SMART GROWTH CRITERIA MATRIX City of Austin Transportation, Planning and Design Department				MARK	ONE:		SELF SCORE PRELIMINARY SCORE			
	II II ali	sportation, Flamming and Design De		-						
DEVELOPMENT:				-	FORMAL SCORE					
GOALS	CATEGORY	ELEMENTS	CRITERIA Criteria based on information that is not complete or available for scoring	VEI GHT		MAX. POINTS AVAILABLE	SCORE	COMMENTS	TOTAL Possible	
	>	1. Neighborhood Plans	Project does not conflict with adopted Neighborhood Plan for the	area.	-		•,	COMMENTS		<u> </u>
	tilidigi	 Neighborhood Plans Historic Review Incentive Package 	Projects proposing demolition/modification of historically signific		ildings	require rev	iew.		_	
	ш		Project may not receive Smart Growth Zone Specific incentives.				1	1		
SMART GROWTH GOAL I: Determine How and Where Development Occurs	nts)	1. Smart Growth Zones (Eligible for only one zone - A,B, o A. Downtown	or C for a maximum possible 45 points) 1. Anywhere 2. Within a 1 block radius of a CMTA bus stop 3. Consistent with transit station area plan	5 5	5 4	25 20				C
	n (87 points)	or B. Urban Core	Anywhere Within one lot deep of a Smart Growth Corridor Consistent with transit station area plan	4	3 4	12 16				(
	Location	or C. Desired Development Zone (DDZ) inside City Limits	1. Anywhere 2. Within one lot deep of a Smart Growth Corridor/park & ride 3. Consistent with transit station area plan	3	1 3	3 9			45	(
		2. Location Risk	A. Focus on area of economic need B. A "Trail Blazer" in an untested market	4	3	12 30			42	(
	pts)	1. Neighborhood Planning (Choose A or B)	A. Requires dialogue and support by adjacent neighborhoods (Projects outside of Downtown)B. Downtown Projects			75 35			75	C
	cess (135	2. Design Commission (Choose A or B)	A. Presentation & endorsement of plans without conditions (Projects outside of Downtown) B. Downtown Projects	5	2	10 50			50	(
	Proc	3. Historic Landmark Commission	 A. Presentation & endorsement of plans without conditions B. Historically zoned buildings or buildings within a historic district 	5	5	25 50			50	(
	Critical Mass (24 points)	1. Threshold Density A. Population (DUA)	1. Meets minimum threshold to support transit (7 to 12 dua average w/in one lot deep of Proposed Smart Growth Corridors. 12-25 dua average in Downtown)	3	4	12				
	Critica (24 p	B. Employment (FAR)	(Consistent with transit station area plan) 2. Meets minimum threshold to support transit (Min. FAR of .35 w/in one lot deep of Proposed Smart Growth Corridors or min. FAR of .5 in Downtown) (Consistent with transit station area plan)	3	4	12			24	
	points)	 Land Use Contribution (Eligible for only one-A,B, or C A. Downtown Projects 		5	3	15 20			24	
	Jse (110	or B. Urban Core Projects	Regional draw - retail (anchor retail), entertainment, or cultural center Variety of housing types (apartments, rowhouses, SF) Greater than 200 new housing units	4 4 4	3	12 12 12 4				
	Land I	or C. Traditional Neighborhood Projects	Streater than 200 new indusing diffs Neets TND codes and ordinances Variety of housing types (rowhouses, gar. apts, sf) Town Center with neighborhood retail	3 3 3	3 3 3	9 9 9			35	

GOALS		ELEMENTS	CRITERIA		POINT SYSTEM			SCORE			
	CATEGORY		Criteria based on information that is not complete or available for scoring	WEIGHT	VALUE	MAX. POINTS AVAILABLE	SCORE	COMMENTS	TOTAL Possible	TOTAL SCORE	
		2. Land Use Compatibility	1. Part of a Downtown District Plan								
	Land Use Continued (110 points)		2. Consistent with a Corridor Plan								
	inuc poir	3. Mixed Use per Building (Min. 20% for each use -	3. Consistent with a Transit Node Plan	-	4	20			0	0	
	ont 10	residential, retail, office)	 A. Includes residential above 1st floor B. Street level pedestrian uses 	5 5	4	20 15					
	- 3 E		C. Includes 2 uses	5	3	15					
			D. Includes 3 uses	5	5	25			75	0	
SMART GROWTH GOAL II:		1. Building Facade Treatment	 A. Division of facade into traditional 30'<u>+</u> increments B. Variety of treatment and human scale details 	2 2	2 2	4					
Improve Our			C. 50% or more of facade in glass at street level	2	2	4					
Quality of Life	pts)		D. Well-defined entrances every 50' on street frontages	2	2	4			16	0	
		2. Compatibility with Surrounding Area	A. Appropriate or compatible massing	2	2	4					
	ں ۲		 B. Integration of height with abutting facades C. Rear building treatment 	2 2	2 1	4					
	Design (44		D. Mechanical equipment screened where visible	2	1	2			12	0	
	Ő	3. Provision of Accessible Public Outdoor Space	A. Area greater than 500 ft ²	2	2	4					
	Urban		 B. Provides table and chairs C. Landscape, including trees 	2 2	1	2					
	ō		D. Pedestrian scaled lighting, min. 3 footcandles	2	1	2					
			E. Located adjacent to Greenway or Street	2	1	2					
			F. Provision of outdoor public art	2	2	4			16	0	
		1. Transit Coordination	A. Project includes CMTA participation / coordination	4	5	20			20	0	
			B. Provides facilities associated w/ bus to rail transfers	4	5	20			20	0	
		2. Building Location on Site	A. Oriented to pedestrian network	3	1	3					
	pts)		B. No drive through facilities	3	1	3					
	34 p		C. Buildings built up to right of way D. Parking in rear of lot behind building	3 3	4	12 6			24	0	
	(13	3. Streetscape Treatment for Maximum	A. Street trees min. 4" caliper, 30' o.c. on all frontages	3	3	9			21	Ŭ	
	nts	Pedestrian Comfort	B. Use of smaller scale pavement (pavers or scoring)	3	1	3					
	mei		 C. Rain protection (awnings, arcades) D. Maintain existing alleys or extend walkable street grid plan 	3	1 3	3					
	Elei		E. First floor level at street level or within 18"	3	1	3					
	u		F. On street parking along street frontages	3	1	3					
	tati		G. Min. 12' wide clear sidewalk along street frontage	3	3	9					
	por		 H. Provision of pedestrian scale street lighting I. Continuation of existing sidewalk networks 	3 3	1 2	3					
	Transportation Elements		J. Crossing treatment at street corners (bulb outs, crossings)	3	4	12			60	0	
			A. Greenways								
	Multi-modal		1. Access to and no interruption of greenbelt trails	2	2	4					
	Ĕ		2. Office, retail, or residential uses facing creek B. Internal Sidewalk Network	2	2	4					
	ulti		1. Pedestrian network linking buildings on site and to	2	4	8					
	Σ		streetscape sidewalks								
		5. Bicycle Friendly	A. Bike racks (1:10), Bike Lockers (1:50) available	2	3	4			16	0	
			B. Locker room facilities, showers and dressing room	2	2	6					
-			C. Bicycle linkages	2	2	4			14	0	
	(s	1. Structured Parking	A. Structured and/or underground parking	3	4	12					
	(36 pts)	-	B. Ground floor of structured parking retail	3	3	9					
	ğ		C. Provides for shared parking for adjacent businesses	3	1	3			20		
	ing	2. Driveway	D. Division of facade into 30' <u>+</u> increments & detailing A. Minimizes curb cuts along front property line	3	2	6			30 6	0	
	Parking	,		-		Ŭ			Ŭ	Ť	
	Δ.										

GOALS		ELEMENTS CRITERIA		Р	DINT S	YSTEM		SCORE		
	CATEGORY		Criteria based on information that is not complete or available for scoring	WEIGHT	VALUE	MAX. POINTS AVAILABLE	SCORE	COMMENTS	TOTAL Possible	TOTAL SCORE
	Housing (40 pts)	1. Reasonably Priced Housing	A. 20% of units for 80% (4 person) AMFI households B. 20% of units for 60% (4 person) AMFI households	5 5	3 5	15 25			40	0
	_ <u>v</u> ©	1. Neighborhood Stabilization	A. Traditional neighborhood retail uses B. Neighborhood supported uses	3	3 3	9			18	0
	Local Economy (48 pts)	2. Promote local business	A. Provision / retention of space for locally owned business B. Project supports or builds local music / film industry C. Use of local contractors and architects	3 3 3	4 4 2	12 12 6			30	0
	Sustainable Building Practices (35 pts)		 A. Green Building Program Participation One star multi-family Two star multi-family / one star commercial Three star multi-family / two star commercial Four star multi-family / four star commercial Five star multi-family / four star commercial B. LEED Certified Rating Silver Rating Gold Rating C. Green Choice Renewable Energy Program 	5 5 5 5 5 5	1 2 3 4 5 2	5 10 15 20 25 10 15 20 25 10			<u>25</u> 10	0
SMART GROWTH GOAL III: Enhance Our Tax Base		1. Tax Base Enhancement A business case analysis for proposed deve	A. Meets AISD 60/40 Goal	4	3	12 Check:	0		12	
	•					·		% of Total Points		
			GOAL 1 Determine How and Where Development Occurs			50%		0.0	356	0
			GOAL 2 Improve our Quality of Life			48%		0.0	337	0
			GOAL 3 Enhance our Tax Base TOTAL			2% 100%		0.0 0.0	12 705	0

MATRIX THRESHOLD LEVELS

0 to 250 points = No Additional Consideration

251 to 335 points = 50% of All Applicable COA Fees Waived (GF & Enterprise)

For projects that score in the two highest levels a business case analysis sets a not to exceed (NTE) value for the incentive package. The NTE value is based on the present value of the increase in property tax revenues generated by the project over a 5 or 10 year time period. The amount of the incentive package can include up to 100% of applicable COA fees, utility charges (at a 5 or 10 year break even level) and the cost of planned infrastructure accelerated in time for the project.

336 to 420 points = 5 Year Incremental Tax Value NTE

421 to 705 points = 10 Year Incremental Tax Value NTE