matrix		Aqueous								
---	---	--	---	--	-------------------------	---------------------------------	--	--	--	--
Analytical Group		TAL inorganic Metals								
Concentration Leve	el	Low/Medium (µg/L)								
Sampling SOP(s)		See Worksheet #21								
Analytical Method/	SOP Reference	ILM05.4								
Sampler's Name		TBD								
Field Sampling Org	janization									
Analytical Organization		As per FASTAC [DESA or C	:LP]							
No. of Sample Loca	ations	See Worksheet #20	<u> </u>							
QC Sample:	Frequency/Numbe	er Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) F for Correc	Responsible tive Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria			
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC a	ind PM	Precision	25 -50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP			
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Increase coolant	FTL		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius			
Field Blank	1 per decontamination event not to exceed 1 day	n per ≤ CRQL	Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst /		Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL			
Preparation Blank	1 per 20 samples	No constituent > CRQL	Suspend analysis rectify source; redigest and reanalyze affected samples	DESA or CLP Laboratory ICP Technician		Accuracy	No constituent > CRQL			
Spike	1 per 20 samples	75-125%R*	Flag outliers	DESA or CL ICP Technic	P Laboratory ian	Accuracy	75-125%R*			
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	± 20% RPD**	Flag outliers	DESA or CL ICP Technic	P Laboratory	Precision	± 20% RPD**			
Post-Digestion Spike	after any analyte (exc Ag and Hg) fails spike %R	ept 75-125%R	Flag outliers	DESA or CL ICP Technic	P Laboratory ian	Accuracy	75-125%R			
Interference Check Sample [ICP Analysis Only]	beginning, end and periodically (not less than 1 per 20 samples	± 2 x CRQL of true value or ± 20% of true value, whichever is greater	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	ns and DESA or CLP Laboratory ICP Technician		Sensitivity	± 2 times CRQL of true value or ± 20% of true value, whichever is greater			
Laboratory Control Sample	1 per 20 samples	80-120%R (except Ag and Sb)	Suspend analysis until source rectified; redigest and reanalyze affected samples	DESA or CLI ICP Technic	P Laboratory ian	Accuracy	80-120%R (except Ag and Sb)			

*except when the sample concentration is greater than 4 times the spike concentration, then disregrard the recoveries; no data validation action taken **Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria)

**except when the sample and/or duplicate concentration is less than 5 times the CRQL, then + CRQL

•

E		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			5				
Matrix		Aqueous	•						
Analytical Group		TAL - Total Me	rcury						
Concentration Level		Low/Medium (µ	ıg/L)						
Sampling SOP(s)		See worksheet	#21						
Analytical Method/SOP Refe	rence	ILM05.4 - Cold	Vapor Atomic Absorptio	n (CVAA)					
Sampler's Name		TBD		:					
Field Sampling Organization									
Analytical Organization		As per FASTAC	[DESA or CLP]						
No. of Sample Locations		See worksheet	#20						
QC Sample:	Frequency/Number		Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria		
Field Duplicate	1 per 2	20 samples	None	Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC and PM	Precision	25 -50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP		
Temperature Blank	1 per c	ooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Increase coolant	FTL	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius		
Field Blank	Field Blank 1 per decon event not to exceed 1 per day		≤ CRQL	Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst /	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL		
Preparation Blank (PB) 1 per 20 samples No analyte > CR		No analyte > CRQL	Suspend analysis; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL			
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 2	0 samples	<u>+</u> 20% RPD*	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Precision	± 20% RPD		
Spike Sample	1 per 2	0 samples	75 – 125 %R	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	75 – 125 %R		

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria)

Matrix	······································	Aqu	eous					
Analytical Group		TAL	- Total Cyanide					
Concentration Level		Low	/Medium (µg/L)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See	worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/SOP	Reference	ILM	05.4 - Colorimeter or Spect	rophotometer				
Sampler's Name		TBD	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Field Sampling Organization								
Analytical Organization	h	As p	er FASTAC [DESA or CLP]					
No. of Sample Location	ns	See	worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Nun	nber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	SOP QC ce Limits Corrective Action Person(s) Responsibl for Corrective Action		s) Responsible rective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 sample	 \$\$	None	Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC and PM		Precision	25 -50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler		≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Increase coolant	FTL		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius
Field Blank	1 per decon eve not to exceed 1 day	nt per	≤ CRQL	Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst / PM		Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL
Preparation Blank (PB)	1 per ≤ 20 samp	les	No analyte > CRQL	Suspend analysis; redistill and reanalyze	DESA or Technicia	CLP Laboratory n	Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per ≤ 20 samp	les	± 20% RPD*	Flag outliers DESA c Technic		CLP Laboratory	Precision	± 20% RPD
Spike Sample	1 per ≤ 20 samp	les	75 – 125 %R	Flag outliers	DESA or Technicia	CLP Laboratory	Accuracy	75 – 125 %R

*Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria)

. •

QAPP Worksheet #28

QC Samples Table

For

Routine Analytical Services

Soil - Organic TCL and TAL Analyses

Matrix		Soil							
Analytical Group		AVS - SEM VOCs							
Concentration Le	vel	Low/Medium (mg/kg)							
Sampling SOP(s)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	See Worksheet #21	See Worksheet #21						
Analytical Method	SOP Reference	ILMO5.4/EPA 376	······································						
Sampler's Name		TBD	TBD						
Field Sampling O	ganization								
Analytical Organi	zation	As per FASTAC [DESA or CL							
No. of Sample Lo	cations	See Worksheet #20							
QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria			
	<u></u>	Refer to so	oil TAL metals worksheet	for SEM criteria	<u></u>				

.

.

.

Matrix			Soil/ Sec	liment								
Analytical Gr	oup		TCL VO	Cs								
Concentratio	n Level		Low/Med	lium (mg/kg)								
Sampling SO	P(s)		See Wo	ksheet #21								
Analytical Me	thod/SOP Referen	ce	SOM01.	2								
Sampler's Na	me		TBD									
Field Samplin	ng Organization											
Analytical Or	ganization	······································	As per F	As per FASTAC [DESA or CLP]								
No. of Sample	e Locations		See Wo	ksheet #20								
QC Sample: Frequency/ Metho Number			SOP QC	Acceptance	Corrective Action	Resj Corre	Person(s) ponsible for ective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Pe Criteria	rformance I		
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None			Notify PM and flag duplicate results		ASC and PM	Precision	35 -100% RPD as de project-specific QAP	etermined by P		
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degree	es Celsius		Increase coolant	F	TL	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius	5		
Field Blank	1 per decontamination event not to exceed 1 per day	≤ CRQL	CRQL		Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst /		Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL	•		
Method Blank	1 every 12 hours	No analyte	> CRQL*	_	Suspend analysis unit source recertified	DESA/ CLP Laboratory GC/MS		Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*			
		1,1-Dichlor	roethene	59-172 %R					1,1-Dichloroethene	59-172 %R		
Matrix Spike	1 per ≤ 20	Trichloroet	hene	62-137 %R		DESA/	CLP		Trichloroethene	62-137 %R		
(NOL Required)	samples; if	Benzene Teluene	·	60-142 %R	i Flag outliers	Labora	atory GC/MS	Accuracy	Benzene	50 420 WD		
(Required)	Tequested	Chloroben	7000	60-133 %P	1	Techni	ICIAII		Chlorobonzono	59-139 %R		
		1,1-Dichlor	roethene	0-22 %RPD					1,1-Dichloroethene	0-22 %RPD		
Matrix Spike	4	Trichloroet	hene	0-24 %RPD				Data Quality Indicator (DQI) Precision Accuracy Accuracy / Contamination Accuracy Accuracy Accuracy Precision	Trichloroethene	0-24 %RPD		
Duplicate (Not	1 per ≤ 20 samples; if requested	Benzene		0-21 %RPD	Flag outliers	Labora	atory GC/MS	Precision	Benzene	0-21 %RPD		
Required)	requested	Toluene		0-21 %RPD			Gall		Toluene	0-21 %RPD		
		Chloroben	zene	0-21 %RPD					Indicator (DQI) Measurement Perfo Criteria Precision 35 -100% RPD as deterproject-specific QAPP Accuracy ≤ 10 degrees Celsius Accuracy / Contamination ≤ CRQL Accuracy Interformer Accuracy ≤ CRQL Accuracy No analyte > CRQL* Accuracy No analyte > CRQL* Accuracy Interformer Accuracy No analyte > CRQL* Accuracy Interformer Accuracy No analyte > CRQL* Accuracy Interformer Benzene Interformer Precision Benzene Interformer Benzene Interformer Interformer Accuracy Benzene Interformer Accuracy Benzene Inter Accuracy			

*with the exception of methylene chloride, 2-butanone & acetone which can be up to 2 times the CRQL. (EPA CLP National Functional Guidelines, Final, July 2007)

Matrix		Soil/ Sediment							
Analytical Group		TCL VOCs [cont'd]							
Concentration Level		Low/Medium (mg/kg)				,			
Sampling SOP(s)		See Worksheet #21							
Analytical Method/SC	P Reference	SOM01.2							
Sampler's Name		TBD							
Field Sampling Organ	nization								
Analytical Organization		As per FASTAC [DESA or CLP	']						
No. of Sample Locati	ons	See Worksheet #20	-						
QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Accepta	nce Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performant	ce Criteria	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Vinyl chloride-d3	68-122 %R	Check calculations and			Vinyl chloride-d3	68-122 %R	
		Chloroethane-d5	61-130 %R				Chloroethane-d5	61-130 %R	
		1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	45-132 %R				1,1-Dichloroethene-d2	45-132 %R	
	· ·	2-Butanone-d5	20-182 %R				2-Butanone-d5	20-182 %R	
		Chloroform-d	72-123 %R	reanalyze			Chloroform-d	72-123 %R	
Deuterated		1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	79-122 %R	affected samples	DESA/CLP		1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	<u>79-122 %R</u>	
Monitoring	all samples	Benzene-d6	80-121 %R	up to 3 DMCs per	Laboratory GC/MS	Accuracy	Benzene-d6	80-121 %R	
Compounds		1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	74-124 %R	sample may fail to	Technician	,	1,2-Dichloropropane-d6	<u>74-124 %R</u>	
Composition		Toluene-d8	78-121 %R	meet necessary			Toluene-d8	<u>78-121 %R</u>	
		trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	72-130 %R	limits (Section			trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4	72-130 %R	
		2-Hexanone-d5	17-184 %R	11.3.4. Page D45			2-Hexanone-d5	17-184 %R	
		1,4-Dioxane-d8	50-150 %R	of SOM01.2)			1,4-Dioxane-d8	50-150 %R	
l		1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	56-161 %R		}		1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2	56-161 %R	
-		1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	70-131 %R				1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4	70-131 %R	
Internal Standards	all samples	50-200% of area, <u>+</u> 30 second shift	retention time	Check calculations/ instruments reanalyze affected samples	DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	50-100% of area, \pm 30 second shift	retention time	

QAPP	Worksheet #28	
1		
000		

Matrix			Soil/ Sediment	t	<u> </u>							
Analytical Gr	oup		TCL SVOCs									
Concentration	n Level		Low/Medium (mg/kg)								
Sampling SO	P(s)		See Workshee	et #21								
Analytical Me	thod/SOP Referen	ce	SOM01.2									
Sampler's Na	me -		TBD									
Field Samplin	g Organization											
Analytical Or	ganization		As per FASTA	s per FASTAC [DESA or CLP]								
No. of Sample	No. of Sample Locations			et #20								
QC Sample: Frequency/ Number Method/SOF		OP QC Accepta	ince Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performan	ice Criteria				
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None			Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC and Precision		35-100% RPD as determined by project-specific QAPP				
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees	Celsius		Increase coolant	FTL	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius				
Field Blank	1 per decontamination event not to exceed 1 per day	≤ CRQL			Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst / PM	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL				
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples or batch	No analyte >	CRQL*		Suspend analysis unit source recertified	DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL*				
Matrix Spike (Not Required)	1 per 20 samples; if requested	Phenol 2-Chloropher N-Nitroso-di- 4-Chloro-3-m Acenaphther 4-Nitropheno 2,4-Dinitrotol Pentachlorop Pyrene	nol n-propylamine nethylphenol ne l uene uene ohenol	26-90 %R 25-102 %R 41-126 %R 26-103 %R 31-137 %R 11-114 %R 28-89 %R 17-109 %R 35-142 %R	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	Phenol 2-Chlorophenol N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol Acenaphthene 4-Nitrophenol 2,4-Dinitrotoluene Pentachlorophenol Pyrene	26-90 %R 25-102 %R 41-126 %R 26-103 %R 31-137 %R 11-114 %R 28-89 %R 17-109 %R 35-142 %R			

*with the exception of bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate which can be up to 5 times the CRQL. (EPA CLP National Functional Guidelines, Final, July 2007)

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #28 QC Samples Table

QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Method/SOP QC Accept	tance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance	ce Criteria
				TCL SVOCs - Soi	I Continued			
		Phenol	0-35 %RPD		[····	Phenol	0-35 %RPD
		2-Chlorophenol	0-50 %RPD	1			2-Chlorophenol	0-50 %RPD
		N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamin e	0-38 %RPD]			N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0-38 %RPD
Matrix Spike	1 per 20	4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0-33 %RPD	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0-33 %RPD
(Not Required)	samples; ir	Acenaphthene	0-19 %RPD		GC/MS Technician	Precision	Acenaphthene	0-19 %RPD
(Not Required)	requested	4-Nitrophenol	0-50 %RPD				4-Nitrophenol	0-50 %RPD
		2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0-47 %RPD				2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0-47 %RPD
		Pentachloro-phenol	0-47 %RPD				Pentachloro-phenol	0-47 %RPD
	}	Pyrene	0-36 %RPD		<u> </u>		Pyrene	0-36 %RPD
Deuterated	all samples	Phenol-d5	<u>17-103 %</u> R	Check calculations	DESA or CLP Laboratory	Accuracy	Phenol-d5	17-103 %R
Monitoring		Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether-d8	<u>12-98 %</u> R	and instruments,	GC/MS Technician		Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether-d8	12-98 %R
Compounds	ipounds	2-Chlorophenol-d4	<u>13-101 %</u> R	reanalyze affected			2-Chlorophenol-d4	13-101 %R
		4-Methylphenol-d8	<u>8-100 %</u> R	samples; up to 4			4-Methylphenol-d8	<u>8-100 %</u> R
		Nitrobenzene-d5	16- <u>103 %</u> R	DMCs may fail to			Nitrobenzene-d5	16-103 %R
]	2-Nitrophenol-d4	16-104 %R	meet recovery limits			2-Nitrophenol-d4	16-104 %R
	4	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	23-10 <u>4 %</u> R	(Section 11.3.4,		[2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3	23-104 %R
		4-Chloroaniline-d4	1-145 %R	Page D48/SVUC of			4-Chloroaniline-d4	1-145 %R
		Dimethylphthalate-d6	43-111 <u>%</u> R	_ 50W01.2)			Dimethylphthalate-d6	43-111 %R
		Acenaphthylene-d8	20-97 %R				Acenaphthylene-d8	20-97 %R
	1	4-Nitrophenol-d4	16-166 %R				4-Nitrophenol-d4	16-166 %R
		Fluorene-d10	40-108 %R				Fluorene-d10	40-108 %R
		4,6-Dinitro-2-methylpheno I-d2	1-121 %R				4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d2	1-121 %R
		Anthracene-d10	22-98 %R				Anthracene-d10	22-98 %R
		Pyrene-d10	51-120 %R]			Pyrene-d10	51-120 %R
		Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	43-111 %R				Benzo(a)pyrene-d12	43-111 %R
Internal Standards	all samples	50-200% of area, <u>+</u> 30 seco time shift	nd retention	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/MS Technician	Accuracy	50-200% of area, <u>+</u> 30 second shift	retention time

Matrix Soil/ Sediment					<u></u>]				
Analytical Group		TCL Pestic	des							
Concentration Lev	/el	Low/Mediur	n (mg/kg)			Ĩ				
Sampling SOP(s)		See Works	neet #21							
Analytical Method	/SOP Reference	SOM01.2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-				
Sampler's Name		TBD			·	-				
Field Sampling Or	ganization									
Analytical Organiz	ation	As per FAS	TAC [DESA or CI	 LP]	-					
No. of Sample Loc	ations	See Works	neet #20			1				
QC Sample: Frequency/ Number Limits			QC Acceptance nits	Corrective Action	Person(s) R Correct	esponsible for ive Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Crite	Performance eria	
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None		Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC an	d PM	Precision	35 -100% RPD by project-spec	as determined ific QAPP	
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Ce	Isius	increase coolant	FTL		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees C	elsius	
Field Blank	1 per decon event not to exceed 1 per day	≤ CRQL		Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory an	alyst /	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL		
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples or whenever samples extracted	No analyte > C	RQL	Suspend analysis unit source recêrtified	DESA or CLP GC/ECD Tech	Laboratory	Accuracy	No analyte > Cl	RQL	
Matrix Spike	1 per 20 samples	gamma-BHC (Lindane) Heptachlor Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin	46-127 %R 35-130 %R 34-132 %R 31-134 %R 42-139 %R	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician		Accuracy	gamma-BHC (Lindane) Heptachlor Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin	46-127 %R 35-130 %R 34-132 %R 31-134 %R 42-139 %R	
Matrix Spike Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	gamma-BHC Heptachlor Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin 4,4-DDT	23-134 % 0-50 % RPD 0-31 % RPD 0-43 % RPD 0-38 % RPD 0-45 % RPD 0-50 % RPD	Flag outliers	tliers DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician Precision		Precision	gamma-BHC Heptachlor Aldrin Dieldrin Endrin 4,4-DDT	23-134 %R 0-50 %RPD 0-31 %RPD 0-43 %RPD 0-38 %RPD 0-45 %RPD 0-50 %RPD	

Matrix	······································		Soil/ Sedime	nt						
Analytical Grou	p		TCL Pesticid	es [conťd]						
Concentration I	.evel		Low/Medium	(mg/kg)	· · ·					
Sampling SOP(5)		See Workshe	eet #21						
Analytical Method/SOP Reference SOM01.2										
Sampler's Name TBD										
Field Sampling	Organization									
Analytical Organization As per FASTAC [DESA or					;LP]	· ·				
No. of Sample Locations See Worksheet #20							ĺ			
QC Sample:	Frequency/ Number	Met	hod/SOP QC Acceptance Limits		Corrective Action	Person(s) Re for Correctiv	sponsible ve Action	ponsible Data Quality Indicator Action (DQI) Measurement Pe		rformance
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	gamn	na-BHC	50-120 %R					gamma-BHC	50-120 %R
		Hepta epoxi	achlor de	50-150 %R	Check calculations				Heptachlor epoxide	50-150 %R
Laboratory		Dield	rin	30-1 <u>30</u> %R	and instruments,	DESA or CLP	Laboratory	Accuracy	Dieldrin	30-130 %R
Control Sample	all samples	4,4'-C	DE	50-150 %R	reanalyze affected	GC/ECD Tech	nician	Accuracy	4,4'-DDE	50-150 %R
		Endri	n	50-120 %R	samples				Endrin	50-120 %R
		Endo	sulfan sulfate	50-120 %R					Endosulfan sulfate	50-120 %R
		gamn	na-Chlordane	30-130 %R					gamma-Chlordane	30-130 %R
Surrogate	all samples	30–1	-150 %R		Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	DESA or CLP GC/ECD Tech	Laboratory nician	Accuracy	30-150 %R	-

`

Matrix	<u> </u>	Soil/ Sediment	- · · · ·		· · · ·				
Analytical Group	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	TCL PCBs							
Concentration Lev	el	Low/Medium (m	ng/kg)						
Sampling SOP(s)		See Worksheet	#21						
Analytical Method	SOP Reference	SOM01.2							
Sampler's Name		TBD	· · ·						
Field Sampling Or	ganization		,	, · · ···					
Analytical Organiz	ation	As per FASTAC	As per FASTAC [DESA or CLP]						
No. of Sample Loc	ations	See Worksheet	#20						
QC Sample:	QC Sample: Frequency/ Number Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits			Corrective Action	Person Co	(s) Responsible for prective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measureme C	nt Performance riteria
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None		Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC a	and PM	Precision	35 -100% RPD project-specific	as determined by QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsi	s	Increase coolant	FTL		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees C	elsius
Field Blank	1 per decontamination event not to exceed 1 per day	≤CRQL		Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst /		Accuracy / Contamination	≤CRQL	
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples or whenever samples extracted	No analyte > CRQ	۹L.	Suspend analysis unit source recertified	DESA or Cl Technician	LP Laboratory GC/ECD	Accuracy	No analyte > Cl	RQL
Matrix Spike	1 per 20	Aroclor-1016	29-135 %R	Flag outliers	DESA or CL	LP Laboratory GC/ECD	Accuracy	Aroclor-1016	29-135 %R
Matrix Onika	samples	Aroclor-1260	29-135 %R					Aroclor-1260	29-135 %R
Duplicate	samples	Aroclor-1018 Aroclor-1260	0-15 %RPD	Flag outliers	Technician	LP Laboratory GC/ECD	Precision	Aroclor-1260	0-15 %RPD
Laboratory Control	ali samples	Aroclor-1016	50-150 %R	Check calculations and instruments,	DESA or CL	LP Laboratory GC/ECD	Accuracy	Aroclor-1016	50-150 %R
Sample		Aroclor-1260	roclor-1260 50-150 %R reanalyze samples		Technician			Aroclor-1260	50-150 %R
Surrogate	all samples	30-150%R		Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	DESA or CLP Laboratory GC/ECD Technician		Accuracy	30-150%R	

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #28 QC Samples Table

Matrix		Soil/ Se	diment	,	······		
Analytical Group		TAL N	letals				
Concentration Level		Low/Me	dium (mg/kg)				
Sampling SOP(s)		See Wo	orksheet #21				
Analytical Method/SOF	P Reference	ILM05.4	£				
Sampler's Name TBD							
Field Sampling Organization							
Analytical Organization	n	As per I	FASTAC [DESA or CLP]				
No. of Sample Location	ns	See Wo	orksheet #20				
QC Sample:	Frequency/N	umber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samp	les	None	Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC and PM	Precision	35 -100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler		≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Increase coolant	FTL	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius
Field Blank	1 per decontamination event not to exceed 1 per day		≤ CRQL	Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst /	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL
Preparation Blank	1 per 20 sampl	es	No constituent > CRQL	Suspend analysis until source rectified; re-digest and reanalyze affected samples		Accuracy	No constituent > CRQL
Spike	1 per 20 sampl	es	75-125%R*	Flag outliers		Accuracy	75-125%R*
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 sampl	es	± 20% RPD**	Flag outliers		Precision	± 20% RPD**
Post-Digestion Spike	after any analyte ke (except Ag and Hg) fails spike %R		75-125%R	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory ICP-AES/ICP-MS	Accuracy	75-125%R
nterference Check beginning, end and periodically during run ICP Analysis Only] (2 times every 8 hours		and ing run 8 hours)	Within ± 2 times CRQL of true value or ± 20% of true value, whichever is greater	Check calculations and instruments, reanalyze affected samples	reamaan	Sensitivity	Within ± 2 times CRQL of true value or ± 20% of true value, whichever is greater
Laboratory Control Sample	1 per 20 sampl	es	Control limits established by EPA*	Suspend analysis rectify source; re-digest and reanalyze affected samples		Accuracy	Control limits established by EPA*

*except when the sample concentration is greater than 4 times the spike concentration, then disregrard the recoveries; no data validation action taken **Reference EPA Region 2 SOP No. HW-2, Revision 13/Evaluation of Metals Data for CLP - (include absolute difference criteria)

**except when the sample and/or duplicate concentration is less than 5 times the CRQL.

* If the EPA LCS is unavailable, other EPA QC samples or other certified materials may be used. In such cases, control limits for the LCS must be documnetd and provided.

		1					
Matrix		Soil/ sedime	nt				
Analytical Group		TAL Total M	Mercury				
Concentration Level		Low/Medium	i (mg/kg)				
Sampling SOP(s)		See workshe	et #21				
Analytical Method/SOP Reference ILM05.4 – Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption (CVAA)							
Sampler's Name	Sampler's Name TBD						
Field Sampling Organization	Field Sampling Organization			<u> </u>			
Analytical Organization		As per FAST	AC [DESA or CLP]				
No. of Sample Locations		See workshe	et #20				
QC Sample:	Frequency/Number		Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Field Duplicate	1 per 20	samples	None	Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC and PM	Precision	35 -100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per coo	ler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Increase coolant	FTL	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius
Field Blank	1 per dec event not per day	ontamination to exceed 1	≤ CRQL	Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst /	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL
Preparation Blank (PB)	1 per 20 s	amples	No analyte > CRQL	Suspend analysis; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples		± 20% RPD	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Precision	<u>+</u> 20% RPD
Spike Sample 1 per 20 samples		75 – 125 %R	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	75 – 125 %R	
Laboratory Control Sample	1 20 sam	ples	Control limits established by EPA*	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	Control limits established by EPA*

* If the EPA LCS is unavailable, other EPA QC samples or other certified materials may be used. In such cases, control limits for the LCS must be documnetd and provided.

Matrix		Soil/ Sedime	nt				
Analytical Group	·····	TAL – Total	Cyanide				
Concentration Level		Low/Medium	(mg/kg)				
Sampling SOP(s)		See workshe	et #21				
Analytical Method/SOP Re	ILM05.4 - C	·					
Sampler's Name		TBD			——		
Field Sampling Organization	on						
Analytical Organization		As per FAST	AC [DESA or CLP]		——		
No. of Sample Locations		See workshe	et #20				
QC Sample: Frequency/Number		ency/Number	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Field Duplicate	1 per 2	0 samples	None	Notify PM and flag duplicate results	ASC and PM	Precision	35 -100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per co	oler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Increase coolant	FTL	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius
Field Blank	1 per deconta event n 1 per da	amination ot to exceed ay	≤ CRQL	Verify results; re-analyze. Flag outliers. Check decontamination procedures.	Laboratory analyst /	Accuracy / Contamination	≤ CRQL
Preparation Blank (PB) 1 per 20 samples) samples	No analyte > CRQL	Suspend analysis; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	No analyte > CRQL
Laboratory Duplicate 1 per 20 samples		<u>+</u> 20% RPD	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Precision	<u>+</u> 20% RPD	
Spike Sample 1 per 20 samples 75 - 125 %R		75 – 125 %R	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	75 – 125 %R	
Laboratory Control Sample	1 per 20) samples	Control limits established by EPA*	Flag outliers	DESA or CLP Laboratory Technician	Accuracy	Control limits established by EPA*

* If the EPA LCS is unavailable, other EPA QC samples or other certified materials may be used. In such cases, control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

QAPP Worksheet #28 Continued

QC Samples Table

for

Non-Routine Analytical Services

Aqueous and Soil Wet Chemistry and Geotechnical Analyses

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #28 QC Samples Table

Matrix	Aqueous							
Analytical Group		Wet	Chemistry Anions by Ion Chromat	ography				
Concentration Level		Low/	Medium (mg/L)					
Sampling SOP(s)	See worksheet #21							
Analytical Method/SC	P Reference	EPA	300 and EPA 314 for perchlorate					
Sampler's Name	_	TBD						
Field Sampling Orga	nization							
Analytical Organizati	on	As pe	er FASTAC [DESA or Subcontract	Laboratory]				
No. of Sample Locati	ons	Seev	worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Nur	nber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person for Co	(s) Responsible rrective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Preparation Blank (PB)	1 per 20 sample	s	Result ≤ 1/2QL No analyte > QL	Suspend analysis; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler		≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Increase coolant	FTL	-	Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 sample	s	± 20% RPD	Flag outliers	DESA or Laborator	subcontract y Analyst	Precision	± 20-40% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 sample	s	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	± 25-50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Spike Samples	1 per 20 sample	s	80-120%R-Method A of 300 75 – 125 %R-Method B of 300. [Perchlorate results can exceed 80-120% if other QC acceptable.]	Flag outliers	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	75 125 %R
Quality Control Sample	Quarterly		90-110%R	Identify source of problem, correct and reanalyze	DESA or Laborator	subcontract y Analyst	Accuracy	90-110%R
Laboratory Fortified Blank	1 per 10 samples-method 1 per 20 sample	300 s	85 – 115 %R-perchlorate 90-110%R-method 300	Flag outliers	DESA or Laborator	subcontract y Analyst	Accuracy	80-120%R

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	a set and a set of the		Ì		
Matrix		Aqueous					
Analytical Group		Wet Chemistry Anions by Colorime	etry -see worksheet #12	2 for list			
Concentration Level		Low/Medium (mg/L)					
Sampling SOP(s)	<u> </u>	See worksheet #21	ee worksheet #21				
Analytical Method/SOF	Reference	MCAWW Methods	·				
Sampler's Name	<u> </u>	TBD	·				
Field Sampling Organi	zation						
Analytical Organization	1	s per FASTAC [DESA or Subcontract Laboratory]					
No. of Sample Location		See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	ber Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Preparation Blank (PB)	1 per 20 samples	None	Suspend analysis; check; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Increase coolant	FTL		Accuracy	≤ 10 degrees Celsius
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	<u>+</u> 25-50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Flag outliers	DESA or S Laboratory	Subcontract Analyst	Precision	± 20-40% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Spike Samples	1 per 20 samples	None	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy	75 – 125 %R
Laboratory Control Sample	After calibration, every 20 samples and at end of day	None	Identify source of problem, correct and reanalyze	DESA or Subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	80-120%R
Carbonate-bicarbonate standard-Alkalinity	1 per batch	± 10% of true value	Re-prep and re-analyze; recalibrate if still outlying	DESA or S Laboratory	Subcontract Analyst	Contamination – Accuracy/bias	± 20% of true value

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

					-		
Matrix		Aqueous					
Analytical Group		Hexavalent Chromium					
Concentration Leve		Low/Medium (mg/L)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/S	OP Reference	SW-846, 7196A					
Sampler's Name		TBD	······································				
Field Sampling Org	anization		·····				
Analytical Organiza	tion	As per FASTAC [DESA or Sub	contract Laboratory]				
No. of Sample Loca	tions	See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	ber Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Corre	Responsible for	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Preparation Blank (PB)/	1 per 20 samples	None	Suspend analysis; check; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	<u>+</u> 25-50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Precision	± 25-50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Spike Samples	1 per 20 samples	None	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy	75 – 125 %R
Laboratory Control Sample	After calibration, every 20 samples and at end of day	None	Identify source of problem, recalibrate if needed/ make other adjustments and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy	80-120%R
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/bias	< 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #28 QC Samples Table

Matrix		Aqueous		_			
Analytical Group		Wet Chemistry by Titrimetric or	Potentiometric procedures				
Concentration Level		Low/Medium (mg/L)					
Sampling SOP(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	See worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/S	OP Reference	MCAWW Methods - see works	sheet #12 for list				
Sampler's Name		TBD					
Field Sampling Orga	nization						
Analytical Organizat	tion	As per FASTAC [DESA or Sub	contract Laboratory]				
No. of Sample Locat	tions	See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	ber Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Corre	Responsible for ctive Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Preparation Blank (PB)/	1 per 20 samples	None	Suspend analysis; check; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	<u>+</u> 25-50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Precision	± 20-40% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Laboratory Control Sample	After calibration, every 20 samples and at end of day	None	Identify source of problem, recalibrate if needed/ make other adjustments and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	80-120%R
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or si Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

.

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

.

Matrix		Aqueous					
Analytical Group		Wet Chemistry by Gravimetric	procedures				
Concentration Leve	l <u></u>	Low/Medium (mg/L)	<u> </u>				
Sampling SOP(s)		See worksheet #21		-			
Analytical Method/S	OP Reference	MCAWW Methods - see works	heet #12 for list				
Sampler's Name		TBD					
Field Sampling Orga	anization						
Analytical Organizat	tion	As per FASTAC [DESA or Sub	contract Laboratory]				
No. of Sample Locat	tions	See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	ber Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Preparation Blank (PB)/	1 per 20 samples	None	Suspend analysis; check; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC	· ·	Precision	<u>+</u> 25-50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	subcontract / Analyst	Precision	<u>+</u> 20-40% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Laboratory Control Sample	After calibration, every 20 samples and at end of day	None	Identify source of problem, recalibrate if needed/ make other adjustments and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	80-120%R
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

Matrix		Aqueous	nakan nakati na nakati n	- 10			
Analytical Group		Biological Oxygen Demand			[
Concentration Level		Low/Medium (mg/L)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/S	thod/SOP Reference MCAWW Method 405.1						
Sampler's Name TBD							
Field Sampling Orga	inization				- /		
Analytical Organizat	ion	As per FASTAC [DESA or Subo	contract Laboratory]				
No. of Sample Locat	ions	See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	nple: Frequency/Number Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits Corrective Action Person(s)		Responsible for ective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria		
Water Control Blank	1 per 20 samples	BOD <0.2 mg/L	Suspend analysis; check; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Seeded Water Blank	1 per batch	DO uptake 0.6-1 mg/L	Verify results and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract / Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Precision	± 20-40% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Glucose glutamic acid check	After calibration, every 20 samples	Within laboratory control limits (mean ± 3 standard deviations)	Identify source of problem, recalibrate if needed/ make other adjustments and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	80-120%R
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

Control limits for the Laboratory must be documented and provided.

€.

Motrix				······································	1		
Iviaurix		Aqueous		I			
Analytical Group		Wet Chemistry – TOC-Carb	on analyzer + IR or FID detecto)r			
Concentration Level	1	Low/Medium (mg/L)		!			
Sampling SOP(s)		See worksheet #21	1				
Analytical Method/SOP Reference MCAWW Method 415.1/415.2 or SW-846 9060							
Sampler's Name		TBD					
Field Sampling Orga	anization		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Analytical Organization As per FASTAC [DESA or Subcontract Laboratory]							
No. of Sample Local	tions	See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	iber Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Corre	Responsible for ective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples	; < QL	If samples non-detect or if lowest sample result is >10 times the blank-no action; otherwise redigest and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract / Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate	All samples duplicated	≤ 20% RPD; ±QL for samples <5x QL	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract / Analyst	Precision	± 20-40% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Matrix Spike	1 per batch of 20 samples	75-125%R	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract / Analyst	Accuracy	75-125%R
Laboratory Control Sample	1 per batch of 20 samples	80-120%R	Identify source of problem, recalibrate if needed/ make other adjustments and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract r Analyst	Accuracy	80-120%R
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	± 25-50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or si Laboratory	ubcontract [,] Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

Matrix	Matrix Soil/ Sediment							
Analytical Group		Wet Cl IR or F	hemistry – Total Organic C ID detector	arbon using Carbon an	alyzer +			
Concentration Level		Low/M	edium (mg/kg)					
Sampling SOP(s)	npling SOP(s) See worksheet #21			-				
Analytical Method/SC	P Reference	Lloyd H	Kahn with Additional QC re	quirements				~
Sampler's Name		TBD						
Field Sampling Orgar	nization							
Analytical Organization	on	As per	FASTAC [DESA or CLP]					
No. of Sample Location	ons	See wo	orksheet #20	·······				
QC Sample:	Frequency/N	umber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria (Project-specific)
Preparation Blank	1 per 20 samp	les	≤ 100 mg/kg	Verify results; reanalyze; recalibrate if still outlying	DESA or Laborato	subcontract ry Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤QL
Laboratory Duplicate	Every sample		<u>≤</u> 20% RPD	Re-run sample; flag outliers	DESA or Laborato	subcontract ry Analyst	Precision	≤ 35-100% RPD
Quadruplicate analysis	Per batch		<3xStandard deviation	Identify error and re-analyze	Laborato	ry analyst	Precision	<3S
Detection Limit Verification Standard	1 per sample r	un	± 25% of true value	Identify error and re-analyze	Laborato	ry analyst	Accuracy/bias	± 25% of true value
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samp	les	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	± 35-100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler		≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or Laborato	subcontract ry Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

٠

Matrix		Soil/ S	ediment		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Analytical Group		pH, Bu	lk density; specific gravity					
Concentration Level		Low/M	edium (mg/kg)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See wo	orksheet #21					
Analytical Method/SO	P Reference	SW-84	6, 9045D; ASTM2937; SW-	-846 9080/9081				
Sampler's Name		TBD						
Field Sampling Organ	ization		. <u> </u>					
Analytical Organizatio	n	As per	FASTAC [DESA or CLP]					
No. of Sample Locatio	ns	See wo	See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/N	umber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Corrective Action Person(s) F Correction		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria (Project-specific)
Buffer standard solution check – pH only	Daily		± 0.05 pH units (of true value)	Verify results; check probe for coating and clean if needed; check buffer solutions; reanalyze	DESA or Laborator	subcontract y Analyst	Accuracy	± 0.05 pH units (of true value)
Laboratory Duplicate - pH	Every sample		≤ 20% RPD	Re-run sample; flag outliers	nag DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Precision	± 0.05 pH units
Duplicate Sample -density and specific gravity	Every sample		TBD	Re-run sample; flag outliers	nple; flag DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Precision	≤ 35-100% RPD as determined by project-specific requirements

Matrix		Soil/ Se	ediment]		
Analytical Group		Grain S	Size					
Concentration Level		Low/Me	edium (percent particle size	es)				
Sampling SOP(s)		See wo	orksheet #21					
Analytical Method/SO	P Reference	ASTM	D421-85 and ASTM D422-					
Sampler's Name		TBD						
Field Sampling Organ	ization							
Analytical Organization		As per FASTAC [DESA or CLP]						
No. of Sample Locatio	ons	See worksheet #20						
QC Sample:	Frequency/N	umber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria (Project-specific)
Laboratory Duplicate / Field Duplicate	1 per sample		None	Flag outliers. Data assessor will inform project manager of variability. Data validator will recommend which results set is to be used.	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Homogeneity/ Precision	≤ 100% RPD or other values as determined by project team

Matrix		Soil/ Sediment					
Analytical Group		Hexavalent chromium					
Concentration Leve	l	Low/Medium (mg/kg)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/S	SOP Reference	SW-846, 7196A; extraction by	/ 3060A				
Sampler's Name	· ·	TBD					
Field Sampling Org	anization						
Analytical Organiza	tion	As per FASTAC [DESA or Sub	contract Laboratory]				
No. of Sample Loca	tions	See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	ber Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria (Project-specific)
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC	et, - ,	Precision	<u>+</u> 35-100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples	None	Suspend analysis; check; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or si Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	≤ 20% RPD	Flag outliers	DESA or su Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Precision	+ 35-100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Matrix Spike	1 per 20 samples	75 – 125 %R	Per method - typically Identify source of	DESA or su Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy	75 – 125 %R
Laboratory Control Sample	After calibration, every 20 samples and at end of day	80-120%R	problem, recalibrate if needed/ make other adjustments and reanalyze	DESA or su Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy	80-120%R

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			···		1		
Matrix		Sor	V Sediment			· ·		
Analytical Group		Sul	fide					
Concentration Leve	[Lov	v/Medium (mg/kg)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See	e worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/S	OP Reference	EP	A 376, extraction by 9031					
Sampler's Name		TBD						
Field Sampling Org	anization							
Analytical Organiza	tion	As	per FASTAC IDESA or Subc	ontract Laboratory				
No. of Sample Loca	tions	See	worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	ber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI <u>)</u>	Measurement Performance Criteria (Project-specific)
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples		None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	<u>+</u> 35-100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler		≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation
Method Blank	1 per 20 samples		None	Suspend analysis; check; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples		Not provided - Laboratory established limits	Flag outliers	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Precision	+ 35-100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Matrix Spike	1 per 20 samples		Not provided - Laboratory established limits	Per method - typically Identify source of	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	50 – 150 %R
Laboratory Control Sample	After calibration, every 20 samples and at end of day	5	Not provided - Laboratory established limits	problem, recalibrate if needed/ make other adjustments and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy	70-130%R

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided.

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #28 QC Samples Table

Matrix		Soil/ Sediment					
Analytical Group		Perchlorate by Ion Chromatography					
Concentration Level		Low/Medium (mg/kg)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/S	OP Reference	EPA 314 with modification for soil ex	draction				
Sampler's Name		TBD					
Field Sampling Orga	inization						
Analytical Organizat	ion	As per FASTAC [DESA or Subcontra	act Laboratory]	•			
No. of Sample Locat	ions	See worksheet #20					
QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	ber Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Corre	Responsible for ctive Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Preparation Blank	1 per 20 samples	Result ≤ 1/2QL No analyte > QL	Suspend analysis; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or s Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None noted for soil – use laboratory established limits	Flag outliers	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Precision	<u>+</u> 20-40% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Spike Samples	1 per 20 samples	None noted for soil – use laboratory established limits	Flag outliers	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	80 – 120 %R
Quality Control Sample	Quarterly	None noted for soil – use laboratory established limits	Identify source of problem, correct and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	90-110%R
Laboratory Fortified Blank	1 per 10 samples-method 1 per 20 samples	300 None noted for soil – use laboratory established limits	Flag outliers	DESA or si Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy	80-120%R
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	± 35-100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler	≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or su Laboratory	ubcontract Analyst	Accuracy/bias	≤ 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided. Perchlorate limits should be reevaluated for project-specific QAPP

Matrix	<u> </u>	Soil/ S	ediment	*****				
Analytical Group		Cation	exchange capacity					
Concentration Level		Low/M	edium (mg/kg)					
Sampling SOP(s)		See wo	orksheet #21					
Analytical Method/SO	P Reference	SW-84	6 9080/9081or 6010B mod	ified				
Sampler's Name		TBD						
Field Sampling Organ	ization							
Analytical Organizatio	n	As per	FASTAC [DESA or CLP]					
No. of Sample Locatio	ons	See wo	orksheet #20	· · · , ·				
QC Sample:	Frequency/N	umber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria (Project-specific)
Preparation Blank	1 per batch of 20 samples		None noted for soil – use laboratory established limits	Suspend analysis; redigest and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Control Sample/Matrix Spike	1 per batch of samples	20	None noted for soil – use laboratory established limits	Identify source of problem, correct and reanalyze	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Accuracy	75-125%R
Laboratory Duplicate - Cation exchange capacity	te - 1 per 20 samples		None noted for soil – use laboratory established limits	Re-run sample; flag outliers	DESA or subcontract Laboratory Analyst		Precision	535-100% RPD as determined by project-specific requirements
Field Duplicate	1 per 20 samp	ies	None	Data assessor to inform PM if MPC is exceeded; flag duplicate results	ASC		Precision	± 35-100% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Temperature Blank	1 per cooler		≤ 6 degrees Celsius	Inform field crew of failure and need for additional coolant; check packing procedure	DESA or Laborator	subcontract ry Analyst	Accuracy/bias	< 10 degrees Celsius for data validation

Method modification to be included in project-specific QAPP.

Matrix		Soil/ Se	ediment	······································		7		
Analytical Group		Rigid V	Vall Permeability					
Concentration Level		NA						
Sampling SOP(s)		See wo	prksheet #21			•		
Analytical Method/So	OP Reference	ASTM	D2434					
Sampler's Name		TBD				1		
Field Sampling Organization								
Analytical Organization As pe		As per	er FASTAC [DESA or CLP]					
No. of Sample Locations		See worksheet #20						
Lab QC Sample:	Frequency/N	umber	Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Responsible for Corrective Action		Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria (Project-specific)
Laboratory Duplicate / Field Duplicate	1 per sample	<u></u>	None	Flag outliers. Data assessor will inform project manager of variability. Data validator will recommend which results set is to be used.	DESA or Laborato	r subcontract ory Analyst	Homogeneity/ Precision	≤ 35-100% RPD or other values as determined by project team

Matrix		Aqueous					
Analytical Group		Field Test Kits (Ferrous iron, hexava	lent chromium, sulfate)			
Concentration Leve	el	Low/Medium (mg/L)	······································				
Sampling SOP(s)		See worksheet #21					
Analytical Method/S	SOP Reference	HACH 8146, 8023, and 8051					
Sampler's Name		TBD					
Field Sampling Org	anization						
Analytical Organiza	tion	As per FASTAC [DESA or Subcontra	act Laboratory]				
No. of Sample Locations		See worksheet #20					
Lab QC Sample:	Frequency/Num	ber Method/SOP QC Acceptance Limits	Corrective Action	Person(s) Corre	Responsible for ective Action	Data Quality Indicator (DQI)	Measurement Performance Criteria
Blank Check	Daily or 1 per 20 samples	No analyte > QL	Suspend analysis; re-prep and reanalyze	Field analy	yst	Accuracy/Sensitivity	No analyte > QL
Laboratory Duplicate	1 per 20 samples	None	Reanalyze. Re-perform calibration check if still outlying.	Field analyst		Precision	± 50% RPD as per project-specific QAPP
Quality Control Sample check	1 per 20 samples	None	Identify source of problem, correct and reanalyze	Field analy	/st	Accuracy	75-125%R

Control limits for the LCS must be documented and provided. Limits should be re-evaluated for project-specific QAPPs.

QAPP Worksheet #29 Project Documents and Records Table

Sample Collection Documents and Records	On-Site Analysis Documents and Records	Off-Site Analysis Documents and Records	Data Assessment Documents and Records	Other
FORMS II Lite Traffic Reports/ COC Records	Equipment Calibration and Maintenance Log	Sample Receipt, Custody and Tracking Logs	Field Sampling Audit Plans, Reports and Checklists	M&TE (measurement and testing equipment) Forms
Airbills	Field Data Collection Logs	Standards Tracking Logs	Office Audit Plans, Reports and Checklist	Technical/QA Review Forms
Sample Tracking Log/Sheets	PID Logs, if applicable	Sample Disposal and Waste Manifests	Corrective Action Reports	Purchase Requisition Forms
Field logs/logbooks	Water Quality Data Logs	Sample Preparation Logs	Analytical sample results	Telephone Logs
Chain of Custody Forms	Photographs	Corrective Action Reports	Subcontract Laboratory certifications	Electronic Data Deliverables
Field Change Request Forms	Water Level Measurement logs	Corrective Action Forms	Subcontract Laboratory QA Plan (on file with EPA and	Non-Conformance Reports
Custody Seals	Groundwater treatment facility data collection logs	Data Packages (Case Narratives, Sample Results, QC Summaries and Raw Data (detailed in CLP SOPs).	QC Audit Reports Data Validation SOPs Data Validation Reports	Subcontract Documents (Contract, Scopes of Work, Bid Sheet), Subcontract Documents and Review Forms
ANSETS Forms	Inspection and maintenance records	Trip Reports	Data Package Completeness Checklist Validated Data Reports	Electronic Transducer data
Boring Logs	Spill incident reports	Sample analysis run logs	Self Assessment Checklist	Subcontract Laboratory SOPs
NA	Well Constructions Diagram	Telephone logs	Data Quality Assessments	NA

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentra- tion Level	Analytical SOP	Data Package Turnaround Time	Laboratory/Organization (Name and Address, Contact Person and Telephone Number)	Backup Laboratory/Organization (Name and Address, Contact Person and Telephone Number)
Gas/Air (Soil)	TO-15 Scan VOCs		TO-15	As per project-specific QAPP	EPA Non-RAS Air Program	RAC Basic Ordering Agreement Subcontract Laboratory (TBD)
Gas/ (Indoor Air)	TO-15 SIM VOCs		TO-15	As per project-specific QAPP	EPA Non-RAS Air Program	RAC Basic Ordering Agreement Subcontract Laboratory (TBD)
Aqueous	Trace VOCs TCL VOCs SVOCs PCBs Pesticides TAL Metals/Cyanide		SOM01.2 SOM01.2 SOM01.2 SOM01.2 SOM01.2 ILM05.4 -ICP-AES/MS	As per project-specific QAPP	EPA Primary contact: RSCC	RAC Basic Ordering Agreement Subcontract Laboratory (TBD)
Soil/ Sediment - RAS	TCL VOCs TCL SVOCs TCL PCBs TCL Pesticides TAL Total Metals		SOM01.2 SOM01.2 SOM01.2 SOM01.2 ILM05.4 -ICP-AES/MS	As per project-specific QAPP	EPA Primary contact: RSCC	RAC Basic Ordering Agreement Subcontract Laboratory (TBD)
Soil/ Sediment – non-RAS	TOC Grain size pH Hexavalent Chromium Cation Exchange Capacity Rigid Wall Permeability In-situ porosity Sulfide AVS-SEM		See Worksheet # 19	As per project-specific QAPP	EPA Primary contact: RSCC	RAC Basic Ordering Agreement Subcontract Laboratory (TBD)

QAPP Worksheet #30 Analytical Services Table

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Analytical SOP	Data Package Turnaround Time	Laboratory/Organization (Name and Address, Contact Person and Telephone Number)	Backup Laboratory/Organization (Name and Address, Contact Person and Telephone Number)
Aqueous	Alkalinity Ammonia Bromide Chloride Fluoride Hardness Hexavalent chromium Nitrate Nitrite Nitrate/Nitrite COD BOD DOC TOC Orthophosphorus/ Phosphorus (all forms) Perchlorate TKN TDS TSS Sulfate Sulfate		EPA MCAWW Methods SW-846 7196A EPA MCAWW Methods See Worksheet # 19	As per project-specific QAPP	FASTAC Tier 1: DESA All Laboratory Services: EPA Primary contact: RSCC	FASTAC Tier 3: RAC Basic Ordering Agreement Subcontract Laboratory (TBD)
Aqueous	Methane, Ethane, Ethene		RSK 175	As per project-specific QAPP	DESA	RAC Basic Ordering Agreement Subcontract Laboratory (TBD)

QAPP Worksheet #30 Analytical Services Table

For non-RAS analyses, the EPA DESA laboratory will provide analytical services; where the DESA laboratory is not available or does not provide a particular analytical service, the subcontracted will be used to procure these services.

Ferrous Iron (field test) Hexavalent chromium (field test)

QAPP Worksheet #31 Planned Project Assessments Table

Assessment Type	Frequency	Internal or External	Organization Performing Assessment	Person(s) Responsible for Performing Assessment (Title and Organizational Affiliation)	Person(s) Responsible for Responding to Assessment Findings (Title and Organizational Affiliation)	Person(s) Responsible for Identifying and Implementing Corrective Actions (Title and Organizational Affiliation)	Person(s) Responsible for Monitoring Effectiveness of Corrective Actions (Title and Organizational Affiliation)
Laboratory Technical Systems/ Performance Audits	TBD	External	EPA	TBD	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory	EPA or other Regulatory Agency
Performance Evaluation Samples	TBD	External	EPA	TBD	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory	EPA or other Regulatory Agency
Sample Collection and Documentation	Once	External	EPA	TBD	PM,	PM,	(RQAC) or field auditor,
Health and Safety	Once if warranted	Internal/ External	EPA	TBD	PM,	РМ,	Health & Safety Manager or designee, SSHO,
Field Audit	Once	Internal		Approved field auditor	РМ,	Project Geologist and field staff	Field Auditor,
Office Audit	Once	Internal		Approved QA Staff	PM,	PM, 199	(RQAC) or designee, PM,
QAPP	Annually	Internal		Approved QA Staff or QA Coordinator	РМ,	PM,	(RQAC),
Data Review	Once	Internal		(ASC) or designee,	РМ,	PM, Margar & Laboratory manager(s) (TBD)	PM,
RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

QAPP Worksheet #32 Assessment Findings and Corrective Action Responses

Assessment Type	Nature of Deficiencies Documentation	Individual(s) Notified of Findings (Name, Title, Organization)	Timeframe of Notification	Nature of Corrective Action Response Documentation	Individual(s) Receiving Corrective Action Response (Name, Title, Org.)	Timeframe for Response
Laboratory Technical Systems/ Performance Audits	Written Report	Laboratory Manager, (EPA) and	30 days	Letter	EPA CLP Laboratory	14 days
Performance Evaluation Samples	Electronic Report	Laboratory Manager. (EPA) and	30 days	Letter or Written Report	EPA CLP Laboratory	14 days
Project Readiness Review	Checklist or logbook entry	Field Team Leader	Immediately to within 24 hours of review	Checklist or logbook entry	Field Team Leader	Immediately to within 24 hours of review
Field Observations/ Deviations from Work Plan	Logbook	Field Team Leader and EPA RPM	Immediately to within 24 hours of deviation	Logbook	Field Team Leader and EPA RPM	Immediately to within 24 hours of deviation
On-Site Field Inspection	Written Report	Field Team Leader	7 calendar days after completion of the audit	Letter/internal Memorandum	Field Team Leader and/or EPA RPM	To be identified in the cover letter of the report
Health and Safety	Audit checklist	PM, 1997	Notify by phone immediately Report 1 week after audit	Memorandum and checklist	Health and Safety Manager	Immediate CA
Field Audit	Field Audit Report	RI Task Leader, Mark PM, Mark	Provide summary of findings to field team on day of audit; Draft Report due within 10-15 days	Corrective Action Plan	RQAC; QA Manager	required where possible; otherwise as specified on the CA Notice, typically 15 to 30 days from date of CA Notice
Office Audit	Office Audit Report	РМ,	Provide summary of findings to PM on day of audit; Draft Report due within 10-15 days	Memorandum	RQAC, QA Manager	
QAPP	Memorandum	PM,	Draft Report due 30 days	Memorandum and/or FCRs	RQAC	
Data Review	Memorandum	(ASC),	Notify by phone -24 hours	Memorandum	PM,	TBD

RAC2 Contract QAPP Revision: 0 January 2009 Page of

Type of Report	Frequency (daily, weekly, monthly, quarterly, annually, etc.)	Projected Delivery Date(s)	Person(s) Responsible for Report Preparation (Title and Organizational Affiliation)	Report Recipient(s) (Title and Organizational Affiliation)
EPA CLP RAS Laboratory Data (unvalidated)	As performed	Project-specific	EPA CLP RAS Laboratory	RSCC, EPA Region 2 and ASC, Project Manager
EPA CLP RAS Laboratory Data (validated)	As performed	Up to 60 days after receipt of unvalidated data	EPA Region 2	Team Leader
Laboratory Technical Systems/ Performance Audits	As requested by EPA or as required	TBD; within 30 days of informal report	EPA or other Regulatory Agency	EPA RSCC, Laboratory, management
Performance Evaluation Samples	As requested by EPA or as required	Unknown	EPA or other Regulatory Agency	EPA RSCC, Laboratory, management
Field Change Request	As required per field change	Three days after identification of need for field change	Field Team Leader	EPA RPM
Final Project Report	Once	As determined by project work plan (see project schedule)	Field Team Leader	EPA RPM, QAC
QAPP Addendums	As needed by project changes	Project-specific information	Project Task Leader,	
Field Audit Report	Once		FTL, Field Auditor,	
Office Audit Report	Once	30 calendar days after completion of the inspection	FTL, (QAC) or designee,	
Corrective Action Reports	As required on CA request	As required on CA request	QA Auditor,	EPA RPM, EPA Project Officer, EPA QA Officer Program
Data Usability Assessments	With each Measurement Report	With final report	(ASC),	Manager, Site manager
RI/ or RD Report (Draft and Final)	Once	Project-specific information	РМ,	

QAPP Worksheet #33 QA Management Reports Table

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

170

QAPP Worksheet #34 Verification (Step I) Process Table

	Description		Responsible for Verification
verification input	Description	External	(Name, Organization)
Field logbooks	Field notes will be prepared daily by the Field Team Leader (FTL) and will be complete, appropriate to the project tasks, and legible. The FTL will review logbooks for accuracy and completeness. Upon completion of field work, logbooks will be placed in the project files. Field reports will be verified with field log books to ensure correct reporting of information. Review will be conducted prior to completion of each report.	Internal	Field team leader
Chains of custody	COC forms will be reviewed against the samples packed in the each cooler prior to shipment. COCs will be sent with the samples to the laboratory, while copies are retained for the Sampling Trip Report and the project files. They will be internally reviewed upon completion of activities and verified against field logs, and laboratory report. Review will be conducted with completion of each data usability assessment/measurement report.	Internal	Field team leader, ASC, data assessor
Sampling Trip Reports	They will be prepared for each case of field sampling for which samples are sent to a CLP laboratory. Information will be reviewed against the COC forms, and potential discrepancies will be discussed with field personnel to verify locations, dates, etc.	Internal	Field team leader or designee; Laboratory coordinator
QAPP	All planning documents will be available to reviewers to allow reconciliation with planned activities and objectives.	Internal	All data users
Laboratory analytical data package	Data packages will be reviewed/verified internally by the laboratory performing the work for completeness and technical accuracy prior to submittal. All laboratory data will be verified by the laboratory performing the analysis for completeness and technical accuracy prior to submittal to EPA. Data packages will be reviewed as to content and sample information upon receipt by EPA. EPA or its contractor will evaluate the data packages for completeness and compliance. Table 9 of the IDQTF UFP-QAPP shows items for compliance review.	Internal	Laboratory analyst and QA officer; EPA DV contractor-data validator; data validator, data assessor
Final Sample Report	The project data results will be compiled in a sample report for the project. Entries will be reviewed/verified against hardcopy information. Data validation reports, QAPP, FCRs and outputs of the EQuiS database will be used to prepare the project data quality and usability assessment report. The data will be evaluated against project DQOs and measurement performance criteria, such as completeness.	Internal	Project task leader, data validator or field team leader
	Evaluate whether field sampling procedures were followed with respect to equipment and proper sampling support using audit and sampling reports, field change request forms and field logbooks.	Internal	data assessor
Electronic Data Deliverables (EDDs)	Determine whether required fields and format were provided compatible with EQuIS.	Internal	Data Manager

Step Ila/Ilb	Validation Input	Description	Responsible for Validation (Name, Organization)
lla	SOPs	Ensure that the sampling methods/procedures outlined in QAPP were followed, and that any deviations were noted/approved. Determine potential impacts from noted/approved deviations, in regard to PQOs.	Task Leader or ASC
lla	Chains of custody	Examine COC forms against QAPP and laboratory contract requirements (e.g., analytical methods, sample identification, etc.). Examine traceability of data from sample collection to generation of project reported data. Provides sampling dates and time; verification of sample ID; and QC sample information.	ESAT Data Validation Personnel, EPA Region 2 or ASC
lla	Laboratory data package	Examine packages against QAPP and laboratory contract requirements, and against COC forms (e.g., holding times, sample handling, analytical methods, sample identification, data qualifiers, QC samples, etc.). Determine potential impacts from noted/approved deviations, in regard to PQOs.	ESAT Data Validation Personnel, EPA Region 2 or ASC
lib	Laboratory data package	Used to perform data validation on 100% of all CLP data. Any subcontractor analyzed data will be validated by set of A report shall be prepared within 30 days of data receipt. Ensure that all analytical procedures were followed. Corrective actions will be taken and documented when applicable per specific methods. Deviations will be documented. Data will be qualified in accordance with specific methods.	ESAT Data Validation Personnel, or
lib	Field duplicates	Compare results of field duplicate (or replicate) analyses with RPD criteria	
lla	Methods	Records support implementation of the SOP - sampling and analysis	
llb	Data Narrative	Determine deviations from methods and contract and the impact.	
llb	Audit Report	Reports used to validate compliance of field sampling, handling and analysis activities with the QAPP.	
llb	Project Quantitation	PQLG achieved as established in the QAPP and that the laboratory successfully analyzed a standard at the QL.	ASC, data validator
lib	Field and Lab data and QC report	A summary of all QC samples and results will be verified for measurement performance criteria, completeness and 10 percent verified to field and laboratory data reports from vendors. A report on the meeting the established criteria shall be prepared within 30 days of receipt.	

QAPP Worksheet #35 Validation (Steps IIa and IIb) Process Table

Generic Quality Assurance Project Plan

QAPP Worksheet #36 Validation (Steps IIa and IIb) Summary Table

Step IIa/IIb	Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	• Validation Criteria	Data Validator (title and organizational affiliation)		
Organics: /	Organics: Data Validation SOP for Organic Analysis of _[Level]_ Concentration _[Analytical Fraction] under SOW SOM01.2, Region II - Data Validation Guidelines						
lla / llb	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous	TCL VOCs	Trace	SOP HW-34, rev 0	ESAT DV Personnel, or EPA Region 2 - DESA		
lla / llb	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous	TCL VOCs	Low and Medium	SOP HW-33, rev 0	ESAT DV Personnel, or EPA Region 2 - DESA		
lla / llb	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous	TCL SVOCs	Low and Medium	SOP HW-35, rev 0	ESAT DV Personnel, or EPA Region 2 - DESA		
llb	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous	TCL Pesticides	Low and Medium	SOP HW-36, rev 0	ESAT DV Personnel, or EPA Region 2 - DESA		
lia / lib	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous	TCL Aroclors (PCBs)	Low and Medium	SOP HW-36, rev 0	ESAT DV Personnel, or EPA Region 2 - DESA		
Air and Inorganics: Data Validation SOP for Region II - Data Validation Guidelines							
lla / llb	Air	TCL VOCs	Low	Validating Volatile Organic Analysis of Ambient Air in canister by Method TO-15 October 2006, SOP HW-31, rev 4	ESAT DV Personnel, or EPA Region 2 - DESA or addited validator		
lla / lib	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous	TAL Metals, and cyanide	Low and Medium	Evaluation of Metals Data for the CLP Program based on SOW ILM05.4, September 2006, SOP HW-2, rev 13	ESAT DV Personnel, or EPA Region 2 - DESA		

Project-specific QAPP will indicate if any streamlining of the data validation procedures is required. For example, how screening and geotechnical analysis data will be evaluated. DV – data validation

Step IIa/IIb	Matrix	Analytical Group	Concentration Level	Validation Criteria	Data Validator (title and organizational affiliation)
lla / líb	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous	Methane, ethane, ethene	Trace or Low	DESA SOP or National Functional Guidelines	ESAT Data Validation Personnel, EPA Region 2 DV Personnel, or ASC/ designee
lla / Ilb Soil		Inorganics (Hexavalent chromium)	ow and Medium	DESA SOP or D29A SOP	ESAT DV Personnel, EPA Region 2
	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous				DV Personnel, or ASC/ designee
Пр	Soil/Sediment	CEC TOC, pH, Sulfide, AVS-SEM	Low and Medium	DESA SOP or D29A SOP	ESAT DV Personnel, EPA Region 2 DV Personnel, or ASC/ designee
lla / llb	Aqueous	Wet Chemistry	Low and Medium	DESA SOP or D29A SOP	ESAT DV Personnel, EPA Region 2 DV Personnel, or ASC/ designee
lla / llb	Soil/Sediment/ Aqueous	Perchlorate	Low and Medium	DESA SOP or DESA SOP and National Functional Guidelines	ESAT DV Personnel, EPA Region 2 DV Personnel, or ASC/ designee

QAPP Worksheet #36 Validation (Steps IIa and IIb) Summary Table

In-situ porosity (Determined from specific gravity & dry bulk density), grain size, and rigid wall permeability will not be validated. Wet Chemistry = Alkalinity, ammonia, bromide, chloride, fluoride, hardness, nitrate-nitrite, sulfate, chloride, fluoride, ortho-phosphate, total phosphorus, TKN, COD, DOC, and TOC Method requirements will also be used to evaluate the data during data validation.

(UFP-QAPP Manual Section 5.2.3)

Describe procedures/methods/activities used to determine whether data are of the right type, quality, and quantity to support environmental decision-making for the project. Describe how data quality issues will be addressed and how limitations on data use will be handled.

QAPP Worksheet #37 Usability Assessment

Summarize the usability assessment process and all procedures, including interim steps and any statistics, equations, and computer algorithms that will be used: The Data Usability Assessment will be performed by a team of personnel at the PM will be responsible for information in the Usability Assessment and will also be responsible for assigning task work to the individual task members who will be supporting the Data Usability Assessment. Note that the Data Usability Assessment will be conducted on validated data. After the Data Usability Assessment has been performed, data deemed appropriate for use will then be used in the [*RI/RD/RA*, human health risk assessment, screening level ecological risk assessment, and FS]. The results of the Data Usability Assessment will be presented in the project-specific report. The following items will be assessed and conclusions drawn based on their results.

Precision – Results of laboratory duplicates will be assessed during data validation and data will be qualified according to the data validation procedures cited on Worksheet #36. Field duplicates will be assessed by matrix using the RPD for each pair of results reported above CRQL for organic and inorganic analyses respectively. RPD acceptance criteria, presented in Worksheet #12, will be used to access field sampling precision. Absolute difference will be used for low results as described in worksheets 12 and 28. A discussion summarizing the results of laboratory and field precision and any limitations on the use of the data will be described.

Field duplicates - The PM will review the extent of exceedance of the field duplicate criteria. For groundwater, the sample results will be flagged according to the data validation protocol. For soils/sediment, the exceedances will be compared with the field lithological logs and grain size results, if available. Based on this review, the site manager will determine whether the exceedance is due to inherent soil heterogeneity or the result of sample handling in the field or laboratory. This information will be included in the data assessment report. As an added measure, the field team leader will be asked to inspect the soil coning and quartering procedures and re-train staff if needed. The data assessor will review the data validation report. If the field duplicate comparison is not included, it will be performed by the assessor.

Accuracy/Bias Contamination –Laboratory blank results will be assessed as part of data validation. During the data validation process the validator will qualify the data following the procedures listed on Worksheet #36. A discussion summarizing the results of laboratory accuracy and bias based on contamination will be presented and limitations on the use of the data will be described.

Overall Accuracy/Bias – The results of instrument calibration and matrix spike recoveries will be reviewed and data will be qualified according to the data validation procedures cited on Worksheet #36. A discussion summarizing the results of laboratory accuracy and any limitations on the use of the data will be described.

Sensitivity – Data results will be compared to criteria provided on Worksheet #15. A discussion summarizing any conclusions about sensitivity of the analyses will be presented and any limitations on the use of the data will be described.

Representativeness – A review of adherence to the sampling plan, field procedures and of project QA audits will be performed in order to assess the representativeness of the sampling program. Data validation narratives will also be reviewed and any conclusions about the representativeness of the data set will be discussed.

Comparability - Study results will be used in conjunction with existing data to make qualitative and quantitative assessments of the data to be used to produce the Site reports.

QAPP Worksheet #37 Usability Assessment

Reconciliation – The DQIs presented in Worksheet #12 will be examined to determine if the MPC were met. This examination will include a combined overall assessment of the results of each analysis pertinent to an objective. Each analysis will first be evaluated separately in terms of major impacts observed from data validation, data quality indicators and measurement performance criteria assessments. Based on the results of these assessments, the quality of the data will be determined. Based on the quality determined, the usability of the data for each analysis will be determined. Based on the combined usability of the data from all analyses for an objective, it will be determined if the DQIs were met and whether project goals were achieved. As part of the reconciliation of each objective, conclusions will be drawn and any limitations on the usability of any of the data will be described.

Completeness - The Environmental Quality Information Systems (EQuIS) database will be queried to summarize the number of samples in each analytical fraction that are estimated and rejected. This data will be used along with the planned samples indicated in the QAPP to calculate the completeness of the obtained data set.

Data validation reports will be reviewed to determine the quality of the data and potential impacts on data usability. Field duplicates will be evaluated against the MPCs outlined in worksheet #12. Non-compliant data will be discussed in the usability report. The following equations will be used :

1. To calculate field duplicate precision: RPD = 100 x 2 |X1 - X2 | / (X1 + X2) where X1 and X2 are the reported concentrations for each duplicate or replicate

2. To calculate completeness: % Completeness = V/n x 100

where V= number of measurements judged valid; n = total number of measurements made and % Completeness = C/x x 100

where C= number of samples collected; x = total number of measurements planned

2. Describe the evaluative procedures used to assess overall measurement error associated with the project:

will determine if quality control data is within specifications (MPC) through the data assessment and data validation process IIb.

3. Identify the personnel responsible for performing the usability assessment:

ASC or designee

4. Describe the documentation that will be generated during usability assessment and how usability assessment results will be presented so that they identify trends, relationships (correlations), and anomalies:

A usability report will describe the rationale for the data used and present any data limitations. The report will include a discussion of the accuracy, precision, representativeness, completeness and comparability of the data set and deviations from planned procedures and analysis and the impact on the project objectives. Tables will be prepared, including: a summary of planned samples, collected samples and parameters analyzed; detections in field and trip blanks; comparison of field duplicates; and a comparison of planned and actual detection limits.

QAPP Worksheet #37 Usability Assessment

5. Discuss the impacts of any qualified data, any deviations from original plan or sampling procedures, whether the project objectives were met, etc.

The following procedures will be followed for using data in preparing the RI/RD/RA Report.

- Defining the nature and extent of contamination provide will evaluate individual sample results for the RI/RD/RA Report. The sample results will be compared to the site specific screening criteria defined as project action limits on worksheet #15. In addition, as part of the RI/RD/RA Report, figures will be generated in order to further refine the understanding of the nature and extent of contamination and to help identify data gaps]. Figures will include geological profiles and cross-sections, water table maps, contaminant iso-concentration maps, and longitudinal and cross-sectional profiles of groundwater contamination.
- Identifying data gaps Data gaps will be identified while writing the [*RI/RD/RA*] Report. As soon as data gaps are identified, will discuss them with EPA. To identify data gaps, will evaluate the analytical results by media and determine if results indicate levels or locations of contamination that need to be further delineated.
- Using qualified data utilizes all data not rejected during validation to determine the nature and extent of contamination.
- Deciding if high results are legitimate or outliers **active** will assume that all data not rejected during validation will be considered in defining the nature and extent of contamination at the site. **active** will work with EPA if there is a concern about the statistical validity of the sample results. In particular, high "outlier" results that have no surrounding comparable results as confirmation will be discussed with EPA.